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Abstract: This paper gives a basically chronological account of the lives of five prominent miners, all born into mining families. Most began their mining on the Thames field, becoming mine managers and even company directors. Some were involved with the Te Aroha rush, and all worked on the Waiorongomai field. They would mine throughout most of Hauraki into the early twentieth century, usually separately from their brothers or brother-in-law but sometimes with the latter. Because of their positions, most opposed the miners’ union’s desire for increased wages. Their personal finances were usually comfortable, although as this could not be guaranteed four out of the five also farmed to a greater or lesser extent. In their earlier days most were active sportsmen, but in the long term mining affected their health, one dying of miner’s complaint as a direct consequence of his chosen career.

THE GOLDSWORTHY FAMILY’S FIRST YEARS IN NEW ZEALAND

John Goldsworthy, a farmer at Matakana, a coastal district near Warkworth,1 died in 1865, aged 55, ‘after a short but painful illness’.2 His death ‘had been most unexpected; he had gone to see his son, who was suffering from fever, he caught the disease, and succumbed to it in a few days’.3 He was recalled as being ‘one of the oldest of Auckland’s settlers’, having arrived with his family ‘under the auspices of the New Zealand Company in 1840’.4 (According to an obituary of one of his daughters, they had arrived in 1839.)5 Born in Redruth, Cornwall, where he had been a miner, he worked with his eldest son on the copper lodes of Kawau and

---

1 For a description of Matakana from 1859 onwards, see Harry Bioletti, Warkworth Roundabout (Warkworth, 1991), pp. 130-131.
2 Death Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 9 March 1865, 1865/2752, BDM; Death Notice, Daily Southern Cross, 11 March 1865, p. 4.
3 Weekly Argus, 18 March 1865, reprinted in Wellington Independent, 30 March 1865, p. 3.
5 Auckland Weekly News, 21 November 1901, p. 36.
Great Barrier Islands, the latter belonging to this company.⁶ Few details have survived of this mining.⁷ In 1845, when he gave his occupation as a miner, he owned two wooden houses in Official Bay, Auckland, occupied by others.⁸ In 1852, with his son John, he was on the Bendigo goldfield in Victoria, Australia, spending ‘a year or two’ there before returning to farm at Mullet Point, near present-day Snells Beach.⁹

His first wife, Elizabeth Richards,¹⁰ was the mother of his children. After her death in 1855, aged 40,¹¹ he married a widow and shopkeeper, Jane James, in 1863.¹² The daughter of a Cornish miner, at the age of 20 she had married Joseph James, by whom she had a son, Joseph; after coming to New Zealand she married John when they were both aged 47. After his death, she married James Williams, dying on her son’s farm at Te Aroha West in 1895, aged 78.¹³ An obituary described her as ‘a very old resident of the Thames, and one of the first settlers of the Upper Thames’, who was ‘much respected by all who knew her’.¹⁴

---

⁶ Ancestry.co.uk; Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4, 26 July 1919, p. 4; New Zealand Herald, 2 November 1922, p. 8.
⁸ ‘Return of all the Houses, Cottages, and Warries with the name of their owners and principal occupiers (one in each case) within the district of Auckland in 1845’, Internal Affairs Department, IA 1, 1845/1939, p. 12, ANZ-W.
⁹ John Goldsworthy to Minister of Mines, 1 June 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-W; Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
¹⁰ Death Certificate of Henry Goldsworthy, 14 August 1918, 1918/5273, BDM.
¹¹ Death Certificate of Elizabeth Goldsworthy, 1848/1697; the year of her death was 1855, as is made clearer in the old index: 916/Auckland [1855], BDM; her last child was born in 1851.
¹² Notices of Intentions to Marry 1863, folio 42, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/8, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 25 February 1863, 1863/3829, BDM.
¹³ Notices of Intentions to Marry 1863, folio 42, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/8, ANZ-W; Death Certificate of Jane Williams, 21 June 1895, 1895/2764, BDM.
¹⁴ Te Aroha News, 29 June 1895, p. 2.
John left six sons (John, Richard, William, Thomas, Henry, and James), and two daughters, Mary Ann and Anna Maria. In 1855 his eldest daughter married a miner, James Gribble; her father's funeral cortege would leave from her Auckland home. Seven years later Anna Maria, aged 21, married 29-year-old James Miecklejohn, a shipwright at Matakana, where his family was prominent.

Four of John's sons, John, Henry, Thomas, and William, all became prominent as prospectors, miners, and mine managers throughout the Hauraki Peninsula. In 1894 the Sydney Bulletin included them in its list of the great men of early Thames. 'Grand men, every one of them'. Upon John's death five years later, the Observer wrote that 'there were few men better known or more generally respected' on the goldfields, describing him as 'a man of sterling integrity' who left 'friends in every mining camp'. At Coromandel, he was recalled as 'a man of sterling worth, respected by all who knew him (and their names are legion) and revered by his intimate friends. He was above everything, “a manly man” '. A Thames newspaper noted the 'many important and responsible' mining positions he had held, 'being invariably associated with the conduct of dividend paying mines'; he had always taken an 'active interest in the future of the industry and of the district'. William had 'been in all the mining centres of the Peninsula' by 1902, 'his wide and varied experience and excellent character always winning a good position for him wherever he has been'. In 1887 a legal manager stated that he had 'a high character as an upright and honorable

15 Death Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 9 March 1865, 1865/2752, BDM; Probate of John Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1568/249, ANZ-A.  
16 Marriage Certificate of Mary Ann Goldsworthy, 1855/1483, BDM; Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 11 March 1865, p. 4.  
19 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.  
20 Coromandel County News, 31 May 1907, p. 3.  
21 Thames Star, 31 May 1907, p. 2.  
man’ who was ‘admitted to be a thoroughly competent mine manager’.\textsuperscript{23} One obituary described him as a ‘stalwart old miner’.\textsuperscript{24} An obituary noted Thomas as having been ‘a well-known mine manager’.\textsuperscript{25} Henry was described in 1902 as a mine manager who had held several ‘important and responsible positions’ during the previous two decades.\textsuperscript{26}

Richard, who farmed his father’s land, became active in local government, and on his death in 1929 left an estate valued at under £3,500.\textsuperscript{27} The family was living beside Kawau Bay in the early 1860s; Goldsworthy Bay was named after them.\textsuperscript{28} He had three sons. James, born in December 1866, when his father was on Kawau Island, would be mine manager for the Try Fluke Company at Kuaotunu in 1895.\textsuperscript{29} Richard Henry, born the following year, farmed in several areas before returning to his birthplace, Mullet Point, where he spent his last 40 years farming and being was prominent in local public affairs.\textsuperscript{30} William John, born in 1868, became a miner.\textsuperscript{31}

John, the eldest son, was born in Cornwall in 1837.\textsuperscript{32} He started mining when aged 15 in the Great Barrier Island and Kawau Island copper mines, working with his father, whom he accompanied to the Bendigo

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{23} Declaration of D.G. MacDonnell, 25 May 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 92/659, ANZ-W.
\item \textsuperscript{24} Observer, 26 July 1919, p. 4.
\item \textsuperscript{25} New Zealand Herald, 2 November 1922, p. 8.
\item \textsuperscript{26} Cyclopedia of New Zealand, vol. 2, p. 494.
\item \textsuperscript{27} Mallett, pp. 24, 41, 61, 71, 75, 96; Probate of Richard Goldsworthy, BBAE 1570, 223/1929, ANZ-A.
\item \textsuperscript{28} Mallett, pp. 94, 101.
\item \textsuperscript{29} S.E. Kendall, Births Pertaining to Early Auckland, vol. 1 (Pukeokahu, 1997), p. 21 [born on 7 December 1866, but no birth certificate was issued]; Thames Advertiser, 19 July 1895, p. 2.
\item \textsuperscript{30} Birth Certificate of Richard Henry Goldsworthy, 1867/62, BDM; Rodney and Otamatea Times, Waiotemata and Kaipara Gazette, 7 June 1939, p. 7.
\item \textsuperscript{31} Birth Certificate of William John Goldsworthy, 1868/11499, BDM; Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 9 December 1902, p. 1.
\item \textsuperscript{32} Death Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 31 May 1907, 1907/2935, BDM; Probate of John Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1568/249, ANZ-A.
\end{itemize}
goldfield in 1852. After returning, he ‘spent some time afloat, in sailing vessels of his own’. He mined at Coromandel in 1863.

It was in his cutter, the “Wanderer,” that the bulk of the Europeans fled from Coromandel at the time of the scare caused by the Maori War, and while hostilities were being carried on he was kept busy for some time in conveying commissariat stores from Auckland to the Miranda redoubt, opposite the Thames.

In his father's will, drawn up in 1863, he was left the cutter ‘Elizabeth Jane’. William, commonly known as Will, was born on Great Barrier Island in 1844. As a boy he mined on Great Barrier and Kawau Islands before prospecting at Coromandel in 1862, ‘continuing until the Maori War broke out’. In April 1863, he had ten of the 120 shares in the United Company.

Thomas, the fourth son, was born in Auckland in 1849. Nothing of his life is known before his arrival at the Thames goldfield.

---

33 John Goldsworthy to Minister of Mines, 1 June 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-W; Coromandel County News, 31 May 1907, p. 3; New Zealand Herald, 1 June 1907, p. 6.
34 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
35 John Goldsworthy to Minister of Mines, 1 June 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-W.
36 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
37 Probate of John Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1568/249, ANZ-A.
39 Observer, 18 August 1917, p. 4.
40 Encyclopaedia of New Zealand, vol. 2, p. 493 [his birth was not registered].
42 Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 1 no. 8, ANZ-A.
43 For December 1867 photograph, see Observer, 18 August 1917, p. 15 and (clearer reproduction) 26 July 1919, p. 6; for 1898 photograph, see New Zealand Herald and Auckland Weekly News Exhibition Number, 1898, p. 13.
44 Birth Certificate of Thomas Goldsworthy, 1849/2084, BDM.
Henry, inevitably known as Harry, was born in Parnell, Auckland, in 1851, and was educated in that city.

There were other, unrelated, Goldsworthy families living in New Zealand; for instance, Abel, another Cornish miner, died of a heart attack in Auckland in 1872.

IN Volvement IN Thames MInING UNTIL 1879

An obituary of John recorded that ‘within a few weeks’ of the rush to Thames, he ‘was amongst the prospectors’. His first miner’s right, no. 446, was issued on 27 September, nearly two months after the rush started; William had no. 447, issued on the same day. They were ‘lucky in pegging out a rich claim at the head of the Shotover Creek, on the line of Hunt’s reef, which they named the Eureka’, because it ‘turned out a real “gold mine” to its owners’. Sixty-four years later, Richard Ross, one of the earliest and longest-lived of all Thames miners, recalled the pegging out on 3 September:

It was by sheer good luck that they made the find, as they only heard by chance that a find of gold had been made in a creek along the beach, which afterwards led to the discovery of of Hunt’s Shotover. Hunt’s party was granted a prospecting claim of 300ft, being two men’s extra ground. Ross and his party went up

---

45 Observer, 15 April 1916, p. 17; for his photograph, see New Zealand Herald and Auckland Weekly News Exhibition Number, 1898, p. 14.
47 New Zealand Herald, 15 April 1872, p. 2, 16 April 1872, p. 2; Thames Guardian and Mining Record, 15 April 1872, p. 2, 16 April 1872, p. 3, 17 April 1872, p. 3; New Zealand Gazette, 29 May 1872, p. 353; Intestate Probate of Abel Goldsworthy, BBAE 1587/249, BBAE 1587/279, ANZ-A.
48 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
49 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Miners’ Rights 1867-1868, nos. 446, 447, BACL 14358/1a, ANZ-A.
50 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
51 See New Zealand Herald, 28 December 1935, p. 12; for December 1867 photograph taken with other members of the Eureka party, see Observer, 27 July 1919, p. 6; for January 1869 photograph, see Observer, 18 August 1917, p. 14.
the creek, and, after fossicking about a few days, burnt off the fern and picked up some loose quartz, which proved to be rich specimen ore. They also got splendid prospects of loose gold by dish washing. Then they worked their way up the slope of the hill and soon discovered the outcrop of a reef, and again obtained good specimens right away. In all, they washed out 70oz of loose gold in the dish, which gave them a start, as none of them had any funds. The party next started a trench on the reef and took out 10 tons of quartz, which with the specimens yielded 968oz of gold, which was sold to the Bank of New Zealand for £2 10/- per ounce, giving them £2420 to divide among them.\(^52\)

His private account of these events, presumably written in old age, noted that ‘for some time previous to the pegging out of the Eureka’ he and one other man had been prospecting on the northern side of Kuranui Creek. After John and William arrived from Auckland they joined our Party and we started prospecting on both sides of the creek. William Goldsworthy called out to me to come over to the South side of the Creek to where he was fossicking and there being a lot of fern growing at one spot we set fire to it. We found some quartz among the ashes, which on being open were found to be rich specimens. We there and then pegged out six men’s ground by guesswork, which eventually proved to be nine men’s ground, 450 by 300, a big claim at that time.

The first gold they extracted ‘gave us a start, which we needed as I don’t believe we could raise £5 among the whole party’\(^53\). In the following April the claim produced 320oz from ten tons.\(^54\) In June 1868, both brothers were registered as two of the seven owners, each with 1 5/18 of the 7 1/2 shares.\(^55\) William sold a half share three weeks later.\(^56\) In May 1869, John (the mine manager) was allotted 3,294 and William was 1,647 of the 27,050 shares in the Eureka Company.\(^57\) In mid-July, under John’s management splendid specimens were being found. ‘One of the original shareholders’, by

\(^{52}\) Thames Correspondent, *Auckland Star*, 4 September 1931, p. 8.

\(^{53}\) Richard Ross, extract from manuscript notes, n.d., copy provided by Dick Wilkins to Philip Hart, 20 February 2017, email.

\(^{54}\) *Auckland Weekly News*, 4 April 1868, p. 3.

\(^{55}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868, folio 269, BACL 14397/1a, ANZ-A.

\(^{56}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868, folio 269, BACL 14397/1a, ANZ-A.

\(^{57}\) *Auckland Provincial Government Gazette*, 19 May 1869, p. 386.
then he held ‘the heaviest interest’. In May 1870, William was referred to as managing the ‘Eureka Claim’. When the Eureka Hill Company was formed in May 1871 to work this spur, William had 50 shares and John had 259. Three months later, the latter failed in a bid to be elected a director.

Also in the Kuranui portion of the goldfield, in mid-June the two brothers were amongst the eight owners of the Columbia, both with a half share out of the six. John sold his interest in less than two weeks, for £125. Thomas was also an owner, with one share, which he sold one and a half months later for £100. William did not sell his interest until a year later, for £75. On the same day as this claim was registered, John was registered as one of five owners of the Jubilee, holding a one-sixth interest. When the area was enlarged in October the number of owners rose to 12, and he was allotted an eighth share of the larger area. William had five-eighths of a share in the enlarged claim. The ground was transferred to the Kuranui Company in July 1869. Thomas had bought half a share in May 1869. Also in July 1869, John, Thomas, and William were each allotted 34 of the 10,000 shares in the Pride of Kuranui Company. In that year, John, William, and Henry (who had not arrived with his brothers in 1867) held shares in the Just in Time Company, also mining on Kuranui Spur.

---

59 Thames Advertiser, 19 September 1870, p. 2, 8 April 1874, p. 3; John Goldsworthy to Minister of Mines, 1 June 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-W.
60 Jackson and Russell to William Goldsworthy, 19 May 1870, Letterbook no. 6, p. 280, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.
61 Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 27 May 1871, p. 117.
63 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, folio 306, BACL 14397/1a; Register of Deeds 1869, folio 330, BACL 14417/3a, ANZ-A.
64 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, folio 306, BACL 14397/1a; Register of Agreements 1868, folio 125, BACL 14417/2a, ANZ-A.
65 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, folio 306, BBAV 14397/1a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 406-407, BACL 14417/4a, ANZ-A.
66 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, folio 321, BACL 14397/1a, ANZ-A.
67 Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 13 July 1869, p. 584.
In 1871, Henry was one of six owners of the Long Tunnel, on the same spur. He sold half of his one share before the property was transferred to the company of that name, in which he had 300 shares.\(^\text{69}\) In April 1877, William sold his 30 shares in the Kuranui Hill Company.\(^\text{70}\)

The Nonpareil was described, in 1907, as ‘a rich mine on the Waiotahi Creek, just above the Cambria of later days’.\(^\text{71}\) Both John and William ‘met with considerable success’ in it.\(^\text{72}\) In mid-October 1868, John purchased one share, a seventh interest; six months later he purchased a half share for £250, selling it on the following day for £400.\(^\text{73}\) In early July, the half share he had ‘agreed to hold for my brother William’ in his own name was transferred into the latter’s name on the same day that John bought an eighth share from Gribble for £10. Two weeks later the ground was transferred to the Nonpareil Company; William was allotted 375 of the 4,200 shares, and John was allotted 300.\(^\text{74}\) Also in July, William purchased a twelfth interest in the Queen of Spain, adjoining the Nonpareil, for £170.\(^\text{75}\)

In late August 1870, John was unanimously elected a director of the Nonpareil Company and became its ‘Inspector’.\(^\text{76}\) He managed it until resigning in the following August, when ‘the directors thanked him for the manner in which he had always performed the duties’.\(^\text{77}\) With a change of management in July 1872 he was appointed working manager, operating under a ‘general inspector of works’.\(^\text{78}\) At a shareholders’ meeting in early September, when there was a controversy over its working, one shareholder pointed out that when John started managing it the company was £700 in

---

\(^\text{69}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1870, no. 2433, BACL 14397/5a, ANZ-A; Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 26 October 1871, p. 284.

\(^\text{70}\) Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 26 no. 148, ANZ-A.

\(^\text{71}\) Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.


\(^\text{73}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, no. 545, BACL 14397/2a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 98-99, 141-142, BACL 14417/3a, ANZ-A.

\(^\text{74}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Claims 1868, no. 545, BACL 14397/2a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 329-330, BACL 14417/3a; Register of Deeds 1869, folio 394, BACL 14417/4a, ANZ-A; Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 11 August 1869, p. 684.

\(^\text{75}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Deeds 1869, folio 448, BACL 14417/4a, ANZ-A.

\(^\text{76}\) Thames Advertiser, 25 August 1870, p. 3, 16 September 1870, p. 2.

\(^\text{77}\) Auckland Weekly News, 27 May 1871, p. 9, 19 August 1871, p. 15.

\(^\text{78}\) Auckland Weekly News, 22 June 1872, p. 5.
debt but now had a credit balance of £450. Roderick McDonald Scott, a prominent legal manager and investor, who had been a director and was now its legal manager, ‘took a great part of the credit to himself of having procured Mr Goldsworthy’s return to the mine as manager’.

John’s efficiency was illustrated by his catching a boy stealing quartz between five and six o’clock on a Saturday morning. In July 1873, the Thames Advertiser was appalled by ‘the exercise of arbitrary power’ when he was peremptorily ordered to send in his resignation within half an hour.... There seems to have been a total absence of such courtesy as was due to a man who had so long had charge of the company’s property, and to whose care and ability they are indebted for their present passably prosperous condition. The Nonpareil was for a long time famous for the quarrels which appeared to be inseparably connected with it. At one time matters went to such an extent that there were two boards of directors and two legal managers.

Four directors were present at their latest meeting and one was absent. At 12 o’clock, a message was sent to John ‘requiring his resignation within half an hour. He declined to resign except some reason was assigned’. The newspaper was informed that the chairman, John Brown, a mining agent and owner of a tailings reduction works, objected to having the manager discharged without a reason being assigned, and said that if the members could show any reason, he would go in with them. They gave no reason; carried a resolution to pay the manager two weeks’ salary in advance, and discharge him at once. This charge is the more singular, because the manager has the mine in what is acknowledged by skilful miners to be first-class order, and after a great deal of perseverance has opened the No. 2 reef and other lodes for steady

79 Thames Advertiser, 5 September 1872, p. 3.
80 See Observer, 17 June 1905, p. 17.
81 Thames Advertiser, 25 August 1870, p. 3, 2 September 1870, p. 2, 5 September 1872, p. 3, 6 September 1872, p. 3.
82 Thames Advertiser, 18 November 1872, p. 2.
working and regular crushing, and it is quite evident that there must be some reason other than the manner in which the mine has been worked for the decision arrived at. We have inquired from the chairman of the board of directors, and from Mr Goldsworthy, but they are equally at a loss as to the reason for this action. Leaving courtesy out of the question, it would have been nothing more than fair to Mr Goldsworthy, whose reputation as a mine manager is at stake, that some reason should be assigned. We should not be at all astonished to learn that the shareholders would take some steps to inquire into the matter, and demand an explanation from the directors.84

When Brown repeated his opposition to his being discharged without any reason being given, the other directors explained that their sole motive was to have the mine worked more cheaply.85 An extraordinary meeting of shareholders was held two months later because of dissatisfaction with the manner of the dismissal. Shareholders considered John had done well, for ‘when he took office the company was considerably in debt’ but under his management ‘this debt was cleared off, and one or more dividends had been paid’. When he was dismissed, the company had a credit of £800, but £700 of this had since been spent and the company was in danger of going bankrupt. The meeting was adjourned to enable Auckland shareholders to attend.86 When it resumed a month later, Brown explained why he had opposed the sacking. When John had taken over, the company was £700 in debt with a considerable amount of dead work requiring doing; he had cleared this debt, and the company, after paying £2,200 in dividends, had a credit balance of from £700 to £800. In contrast, John’s replacement had lost £50 each week, leaving a credit balance of only £128. The directors had wanted a cheaper manager, and when asked to take a salary of only £4 a week John ‘gave a short answer’. In denying this, John stated that one director ‘came to him and told him the mine was to be stopped, and recommended him to send in his resignation’. Various shareholders suggested that he had been dismissed because of old conflicts resurfacing. One director had voted to dismiss him because he would not sack workers believed to be stealing specimens. The meeting unanimously passed a vote of confidence in him.87

84 Thames Advertiser, 11 July 1873, p. 2.
86 Thames Advertiser, 9 September 1873, p. 3.
87 Thames Advertiser, 9 October 1873, p. 3.
In 1878 John was appointed to manage the Nonpareil United, and in 1887, when he applied for a mine manager’s certificate, Scott declared that he had been underground manager for the Nonpareil ‘for years’.88

In October 1871, John was elected a director of the Queen of the Thames Company, and was still held this post in mid-1874.89 In October 1875, with other prominent investors he moved to liquidate it and sell its assets to the Queen of the May Company.90 An obituary recalled that ‘another famous Thames mine which he managed successfully for some years was the original May Queen, from which he extracted some of its richest yields’.91 In March 1873, he had been warned that his 40 shares in the Queen of the May would be forfeited if a call of 1s was not paid.92 In July 1874, when the directors reported that he had been appointed as manager, they noted that he was ‘well known as a capable and experienced mine manager’.93 He managed it until at least 1877, when his ‘able management’ was noted.94 In May that year, when he was still the manager, Thomas was timbering the low level when ‘a quantity of stuff fell upon him, inflicting severe bruises on his left arm and upon his head. He was taken to the hospital’ for treatment.95 For over three years, Henry worked with his eldest brother in this mine, and was underground manager in ‘about 1880’.96

In addition to these mines, John invested in several other claims and companies, being manager and director of several. In 1869, he acquired shares in the Una Company.97 When he first became a director in it has not

---

88 Thames Advertiser, 16 February 1878, p. 3; Declaration of R.McD. Scott, 13 May 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-A.
89 Thames Guardian and Mining Record, 17 October 1871, p. 3; Thames Advertiser, 4 June 1874, p. 2.
90 Thames Advertiser, 13 October 1875, p. 3.
91 Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
92 Thames Advertiser, 17 March 1873, p. 2.
93 Auckland Weekly News, 4 July 1874, p. 16.
94 Thames Advertiser, 26 September 1874, p. 2, 4 September 1876, p. 2, 10 March 1877, p. 3.
95 Thames Advertiser, 21 March 1877, p. 2.
96 Declaration by John Goldsworthy, 25 January 1892, Mines Department, MD 1, 92/143, ANZ-W; Cyclopedia of New Zealand, vol. 2, p. 494.
97 Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 16 August 1869, p. 694.
been traced, but he was re-elected in February 1872.\textsuperscript{98} In the early 1870s, William was appointed to manage the Una, a position he held for several years.\textsuperscript{99} Also in 1869, John had shares in the Manukau Extended, at Waiotahi Creek.\textsuperscript{100}

After managing the Homeward Bound, in July 1870 William was appointed to manage the Dauntless and Sink to Rise Company.\textsuperscript{101} Five weeks later, he was given a ‘great amount of credit’ for sorting out its financial problems.\textsuperscript{102} He was underground manager for ‘years’.\textsuperscript{103} In January 1871, it was reported as being ‘vigorously worked’ under John’s management.\textsuperscript{104} Later that year the legal manager described his management as having been ‘marked with success, his conduct [being] without blemish’, and the mine ‘economically and well worked’; his only ceased being manager because the company could not retain his services.\textsuperscript{105}

Two months later, they were two of the nine owners of the Marchioness of Lorne, at Wiseman’s Gully; John had one of the six shares and William a half share.\textsuperscript{106} In August 1873, with another prominent miner, John ‘purchased privately’ the Flagship Golden Anchor, at Hape Creek; having carefully examined it, they believed the leader had been lost because no survey was made.\textsuperscript{107} Two months later, John alone applied for it, as the Flagship; it was forfeited in September 1874.\textsuperscript{108}

In October 1873, he was warned that his 96 shares in the Watchman Company would be forfeited if a call remained unpaid.\textsuperscript{109} He must have

\textsuperscript{98} Auckland Weekly News, 3 February 1872, p. 10.
\textsuperscript{99} Declaration of John Gibbons, 6 April 1876, Mines Department, MD 1, 92/657, ANZ-W; Thames Advertiser, 31 January 1873, p. 3, 26 February 1875, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{100} Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 26 October 1869, p. 1408.
\textsuperscript{101} Thames Advertiser, 19 July 1870, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{102} Thames Advertiser, 27 August 1870, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{103} Declaration of R. McD. Scott, 13 May 1887, Mines Department, MD 1, 92/657, ANZ-W.
\textsuperscript{105} Declaration of J.A. Hehatt, 31 August 1871, Mines Department, MD 1, 92/659, ANZ-W.
\textsuperscript{106} Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Shortland Claims 1870, no. 2439, BACL 14397/5a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{107} Thames Advertiser, 21 August 1873, p. 3.
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paid it, as three months later he was elected a director.\textsuperscript{110} Two and a half months later, work resumed under his management.\textsuperscript{111} In September, \textbf{Thomas} had been warned that his ten shares would be forfeited if a call was not paid, a warning repeated in the following year when he did not pay another call.\textsuperscript{112}

In October 1873, \textbf{John} was elected a director of the Lincoln Castle Company.\textsuperscript{113} The following January, his 35 shares in the El Dorado Company were forfeited for non-payment of a call.\textsuperscript{114} In May, he was warned that his 50 shares in the Red White and Blue Company would be forfeited if a call of 1s 3d was not paid.\textsuperscript{115} \textbf{William} was a director of this company.\textsuperscript{116}

In 1875, \textbf{John} acquired shares in the New Exchange Company.\textsuperscript{117} Two years later, \textbf{William} chaired the meeting that formed the New North Devon Company, mining at Moanataiari Creek, and both he and \textbf{John} were allocated 500 of its 8,000 shares.\textsuperscript{118} The latter, elected a director, was re-elected in the following year.\textsuperscript{119} In 1877, he was elected a director of the Republic Company.\textsuperscript{120} In May 1878, with another miner he was granted the New Zealand Independent claim at Shellbank Creek, and permitted to drive a tunnel.\textsuperscript{121} It was promptly floated as a company, in which he held shares and was supervisor of works.\textsuperscript{122} \textbf{William} was another shareholder.\textsuperscript{123} In
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January 1879, Henry successfully sued the Independent Company for £20 2s owed for wages.\textsuperscript{124}

In the same day in July 1869, both William and Thomas bought one-sixteenth of the interests in the New Moon, the former for £20 and the latter for £55.\textsuperscript{125} The following month, William bought one of the six shares in the Black Prince.\textsuperscript{126} In September 1877, he was appointed to manage the New Morning Star.\textsuperscript{127} As since 1875 he had mined at Waitekauri mostly, a Thames paper reminded its readers that he had had a ‘great and varied experience’.\textsuperscript{128} In August 1878, he was warned that his 100 shares in the Perseverance would be forfeited if he did not pay the fifth call.\textsuperscript{129} In September 1878, when the Hauraki Prospecting Association was established to prospect the wider district, he took ‘an active interest’ in its formation and was expected ‘to go at the head of the party and direct their labours’.\textsuperscript{130} As anticipated, he was appointed as leader of one of its two parties.\textsuperscript{131} Two months later, he reported that as little had been found to the north of Thames he was about to test the Puru Creek.\textsuperscript{132}

Thomas obtained his first miner’s right on 4 December 1867,\textsuperscript{133} and mined at Thames until the early 1880s. In addition to the interests already noted, in 1868 he was one of the four owners of the Ancient Briton, holding a quarter of the interests, and the following year bought a sixteenth interest in the New Moon for £55.\textsuperscript{134} In 1873 he was warned that his five shares in
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the Central Italy Company would be forfeited if a call was not paid. In early 1874 he went to the Cooktown gold rush, in Queensland, but returned in July, probably because he wanted to marry a Thames girl, which he did three months after returning.

Henry was ‘present at the first opening of the Thames goldfield’, according to the Cyclopedia of New Zealand and an obituary. In 1874, he was working in the Moanataiari. During 1877, William’s party tributed in part of it, and after sinking a shaft for over four months struck a good reef in September. In February 1878, Henry was warned that his 38 shares in the Queen of Beauty Company were forfeit if a call of 1s remained unpaid.

In 1878, John unsuccessfully tendered to construct a tunnel for the county council’s water race.

COROMANDEL MINING IN THE 1870s

John’s involvement was limited to having shares in two companies in 1872, 500 in Kennedy’s Bay and 1,000 in Pride of Wynyardtown. Henry, then living at Coromandel, had the same number of shares in the former. One of ten owners of the Pride of Wynyardtown, he held 500 shares in the subsequently formed company.

In 1872, William was allotted 500 shares in the Provincial Company and 400 in the Turn of the Tide Company. In February 1875 he accepted

---
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the management of the Plutus, managing it until its closure.\textsuperscript{146} By late June he had moved to the new mining district of Ohinemuri.\textsuperscript{147}

**OHINEMURI MINING IN THE 1870s**

In December 1874, \textbf{John} and \textbf{William} were amongst those calling a meeting to force the opening of Ohinemuri to mining.\textsuperscript{148} Once it was opened, \textbf{William} at first mined at Tairua. In mid-1875, he was allotted 500 shares in the Troy Company, of which he was a director.\textsuperscript{149} At the end of July, he applied for the True Briton, which was granted in September.\textsuperscript{150} He held 500 shares in the company formed to work it and was appointed a provisional director.\textsuperscript{151} This ground was forfeited in September the following year.\textsuperscript{152}

\textbf{John} invested but did not mine in Ohinemuri. At Tairua, he was allotted 500 of the 15,000 shares in the True Briton Company.\textsuperscript{153} At Waitekauri, the Welcome was registered in October 1875, but \textbf{John} did not acquire his interest, a quarter share, until the following April.\textsuperscript{154} Also in the latter month, he held one of the three shares in Little Emma.\textsuperscript{155} He was allotted shares in the Welcome and United Kingdom companies in 1876.\textsuperscript{156}
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He was a director in the former, and the following year, despite opposition, he was re-elected, the legal manager stating that he ‘had forwarded the interests of the company to the best of his ability’.\textsuperscript{157} He was re-elected in 1878,\textsuperscript{158} the same year that he was allotted a small interest, 90 of the 15,000 shares, in the Welcome Extended Company.\textsuperscript{159}  

At the beginning of 1876, William held 750 of the 13,000 shares in the Welcome Company, at Waitekauri.\textsuperscript{160} In June, he was appointed its mine manager;\textsuperscript{161} to a Thames newspaper his name was ‘a sufficient guarantee that the work in it is well done and economically’.\textsuperscript{162} He managed it for ‘about fifteen months’, and ‘brought out the first return of the Upper Thames district, viz, 160 ounces from sixty tons of ore’.\textsuperscript{163} After he resigned in November 1876, the same newspaper said that he had ‘done a great deal of work for very little money’ preparing for breaking out quartz; ‘he has done well for the shareholders’.\textsuperscript{164} He was also allocated shares in two other Waitekauri companies: 350 in the United Kingdom and 1,000 of the 15,000 in the Republic.\textsuperscript{165}  

In May 1875, Henry was one of 11 owners of the All Nations claim at Tairua.\textsuperscript{166} In April 1876, he held one share in the Eureka claim at Waitekauri.\textsuperscript{167} During that year, he acquired scrip shares in two Waitekauri companies, the United Kingdom and the Union.\textsuperscript{168}  

\textbf{TE AROHA MINING}
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'When Te Aroha was proclaimed', **John** ‘was early on the spot’, his miner’s right being issued on opening day.\textsuperscript{169} His appointment as manager of the Bonanza at the beginning of December was ‘considered a very satisfactory one’.\textsuperscript{170} This claim was on the north-east boundary of the Prospectors’ Claim, and was so popular that, ‘owing to the number of miners who pegged it out’ it was ‘technically known as the ground of “the half hundred” ’.\textsuperscript{171} A company had been formed to work it, and John was
desired to commence work at once. The company has every prospect of success, and it is to be hoped that it will not be long before it is paying dividends. Three or four reefs are known to exist in the area, one being the prospectors’, a likely looking lode, and another is six feet through, showing colours of the precious metal. All are believed to contain gold.\textsuperscript{172}

Once John had purchased ‘mining requisites’ in early December, work was about to start, with a mining reporter anticipating good returns:

The northern continuation of the prospectors’ lode runs right through this claim, and outcrops for a distance of 50 feet down the spur, and gold has been found at every point where the reef has been treated. There is also a large body of stone outcropping a little further down the gully, from which excellent prospects have been obtained. On the whole, I think this claim has a bright future before it, and this opinion is also held by many mining speculators here, who have within the past few days been purchasing interests in the property at prices ranging from £25 to £45.\textsuperscript{173}

In mid-December, ‘some excitement was caused on it becoming known that rich specimens had been obtained’ from the drive 30 feet below the Prospectors’ workings, and on the same leader, from three to six inches thick, of ‘exceedingly likely appearance. This find is likely to have a

\textsuperscript{169} Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4; Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Miner’s Right no. 418, issued 25 November 1880, Miners’ Rights Butt Book 1880, BBAV 11533/1c, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{170} Thames Advertiser, 3 December 1880, p. 3, 6 December 1880, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{171} Own Reporter, ‘Te Aroha Goldfield’, Thames Advertiser, 8 December 1880, p. 3; Auckland Weekly News, 11 December 1880, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{172} Own Reporter, ‘Te Aroha Goldfield’, Thames Advertiser, 3 December 1880, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{173} Own Reporter, ‘Te Aroha Goldfield’, Thames Advertiser, 8 December 1880, p. 3.
hardening effect on Bonanza stock’.\textsuperscript{174} Indeed shares were sold for up to £35 each.\textsuperscript{175} A reporter gave details of the find and of the work done under John’s direction:

Some excitement was created here through the breaking out of several pounds of excellent specimen stone from the leader in the Bonanza, the claim adjoining the Prospectors’. The spot where it was obtained is situated on the edge of the spur leading to the gully, at the foot of which is situated the Hot Springs, and is distant about 20 feet from the boundary of the Prospectors’, and 35 feet lower down than the upper workings of that claim. Work was started on the leader towards the end of last week, but nothing extraordinary was met with until yesterday afternoon, when the manager ... in examining the leader, broke off two or three pieces of quartz which showed first-class gold. More was soon obtained, and the manager has probably on hand 10 pounds of specimens, 3 or 4 pounds of picked stuff, and 4cwt of general dirt. The picked and specimen stone has been lodged for safe keeping in the post-office, but before it was locked up it was examined by nearly every one in the township, and those who were competent to judge pronounced it equal to any obtained from the Prospectors’. Several of the pieces had small flakes of gold adhering to them, and in all of them the precious metal was running through the stone. The leader is from four to six inches in thickness, and should it prove auriferous the whole 20 feet the company will soon be in a position to declare a dividend. The manager has two men working on it. A good barrow road has been made from the face to the blacksmith’s shop on the Prospectors’ claim, and the general dirt is being stacked near that building. Next week a start will be made to operate on the big lode on the opposite side of the gully. This reef has a splendid appearance, being composed of good quartz, with excellent minerals running through it, and is three feet thick. Before the opening of the field gold was seen in two or three pieces of quartz broken from it, and the shareholders anticipate that the stuff will crush well. It is also not unlikely that work will be started on a lode in the creek level, with a view to testing its value.\textsuperscript{176}

Late in January, John informed a local correspondent ‘that, during his long and varied experience as a quartz miner, he had never encountered a more promising lode than that of the Bonanza, and that it would be no

\textsuperscript{174} Thames Star, 15 December 1880, p. 2.
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surprise to him to meet with a rich shot of gold at any moment'.\(^{177}\) Despite these words, he did not have sufficient confidence in its worth to invest in the company formed a month previously to work it.\(^{178}\) By late January, another correspondent reported that

> both the upper and lower drives are in full swing on the big reef - the top working prospecting the foot-wall side of the lode, and the lower drive, the hanging-wall side. I like the indications in the upper drive best, there being a seam of almost solid mineral, apparently a mixture of antimony and silver. The vein is almost a couple of inches thick. The indication would be looked upon in many places as the precursion of a patch of gold. I should think the lode is about six feet thick, and from the precipitous nature of the country there will be hundreds of feet of backs.\(^{179}\)

Shortly afterwards, the directors ordered work to cease for a reason not known at Te Aroha; it was rumoured to be because the shareholders’ meeting in Auckland had lapsed for a want of a quorum. This was considered ‘most unsatisfactory’, as there were encouraging prospects and ‘ample funds’ in hand, and work was expected to restart ‘almost immediately’.\(^{180}\) This was not to be.

After mining near Te Aroha revived after the Waiorongomai discoveries, this ground was pegged out again.\(^{181}\) At the beginning of July 1882, shareholders of ‘the old Bonanza’ accepted John’s report on how best to work this ground, asked him to take charge of the mine, and authorized him ‘to put on two shifts of two men each to start immediately on No. 1 reef, to cut through the reef and work on the hanging-wall side, as recommended in his report’.\(^{182}\) He worked it in conjunction with the old Prospectors.\(^{183}\) Within days he exhibited ‘a parcel of very excellent gold-bearing stone’ in a store and a hotel, but three weeks later he resigned to manage a Waiorongomai mine.\(^{184}\) This ground failed to produce the anticipated gold.

---
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At the end of November 1880, he was an owner of the Comstock.185 Early in January 1881 he was elected to the provisional directorate of the Te Aroha Quartz Crushing Company and to the committee to draw up specifications for a battery and call tenders.186 His application, presumably on behalf of this committee, for a machine site was accepted on the condition that £15 was deposited within five days; that not being done, it was struck out.187 When the company was floated, in April, he held 25 shares.188 His other investments were in the Aroha and the Te Aroha No. 1 South companies.189

**WAIORONGOMAI MINING**

In December 1881, John purchased a half share, being a one-thirtieth interest, in the Young Colonial for £48 15s; when it was transferred to the Colonist Company in late July 1882 he was allotted 500 shares.190 In August 1885 he still held 417, but they were liable for forfeiture for non-payment of a call.191

In August 1882, he applied for a residence site on the Three Fools ground on behalf of the Army Company, of which he was the manager.192 Work had started with, it was believed, ‘good prospects’.193 In July, the drive was ‘now in a much better country’, with ‘good progress’ being made.194 Three months later it was again ‘in a better class of country’.195
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the following October he was replaced as manager by William, who informed the directors that two men had driven 100 feet on No. 3 reef, which was ‘two feet thick in the face, from which we can get gold with the mortar, and to-day I found a nice block of gold in a large piece of blue quartz’. The ground had become softer for driving. ‘The other two men and myself’ were working upon a reef, which proved to be No. 3, and was four feet thick where cut; ‘I can get nice prospect in the mortar’.\footnote{Auckland Weekly News, 20 October 1883, p. 9; Waikato Times, 23 October 1883, p. 2.} There was no visible gold.\footnote{Waikato Times, 23 October 1883, p. 2.} Two months later, a mining reporter inspected the workings:

A reef four feet wide, lying in good country, and supposed to be a branch of the New Find reef, has been traced for a length of 700 feet by the manager. Its strike is about north and south, with a dip to the westward. It has been cut into at a number of places in the length mentioned, and has been found to carry a large quantity of mineral similar to that found in the New Find mine. A little gold has been seen in the stone, which, however, prospects well all along. A piece was pounded up in my presence, and on washing it in the dish, a nice prospect of coarse gold was visible. Finding that the reef at one end of the claim was dipping rapidly towards the boundary, the manager recently took up a fresh lease, to catch the underlie, naming it the Piako. A crosscut to give 30 feet of backs is in progress, and should touch the reef in a day or two. At the time of my visit the manager had just finished making a pack track to enable him to take a trial parcel of 10 tons to the tramway, en route for treatment at the mill.\footnote{Special Reporter, ‘A Trip to Te Aroha Goldfield’, Thames Star, 14 December 1883, p. 2.}

Only prospecting was being done; no payable gold had been found, but some lodes contained a high percentage of silver.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 11 December 1883, p. 3; Te Aroha News, 15 December 1883, p. 2.} By the following February several prospecting drives had been put in, and a few tons taken from the cap of the reef would be tested. Taking this quartz down to the tramway involved ‘some heavy labour’, for it had to be packed out on the men’s backs, ‘the ground being so steep and rugged as to be impassable for horses’.\footnote{Te Aroha News, 23 February 1884, p. 2.} This trial parcel gave 7dwt to the ton, but combined with treated tailings the return was half an ounce,\footnote{Te Aroha News, 29 March 1884, p. 2.} which, although better, was not payable, and work ceased.
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In September 1882, John was appointed manager of the Alphabet Company, owned by the Battery Company, which owned claims A to H and Moa I and 2. During the first 12 months, he focussed prospecting on the latter two plus D and F, and ‘certainly displayed a considerable amount of tact and mining experience in the able manner in which he has opened up the ground, considering the small amount of money that has been spent’. At the time he was appointed, ‘some good gold-bearing stone’ was obtained in driving on the Moa reef, ‘leaving no doubt as to its auriferous character’. By the end of October 1882, there was about 40 or 50 feet to drive in the Moa low level before it was below where good gold had been found on the surface.

In early June 1883, ‘the drive on the reef, from which the good prospect was got from silver ore’, was ‘still being pushed ahead, and the quartz presents the same highly mineralized character’; a second sample was being sent to Auckland for testing. He was ‘striking a winze on the reef opened near the Werahiko boundary’, and a winze was being sunk to meet this. Thirty tons of payable ore had been stacked, and ‘the quartz from the mineral reef near the tramway still presents the same indications of silver ore’. By then he had driven 70 feet on the Moa No. 1 reef and the winze to connect the surface with the intermediate level was down 60 feet. He had driven 100 feet on No. 3 reef in Moa No. 2, this tunnel being only about 20 feet from the tramway, and another tunnel was also being driven. Good assay values had been obtained, and it was hoped to mine low-grade ore profitably.

In early July, ‘some very fine specimens’ were found in a leader in the F, which was being opened up. ‘The prospects improve, and the vein is getting stronger, and is likely to junction with another gold-bearing leader’. When 35lb was crushed, the return, expected to be 2oz, was 3oz 4 1/2dwt, possibly worth £3 3s a ton. In September, the reef had been driven on 80 feet, gold being seen the whole distance, and a tunnel at the
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The creek level was in 20 feet. The following month, 6oz were obtained from a ton ‘taken promiscuously from the paddock’, the latter assaying as worth £3 9s 1d per ounce. By early November, John had driven a crosscut 50 feet from the creek level, and had cut the reef and driven on it both east and west for 50 feet, gold being ‘seen the whole distance’. As the rise had connected with No. 1 level, 35 feet above, the ground was ready for ‘stoping out. We have no doubt the quartz from the block will give a good account of itself on being crushed’.

During November, a winze being sunk on the Moa No. 3 reef was down 20 feet, and the reef was two feet thick, ‘the country being all that could be desired. Prospecting other portions of the ground has been vigorously carried on, with very encouraging results’. In early December, 2oz of amalgam from the Moa No. 1 returned 18dwt of retorted gold. A sledge track was being constructed to get ten tons to the battery. A visiting mining reporter gave full details of the workings and what had been discovered by mid-December, when only three claims were being worked, ‘the two Moas and the F claims’:

In the Moa No. 1 claim two reefs have been worked; No. 1 is a large lode, and No. 2 strikes through it. No. 2 reef has been driven on 50ft, and a winze sunk on it 60ft. It averages about 4ft in width, showing a little gold all through. A trial of 10 tons has been taken from the junction of the two reefs, and another of 5 tons from No. 2 lode. A sleigh track 12 chains long has been made, and these two trials will shortly be forwarded to the mill. Moa No. 2: A level in this claim has been put in just above the tramway. No. 3 reef, which varies from 2 to 3 feet wide, has been driven on 140ft, showing gold and galena (or silver ore) all the way. A piece of stone from this reef was, it will be remembered, tested some months ago, and yielded at the rate of 1400oz silver and several ounces of gold per ton. The junction of a north and south reef was met with at the end of this drive, and it was driven on a few feet, gold and galena being found in the stone. A winze was sunk 20 feet on No. 3 reef, the gold and silver continuing rich downwards, but the water stopped further work, and a low level,
to give 100 feet of backs, is now being entered. D claim: A little gold has been obtained in a leader 1 foot thick, and a large reef has also been discovered, but no gold was seen in it. F claim: A surface level has been driven 90 feet on a leader a foot wide, in which coarse gold has been seen, and a trial ton from which gave 6oz gold. A lower level has been put in giving 40 feet of backs, and a winze sunk to it from the surface level. The leader has been driven on 120 feet here, keeping its size of a foot thick, and showing gold nearly all the way.  

As the only mining was taking place in the F claim, the company was told to man the ground fully. In that month, 15oz of amalgam from this claim was tested at the battery for a return of 6oz retorted gold.

In March 1884, a trial of ‘a small lot of stuff’ from the F claim proved ‘that the richest stone is to be found in the smaller veins’, for over five ounces per ton from two tons taken from a narrow leader. The following month, the donkey engine formerly used for hauling rails up the inclines was being ‘shifted along the tramway past the Inverness Hopper’ to be used to haul quartz up from the F claim paddock. ‘A wire will be stretched from the mine to the tram, and along this the stuff will be conveyed by means of a hauling line in boxes containing 6 or 7cwt’. As the claim was 300 feet below the tramway, a ten-chain wire was needed. By early May, seven or eight tons were being hauled up to the hopper. As this ore was estimated to yield 70oz from 49 trucks, a Thames newspaper wanted to know the return:

The owners maintain a mysterious reticence as to the result. Applications for information on the subject are met by the statement that the claim is in private hands, and its affairs are therefore not interesting to the public, and nothing more definite than a hint that the return is highly profitable and the gold of particularly good value divulged…. If the crushing has resulted as handsomely as is represented, no possible harm can arise from the publication of the fact, as intelligence likely to benefit the
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district, whereas an unwholesome mystery is always undesirable and likely to kindle suspicion.\textsuperscript{223}

The local newspaper commented that, ‘although much unnecessary reticence’ was displayed, that the donkey engine was being repaired and operations were ‘being resumed and preparations being made for a lengthened period of work with the wire tramway’ proved that the return ‘must have been satisfactory’. However, the trial crushing of Moa ore ‘was not considered satisfactory’.\textsuperscript{224} Neither was the F crushing, for in August this claim was offered by sale, and ten months later it was surrendered.\textsuperscript{225} In May 1885, one ton from the Moa No. 1 was sent to Sydney for testing by a special process.\textsuperscript{226} The result was not reported, but because the company abandoned the ground, which was pegged out by silver prospectors in August,\textsuperscript{227} indicates a poor return.

In September 1883, \textbf{John} held 200 shares in the New Welcome Company.\textsuperscript{228} One of the three owners of the Chance, with a third of the shares, his interest was transferred to the Chance Company in August 1883, five months after the claim was registered; he was allotted 2,500 of the 20,000 shares.\textsuperscript{229} \textbf{Henry} had 300 shares, and was the manager.\textsuperscript{230} In September, three men were prospecting, and in the following month the two prospectors found a ‘good-looking reef’.\textsuperscript{231} In mid-October, he said that he had driven 15 feet on the Inverness reef. ‘I am getting gold out of the reef by crushing, but have not seen it in the stone yet; but the reef and country continues favourable for gold’.\textsuperscript{232} Two months later, he was ‘driving on a reef, the quartz from which, though it shows no gold, prospects well on being tested in the mortar. The drive is some 20 or 30 feet above the
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tramway’.233 The last report of any mining, in February 1884, was of a drive heading for the Inverness reef being in 75 feet and of a winze having been sunk in the upper level.234 The ground was forfeited in March 1885.235

For the first months of 1884, work in the Premier was erratic, with a shortage of skilled labour, uncertainty about how to develop it, and a contract to extend the upper level to the main reef being abandoned.236 The non-fulfillment of the high hopes once entertained regarding this mine was the greatest blow the field has yet received’, the Te Aroha News commented.237 The directors had to admit that results had ‘not been attended with any approach to that success which was so generally anticipated’.238 After some months of deliberation, work resumed in August under John’s management.239 The local newspaper referred to his ‘long experience and skill’, which was to be utilized in prospecting on the eastern side of ‘the big buck reef’, previously almost untried.240 The first output, in late October, of 20 truckloads from the upper level of No. 2 reef, ‘yielded rather poorly’.241 In early November, a good find was made in ‘the new workings near the Buck reef’, with the ‘best stone ever’ being extracted.242 Six truckloads produced 29oz of retorted gold, resulting in extra miners being employed ‘and operations vigorously proceeded with’.243 This news was soon replaced by less encouraging results, for during January ‘fair crushing stuff’ was being extracted from the intermediate level. Gold was ‘seen pretty freely in about a foot of the lode, but the remainder is too poor to be worth saving’.244 In the year to the end of March 1885 145oz 13dwt
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retorted gold was obtained from 144 tons and ‘a parcel of tailings’ produced
76 oz 6 dwt retorted gold. ‘Operations have been confined to prospecting near
the surface and towards the Buck Reef’.245

In late July, John received instructions to cease work ‘for the
present’.246 When work resumed late in the year, under new management,
the results remained unpromising.247

In 1884, John signed the petition seeking the leasing of the
tramway.248 Nearly two years later, he tendered to manage it, for £3 10s a
week, but his tender was declined.249 In July 1885, he was warned that his
100 shares in the Canadian Company would be forfeited if a call remained
unpaid.250 In November 1885, he was charged, along with Thomas Gavin,251
with collusion and non-working of the Holdfast, but this plaint was
withdrawn in December, when he, Gavin, and the two plaintiffs were
registered as owners; he received 5 1/2 of the 15 shares.252 In April 1886, he
was registered as the sole owner of the Union Jack, and immediately, and
successfully, applied for protection. He relinquished it in the following
February.253

In April 1887 he was ‘very favourably impressed’ with the Waitoa
find,254 which proved to be a blatant fraud.255 In June 1887, when
successfully applying for a mine manager’s certificate, he informed the
Minister that he had managed several Waiorongomai mines ‘but during the
last 12 months mining as been very dull in this district, so that I have been
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prospering on my own account’. Later that month, Goldsworthy and party’s tribute produced 1oz 14dwt from three truckloads.

In February 1888, he prospected the Silver King (originally the Waitoki) with another miner, and commenced mining there a week later with Thomas and two others. John was described as one of the three principal owners. At the beginning of March, the local newspaper considered it ‘likely to prove a good thing for the shareholders, and is already attracting a good deal of attention, and shares have greatly increased in value’. There was a ‘well defined’ reef crossing the claim, varying from six to 16 feet in width.

Wherever the reef has been cut through, the quartz broken out has, on being submitted to assay, shown gold and silver in highly payable quantities. Some of the quartz contains a considerable percentage of carbonate of copper, and it is from this class of stone the best results have been obtained.... The find is undoubtedly a very important one.

Later that month, the ground had been taken over by the Te Aroha Silver and Gold Mining Company and actively prospected for several months; the two brothers must be assumed to have profited from its quick sale.

When Henry Hopper Adams attracted Australian capital in the form of the Te Aroha Silver and Gold Mining Company, John was a member of the committee that organized a banquet in his honour in April 1888. In that month, assisted by eight men, he commenced prospecting the company’s ground, especially the Silver King reef. The following month,
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when his party prospected between Buck Reef and the old Werahiko claim, ‘some fine reefs’ were found and gold was ‘occasionally’ seen, ‘but nothing that deserves special notice’. For at least two more months he supervised the main party of experienced prospectors, finding a new reef in the former Three Fools, adjacent to the old Diamond Gully claims, that was named Goldsworthy’s. In 1884, Thomas Scott, the Waiopter Gold Mining and Quartz Crushing Company’s manager, had ‘obtained an excellent show of gold in the face’ when prospecting what was later known as the Bonanza reef, but ‘was unable to satisfactorily test the quartz’ without a connection with the tramway. During May and June 1886, the company constructed a tramway from the New Find hopper and across Diamond Gully on trestles up to 30 feet high. As the subsequent crushing was unsatisfactory, work ceased. After John found his reef, an extension of the Three Fools one, further up the hillside from the three Bonanza lodes, a contract was let to drive on it for 100 feet and his party continued surface prospecting of it ‘with very encouraging results’. Driving in two shifts a day commenced in December, but valuable ore was not found, either then or later, although miners continued to be optimistic. The tramway across Diamond Gully and into the low level of what became the Bonanza was known in the early twentieth century as ‘tramway to Goldsworthy’.
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Also in December 1888, John was appointed ‘general mine manager’ for the Te Aroha Silver and Gold Mining Company. ‘Well known as a straight-forward man, of considerable experience’, the Te Aroha News believed his appointment would ‘give satisfaction locally’, and the Waikato Times commented that ‘his experience should prove valuable’.278

When mining declined, he sought contracts to make tracks and roads. In 1886, his tenders for a sledge track near Buck Rock and another at Stoney Creek were both declined.279 The following February, another to construct a road from the landing to Waiorongomai was also declined.280 One month later, his tender to clear bush in preparation for constructing a water race from Wairakau Creek was also declined;281 no further attempts to become a contractor were noted.

William took out his first Waiorongomai miner’s right on 4 October 1883, four days before his brothers acquired theirs.282 In June 1884, the Lucky Hit Company recommenced work again under his management after a six-month hiatus since Gribble had managed it. William’s ‘long experience in mining and ability as a manager’ was ‘well known’, according to the local newspaper.283 The following month, his workers were laying down a tramway and ‘making other preparations for getting out a quantity of stuff to crush’.284 The upper drive was cleared out, and a new one was being driven on the lode from the top of the winze to be connected by a winze to the previous workings. The tramway from the low level would end at a ‘convenient spot for stacking quartz near the County line’.285 He managed this mine until it ceased operations because the trial crushing in
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October of ten truckloads produced only 9oz 17dwt, ‘hardly payable’.286 He
signed the petition in wanting the leasing the tramway.287

His three brothers took out miner’s rights on 8 October 1883.288

**Thomas** also signed the petition supporting the leasing of the tramway.289

In June 1885, his party obtained a contract to break out 300 tramway
trucks from the Colonist, at 16s 3d per truck.290 Four months later, it won a
contract to drive 20 feet on the Colonist reef in the Canadian.291 In April
1888, his tender for a 500-foot crosscut in the former Waiorongomai ground
was declined.292

In February 1888, Thomas applied for the Ruby, of seven acres, to be
mined in the name of the Ruby Gold and Silver Mining Company,293 a
company that was never formed. Although there had been an earlier Ruby
claim elsewhere on the field,294 it must be assumed that his was named
after his daughter, Ruby Gertrude, born six months previously.295 In April,
Adams sought surplus ground from him, but lost his suit by not appearing
in court.296 In June and July, Thomas sold five of the 20 shares for £150.297
When the three owners were charged with non-working in April 1889, he
told the warden that ‘there had been a difficulty in getting in calls, but he
had seen some of the shareholders recently, who had promised to pay up’.
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When he asked for the case to be adjourned while he put some men on he was instructed to employ two.\textsuperscript{298} The following month, ten acres were abandoned, the owners retaining three.\textsuperscript{299} At the beginning of July, the mining inspector reported visiting ‘(Goldsworthys) Ruby L.H. no person working’.\textsuperscript{300} In January 1890, it was forfeited for non-working, and the following month he left for Broken Hill in Australia.\textsuperscript{301} After becoming ‘underground boss in one of the principal mines’ there, nearly a year later his family joined him.\textsuperscript{302}

At the end of the same month he acquired his miner’s right for Waiorongomai, \textbf{Henry} sold his house in Tararu Road, along with his ‘superior’ furniture and effects, ‘comprising Horse-hair Couch, Loo and other Tables, Rimu Bedstead, Chairs, Carpets, Ornaments, Kitchen Utensils, etc’.\textsuperscript{303} At Waiorongomai he managed the Hit or Miss.\textsuperscript{304} (It missed.) In 1885, his tender for driving 100 feet in the Lord Stanley was declined, as was one to construct a sledge track at Buck Rock a year later.\textsuperscript{305} In 1888, he unsuccessfully tendered for driving in the Silver King.\textsuperscript{306} Late in the decade he was a shift boss for the Te Aroha Silver and Gold Mining Company.\textsuperscript{307}

\textbf{ACCIDENT RELIEF}

In 1875, \textbf{John} was elected to the committee of the Thames Miners’ Accident Relief Fund.\textsuperscript{308} Eight years later he was elected to the committee of the Te Aroha Miners’ Accident Relief Fund, being re-elected the following
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When it was revived in 1889 as the Waiorongomai Accident Relief Fund, he failed to be elected to its committee, unlike Thomas, who remained a member until leaving for Broken Hill in the following year.310

In 1890, Henry called a meeting to tell it that ‘he had strained his back some time ago while lifting a heavy piece of timber, and that the injury brought on rheumatism and sciatica, and he had eventually to cease working’. After receiving one payment from the fund, the committee had declined a second one unless authorized by a meeting of members ‘or on the certificate of a doctor’; the meeting agreed to pay him again.311

TUI MINING

In October 1885, John seconded a motion at a Te Aroha meeting that a three-man committee find the best line for a track to the new discoveries.312 The following month, he had one of the 15 shares in the Keep It Dark, selling his interest two years later.313

PROPERTY IN THE TE AROHA DISTRICT

In August 1882, John was granted a business site at Waiorongomai.314 The following year, he bought four allotments, two for £11 each and two for £4 5s each.315 He erected a six-roomed cottage in 1884.316 In 1887, he received £100 for transferring one section, which the purchaser promptly resold for £250.317
From 1889 onwards, Henry lived in the bush close to the lower road; Thomas lived in the same area. In February 1891, after Henry had left the district, he forfeited this property because of unpaid rent.

INVolvEMENT IN THE COMMUNITY

The brothers were only minimally involved in the Te Aroha and Waiorongomai communities. In 1883, John was a member of the committee appointed to establish a public library at Te Aroha. In 1889, he signed the petition opposing the formation of a borough. In 1887, Thomas was the last to be elected to the Waiorongomai school committee, with 26 votes. Two years later, William was noted as having attempted, unsuccessfully, to put out a fire in a slaughterhouse near Waiorongomai.

BECOMING MINE MANAGERS AND BATTERY SUPERINTENDENTS

In 1887, after providing testimonials about having managed mines at Thames and Waiorongomai and stating that he had managed these for nearly 20 of his 26 years of mining, John was granted a mine manager’s certificate. In 1895, after explaining that he had been treating ores for over 20 years and had been in charge of the Try Fluke Company’s mines, battery, and cyanide plant at Kuaotunu for the past four years, he was granted a certificate as a battery superintendent.

William, first recommended for a mine manager’s certificate in 1876, in 1892 was granted a provisional warrant and then a second-class service
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As an example of his skills being regarded favourably, in 1901 he reported on the Barrier Reefs Company's property.\(^{327}\)

**Thomas** received a provisional warrant as a mine manager when managing the Tokatea Consuls at Coromandel in the later 1890s.\(^{328}\) He did not upgrade this.

From September 1890 until April 1891, when it closed, **Henry** managed the Prosperity Company’s mine, giving ‘every satisfaction’.\(^{329}\) John certified that he had employed his brother as an underground shift boss in Thames and Te Aroha and had found him ‘capable and trustworthy’.\(^{330}\) After the mining inspector certified that he had had 20 years of experience, he was granted a second-class service certificate.\(^{331}\) In 1905, with three other managers, he petitioned parliament for first class certificates ‘on their giving proof’ to the board of examiners that they had ‘possessed the necessary qualifications’ in 1898. The Goldfields and Mines Committee urged the government to comply with their request.\(^{332}\)

After supervising the mine, battery, and cyanide plant at the Great Mercury at Kuaotunu for nearly two years, Henry, at the end of 1894, successfully applied for a battery superintendent’s certificate. The legal manager described him as being ‘excellent’ and of ‘good repute’.\(^{333}\)

---
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THAMES MINING IN THE 1880s

In January 1880, John unsuccessfully bid for two claims owned by the Imperial Crown Company.\textsuperscript{334} Seven months later, he acquired shares in the Luck’s All Company.\textsuperscript{335} In mid-1882, he sold his 60 shares in the Southern Cross Company, and in December had 30 of the 12,000 shares in the Old Caledonian Company.\textsuperscript{336} During this and the following year he was managing director of the New North Devon Company.\textsuperscript{337}

OHINEMURI MINING IN THE 1880s

In 1882, John’s interests in the Waitekauri Crown Company and the Just-in-Time Company, also at Waitekauri, were registered.\textsuperscript{338} In 1887, with one of his brothers his party had a claim adjacent to the Prospectors’ at Waioimu.\textsuperscript{339}

In October 1887, the Thames Advertiser reported that ‘William Goldsworthy and Richard Newdick,\textsuperscript{340} two experienced prospectors, being old identities of the Thames, have now started prospecting’ at Maratoto.\textsuperscript{341} A journalist present on the opening day of this new field reported that these ‘old veterans’ were working on behalf of an Auckland syndicate. When given a good ‘send off’ by the miners, the journalist’s horse

\[\text{did not appreciate the compliment, and to mark his disapproval of the proceedings, let out his left hind-leg – and caught Billy Goldsworthy a vicious kick, which put that warrior hors de combat – a condition he is not likely to recover from for a day or two. We were all in a great fright in case his leg had been broken by the blow, and we were greatly relieved when we got him into}\]

\textsuperscript{334} Thames Advertiser, 28 January 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{335} New Zealand Gazette, 22 July 1880, p. 1065.
\textsuperscript{336} Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 22 no. 201, box 33 no. 199, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{337} Declaration of F.A. White, n.d. [May 1887], Mines Department, MD 1, 87/607, ANZ-W.
\textsuperscript{338} New Zealand Gazette, 19 January 1882, pp. 91, 93.
\textsuperscript{339} Thames Advertiser, 21 October 1887, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{341} Thames Advertiser, 13 October 1887, p. 2.
the whare and found that there were no bones broken; it was touch-and-go, though, and the kick was a very severe one. We left him being carefully attended by the surgeon, who was rubbing in a lotion of painkiller to keep down the swelling.

This ‘surgeon’ was the Hikutaia publican. Despite still living at Waiorongomai, William acquired ground there early the following year. His two claims, the Pay Rock and the Hillside, were surrendered in May 1889, even though a lode had been found in the former.

OHINEMURI MINING IN THE 1890s

After being a farmer and flaxmiller, William returned to mining at first at Karangahake. In mid-June 1895, the Thames Advertiser reported that he, ‘formerly a well-known mine manager here, has been appointed manager of the United, Talisman, and New Bonanza Companies. There were ten applications for the post’. He would manage the Talisman for eight years. In February 1896, in addition to managing the Ivanhoe he became the Imperial Company’s supervisor. During the boom, he invested in three companies, the Hercules at Karangahake, the Sea View at Waihi, and the Central at Waitekauri, and advised investors about other mines.

---

344 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Applications for Licensed Holdings and Special Claims 1887-1896, folios 27, 28, BACL 14376/1a; Register of Licensed Holdings 1887-1891, folios 87, 89, BACL 14355/1a, ANZ-A; Te Aroha News, 17 March 1888, p. 2; Warden’s Court, Thames Advertiser, 10 February 1888, p. 3, 9 November 1888, p. 2; Auckland Star, 15 November 1898, p. 2.
346 Thames Advertiser, 17 June 1895, p. 2.
349 New Zealand Gazette, 26 September 1895, p. 1544, 3 October 1895, p. 1576, 5 December 1895, p. 1883; James Russell to J.H. Harrison, 13 September 1895, Letterbook no. 60, p. 27, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.
In March 1899, he purchased the Victor Waihou before transferring it, three weeks later, to the London New Zealand Exploration Company.\textsuperscript{350}

He also invested in the Tairua district, in July 1896 applying for the Melrose and the Broken Hills North and in the following month for the Dandy and the Melbourne, but after withdrawing his applications for two of these was granted the Broken Hill North and Melbourne.\textsuperscript{351} The former was surrendered in October 1897.\textsuperscript{352} His Broken Hill Proprietary, granted in September 1896, was sold three months later.\textsuperscript{353}

In May 1899, \textbf{Henry} was appointed supervisor of two Karangahake mines, the Talisman Extended and Royal Mail, owned by the London and New Zealand Exploration Company.\textsuperscript{354}

\section*{COROMANDEL MINING IN THE 1890s}

From 1891 until 1896, \textbf{John} managed the Try Fluke at Kuaotunu for its New Zealand owners.\textsuperscript{355} When an English company bought this property in the latter year, he was re-appointed manager.\textsuperscript{356} It ‘was another successful venture’ under his management, an obituary recorded.\textsuperscript{357} In 1898, he was elected to a committee of residents organizing a petition seeking government assistance to develop the low levels.\textsuperscript{358} Also in that year, he was appointed to manage the Mariposa, and was a member of a syndicate developing the Lucky Maori at Whauwhau, Kuaotunu.\textsuperscript{359}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{350} Paeroa Warden’s Court, Register of Special Claims 1897-1898, folio 124, ZAAP 13294/3a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{352} Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings and Special Claims 1896-1897, folio 68, BACL 14355/4a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{353} Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings and Special Claims 1895-1896, folio 195, BACL 14355/3a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{354} Thames Advertiser, 13 May 1899, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{355} Mine Manager’s Certificate, April 1891, 10 June 1892, H.W.T. Rowe Papers, in private possession; Thames Advertiser, 1 January 1891, p. 2, 2 February 1892, p. 2, 19 July 1895, p. 2; AJHR, 1894, C-3A, p. 15; R.A. Simpson, This is Kuaotunu (Thames, 1979), p. 44.
\textsuperscript{356} Thames Advertiser, 15 September 1896, p. 3; Observer, 19 September 1896, p. 13.
\textsuperscript{357} Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.
\textsuperscript{358} Thames Advertiser, 21 July 1898, p. 4.
\textsuperscript{359} Thames Advertiser, 12 October 1898, p. 4, 15 November 1898, p. 4.
\end{flushright}
December 1899, after ceasing to manage the Mariposa he took charge of the Kauri Freehold Gold Estates Company’s mines at Opitonui while the supervisor was in England.\textsuperscript{360}

John invested in ten Kuaotunu companies during the boom, in one at Kennedy’s Bay, and in another at Port Charles.\textsuperscript{361} He ‘furnished a lengthy report’ on one Kuaotunu property after it was floated, and was a director of four others.\textsuperscript{362} Two claims he acquired were quickly transferred to one of these companies; two others were held for longer, unsuccessfully.\textsuperscript{363} That he was a prominent member of the community was illustrated by his being selected as a member of a committee to interview the Minister of Mines about local needs.\textsuperscript{364} He was elected to the school committee in 1898.\textsuperscript{365}

\textbf{William} invested in two Kuaotunu companies during the boom: the A1 and the Waitaia Consolidated.\textsuperscript{366}

After returning from Broken Hill, in November 1895 \textbf{Thomas} was appointed manager of the Napier.\textsuperscript{367} He was appointed to manage the Tokatea Consuls, behind Coromandel, in early 1897.\textsuperscript{368} When new owners acquired it in 1900, one of them praised ‘the thorough and systematic manner in which the mine has been worked’, which reflected ‘the greatest credit’ on him.\textsuperscript{369}

\textsuperscript{360} \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 23 December 1899, p. 3.


\textsuperscript{362} \textit{Auckland Star}, 2 November 1895, p. 5; Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 78 no. 524, box 80 no. 535, box 96 no. 621, ANZ-A; \textit{List of Companies Registered with the Auckland Chamber of Mines} (Auckland, 1896), p. 3.

\textsuperscript{363} Coromandel Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings 1889-1896, folios 126, 133, 135, ZAAN 14057/1a; Register of Kuaotunu Special Claims 1896-1907, folio 244, ZAAN 14057/1b, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{364} \textit{Auckland Weekly News}, 13 March 1892, p. 22.

\textsuperscript{365} \textit{Coromandel County News}, 2 May 1898, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{366} \textit{New Zealand Gazette}, 3 October 1895, p. 1575, 22 October 1896, p. 1779.

\textsuperscript{367} \textit{Mining Standard}, 22 November 1895, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{368} ‘Inspectors’ Reports on Applications for Warrants to act as Provisional Mine Manager’, no. 132, Mines Department, MD 4, 2/47, ANZ-W.

\textsuperscript{369} \textit{Thames Star}, 20 January 1900, p. 4; \textit{Auckland Weekly News}, 26 January 1900, p. 19.
From March 1893 until November 1897, Henry was in charge of the Great Mercury mine, battery, and cyanide plant at Kuaotunu. In 1896, he owned the Mountain Flower for just over three weeks before transferring it to the company of that name. In 1895 and 1896, he invested in three companies working at Kuaotunu and in one at Port Charles. In 1898, he was the Southern Star Company’s manager, and the following May ceased to be underground boss of the Royal Oak.

THAMES MINING IN THE 1890s

William invested in a Puru company in 1896.

OHINEMURI MINING IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY

As well as managing the Talisman at Karangahake in the early twentieth century, William obtained a half share in the Shotover in February 1900; this ground was surrendered in December the following year. He was elected chairman of the school committee at least twice.

In September 1905, having inspected the Rising Sun at Owharoa ‘and thinking highly of it’, he ‘accepted a seat on the directorate’. He was not the only brother to be impressed with its prospects, and in December the Observer referred to ‘the Messrs Goldsworthy’ as having taken it over.

---
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378 Observer, 9 December 1905, p. 20.
When the battery commenced working in 1916, the Observer outlined the history of the mine. A director had ‘induced’ William,

who had great faith in the mine, to raise sufficient capital to continue prospecting. Mr Tom Goldsworthy then took charge. A prospecting tunnel from the road level was decided upon and energetically pushed forward. An accident in the tunnel incapacitated Tom Goldsworthy, who had put in faithful work, and Harry Goldsworthy took charge temporarily, until Tom Gillon\(^\text{379}\) was appointed.\(^\text{380}\)

Its history was a little more complicated than this, with these three brothers involved at various stages. William, who had ‘retired from active mining’ by 1905 to farm near Waiuku, ‘induced many Waiuku residents to invest’.\(^\text{381}\) In October, Henry was appointed manager, holding this post for about two months.\(^\text{382}\) William was manager in January 1906 and ‘supervising director’ in 1907,\(^\text{383}\) when Thomas, who had settled in Owharoa in January 1906,\(^\text{384}\) was managing it. In 1908, Thomas was allotted 500 of the 120,000 shares in the Rising Sun Company, these 3s shares being paid up to 6d because he was a vendor. By May 1910, he had acquired an extra 420. William was allotted 1,000 shares, paid up by the same amount for the same reason; by May 1910, he had acquired an extra 320.\(^\text{385}\) In November 1911, ‘while directing operations in the replacement of a set of timbers’ in a prospecting drive, Thomas was ‘struck by a fall of stone which broke one of his legs between the ankle and knee’.\(^\text{386}\) Being then aged 63, he spent 143 days in Waihi hospital before his leg was healed; as compensation for his lost income, he was granted £14 17s 11d by the gold

\(^{379}\) See paper on prospectors and investors in the Te Aroha Mining District in the 1930s.

\(^{380}\) Observer, 15 April 1916, p. 17.

\(^{381}\) Observer, 19 July 1929, p. 21.

\(^{382}\) Thames Star, 21 October 1905, p. 4; Thames Star, 8 January 1906, p. 2; Observer, 15 April 1916, p. 17.

\(^{383}\) Thames Star, 22 January 1906, p. 1; Auckland Weekly News, 28 February 1907, p. 25; Observer, 8 June 1907, p. 4.

\(^{384}\) Paeroa Warden’s Court, Register of Miners’ Rights 1906-1912, no. 51837, issued 30 January 1906, ZAAP 13769/1a, ANZ-A.

\(^{385}\) Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 235 no. 1377, ANZ-A.

miners’ relief fund. The following May, another broken leg meant 238 days off work and £24 15s 10d in compensation. In February 1913, a leg injury required 43 days off work, for which he received £4 9s 7d. His incapacitation ended his management, and Henry ‘took charge temporarily’.

An outline of the mine’s history given in the Observer in April 1916, when the battery started working was accompanied by sketches of some of the prominent people in attendance. Notable was William, ‘clothed in overalls, with any old hat’, a ‘cheese-cutter’ described as being ‘a pet’. In his speech, he ‘related how he saved the situation and made the mine what it is’.

In the 1922 reconstruction of the company, Thomas received 500 of the 150,000 shares, the 3s shares being paid up to 1s. His only other involvement in the district was in 1905, when he was appointed to manage the Wentworth, near Whangamata, under Adams’ supervision.

William (or his nephew?) was involved in Tairua mines. In April 1911, he sought protection for his Tairua South, ‘as I have hopes ... to have it floated in Wellington. I came out to the Thames specially to pilot two Wellington speculators over to see this ground as well as some of the older mines’. His hopes were not fulfilled, and in May 1912 he surrendered it. By the following month, he had sold 10,500 shares in the Tairua Mines Company, retaining 1,000.

---

387 Waithi Hospital, Register of Patients 1911-1914, folio 22, ZABW 4935/1b, ANZ-A; Gold Miners’ Relief Fund, Register of Applicants 1912, Public Trust Office, PT 1/193, ANZ-W.
388 Gold Miners’ Relief Fund, Register of Applicants 1912, Public Trust Office, PT 1/193, ANZ-W.
389 Gold Miners’ Relief Fund, Register of Applicants 1913, Public Trust Office, PT 1/193, ANZ-W.
391 Observer, 15 April 1916, p. 17, including sketches.
392 Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 235/1377, ANZ-A.
393 Thames Star, 29 March 1905, p. 2.
394 William Goldsworthy to E.N. Miller, 22 April 1911, Thames Warden’s Court, Thames Applications 1911, 70/11, BACL 14350/62, ANZ-A.
395 Thames Warden’s Court, Thames Applications 1912, 61/12, BACL 14350/64, ANZ-A.
396 Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 382 no. 2134, ANZ-A.
In 1902, **Henry** was mine manager of the Talisman Consolidated. After being underground manager of the Dubbo section of the Talisman, in July that year he was appointed to manage the Maratoto. In early 1906, he was managing the Auckland. In 1910, he became manager of the Crown Royal, at Karangahake.

**THAMES MINING IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY**

In December 1904, **Henry** was appointed manager of the New Eclipse, at Tararu, an appointment he held for ten months. Three years later, he had 1,000 of the 150,000 shares in the New Dart Company. In 1906, **William** was appointed to manage the Thames. Two years later, he was the Scandinavian Company’s manager, 'opening up practically new ground' in Upper Tararu.

**COROMANDEL MINING IN THE TWENTIETH CENTURY**

After managing mines at Kuaotunu until 1899, ‘his career has kept him at Opitonui and Coromandel, as manager for English companies’, **John**’s obituary recorded. He was elected chairman of the Opitonui school committee in 1900. In March 1901, he was appointed supervisor for the Kuaotunu Syndicate, which owned the Mariposa and Kapai-Vermont. Two months later, **William** was recorded as being supervisor; a mistake for his brother, as William had no other involvement in the district? At the end of that year he was photographed
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with some of the workers he managed in the Rangatira at Opitonui. In early 1903, John was appointed as mine manager of the Old Hauraki Company, at Coromandel, with a supervisor above him. When he died in 1907, he was still the manager. In 1904, he was appointed to manage the Royal Oak, again with a supervisor, Adams, in ultimate control. He was elected a director of the Coromandel Big Reefs Company in 1905, selling most of his shares within 14 months.

In July 1904, Thomas was appointed to manage the Royal Oak, but left this post the following March; Adams supervised his work.

FOR AND AGAINST THE THAMES MINERS’ UNION

In May 1901, when the Conciliation Board held a hearing at Coromandel into the union’s claim for increased wages, John gave evidence for the employers:

The conditions of mining were the same now as they were twenty years ago. He knew mining to be more safe now than then. He had received no complaints regarding the rate of pay. He had made allowances for men working in wet places. He was in favour of contracting, it being good for both the industry and also the men. He considered there should be a clause in conditions empowering mine managers to annul contracts. He had not known of that clause being abused in the past. Discipline in mines could not be maintained without it. The forfeiture clause did not prevent men putting in a low price. He considered the increased rate of pay asked by the Union would have an injurious effect on the industry.

In reply to union advocate Michael O’Keeffe, he stated that he knew of contractors making ‘12s 9 3/4d per shift at Opitonui’. He did not consider a specified portion of a mine there as ‘very dangerous’ and had ‘never found

---

409 Photograph, Auckland Weekly News, 12 December 1901, Supplement, p. 11.
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415 See paper on his life.
it necessary to supply men with sulphate of iron spray to combat gelignite fumes’. His miners ‘had never said 8s per day was not sufficient’, and he did not consider those on contract should be paid compensation if it was terminated. ‘A manager had a perfect right to discharge men whether on contract or wages’.416

The proportion of boys, one to every five competent men, asked in the Union scale, was small enough, when it was considered that it was necessary to train boys to keep up the supply of miners. He thought it was fair that men should only receive ordinary terms when they were engaged after hours at necessary work.417

Some ‘interesting evidence’ was noted when he was questioned by O’Keeffe:

Mr O’Keeffe: You said that, if this increase of wages were granted, the poor worker would go to the wall. Have you got any of these men in your mine out of charity? – Well, you know there are all sorts in a mine.
Do you think that contractors work too hard? – No, although it is well known that they work harder than wages men.
Are the demands of the Union not considerably less than this 12s 9d per day? – Yes I suppose so.
Have you paid this 12s 9d to contractors without any objections from your company? – Yes.
Do you think a manager should have power to dismiss a man from a contract because of strong political opinions? – No.418

When the Arbitration Court held a hearing at Coromandel in September that year, he again gave evidence:

Conditions now were as good as in former years. The danger was rather less now as the regulations were enforced, and better appliances were used. During the boom wages were not increased. Men were taken on who had not any previous experience. He considered eight hours exclusive of crib time reasonable for surface men. He had known battery men work 12 hours without injuring their health. Men could keep a family respectably on 8s a day and be able to take a holiday occasionally. Prior to the dispute there were no complaints at Opitonui or Kuaotunu. He

416 *Thames Star*, 23 May 1901, p. 4.
418 *Thames Star*, 24 May 1901, p. 3.
considered six hours long enough for men working in wet shafts. Had let a contract in the Maiden shaft at £8 a foot on condition that six hour shifts were worked, but the men preferred and worked eight hours.

He repeated that, without managers having power to dismiss workers, discipline could not be maintained. It was not always expedient to give reasons for discharging men, although as a rule a reason was given. The contract system had worked satisfactorily at Opitonui. He did not consider a percentage should be retained if the contractor worked well and did not make the job pay.  

In 1898, William was asked for his opinion about batteries working on Sundays:

He said he thought it quite unnecessary to work on Sunday, as, by careful and judicious management, this could be avoided without affecting results.

“In what way?” I asked.

“Well,” says Mr Goldsworthy, “Sunday work deteriorates the labour, the men being incapable of doing the amount of work during the week days, by working on Sundays, whereas if they had a day of rest they would be more competent to carry out the work entrusted to them. If the owners of a plant found they could not crush sufficient ore during six days to cope with the output of ore mined during the same period, and yet by working on Sunday they could do so, it is quite evident that more machinery is required to work during six days, namely, the addition of one-seventh more.”

“But then you know there is a shortage of water during the summer months?”

“Well, then, auxiliary power should be supplied. Of course, it may then be questioned as to the advisability of this course; but when the extra cost of labour on Sunday is taken into consideration, this may perhaps more than compensate for the loss of power and consumption of fuel. So I think the batteries should not work on Sunday.”

“No, I say they should not be allowed to work any more than any other industry, such as sawmills, flourmills, flaxmills, etc, and I think the Government did the right thing for all classes in placing upon their statute-book a law stopping the working of batteries on Sunday. I worked at the Thames for years, and all old Thamesites will bear me out when I say that the batteries there

---
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treated the ore won from the mines during six days a week and paid handsome dividends without Sunday labour. The same happy state of affairs can also exist here in Ohinemuri without the working of the stampers on Sunday, providing, as I said before, judicious management is manifested.”

This view may have reflected his Wesleyan faith rather than any sympathy for workers, whose interests he considered to be less important than the owners’. In 1901, he recommended to one company that, instead of employing men to cut and deliver timber, the work should be done by contracts: ‘by so doing it will be found to be a financial benefit to the company’. Shortly afterwards, he informed the Conciliation Court that ‘there had been much loafing’ by wages men, and agreed with another manager’s view that ‘the same men who worked for wages did twice as much work when contracting’. He also commented that ‘there was a difficulty in getting good miners’. For those required to work on Sundays, time-and-a-half was adequate payment. Wages should not be raised, as requested by the union, because this would increase costs when only three or four companies were paying dividends. Later that year he told the Arbitration Court that ‘if the minimum wage clause in contracts were to be adopted it would be against the interest of miners, and would shut out practical men. All sorts of men would get into mines on contract for the sake of the minimum wage’. ‘He did not want men from the swamps in his mine. He wanted to keep practical miners employed, and practical men always asked for contracts’.

Thomas was not involved with the union, either for or against. Although Henry’s views on union matters were not recorded, it must be assumed that he held very different opinions to his elder brothers. In January 1892, he was elected to its committee with 179 votes; the highest
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scoring candidate had received 243.\textsuperscript{426} In another election in August he did not stand.\textsuperscript{427}

SCHOOLS OF MINES

In 1897, \textbf{Henry} was one of the presidents of the Kuaotunu school.\textsuperscript{428} Four years later, \textbf{William} was elected to the committee of the Karangahake one.\textsuperscript{429}

AUCKLAND CHAMBER OF MINES

This body, established during the mining boom of the mid-1890s, included \textbf{John}, \textbf{William}, and \textbf{Henry} amongst its members.\textsuperscript{430}

FINANCIAL SECURITY

\textbf{John} had a comfortable financial position after the Thames rush. The credit balance of his account in the Bank of New Zealand rose from £50 in April 1868 to £800 on 14 August 1869, when this amount was transferred into a fixed deposit. A month and a half later, his private account had a credit balance of £196 12s.\textsuperscript{431} This rose to £424 13s 8d in November before being closed in March 1870.\textsuperscript{432} In addition to his many investments in mining claims and companies, in 1873 he acquired 50 shares in the Thames Gas Company, which he retained into the twentieth century.\textsuperscript{433} He acquired
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freehold property at Thames that was valued at £100 in 1882.\footnote{A Return of the Freeholders of New Zealand (Wellington, 1884), p. G 29.} In 1879, he sold 50 acres at Te Awamutu which he had owned with another Thames man.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 1 January 1879, p. 2.} During the 1880s he received interest payments from farmers who had mortgaged their land to him.\footnote{Jackson and Russell to John Goldsworthy, 14 December 1883, 16 February 1885, 19 March 1886, 6 April 1887, 26 April 1887, Letterbook 1883-1884, p. 714, Letterbook 1884-1885, p. 745, Letterbook 1885-1886, p. 727, Letterbook 1887, pp. 45, 138, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Library.} In 1896, he had £892 on fixed deposit for 12 months in the Ohinemuri branch of the Bank of New Zealand.\footnote{Bank of New Zealand, Balance Books, Half-Yearly Balance as at 30 September 1896, Fixed Deposits for 12 Months at 3 1/2%, Bank of New Zealand Archives, Wellington.} The following year, he placed £927 17s 8d on fixed deposit.\footnote{Bank of New Zealand, Balance Books, Half-Yearly Balance as at 30 September 1897, Fixed Deposits, Bank of New Zealand Archives, Wellington.} His will left his lands and property to his widow, who also received four times the amount of the residual estate than their children; they were not seriously deprived, as his estate was worth £8,986 9s 1d.\footnote{Probate of John Goldsworthy, BBAE 1569/6262; Testamentary Register 1906-1908, folio 93, BBCB 4208/6, ANZ-A.}

William acquired 50 shares in the Thames Gas Company in 1873, selling them all within seven years.\footnote{Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 15 no. 83, ANZ-A.} In 1879, when he sold his five-roomed cottage and allotment in Thames, a newspaper noted that not only did it have gas and water laid on but was ‘one of the most substantially-built houses on the Thames, the materials being all heart of kauri’; the asking price was £200.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 18 October 1879, p. 2.} In 1882, his 240 acres of freehold farmland was valued at £1,320.\footnote{A Return of the Freeholders of New Zealand ... 1882 (Wellington, 1884), p. G 29.} From the 1870s until at least the 1890s, his financial position was so strong that he lent money on mortgages to land speculators.\footnote{Jackson and Russell to William Goldsworthy, 29 May 1870, Letterbook no. 6, p. 280; 8 December 1873, 11 December 1873, Letterbook no. 10, pp. 523, 538; 27 August 1880, Letterbook no. 20, p. 437; 14 December 1882, Letterbook no. 25, p. 664; 17 October 1883, Letterbook no. 27, p. 485; 19 March 1890, Letterbook no. 42, p. 219; 2 December 1891,
**Thomas** left an estate valued in 1922 at under £2,500.\textsuperscript{446} **Henry**'s will, made four years before his death, left his Paeroa house to his widow and gave all his children equal shares in the remainder of his estate, which was valued at £1,245 12s 7d.\textsuperscript{447}

**SPORT, MAINLY ROWING**

In November 1871, **William** was on the ‘working committee’ for the Thames regatta.\textsuperscript{448} Two months later, **Henry** was a member of the Thames Naval Brigade Boating Club crew that participated in the Auckland regatta.\textsuperscript{449} One of them was secretary of this club.\textsuperscript{450} **John** and **William** were members of the committee to organize the Thames regatta in late 1872, William chairing a meeting of the club in December.\textsuperscript{451} They were both members of the regatta organizing committee in the following year.\textsuperscript{452} In this regatta, **Henry** and **Thomas** defeated the Newdick brothers, Richard and Alfred, in a pair-oared boat race.\textsuperscript{453} The Newdicks were also prominent miners.\textsuperscript{454} **William** also won a pair-oared race with one of his

---


\textsuperscript{444} James Russell to William Goldsworthy, 4 September 1895, Letterbook no. 59; 19 October 1895, Letterbook no. 60, p. 319, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.

\textsuperscript{445} Testamentary Register 1919, folio 379, BBCB 4208/15, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{446} Probate of Thomas Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1569/16079, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{447} Probate of Henry Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1569/12360; Testamentary Register 1917-1918, folio 597, BBCB 5208/11, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{448} *New Zealand Herald*, 15 November 1871, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{449} *Auckland Weekly News*, 27 January 1872, p. 4.

\textsuperscript{450} *Auckland Weekly News*, 24 February 1872, p. 4.

\textsuperscript{451} *Thames Advertiser*, 13 November 1872, p. 3, 4 December 1872, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{452} *Thames Advertiser*, 5 December 1873, p. 2, 6 December 1873, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{453} *Thames Advertiser*, 30 December 1873, p. 2, 31 December 1873, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{454} For both brothers, wee F.W. W[eston], ‘Old Thames Memories’, *New Zealand Herald*, 14 February 1923, p. 7. For Alfred, see *Te Aroha News*, 18 January 1929, p. 5; *Thames Star*, 5 May 1930, p. 5; *New Zealand* Herald, 5 May 1930, p. 10; Observer, 8 May 1930, p. 4.
brothers, and **Henry** won the amateur scullers’ race and was stroke in the Thames Naval Brigade’s four-oared gig.\(^{455}\)

In the Auckland regatta in January 1874 the four-oared gig race was won by the Thames team, with **Henry** as stroke and **John** one of the other rowers.\(^{456}\) In the subsequent regatta **Henry** was stroke once more, carrying a weight of 10 stone 13 pounds; **Thomas**, at 11 stone 5 pounds, was a member of the Thames Naval Brigade crew.\(^{457}\)

In January 1877, the Thames champion crew, Henry and Thomas, Thomas Gavin, and Alfred Newdick, competed in whaleboat racing in the Nelson and Thames regattas.\(^{458}\) The following year, **John** was elected to the committee of management of a new rowing club.\(^{459}\)

**Henry** rowed in the Auckland regatta in January 1880.\(^{460}\) In 1885, when he was a member of the Hauraki crew of the Thames Rowing Club, the *Thames Advertiser* wrote that he had ‘the best racing record in the colony’, having ‘started in 29 races, either singly or as a member of crews, and has only been beaten four times’.\(^{461}\) In the Te Aroha regatta of November 1886, Henry’s team, which included **Thomas**, was unsuccessful in the four-oared gig race, but **Henry** won the three-quarter mile sculling race.\(^{462}\)

**William** played for Waiorongomai in a rugby match against Quartzville in 1889, while **Thomas** played for the opposing team.\(^{463}\) Thomas’ continued interest in this game was reflected in his being one (of 32) vice-presidents of the Wanderers’ Football Club at Coromandel in 1898.\(^{464}\)

**VOLUNTEERS**

\(^{455}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 30 December 1873, p. 3.

\(^{456}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 30 January 1874, p. 3.

\(^{457}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 29 January 1895, p. 3.

\(^{458}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 5 January 1877, p. 3.

\(^{459}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 28 February 1878, p. 3.

\(^{460}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 19 January 1880, p. 2.

\(^{461}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 30 January 1885, p. 2.

\(^{462}\) *Te Aroha News*, 13 November 1886, p. 2.

\(^{463}\) *Te Aroha News*, 28 August 1889, p. 2.

\(^{464}\) *Coromandel County News*, 13 April 1898, p. 3.
In 1875, William was elected an honorary member of the Thames Naval Brigade. He enrolled in the Thames Scottish Rifles in June 1881. Later that year, Thomas, a seaman in the Thames Naval Brigade, with other Volunteers went to Parihaka in Taranaki to arrest Te Whiti and his followers. He served in this corps for about 17 years, receiving land scrip as a reward, and in 1913 applied for long service and good conduct medals, unsuccessfully because these were only available to members of the permanent forces.

RELIGION

All the brothers were Wesleyans, but did not play leading roles in the church. In January 1887, John declined an invitation to join the committee of the Waiorongomai Congregation. In March that year, the wives of John, Thomas, and Henry helped supervise the food provided for the anniversary celebration of the Waiorongomai Sunday School. In 1889, after investigating the cost of erecting a Wesleyan church there, John was appointed to the committee investigating how to build it.

William assisted with the Waiorongomai Sunday School. An obituary noted that he was ‘an adherent of the Methodist Church’, and in his will he left £25 to both its home and foreign missions. He was also a Freemason. Thomas, a member of the Waiorongomai Congregation.

---

465 *Thames Advertiser*, 1 October 1875, p. 2.
466 Thames Scottish Rifles No. 1 Coy, Nominal Roll, as at February 1882, Army Department, ARM 41, 1882/1ac, ANZ-W.
467 *Thames Advertiser*, 31 October 1881, p. 3.
468 Maori War Index, Army Department, AD 32, MW 786, ANZ-W.
469 Waiorongomai Congregation, Minutes of Meetings 1886-1889, Meetings of 12 January 1887, 24 January 1887, Methodist Archives, Auckland.
470 *Te Aroha News*, 19 March 1887, p. 2.
471 *Te Aroha News*, 22 May 1889, p. 2.
472 Waiorongomai Sunday School, Admission Book 1884-1889, entry for 7 September 1884, Methodist Archives, Auckland.
473 *Observer*, 26 July 1919, p. 4; Probate of William Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1569/13435, ANZ-A.
474 *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*, vol. 2, p. 494.
475 Waiorongomai Congregation, Minutes of Meetings 1886-1889, Meeting of 12 January 1887, Methodist Archives, Auckland.
was not recorded as playing an active role. **Henry** was married in the Wesleyan church in Thames, his children were baptized in this faith, and his namesake was enrolled the Wesleyan Sunday School at Te Aroha.\(^{476}\) In 1911, he told a hospital that he was a member of the Church of England, but his funeral, held seven years later, was a Methodist one.\(^{477}\)

**POLITICS**

In 1875, **John** supported the election of the mayor of Thames to the Provincial Council, and the following year backed a miner standing for parliament.\(^{478}\) In 1902, he chaired an Opitonui meeting held by the local Liberal Member of Parliament.\(^{479}\)

An obituary stated that **William** ‘took an interest in all activities for the social advance of the people’.\(^{480}\) The only time a political preference was indicated was in 1877, when he was one of the ‘Sons of Old Colonists’ who signed a welcome to Sir George Grey when the latter visited Thames.\(^{481}\)

**FARMING**

As an illustration of **John** having animals to provide food for his family, in 1875 he was fined 1s for allowing a goat to wander in a Thames street.\(^{482}\) In the following decade, with others he leased Lot 22 of the Thames High School Endowment, 64 acres, with an annual rental of £15 10s.\(^{483}\) In 1885, when mining was declining, he recorded his occupation as being a farmer.\(^{484}\) In that year, when he complained to the council ‘that since the Wairakau run had been fenced in he had been closed in entirely’

\(^{476}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 13 March 1874, p. 2; Wesleyan Church, Upper Thames Circuit, Baptismal Register 1881-1929, nos. 70, 98; Waiorongomai Sunday School, Admission Book 1884-1889, before 3 January 1886, Methodist Archives, Auckland.

\(^{477}\) Waihi Hospital, Register of Patients 1911-1914, folio 19, ZABW 4935/1b, ANZ-A; Death Certificate of Henry Goldsworthy, 14 August 1918, 1918/5273, BDM.

\(^{478}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 1 April 1875, p. 3, 3 January 1876, p. 2.

\(^{479}\) *Observer*, 26 July 1919, p. 4.

\(^{480}\) *Thames Star*, 31 March 1902, p. 4.

\(^{481}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 31 December 1877, p. 3.

\(^{482}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 29 May 1875, p. 3.

\(^{483}\) *Te Aroha News*, 20 March 1889, p. 7.

\(^{484}\) Birth Certificate of Eva May Goldsworthy, 10 July 1885, 1885/10211, BDM.
and ‘could only get out by going through’ a neighbour’s property, the council agreed to construct a culvert to provide access.485 A fire burnt the bush in the hills near his property in 1889, but did not affect his farm.486 In the early twentieth century he was failing to pay the rent on his 32 acres.487

According to his entry in the *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*, after mining at Waitekauri in the mid-1870s William ‘decided to retire from mining pursuits, and so settled upon his estate at Mauku, in the Waikato district’.488 Mauku was not in fact in the Waikato district, being near Waiuku in the Manukau County, where he owned 240 acres of freehold land.489 His farm was called ‘Wellwood’.490 Even when he was mining his wife remained on the farm, two of his children being born there.491 The family lived on the farm for 30 years before William retired from farming and moved to Pukekohe.492 He had some local prominence, being elected chairman of the Mauku Roads Board in 1894.493

During the flax boom of 1890,494 he invested in a mill, and for two years went extensively into this branch of trade, but without any great success. The mining spirit being still strong within him, he could not content himself with a quiet, retired life, and therefore decided to again enter the fields and seek for the precious metal. Previously to doing so, however, he visited Australia, going through the principal mines.

Upon his return, he became manager of the Talisman, his newly married son James Benjamin taking over the farm.495

486 *Te Aroha News*, 26 January 1889, p. 2.
488 *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*, vol. 2, p. 494.
490 For example, Jackson and Russell to William Goldsworthy, 14 December 1882, Letterbook no. 25, p. 664, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.
492 *New Zealand Herald*, 17 May 1927, p. 10.
494 *Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette*, 22 March 1890, p. 83.
Henry, who owned cows to provide milk for his family, was charged in 1891 with having a cow at large in Thames. In 1911, when living at Paeroa, he gave his occupation as farmer; he was probably assisting one of his sons on the latter's land. Although described as a miner on his death certificate, his probate called him a farmer.

FAMILY LIFE

In February 1863, when aged 22 and a boatman, John was married in Auckland to Marion Beath Garrick, a dressmaker aged 17, who had been born in Sydney. An obituary recorded that she was ‘the first white woman resident of Eureka Hill’ at Thames. In all, they had five sons and seven daughters; that all outlived them was sufficiently unusual to be considered worth recording. Their first child, John, was born in August 1864. Marion was born in 1866. William was born in 1868, and Annie Maria in 1871, but for some reason her birth was not recorded. Elizabeth Alice was
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495 *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*, vol. 2, p. 494; Marriage Certificate of James Benjamin Goldsworthy, 1897/2693, BDM; *Auckland Weekly News*, 1 January 1898, p. 18.
496 Thames Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1889-1893, 182/1891, BACL 13736/2a, ANZ-A; Police Court, *Thames Advertiser*, 2 June 1891, p. 2.
497 Waihi Hospital, Register of Patients 1911-1914, folio 19, ZABW 4935/1b, ANZ-A; Death Certificate of Francis Edward Goldsworthy, 28 November 1913, 1913/8244, BDM.
498 Death Certificate of Henry Goldsworthy, 14 August 1918, 1918/5273, BDM; Probate of Henry Goldsworthy, Probates, BBAE 1569/12360, ANZ-A.
499 Notices of Intentions to Marry 1863, p. 51, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/8, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 25 February 1863, 1863/3829; Death Certificate of Marion Goldsworthy, 11 November 1918, 1918/8843, BDM.
500 *New Zealand Herald*, 14 November 1918, p. 6.
501 Death Certificate of Marion Goldsworthy, 11 November 1918, 1918/8843, BDM; *New Zealand Herald*, 14 November 1918, p. 6.
502 Birth Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 1864/24747, BDM; ‘Addresses Presented to Sir George Grey on his 74th Birthday, 14 April 1886, by European and Maori Residents of Auckland Province’, p. 191, Grey New Zealand papers, MS 275, Auckland Public Library.
503 Birth Certificate of Marion Goldsworthy, 1866/26765, BDM.
504 Birth Certificate of William Goldsworthy, 3 November 1868, 1868/15818; Marriage Certificate of Annie Maria Goldsworthy, 15 May 1911, 1911/2510, BDM [her marriage certificate was provided by a descendant, Suzanne Gurnell, to Philip Hart, 27 April 2017, email]; Death Notice, *New Zealand Herald*, 14 October 1924, p. 1.
born in 1873, Clara Garrick in December 1875, Lucy Ethel in March 1878, Henry Duncan in August 1880, and Sylvia May in January 1883, all at Thames.505 Two children were born at Waiorongomai: Eva May, in July 1885, and James Frederick, in March 1889.506 The birth of another son was not registered,507 for unknown reasons, and he has not been traced.

His eldest son, known as John Jr., the father of yet another John,508 was a storekeeper and then a butcher at Quartzville and Waiorongomai before becoming a miner.509 He followed his father to Kuaotunu, where, in 1892, he tried to kill himself, possibly because of inhaling dynamite fumes; his father ‘was reluctantly obliged to get help and remove him to the police station’, from whence he was taken to the asylum.510 He recovered, and was working in the Try Fluke under his father’s management in 1895.511 Two years later, he was appointed manager of the Gladys, also at Kuaotunu.512

William also became a mine manager after studying at the Coromandel School of Mines; he suffered from miner’s complaint for ‘several years’ before his death.513

William was married in January 1869, when aged 24, to Sarah Ann McGechie, aged 22, a farmer’s daughter living at Papatoetoe.514 She had been born at Warkworth, the second daughter of John, ‘one of the earliest of

505 Birth Certificates of Elizabeth Alice Goldsworthy, 1873/37537; Clara Garrick Goldsworthy, 1 December 1875, 1875/650; Lucy Ethel Goldsworthy, 9 March 1878, 1878/7962; Henry Duncan Goldsworthy, 13 August 1880, 1880/9255; Sylvia May Goldsworthy, 5 January 1883, 1883/2097, BDM.

506 Birth Certificates of Eva May Goldsworthy, 10 July 1885, 1885/10211; James Frederick Goldsworthy, 15 March 1889, 1889/18857, BDM.

507 Death Certificates of John Goldsworthy, 31 May 1907, 1907/2935; Marion Goldworthy, 11 November 1918, 1918/8843, BDM; New Zealand Herald, 14 November 1918, p. 6.

508 Birth Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 30 September 1886, 1886/19453, BDM.


510 Thames Advertiser, 2 February 1892, p. 2; Auckland Weekly News, 6 February 1892, p. 17; Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1885-1896, no. 1753, YCAA 1021/2; Casebook 1853-1892, folio 685, YCAA 1048/5, ANZ-A.

511 Thames Advertiser, 19 July 1895, p. 2.

512 Thames Advertiser, 22 February 1897, p. 3.

513 Thames Star, 20 July 1905, p. 4; Death Certificate of William Goldsworthy, 28 November 1940, 1940/17626, BDM.

514 Notices of Intentions to Marry 1869, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/14, folio 56, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of William Goldsworthy, 1869/4920, BDM.
the pioneer settlers’, who had recently moved there from Mahurangi, near the Goldsworthy farm, presumably where they had first met. In January 1874, James Benjamin was born. Elizabeth Eleanor was born in May 1878; she would be the only child to die during their lifetime, at the age of 17. In July 1883, Walter Stanley was born, and in August 1887 Amy Elvira.

Thomas was married in October 1874 to Annie Kay; he was 25, and she was 17. Their first child, Ethel May, was born in November the following year. Clarence Thomas was born in December 1877, Percy Reginald in June 1880, and Hilda Heloise in May 1882. Ruby Gertrude was born at Waiorongomai in September 1887, and Alma Clarice was born two years later. All their sons died during Thomas’ lifetime, but their daughters outlived him. Percy, aged one, died from scarletina in June 1881; he was ill for only two days, dying after convulsing for four hours. Two days later, three-year-old Clarence died of the same disease, having suffered for eight days. Their youngest son, Leslie Ballance Thomas, who had been born in Broken Hill, New South Wales, in July 1892, died fighting

---

516 Birth Certificate of James Benjamin Goldsworthy, 5 January 1874, 1874/16726, BDM.
518 Birth Certificates of Walter Stanley Goldsworthy, 20 June 1883, 1883/10279; Amy Elvira Goldsworthy, 8 August 1887, 1887/5132, BDM.
519 Notices of Intentions to Marry 1874, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/19, folio 195, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of Thomas Goldsworthy, 8 October 1874, 1874/13471, BDM.
520 Birth Certificate of Ethel May Goldsworthy, 18 November 1875, 1875/13440, BDM.
521 Birth Certificates of Clarence Thomas Goldsworthy, 2 December 1877, 1877/593; Percy Reginald Goldsworthy, 17 June 1880, 1880/9155; Hilda Heloise, 25 May 1882, 1882/10058, BDM.
522 Birth Certificates of Ruby Gertrude Goldsworthy, 5 September 1887, 1887/9451; Alma Clarice Goldsworthy, 1889/18866, BDM.
524 Death Certificate of Percy Reginald Goldsworthy, 20 June 1881, 1881/2213, BDM.
525 Death Certificate of Clarence Thomas Goldsworthy, 22 June 1881, 1881/2214, BDM.
in the First World War in May 1918, less than a year after he had been married.526

In March 1874, when aged 22, Henry married Elizabeth Rowe, aged 18, an Australian miner’s daughter.527 Almost exactly nine months later, their first child, Albert Henry, was born.528 Francis Edward was born in October 1877, Elizabeth Emmeline in August 1879, and Florence in August 1881, all at Tararu, Thames.529 Two children were born at Waiorongomai: Hester Maud in November 1884 and Lilian Mercedes in December 1886.530 In October 1890, Ernest Victor was born at Thames.531 William Harold was born in 1896 and Mabel in 1898.532 In 1900, when Henry was 49 and Elizabeth was 44, their last child, Gladys Mildred, was born at Karangahake.533 One other son was born,534 but has not been traced. In 1913, Francis Edward, a farmer, was ‘accidentally drowned in Waihou River’, aged 36.535

HEALTH IN THEIR FINAL YEARS, AND DEATHS

It was reported that John enjoyed good health until shortly before his death in 1907, aged 70.536 ‘Until the last few months he was robust and

526 Death Certificate of Leslie Ballance Thomas Goldsworthy, 1918/28373, BDM; Army Department, AABK 18805, W5539, 32/45627, ANZ-W; Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 2 November 1922, p. 8.
527 Notices of Intentions to Marry 1874, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/19, folio 182, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of Henry Goldsworthy, 12 March 1874, 1874/6139; Death Certificate of Elizabeth Goldsworthy, 18 September 1931, 1931/7467, BDM.
528 Birth Certificate of Albert Henry Goldsworthy, 7 December 1874, 1875/5607, BDM.
529 Birth Certificates of Francis Edward Goldsworthy, 20 October 1877, 1877/6845; Elizabeth Emmeline Goldsworthy, 23 August 1879, 1879/16396; Florence Goldsworthy, 1 August 1881, 1881/6198, BDM.
530 Birth Certificates of Hester Maud Goldsworthy, 17 November 1884, 1885/1358; Lilian Mercedes Goldsworthy, 14 December 1886, 1886/19273, BDM.
531 Birth Certificate of Ernest Victor Goldsworthy, 26 October 1890, 1890/13377, BDM.
532 Birth Certificates of William Harold Goldsworthy, 1896/4210; Mabel Goldsworthy, 1898/1294, BDM.
533 Birth Certificate of Gladys Mildred Goldsworthy, 23 June 1900, 1900/5983, BDM.
535 Death Certificate of Francis Edward Goldsworthy, 28 November 1913, 1913/8244, BDM.
536 New Zealand Herald, 1 June 1907, p. 6.
well’, the Coromandel newspaper stated, but when his health became ‘indifferent’ shortly before his death he was admitted to hospital.\footnote{Coromandel County News, 31 May 1907, p. 3.} His death certificate recorded that for his last 20 years he had a valvular disease of the heart; his final heart failure lasted three weeks.\footnote{Death Certificate of John Goldsworthy, 31 May 1907, 1907/2935, BDM.} His widow survived him by over 11 years before dying in the influenza epidemic of 1918, aged 75; her obituary noted the unusual fact that none of her children had predeceased her.\footnote{Death Certificate of Marion Goldsworthy, 11 November 1918, 1918/8843, BDM; New Zealand Herald, 14 November 1918, p. 6.}

William died in July 1919 aged 75, after a ‘brief illness’, at his home on Pukehohe Hill, named ‘Eureka’ in memory of his glory days as a miner.\footnote{Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 14 July 1919, p. 1.} Although his final illness was a three-day bout of pneumonia, he had suffered from chronic bronchitis and cardiac disease,\footnote{Death Certificate of William Goldsworthy, 12 July 1919, 1919/10703, BDM.} the former a possible consequence of his mining days. Sarah Ann died in May 1927, at the home of her surviving daughter.\footnote{Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 17 May 1927, p. 10.}

Annie Goldsworthy died before Thomas, in 1909, aged only 52.\footnote{Death Notice, New Zealand Herald, 21 July 1909, p. 1; Te Aroha News, 22 July 1909, p. 2.} He lived for another 13 years, dying in 1922 aged 74. Like his eldest brother, for the last three months of his life he suffered from valvular disease of the heart, his final heart attack lasting two weeks.\footnote{Death Certificate of Thomas Goldsworthy, 31 October 1922, 1922/8128, BDM.}

In October 1911, at the age of 60 Henry spent nearly two weeks in hospital suffering from cystitis, which was cured.\footnote{Waihi Hospital, Register of Patients 1911-1914, folio 19, ZABW 4935/1b, ANZ-A.} He died, suddenly, in August 1918, aged 67, when on a train taking him to Thames hospital for treatment for miners’ complaint, which he had had for ‘years’.\footnote{Waihi Daily Telegraph, 15 August 1918, p. 2.} His death certificate, however, recorded that he had had this for only 12 months, but had suffered from heart failure over the previous six.\footnote{Death Certificate of Henry Goldsworthy, 14 August 1918, 1918/5273, BDM.} Because of having
miners’ complaint, his widow received a miners’ phthisis pension.\textsuperscript{548} She would die of heart failure and other health problems in 1931.\textsuperscript{549}

JAMES GRIBBLE

In September 1855, Mary Ann Goldsworthy, aged 17, married James Gribble, aged 26, a farmer living at Cabbage Tree Swamp, near Matakana; they had both been born in Cornwall.\textsuperscript{550} He was born in 1828 to William, a miner, and Elizabeth Dunne.\textsuperscript{551} In 1880, when offering to test samples for prospectors, he stated that his ‘earliest associations in the old country’ were ‘connected with mining’ and that he ‘had large experiences throughout the colonies in mining for many different minerals’.\textsuperscript{552} In 1886, he recorded the date of his arrival in New Zealand as 1848, and an obituary had it as two years earlier; in fact he had arrived in Auckland from Sydney in August 1849.\textsuperscript{553} He mined for copper on Kawau Island and Great Barrier Island before going to the Ballarat gold rush.\textsuperscript{554} In the early 1860s he mined at Coromandel, like his brother-in-law William Goldsworthy having ten of the 120 shares in the United Company in April 1863.\textsuperscript{555} Two years later, he was a shareholder in the Union Reef.\textsuperscript{556} In 1873, the \textit{Thames Advertiser} extolled his competence:

\textsuperscript{548} Miners’ Phthisis Pensions, 1919, Social Security Department, SS W1844, box 8, M40, no. 2, ANZ-W.
\textsuperscript{549} Birth Certificate of Richard Thomas Henry Gribble, 25 September 1876, 1876/17461, BDM.
\textsuperscript{550} Notices of Intentions to Marry for District of Auckland, 1855, no. 92, qMS NZR-G, 16/92, Alexander Turnbull Library; Marriage Certificate of James Gribble and Mary Ann Goldsworthy, 11 September 1855, 1855/1483; Death Certificate of Mary Ann Gribble, 12 November 1901, 1901/5781, BDM; \textit{Thames Star}, 30 June 1881, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{551} Death Certificate of James Gribble, 1 September 1886, 1886/179, BDM.
\textsuperscript{552} \textit{Waikato Times}, 6 July 1880, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{553} ‘Addresses Presented to Sir George Grey on his 75th Birthday, 14 April 1886, by European and Maori Residents of Auckland Province’, Grey New Zealand Papers, MS 275, Auckland Public Library; \textit{Waikato Times}, 4 September 1886, p. 2; \textit{Daily Southern Cross}, 31 August 1849, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{554} \textit{Waikato Times}, 4 September 1886, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{555} Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 1 no. 8, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{556} Company Files BADZ 5181, box 3 no. 21, ANZ-A.
We can safely say that very few mine managers can boast of the practical experience of Mr Gribble, he having passed through all the various minuitiae of mining and underground surveying in Cornwall, and having been connected with mining at the Kawau and Coromandel, and in Sydney, Adelaide, and Victoria.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 17 October 1873, p. 2.}

His brother Henry, a miner in Victoria, died in a mining accident in 1866 aged 35, when his other brother, Charles, was living in Melbourne.\footnote{M.J. Kelly, Births, Marriages, and Deaths from the New Zealand Herald, 1866 (Auckland, 1992), p. 47.} Samuel Gribble, another prominent mine manager in nineteenth century Thames, was not related.\footnote{See Thames Advertiser, 4 December 1897, p. 4.}

Gribble took out his first miner’s right at Thames in mid-October 1867.\footnote{Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Miners’ Rights 1867-1868, no. 1219, BACL 14358/1a, ANZ-A.} In 1869, he managed the Victoria Company’s battery.\footnote{Auckland Weekly News, 10 April 1869, p. 19; advertisement, Daily Southern Cross, 19 August 1869, p. 6.} One of the leading mine managers, on the same day in June 1868 he was offered the position of mine manager for both the Moanataiari and Long Drive companies; he chose the former, managing it until December 1871.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 17 October 1873, p. 2; Thames Guardian and Mining Record, 18 December 1871, p. 3; for a different starting date, see his statement in Thames Warden’s Court, Warden’s and Magistrate’s Notebook 1869, Hearing of 15 November 1869, BACL 14457/1d, ANZ-A.} When he became manager, the property ‘consisted of seven men’s ground, and before he resigned it was increased by his exertions to a large area’.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 17 October 1873, p. 2.} For instance, in December 1870, with an Auckland investor, he obtained an additional lease.\footnote{Thames Warden’s Court, Warden’s and Magistrate’s Notebook 1870-1871, Hearing of 5 January 1871, BACL 14457/2a, ANZ-A; Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 6 December 1870, p. 438.} When he took over ‘the mine was in anything but good working order’, but under his control it was ‘well opened up, and the new manager will find several thousand feet of driving done’; returns had ‘shown a decided increase’.\footnote{Daily Southern Cross, 16 January 1872, p. 3.}
During Mr Gribble’s management a thorough practical system of mining was carried out, which was acknowledged by practical men who had means of judging, to be equal to any mine in the colonies. During the three years and a half of his management about 21,000oz of gold were produced, paying out of that a large amount of dividends, besides expenses, and opening up the mine.\(^{566}\)

In the last six months of his employment by this company he was in charge of the battery as well as the mine.\(^{567}\) Upon retiring, he was given an address and a ‘very handsome service of plate and a suitably engraved silver salver by the workmen’, amongst whom he was ‘deservedly held in very high estimation’.\(^{568}\)

In October 1873, he was replaced as manager of the Alburnia Company, to the regret of the *Thames Advertiser*, who understood that the cause was ‘just what may lead to the dismissal of any mine manager whose yield of gold may decrease through circumstances over which he has no control’. When he had become manager, he had found the company in a low state of funds. His first work, however, was to open up communication with the battery and mine by putting in a cross-cut at a cost of about £1,500. Out of a portion of this block a large quantity of gold was obtained, and after paying all expenses, £3,000 was divided amongst shareholders. This was done in about 10 months. On proceeding to take out the other portion of the block it was found not to pay, when all hands were discharged, and Mr Gribble, as we have stated, superseded.\(^{569}\)

Just over a month later, his replacement resigned. Amongst Thames shareholders there was ‘a very strong feeling in favour of the re-appointment of Gribble, ‘under whom the mine has been opened up, and who worked it for several years to the entire body of shareholders’. There was insufficient time to send this view to the directors’ meeting in Auckland, which selected another person as manager.\(^{570}\)

---

\(^{566}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 17 October 1873, p. 2.

\(^{567}\) *Auckland Weekly News*, 13 January 1872, p. 16.

\(^{568}\) *Auckland Weekly News*, 6 January 1872, p. 15.

\(^{569}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 17 October 1873, p. 2.

\(^{570}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 9 December 1873, p. 3.
Over four days in early July 1868, he purchased two and a half shares in the True Briton, at Moanataiari, which were transferred to the Thames Company two months later.\textsuperscript{571} Also in July, he was registered as one of three owners in the Garry Owen, with two of the five shares.\textsuperscript{572} The following month, he bought one of the ten shares in the Grand Junction for £250; he held 11 when a company of that name was established in December.\textsuperscript{573} In August, he bought one and one-sixth of the six shares in the Otago, at Moanataiari Creek, for £100, and the following year was allotted 1,200 of the Otago Company’s 7,200 shares.\textsuperscript{574} Appointed a director of the latter in September 1869, he resigned in the following February.\textsuperscript{575}

In 1868 and 1869 he invested in two companies, the Homeward Bound and the Bachelors.\textsuperscript{576} In July 1869, he bought one and three-quarter shares in the New Moon for £180, selling the three-quarters three days later for £130.\textsuperscript{577} Also in that month he sold an eighth share of the seven shares in the Nonpareil for £10, and the following month was allotted 112 of the 4,200 shares in the Nonpareil Company.\textsuperscript{578} Also in August he bought one of the six shares in the Black Prince, at Waiotahi Creek.\textsuperscript{579} In October he applied for a lease of this ground, successfully, but the following April it was cancelled for non-working.\textsuperscript{580}

\textsuperscript{571} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 383, BACL 14397/2a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{572} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 586, BACL 14397/2a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{573} Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Agreements 1868, folios 168, 497, BACL 14417/2a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{574} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 695, BACL 14397/2a; Register of Agreements 1868, folio 216, BACL 14417/2a, ANZ-A; Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 30 July 1869, p. 648.
\textsuperscript{575} Auckland Weekly News, 4 September 1869, p. 5, 26 February 1870, p. 15.
\textsuperscript{577} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 1454, BACL 14397/3a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 466-467, 591-516, BACL 14417/3a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 466-467, BACL 14417/4a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{578} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 545, BACL 14397/2a; Register of Deeds 1869, folios 329-330, BACL 14417/3a, ANZ-A; Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 11 August 1869, p. 684.
\textsuperscript{579} Thames Warden’s Court, Claims Register 1868-1869, no. 603, BACL 14397/2a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{580} Auckland Provincial Government Gazette, 4 October 1869, p. 1268, 30 April 1870, p. 163.
In May 1870, he was one of eight owners of the City of Chester, at Kuranui, with one of the seven shares. In August, he was one of six owners of the Wombat, at Hape Creek, all holding equal shares; four of the others were held by Samuel Gribble and his sons: Samuel, Samuel M., Malachi, and John.

In October 1872, he bought the ground formerly held by the Just in Time Company for £5. In July 1873, he applied for the A1 at Collarbone Creek, which he and others had worked five years previously. Granted in September, it was transferred to the City of York Company six months later. After selling ‘his excellent Freehold Properties’ at Thames (and Auckland) and resigning from the Waiotahi school committee because he was to leave the district, in July 1874, three months after announcing his departure, he was appointed the City of York Company’s manager. For a time he supervised contractors unpaid until the directors voted him a ‘nominal salary’.

In August 1874, he was warned that his 54 shares in the Lincoln Castle Company would be forfeited if a 1s call was not paid. In 1875, along with his brothers-in-law John and William Goldsworthy, he invested in the True Briton Company, mining at Tairua, his only investment in Ohinemuri. Two years later, he held six shares in the Kuranui Hill Company. In 1880, with two others, one being Samuel Gribble, he was an owner, with equal shares, in the Moonta at Te Papa Creek; it was abandoned by the end of the year. Another abandoned at the same time,

581 Thames Warden’s Court, Shortland Claims Register 1870, no. 2180, BACL 14397/5a, ANZ-A.
582 Thames Warden’s Court, Shortland Claims Register 1870, no. 2267, BACL 14397/5a, ANZ-A; Thames Star, 3 December 1897, p. 2.
583 Thames Advertiser, 31 October 1872, p. 3.
584 Thames Advertiser, 24 July 1873, p. 3, 13 September 1873, p. 3.
585 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings 1872-1875, folio 108, BACL 14397/7a, ANZ-A.
586 Thames Advertiser, 10 October 1873, p. 2, 24 April 1874, p. 2, 14 July 1874, p. 3.
587 Thames Advertiser, 13 January 1875, p. 3.
588 Thames Advertiser, 18 August 1874, p. 2.
589 New Zealand Gazette, 2 September 1875, p. 592.
590 Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 26 no. 148, ANZ-A.
591 Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Grahamstown Claims 1878-1880, no. 736, BACL 14397/12a, ANZ-A.
after he and another miner had owned it for six months, was the Van Tromp at Karaka Creek.\(^{592}\)

In early 1874, Gribble purchased land at Te Rapa, near Hamilton, and announced he was leaving the Thames district.\(^{593}\) His 500 freehold acres in the Waipa County were valued at £2,000 in 1882; in addition he owned 100 acres in Eden County valued at £3,500 and property in Auckland worth £1,110.\(^{594}\) He ran sheep and dairy cows.\(^{595}\) His local prominence was reflected in his becoming one of two trustees of the Hamilton Highway District in 1880 and later being elected a director of the Rukuhia Cheese and Bacon Factory Company.\(^{596}\)

Despite becoming a farmer, he retained an interest in mining, and in July 1880 the \textit{Waikato Times} announced his willingness to report on any stone or strata.\(^{597}\) He advertised his services as a ‘Practical Mine Manager, etc. Mr Gribble will be glad to Report (gratis) upon any Samples of Stones, Minerals, etc, submitted to him, which may be left at the Waikato Times Office’.\(^{598}\) In September a settler who had found a small leader at Kaniwhaniwha, on the slopes of Pirongia, sought his advice. Described by the \textit{Waikato Times} as ‘a practical miner and a mine manager of long experience’, he spoke ‘very highly’ of one piece of quartz,\(^{599}\) but no goldfield was discovered.

Gribble visited Te Aroha in early November, intending to stay for only a few days.\(^{600}\) After deciding the stone was ‘first-class’, he decided to remain there ‘until the opening day, in expectation of something good turning

\footnotesize
\(^{592}\) Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Grahamstown Claims 1878-1880, no. 819, BACL 14397/12a, ANZ-A.

\(^{593}\) Jackson and Russell to James Gribble, 18 April 1874, 16 May 1874, Letterbook no. 11, pp. 225, 339; Letterbook no. 25, p. 830, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum; \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 24 April 1874, p. 2; \textit{Waikato Times}, 30 November 1882, p. 3; Probate of James Gribble, Probates, BBAE 1568/1584, ANZ-A.

\(^{594}\) \textit{A Return of the Freeholders of New Zealand} (Wellington, 1884), p. G 50.

\(^{595}\) \textit{Waikato Times}, 3 January 1891, p. 4.

\(^{596}\) \textit{Waikato Times}, 1 May 1880, p. 3, 3 February 1883, p. 3, 4 June 1885, p. 2.

\(^{597}\) \textit{Waikato Times}, 6 July 1880, p. 2.


\(^{599}\) \textit{Waikato Times}, 21 September 1880, p. 2.

\(^{600}\) \textit{Waikato Times}, 9 November 1880, p. 2; \textit{Thames Star}, 10 November 1880, p. 2.
up’. He told a correspondent, who considered that ‘no better authority can be found’, that he was ‘highly pleased with what he has seen in the short time he has been here’. He had made a ‘close inspection’ of the Prospectors’ Claim and considered it to be good, in parts, but warned against a rush until the ground was developed further. ‘This is the opinion of one of the most experienced miners in the district, and as such bears considerable weight’. He prospected nearby, and discovered lower down on the eastern side of the spur containing the Prospectors’ Claim ‘a body of quartz about three feet thick, which, he believes, will prove remunerative for working’. A week before the field was opened, he sent 200 pounds of this lode to Thames, where it produced a return of half an ounce to the ton; he expected the size of the ore body would this value payable. He had driven 25 feet to hit this reef and trenched across the surface to test the main lode.

On opening day, in representing a Waikato Association he organized the pegging out of their preferred ground, with five men at each peg to deter competitors. Although they successfully pegged out 15 men’s ground, ‘someone else had got wind of his discovery, and pegged off about six men’s ground right in the centre of his claim’. By the end of November, his party discovered that ‘half a dozen parties have pegged upon their ground, and they are now arranging to fight some and to amalgamate with others. It is said that Gribble could show gold if all the disputes were over’. On 2 December, he was registered as one of three owners of the United, situated about 1,000 feet below the Prospectors’ and bounded to the north by the Te Aroha No. 1 South. Appointed as manager, he applied for a 100-chain
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605 Thames Star, 27 November 1880, p. 2; Own Reporter, Thames Advertiser, 10 December 1880, p. 3.
606 Thames Advertiser, 25 November 1880, p. 3.
607 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Miner’s Right no. 301, issued 25 November 1880, Miners’ Rights Butt Book 1880, BBAV 11533/1a, ANZ-A; Thames Star, 26 November 1880, p. 2.
608 Thames Star, 1 December 1880, p. 2.
609 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folio 156, BBAV 11567/1a; Register of Applications 1880-1882, folio 102, BBAV 11505/3a, ANZ-A.
610 Thames Star, 17 December 1880, p. 2.
water race to power an ‘overshot wheel battery’, Gribble considering the
prospects of the field warrant the introduction of motive power’.611 This
water right was granted in early January.612

In early December, the dispute over the ownership having been
settled, work ‘stated in earnest’.

The tunnel in which the reef is showing has been discontinued,
and one to strike the lode at a lower depth [is] in course of
construction. The country through which it is going is easy for
driving, but the work cannot be pushed ahead as rapidly as Mr
Gribble could wish, owing to the scarcity of trucks and barrows
and material for timbering up. The new drive is in the hill a
distance of 15 feet or thereabouts, and it is expected that in about
35 feet more driving, the reef will be intersected. The claim was
visited the other day by Mr J[ohn] B[lair] Whyte, M.H.R., of
Hamilton, one of the principal shareholders, who expressed his
satisfaction at the prospects of the company and the manner in
which Mr Gribble was conducting operations.613

(There is no evidence that Whyte was well informed on mining
matters.) Shortly afterwards, the same reporter provided details of this
‘legitimately worked’ claim:

The first claim met with after leaving the Aroha No. 1 South ... was the United, comprising an area of five acres, of which Mr Jas.
Gribble is the manager. The tunnel started by that gentleman
prior to the opening of the field, has been discontinued, and
another level is being opened up some thirty feet lower down the
spur. Judging from its size and the systematic manner in which it
is being timbered up, the manager has evidently a double object
in view, viz, that of thoroughly prospecting his own ground and of
providing in the near future a main level for all the claims in the
immediate neighbourhood, including the Te Aroha Nos. 1 and 2,
and, in all probability, the Prospectors’. Besides the work of
pushing on this main tunnel, there are two prospecting drives in
full swing, one of which is being driven to intersect a lode which
crops out about 25 feet further up the hill, and which has a kindly
appearance. Owing to the absence of Mr Gribble, I was unable to

611 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Applications 1880-1882, folios 3-4, BBAV
11505/3a, ANZ-A; Thames Star, 1 December 1880, p. 2; Waikato Times, 8 January 1881,
p. 3.
612 Waikato Times, 8 January 1881, p. 3.
613 Own Reporter, Thames Advertiser, 6 December 1880, p. 3.
ascertain whether it was auriferous or not. The other drive is being driven towards the south-western boundary with a view of testing the ground in that direction. The main reef, which was cut in a trench by Mr Gribble, about three weeks ago, is said to be highly auriferous, and will be intersected in the main level in 20 feet more driving. Although it is somewhat broken where exposed at present, it will no doubt be found better defined at lower levels. On the whole, the work that is being prosecuted in this mine reflects great credit on the manager.\(^6\)

When, almost immediately after his visit, work was suspended, the *Te Aroha Miner* explained that ‘the great bulk of the shareholders reside in Hamilton and Auckland, and of those who are here, no one has been authorised to transact any of the arrangements proposed’. A meeting of shareholders would be held in Hamilton and another in Auckland was likely.\(^7\) A correspondent noted that the tools had ‘been stowed away in one of the drives, and the men paid off. I believe the reason of this action is that the shareholders, who are nearly all Waikato gentlemen, desire to have the company placed on a different basis at present, and wish this matter to be settled at once’. As it was expected to be a month before work resumed, Gribble had returned to Hamilton.\(^8\) After the meeting of shareholders held there resolved to keep working, he restarted operations, intending to drive two low levels to cut the lode from which the test had been taken.\(^9\) Not much work was done before the Christmas holiday, for only four men were employed. As the low level tunnel was ‘in loose ground, necessitating the use of timber’, only slow progress was being made. ‘Very few feet have been driven in the upper tunnels since my last visit’.\(^10\)

Gribble had intended prospecting near Te Aroha over the summer,\(^11\) but there were no reports of his doing so. Once work resumed after the holiday, it was discovered that the reef being driven on was decreasing in size to two feet. The main drive, in 30 or 40 feet, was striking damp ground,

---

\(^6\) Own Reporter, *Thames Advertiser*, 10 December 1880, p. 3.
\(^7\) *Te Aroha Miner*, n.d., reprinted in *Waikato Times*, 16 December 1880, p. 2.
\(^8\) Te Aroha Correspondent, *Thames Advertiser*, 13 December 1880, p. 3.
\(^10\) Te Aroha Correspondent, *Thames Advertiser*, 23 December 1880, p. 3.
an indication that the reef was near; it was in the same strata as that found in the Prospectors’.620

In mid-January, a local correspondent revisited the mine:

The lower drive ... is in a considerable distance through nice country, and the lode which was cut in the intermediate tunnel some weeks ago is expected to be intersected in a day or two, the face of the drive being almost immediately underneath it. This tunnel is, without doubt, the best timbered-up drive in the district, and its construction reflects credit on the indefatigable manager.... No change has taken place in the prospecting drive since my last visit. Nothing further has been done towards a settlement of the dispute between the Auckland and Waikato shareholders, and, in consequence, the development of this promising claim is being much retarded.621

Within a week, ‘the dispute which has been so long pending between the Waikato and Auckland shareholders’ was ‘amicably settled. A legal company is now being formed’.622 This never happened, and the claim was certified as abandoned at the beginning of the May and forfeited later in the year.623 Another party tested the ground in 1883,624 but Gribble never returned to it.

In late December 1880, he was one of three owners of a Tui claim, the Homeward Bound, which would be forfeited in the following March.625 In early February 1881, he failed to be elected a director of the Te Aroha Quartz Crushing Company, and did not hold any shares when it was registered in April.626

620 Waikato Times, 8 January 1881, p. 3.
621 Te Aroha Correspondent, Thames Advertiser, 20 January 1881, p. 3.
622 Thames Advertiser, 28 January 1881, p. 3.
623 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folio 156, BBAV 11567/1a, ANZ-A; Thames Star, 18 August 1881, p. 3.
624 Waikato Times, 27 March 1883, p. 2; Harry Kenrick to Under-Secretary, Gold Fields, 19 April 1883, AJHR, H-5, p. 16.
625 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folio 201, BBAV 11567/1a, ANZ-A.
626 Waikato Times, 8 February 1881, p. 2; New Zealand Gazette, 28 April 1881, p. 476.
In March 1882, Gribble was appointed as the first manager of the Martha mine and battery at Waihi. As he was described as someone who had earlier successfully managed several Thames mines, this was not his namesake, another miner who lived at Thames and was the second son of Samuel. The discoverer of the Martha lode, Billy Nicholl, described Gribble’s brief management: ‘I handed him the keys and a start was made to crush. He ran the battery for five weeks and cleaned up with 111oz of gold, but considered the battery was almost useless as it had no power to drive the stamps at a speed to crush the ore properly. So he resigned and I was made manager’.

Not till May 1883 did Gribble become involved in the Waiorongomai field, when he was appointed to manage the All Nations; the only work recorded was surface trenching and commencing a crosscut lower down the spur. The following month, he was commended when three young ladies visited the mines and received ‘kind attention’ from him in the form of having ‘a nice cup of tea ready for them’. He was allotted 2,000 of the 20,000 shares in the All Nations Company.

He was appointed manager of the Lucky Hit, in August, a mine with apparently rather more potential. It was proposed to erect a hopper beside the tramway, 60 feet below the present level, and to drive another

---
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633 Observer, 2 June 1883, p. 172.

634 New Zealand Gazette, 6 September 1883, p. 1266.

635 Thames Star, 16 August 1883, p. 2; Te Aroha News, 25 August 1883, p. 2, 8 December 1883, p. 2.

level from it to cut the No. 2 and No. 3 reefs. A crushing of five tons made in August produced 18oz retorted gold, worth £3 7s 3d per ounce. Two months later, good payable quartz was being broken out from Nos. 1 and 2 reefs: ‘the stone from each of these reefs shows good gold every breaking-down’. The following month, these two reefs were ‘turning out first-class crushing dirt. Coarse gold is freely seen every breaking down’. In December, a newspaper warned that although a trial crushing had produced about 30oz to the ton there was ‘not much 30oz stone showing at present’; however, there was a ‘good payable reef’, and a ‘large body of stone which may be easily worked’. By then, it was apparent that the upper level contained the best ore, and an ‘excellent sledge track’ had ‘been made from the drive to the tramway’. A visiting reporter visited in mid-December:

The manager of this company’s property, bounding the Waiorongomai northward, had a trial parcel of 10 tons of dirt on the road to the mill at the time of my visit. This was taken from what is known as No. 1 reef at the top level. It has been driven on 40ft here, averaging a foot in width for that distance, and showing gold freely throughout in both the honeycomb and solid quartz. The junction of No. 2 reef is expected to be met with in this drive, but it has not been picked up yet. At the low level on No. 1 reef, from which a trial parcel was crushed at the Thames and gave over 4oz per load, the reef has been driven on for some distance, and though rather small in the southern face, has shown gold freely the whole distance. A rise has been put up to the surface here, and a small block northward, about 10 feet long, stoped out, the precious metal being met with all through the reef. There is a good paddock of quartz here ready for crushing. No. 2 reef, which strikes nearly east and west, as been driven on westward, and a surface stope is now being taken along it to discover the point at which it junctions with No. 2; 20 tons of dirt are on hand from here, and, judging by the gold seen in breaking, it should yield well. A crosscut is in progress near the southern boundary to intersect the Waiorongomai main reef, which

637 *Thames Advertiser*, 17 July 1883, p. 2.
638 *Waikato Times*, 21 August 1883, p. 2; *Thames Advertiser*, 24 August 1883, p. 2.
641 *Thames Advertiser*, 11 December 1883, p. 3.
642 *Te Aroha News*, 8 December 1883, p. 2.
prospects well a few yards distant; 30 feet of driving should see it in hand.643

When this parcel, of nine tons, produced the poor result of 6oz 9dwt melted gold everyone was ‘greatly astonished’ with the discrepancy with the earlier test, as the second sample had showed more gold. Both crushings were taken from the same reef, the second from closer to the surface.644 After this ‘very unsatisfactory’ trial, work was abandoned until William Goldsworthy took over management six months later.645 This ground later became known as the Galena,646 and then part of the successful Loyalty Palace.647

Gribble’s managing of these two mines was his last involvement in mining. With his health fading, he settled on his land at Kingsland, then ‘near Auckland’.648

Like his brothers-in-law, Gribble was a Wesleyan. In 1875, he collected money for erecting a church in Thames, and three years assisted the Tararu Road Wesleyan Sunday School.649 At a Wesleyan concert, he gave a recitation and his wife sang.650 In 1881, he chaired a Hamilton meeting for Wesleyan home missions, and helped to lay the corner blocks of the church; his wife contributed to the building fund.651 Two years later, he was a trustee of the Te Aroha church.652 On her death, his widow was recalled as ‘an esteemed member of the Wesleyan Church, and it was noted that one daughter had married a clergyman.653 In politics, he supported the Liberal Party.654

644 Thames Advertiser, 24 December 1883, p. 2.
646 Te Aroha News, 3 October 1885, p. 2.
647 See paper on Thomas Gavin.
648 Waikato Times, 4 September 1886, p. 2.
650 Thames Advertiser, 7 November 1878, p. 2.
652 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Applications 1883, Application dated 30 October 1883, BBAV 11591/1a, ANZ-A.
653 New Zealand Herald, 15 November 1901, p. 5.
654 Thames Star, 8 September 1880, p. 2.
When Gribble died in 1886, six daughters and five sons were still living. Their first child, Mary Ann, was born in 1856. Emily Jane was born in 1860 but died the following year; another daughter with the same name was born two years later. William John was born in 1861. In January 1865, Martha Grace was born, but died 14 months later. Arthur James was born in Auckland in June 1866, but died six months later. The following year Anna Maria was born, and Martha was born in 1869. In August 1870, James was born at Thames, dying on the same day of ‘natural causes’. Another James was born there in July 1871. Arthur Charles was born in 1873. Thomas Henry was born there in April 1875, but died three months later of acute bronchitis. Four children were born at or near Hamilton: Richard Thomas Henry, in September 1876; Edith Mabel May, in December 1878; Joseph Ernest Samuel, in July 1881; and Harold Percival Claude, in February 1884, the latter died when aged one.

---
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In 1874, Mary Ann, then aged 18, married Samuel Luther Hirst, an amalgamator aged 23, who went on to be a prosperous businessman.669 During his last years, Gribble lived ‘on his property at Kingsland’, near Auckland, ‘suffering from asthma’ and ‘in feeble health for some months’ before his death.670 He died, in September 1886, aged 58, from a combination of bronchitis, heart disease, liver disease, and gastric catarrh.671 His brother-in-law William Goldsworthy and one of his sons were executors and received the residue of the estate, which included his farm and freehold land and two shops (mortgaged) in Eden Terrace, Auckland.672 He left a substantial estate of £8,161 13s 3d.673

His widow died at their home in November 1901, aged 63, after suffering from heart disease for six years.674 A Te Aroha resident informed a Thames newspaper that she ‘was well-known and highly spoken of by all who knew her’.675

CONCLUSION

This blow-by-blow chronological account of the lives of these five miners illustrated the hard work involved, and how well regarded miners were appointed as managers on many fields. It also illustrated how they had to move from job to job as mines they were working in were found to be unprofitable and moved on to others showing promise; as the latter usually proved not to be as good as expected, this cycle was repeated over and over again. Combining mining with some farming was necessary to make ends

668 Notices of Intentions to Marry 1874, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/19, folio 197, ANZ-W; Marriage Certificate of Mary Ann Gribble, 30 December 1874, 1874/11908, BDM.
669 See paper on miners’ financial struggles at Te Aroha.
670 Waikato Times, 4 September 1886, p. 2.
671 Death Certificate of James Gribble, 1 September 1886, 1886/179, BDM.
672 Probate of James Gribble, Probates, BBAE 1568/1584, ANZ-A.
673 Testamentary Register 1886-1892, folio 5, BBCB 4208/16, ANZ-A; Jackson and Russell to W.J. Gribble, 24 February 1887, Letterbook no. 33, p. 537, Jackson and Russell Papers, MS 360, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.
674 Death Certificate of Mary Ann Gribble, 12 November 1901,1901/5781, BDM; Auckland Star, 14 November 1901, p. 8.
675 Thames Star, 15 November 1901, p. 2.
meet, in their cases successfully, as all were financially comfortable at the time of their deaths.