1	
2	A new attraction-detachment model for explaining flow
3	sliding in clay-rich tephras
4	Max O. Kluger ¹ , Vicki G. Moon ² , Stefan Kreiter ¹ , David J. Lowe ² , G.J.
5	Churchman ³ , Daniel A. Hepp ¹ , David Seibel ¹ , M. Ehsan Jorat ⁴ , and Tobias Mörz ¹
6	¹ Marum – Center for Marine Environmental Sciences, University of Bremen, Leobener
7	Straße, 28359 Bremen, Germany
8	² School of Science, University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton 3240, New
9	Zealand
10	³ School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, Australia
11	⁴ School of Science, Engineering and Technology, Abertay University, Dundee, DD11HG,
12	UK
13	
14	
15	Final pre-publication version 29 November 2016
16	
17	Final version citation: Kluger, M.O., Moon, V.G., Kreiter, S., Lowe, D.J., Churchman, G.J.,
18	Hepp, D.A., Seibel, D., Jorat, M.E., Mörz, T. 2017. A new attraction-detachment model for
19	explaining flow sliding in clay-rich tephras. Geology 45, 131-134 (online 8 Dec 2016, DOI:
20	10.1130/G38560.1)
21	
22	

23

24 ABSTRACT

25 Altered pyroclastic (tephra) deposits are highly susceptible to landsliding, leading 26 to fatalities and property damage every year. Halloysite, a low-activity clay mineral, is 27 commonly associated with landslide-prone layers within altered tephra successions, 28 especially in deposits with high sensitivity, which describes the post-failure strength loss. 29 However, the precise role of halloysite in the development of sensitivity, and thus in 30 sudden and unpredictable landsliding, is unknown. Here we show that an abundance of mushroom cap-shaped (MCS) spheroidal halloysite governs the development of 31 32 sensitivity, and hence proneness to landsliding, in altered rhyolitic tephras, North Island, 33 New Zealand. We found that a highly sensitive layer, which was involved in a flow slide, 34 has a remarkably high content of aggregated MCS spheroids with substantial openings on 35 one side. We suggest that short-range electrostatic and van der Waals' interactions 36 enabled the MCS spheroids to form interconnected aggregates by attraction between the 37 edges of numerous paired silanol and aluminol sheets that are exposed in the openings 38 and the convex silanol faces on the exterior surfaces of adjacent MCS spheroids. If these 39 weak attractions are overcome during slope failure, multiple, weakly attracted MCS 40 spheroids can be separated from one another, and the prevailing repulsion between 41 exterior MCS surfaces results in a low remolded shear strength, a high sensitivity, and a 42 high propensity for flow sliding. The evidence indicates that the attraction-detachment 43 model explains the high sensitivity and contributes to an improved understanding of the 44 mechanisms of flow sliding in sensitive, altered tephras rich in spheroidal halloysite. 45

46

47 **INTRODUCTION**

48 Most East Asian and western Pacific countries are located in tectonically active, 49 high-rainfall areas where landslides are a major natural hazard. These landslides are 50 typically triggered by rainstorms or earthquakes, and are responsible for fatalities and 51 enormous property damage every year. Many destructive landslides have occurred in 52 pyroclastic deposits in Japan, Indonesia, Hong Kong, and New Zealand (Chau et al., 53 2004; Chigira, 2014; Moon, 2016), such deposits commonly containing layers rich in 54 clay minerals formed mainly by chemical weathering either during pedogenesis or 55 diagenesis. In regions with predominantly rhyolitic volcanism, halloysite is a common 56 clay mineral (Churchman and Lowe, 2012) and is therefore potentially a key geological 57 factor increasing the risk of landslides (Kirk et al., 1997; Chigira, 2014). Halloysite is a 58 1:1 Si:Al layered aluminosilicate member of the kaolin subgroup that exhibits various 59 structural morphologies including tubes, spheroids, polyhedrons, plates and books 60 (Joussein et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2016).

61 Spheroidal halloysite, in particular, has been recognized in landslide-prone layers 62 of pyroclastic material in Japan (Tanaka, 1992) and New Zealand (Smalley et al., 1980). 63 Smalley et al. (1980) linked a high content of spheroidal halloysite to high sensitivity. 64 Sensitivity refers to the post-failure strength loss in the failure zone during landsliding, 65 and is quantified in the laboratory as the ratio of the undisturbed to remolded undrained 66 shear strength at the same water content (Terzaghi, 1944). High sensitivities were first 67 described for post-glacial, brackish and marine clayey sediments in the Northern 68 Hemisphere (Skemption and Northey, 1952) that are subject to landslides with

69	dimensions and long runout distances difficult to predict. In this study, we investigate
70	processes that have led to high sensitivity in halloysite-rich pyroclastic materials in order
71	to improve landslide-hazard evaluation.
72	
73	GEOLOGICAL SETTING
74	Much of the central part of New Zealand's North Island is covered by thick
75	rhyolitic tephras (Lowe, 2011) derived from eruptions in the Taupo Volcanic Zone
76	(Briggs et al., 2005), which are commonly altered into halloysite-rich successions. We
77	focus here on a coastal flow slide at Omokoroa, Bay of Plenty (Fig. 1A), where ~10,000
78	m ³ of material were transported downslope over long distance into a lagoon in 1979
79	(Moon et al., 2015) as well as two minor reactivations in 2011 and 2012. The 1979 event
80	was likely initiated in a white, highly sensitive layer with high spheroidal halloysite
81	concentration (Smalley et al., 1980) (and lacking any detectable allophane; Cunningham
82	et al., 2016).
83	We have analyzed a 40-m-long sediment core, Omok-1, which we bored via
84	rotary flush drilling in unfailed material near the headwall (Fig. 1B). The lithology of
85	Omok-1 was determined by correlation with units of a previously studied adjacent
86	headwall face (Moon et al., 2015) comprising a succession mainly of Quaternary rhyolitic
87	tephras: overlying lignite at the base of the core, the Pahoia Tephra sequence includes the
88	Te Puna Ignimbrite (ca. 0.93 Ma) and a series of altered tephras which are informally
89	divided into lower and upper Pahoia Tephra units based on two distinct paleosols (P1 and
90	P3). All these deposits and paleosols are overlain by successions of younger altered
91	tephras called Hamilton Ash beds (ca. 0.35 to ca. 0.05 Ma) and late Quaternary tephras (<

92	ca. 0.05 Ma) (Figs. 1C and 2A). The lower Pahoia Tephras include the 0.3-m-thick,
93	white, highly sensitive clay-rich layer that failed in 1979 (Fig. 1C), having high porosity
94	and high natural water content (Smalley et al., 1980).
95	
96	METHODS
97	We performed laboratory vane shear tests on samples from the Pahoia Tephra
98	sequence and Hamilton Ash beds to measure the sensitivity S:
99	$S = s_u / s_r \qquad (1)$
100	where the undisturbed strength (s_u) was measured on the intact surface of the split core,
101	and the remolded strength (s_r) was measured on core samples with the same water
102	content but that been kneaded by hand for 10 min (Jacquet, 1990). Halloysite
103	concentration in bulk samples was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips
104	PW analytical defractometer, and quantification was performed using QUAX software
105	(Vogt et al., 2002). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was undertaken with a Zeiss
106	Supra40 microscope on 24 shock-frozen, freeze-dried, and gold-coated bulk core samples
107	(Reed, 2005). The relative abundances of halloysite particles having distinct
108	morphologies were quantified using a point-counting approach (Frolov and Maling,
109	1969). Six representative SEM images of planar soil surfaces were chosen for each
110	sample, and at least 600 particles were counted based on rectangular grids. In the white,
111	highly sensitive layer, the change of halloysite particle arrangement upon remolding was
112	quantified by comparing 20 SEM images of undisturbed and remolded material,
113	providing > 1000 counts respectively. The spheroid diameters were measured from six
114	representative particles per SEM image.

115 HIGHLY SENSITIVE SLIDE-PRONE LAYER DOMINATED BY SPHEROIDAL

116 HALLOYSITE

The sensitivity is low in the upper Pahoia Tephras, especially in the paleosols P2 and P3 (Fig. 2A and 2B). However, the sensitivity tends to increase with depth, reaching values of 15–20 in the lower Pahoia Tephras. The highest sensitivity (Rosenqvist, 1953) of S = 55, and the lowest remolded shear strength within the profile of $s_r = 1.4$ kPa, were measured in the white, highly sensitive layer at 23 m depth.

122 The upper Pahoia Tephras have a halloysite content of 10–20 wt% comprising 123 almost entirely tubular halloysite (Figs. 2C and 2D). The lower Pahoia Tephras have 124 40–50 wt% halloysite comprising mostly spheroidal particles. In the highly sensitive 125 layer, 76% of the halloysite is spheroidal and the spheroid sizes are greater than those in 126 the surrounding layers (Figs. 2D and 2E). A three-dimensional line plot reveals a clear 127 correlation between high sensitivities and high halloysite bulk concentration, and a high 128 content of spheroids with large diameters (Fig. 2F). The high sensitivity is associated 129 with low remolded shear strength rather than with high undisturbed shear strength (Fig. 130 2G).

We found that deposits with high tubular halloysite content hamper sensitivity development, whereas halloysite spheroids facilitate sensitivity and dominate the highly sensitive layer at 23 m depth within the lower Pahoia Tephras. The highly sensitive layer has low remolded shear strength consequent after failure, which, together with its high water content (Smalley et al., 1980), partly contributed to the long runout distance of the flow slide at Omokoroa.

137

138 NEW HALLOYSITE MORPHOLOGY

139	We present here first observations of a previously unreported halloysite particle
140	morphology, which is visible in the SEM images of the remolded halloysite fabrics of the
141	highly sensitive layer. In the undisturbed state, the spheroidal halloysites are distinctly
142	aggregated into networks of well-connected particles (Figs. 3E and 3F). After remolding,
143	however, most of the aggregates have broken apart into small, loose clusters or individual
144	halloysite particles that are typically \sim 250–400 nm in diameter (Figs. 3G and 3H).
145	Individual spheroids have distinctive "deformities" in the form of openings ~80–160 nm
146	in diameter on one side. These openings were previously hidden by contact with other
147	spheroids. The deformities give the particles an ovate "mushroom cap" appearance.
148	Point-counting individual mushroom-cap shapes in both undisturbed (aggregated) and
149	remolded (disaggregated) samples showed that the observable mushroom-cap shapes
150	were much more abundant in the remolded samples, increasing from 4.4% \pm 3.2% to
151	$44.9\% \pm 11.6\%$.

152

153 ATTRACTION-DETACHMENT MODEL FOR FLOW SLIDING IN ALTERED 154 TEPHRAS

155The open-sided, mushroom cap-shaped halloysite morphology has not been156reported previously. Because this particular morphology overwhelmingly occurs in the157highly sensitive slide-prone layer, we hypothesize that this unique particle shape controls158the mechanical behavior of halloysite clays.

Halloysite is composed of an Al-octahedral (aluminol) sheet with a net positivecharge and a Si-tetrahedral (silanol) sheet with a net negative charge at pH values

161	between ~2 and ~8 (Fig. 3I) (Churchman et al., 2016). The two sheets have slightly
162	different dimensions, with the silanol sheet being larger. This misfit in the sheet sizes
163	causes the halloysite layer to be curved (Churchman and Lowe, 2012), with the larger
164	negatively charged silanol sheet on the outside of the curvature and the positively
165	charged smaller aluminol sheet on the inside. The halloysite spheroids observed in our
166	study are most likely composed of concentrically stacked 1:1 layers, i.e., with an onion-
167	like structure, as shown in numerous studies including those on spheroidal halloysite
168	derived from altered tephras in New Zealand, Japan, and Argentina (Wada et al., 1977;
169	Kirkman, 1981; Cravero et al., 2012; Berthonneau et al., 2015). For a perfect halloysite
170	spheroid, the outermost silanol surface carries a net negative charge and hence the
171	electrostatic interactions between individual spheroids would be repulsive (Fig. 3I). Our
172	study shows, however, a halloysite structure where both silanol and aluminol layers are
173	exposed at spheroid openings and therefore charges within the openings would
174	correspondingly be weakly positive or neutral overall (Fig. 3J), as indicated from charge
175	density-functional tight-binding modeling applied to halloysite nanotubes (Guimarães et
176	al., 2010). If sufficient numbers of positively charged openings are exposed, the
177	electrostatic interactions between them and the negative exterior silanol surfaces would
178	allow the mushroom cap-shaped spheroids to form stacked aggregates (Fig. 3K). If the
179	paired silanol and aluminol sheets exposed in the openings are neutral overall, then a net
180	increase in particle attraction will still occur because electrostatic repulsion is reduced
181	and the larger contact areas lead to higher van der Waals forces (Israelachvili, 2011).
182	During diagenesis via hydrolysis of volcanic glass (Cunningham et al., 2016), the
183	halloysite spheroids may form consecutively on top of one another in pore spaces,

184	generating the distinct openings during synthesis. The attractive forces between the
185	openings and the convex exterior surfaces are demonstrably strong enough to allow for
186	the formation of aggregates, but also permit easy disaggregation by mechanical
187	detachment during shear (Fig. 3L). New random contacts between convex silanol
188	surfaces probably lead to a decrease in average attraction between particles. We posit that
189	the detachment of attractive spheroidal particle contacts, in the presence of abundant
190	water having negligible interaction with ions in soil solution because of the inactive
191	nature of halloysite (Smalley et al., 1980), leads to the very low post-failure shear
192	strength, facilitating a flow slide with long runout distance. The interparticle attraction-
193	detachment model appears to successfully explain (at nanoscale dimensions) the post-
194	failure behavior of the highly sensitive tephra layer at Omokoroa, which is dominated by
195	the imperfect halloysite spheroids. The question therefore arises whether similar altered
196	tephras elsewhere have high contents of spheroidal halloysite with potentially hidden
197	mushroom-cap forms, and if such forms helped mobilize other landslides in the past.
198	

199 CONCLUSIONS

We investigated a sequence of altered, rhyolitic Quaternary tephras in New Zealand and the reasons why a landslide-prone layer dominated by spheroidal halloysite was highly sensitive. We explain this high sensitivity with an electrostatic attractiondetachment model. Weakly positive or neutral charges on silanol and aluminol sheet edges exposed in the concave openings of spheroidal halloysite particles were attracted to the negatively charged convex silanol surfaces of adjacent spheroids. Such short-range attractions between spheroid openings, and the exterior surfaces of adjacent spheroids,

207	stabilize an aggregated halloysite framework. If the aggregates are detached by
208	remolding, the loose arrangement of the spheroids exhibits low remolded shear strength.
209	We suggest that the attraction-detachment model, based on the identification of
210	mushroom-cap halloysite morphologies, provides a potential key for the identification of
211	sensitive altered tephras that are predisposed to sudden failure that triggers landsliding.
212	
213	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
214	This research was funded by the DFG-Research Center MARUM (Bremen
215	University) through INTERCOAST and University of Waikato. We thank C. Schulze for
216	vane shear tests, B. Steinborn and C. Vogt for XRD analyses, P. Witte, A. Hübner, C.
217	Schott, S. Buchheister, and V. Diekamp for laboratory assistance, M. Ikari, F. Sense, J.
218	Lane, and P. Pasbakhsh for comments, and F. Terrible, J. K. Torrance, F. Cravero, M.
219	McSaveney, and an anonymous reviewer for helpful reviews.
220	
221	REFERENCES CITED
222	Berthonneau, J., Grauby, O., Jeannin, C., Chaudanson, D., Joussein, E., and Baronnet, A.,
223	2015, Native morphology of hydrated spheroidal halloysite observed by
224	environmental transmission electron microscopy: Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 63,
225	p. 368–377, doi:10.1346/CCMN.2015.0630503.
226	Briggs, R., Houghton, B., McWilliams, M., and Wilson, C., 2005, ⁴⁰ Ar/ ³⁹ Ar ages of
227	silicic volcanic rocks in the Tauranga-Kaimai area, New Zealand: dating the
228	transition between volcanism in the Coromandel Arc and the Taupo Volcanic Zone:

- 229 New Zealand Journal of Geology and Geophysics, v. 48, p. 459–469,
- doi:10.1080/00288306.2005.9515126.
- 231 Chau, K., Sze, Y., Fung, M., Wong, W., Fong, E., and Chan, L., 2004, Landslide hazard
- analysis for Hong Kong using landslide inventory and GIS: Computers &
- 233 Geosciences, v. 30, p. 429–443, doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2003.08.013.
- 234 Chigira, M., 2014, Geological and geomorphological features of deep-seated catastrophic
- landslides in tectonically active regions of Asia and implications for hazard mapping:
- 236 Episodes, v. 37, p. 284–294.
- 237 Churchman, G.J., and Lowe, D.J., 2012, Alteration, formation, and occurrence of
- 238 minerals in soils, *in* Huang, P. M., Li, Y., and Sumner, M. E., eds., Handbook of Soil
- 239 Sciences: Properties and Processes (second edition): Boca Raton, Florida, CRC
- 240 Press, p. 20.21–20.72.
- 241 Churchman, G.J., Pasbakhsh, P., Lowe, D.J., and Theng, B.K.G., 2016, Unique but
- 242 diverse: some observations on the formation, structure, and morphology of
- 243 halloysite: Clay Minerals, v. 51, p. 395-416, doi:10.1180/claymin.2016.051.3.16.
- 244 Cravero, F., Maiza, P., and Marfil, S., 2012, Halloysite in Argentinian deposits: origin

and textural constraints: Clay Minerals, v. 47, p. 329–340,

- doi:10.1180/claymin.2012.047.3.04.
- 247 Cunningham, M. J., Lowe, D. J., Wyatt, J. B., Moon, V. G., and Jock Churchman, G.,
- 248 2016, Discovery of halloysite books in altered silicic Quaternary tephras, northern
- 249 New Zealand: Clay Minerals, v. 51, p. 351-372, doi:10.1180/claymin.2016.051.3.16.
- 250 Frolov, Y., and Maling, D., 1969, The accuracy of area measurement by point counting
- 251 techniques: The Cartographic Journal, v. 6, p. 21–35, doi:10.1179/caj.1969.6.1.21.

- 252 Guimarães, L., Enyashin, A.N., Seifert, G., and Duarte, H.A., 2010, Structural, electronic,
- and mechanical properties of single-walled halloysite nanotube models: The Journal
- 254 of Physical Chemistry C, v. 114, p. 11358–11363, doi:10.1021/jp100902e.
- 255 Israelachvili, J.N., 2011, Intermolecular and Surface Forces (3rd Edition): Waltham,
- 256 Massachusetts, Academic Press, 704 p.
- 257 Jacquet, D., 1990, Sensitivity to remoulding of some volcanic ash soils in New Zealand:
- 258 Engineering Geology, v. 28, p. 1–25, doi:10.1016/0013-7952(90)90031-U.
- Joussein, E., Petit, S., Churchman, J., Theng, B., Righi, D., and Delvaux, B., 2005,
- 260 Halloysite clay minerals—a review: Clay Minerals, v. 40, p. 383–426,
- 261 doi:10.1180/0009855054040180.
- 262 Kirk, P., Campbell, S., Fletcher, C., and Merriman, R., 1997, The significance of primary
- 263 volcanic fabrics and clay distribution in landslides in Hong Kong: Journal of the
- 264 Geological Society, v. 154, p. 1009–1019, doi:10.1144/gsjgs.154.6.1009.
- 265 Kirkman, J.H., 1981, Morphology and structure of halloysite in New Zealand tephras:
- 266 Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 29, p. 1–9, doi:10.1346/CCMN.1981.0290101.
- 267 Lowe, D.J., 2011, Tephrochronology and its application: a review: Quaternary

268 Geochronology, v. 6, p. 107–153, doi:10.1016/j.quageo.2010.08.003.

- 269 Moon, V.G., 2016, Halloysite behaving badly: Geomechanics and slope behaviour of
- 270 halloysite-rich soils: Clay Minerals, v. 51, p. 517–528,
- doi:10.1180 /claymin .2016 .051 .3 .09.
- 272 Moon, V.G., Lowe, D.J., Cunningham, M.J., Wyatt, J.B., de Lange, W.P., Churchman,
- 273 G.J., Mörz, T., Kreiter, S., Kluger, M.O., and Jorat, M.E., 2015, Sensitive
- 274 pyroclastic-derived halloysitic soils in northern New Zealand: interplay of
- 275 microstructure, minerals, and geomechanics, in Rotonda, T. et al., eds., Volcanic

- 276 Rocks and Soils. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Volcanic Rocks and
- 277 Soils, Lacco Ameno, Ischia Island, Italy: London, Taylor and Francis, p. 3–21.
- 278 Moon, V., 2016, Halloysite behaving badly: geomechanics and slope behaviour of
- halloysite-rich soils: Clay Minerals, v. 51, p. 517-528,
- 280 doi:10.1180/claymin.2016.051.3.09.
- 281 Reed, S.J.B., 2005, Electron Microprobe Analysis and Scanning Electron Microscopy in
- 282 Geology: Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press, 212 p,
- 283 doi:10.1017/CBO9780511610561.
- 284 Rosenqvist, I.T., 1953, Considerations on the sensitivity of Norwegian quick-clays:
- 285 Geotechnique, v. 3, p. 195–200, doi:10.1680/geot.1953.3.5.195.
- 286 Skemption, A., and Northey, R., 1952, The sensitivity of clays: Geotechnique, v. 3,
- 287 p. 30–53, doi:10.1680/geot.1952.3.1.30.
- Smalley, I., Ross, C.W., and Whitton, J., 1980, Clays from New Zealand support the
- inactive particle theory of soil sensitivity: Nature, v. 288, p. 576–577,
- 290 doi:10.1038/288576a0.
- Tanaka, K., 1992, Slope hazards and clay minerals: Nendo Kagaku (Journal of the Clay
 Science Society of Japan), v. 32, p. 16–22.
- 293 Terzaghi, K., 1944, Ends and means in soil mechanics: Engineering Journal, v. 27,
- p. 608–615.
- Vogt, C., Lauterjung, J., and Fischer, R.X., 2002, Investigation of the clay fraction (< 2
- 296 µm) of the Clay Minerals Society reference clays: Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 50,
- 297 p. 388–400, doi:10.1346/000986002760833765.
- 298 Wada, S.-I.-, Aoki, K., and Wada, K., 1977, The interior structure of spherical halloysite
- 299 particles: Clay Science, v. 5, p. 113–121.



Figure 1. A: Map of Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand, with Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ)
as main source for Quaternary tephras at study site. B: Three-dimensional view of the
flow slide at Bramley Drive, Omokoroa; red line marks the position of profile in C. I.–
Ignimbrite. C: Profile through the flow slide with simplified stratigraphy and associated
paleosols (P1–4) of core Omok-1 and ages (in Ma) after Moon et al. (2015).

300





310 Figure 2. A: Stratigraphy of core Omok-1 after Moon et al. (2015) showing main

311 lithological units as defined in Figure 1, three paleosols (P1–P3), and the highly sensitive

- 312 white layer at 23 m depth (hatched area). I.– Ignimbrite; T.– Tephras. B: Undisturbed (su,
- blue) and remolded (s_r , orange) shear strength, and sensitivity ($S = s_{\mu}/s_r$). C: Halloysite 313

314 bulk concentration. D: Cumulative volume percent (c. vol%) of halloysite morphologies

315 with bars indicating average standard deviations. E: Average spheroid sizes with standard

316 deviations depicted by fill patterns. F: Three-dimensional line plot illustrating

317 relationship between spheroid content, sensitivity, spheroid size, and halloysite

- 318 concentration; gray graded areas enable trends in sensitivity to be visualized. G:
- 319 Dependency between sensitivity and shear strength.



Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of spheroids (A), polyhedrons
(B), tubes (C), and plates (D) representing the main halloysite morphologies in Pahoia
Tephra sequence (New Zealand). E-H: SEM images from the highly sensitive layer of

320

324	undisturbed and multiply-connected halloysite spheroids (E, F) and remolded spheroids
325	(G, H) showing smaller clusters or detached spheroids within much looser particle
326	network. 1- exposed layers in spheroid openings; 2- partially separated halloysite
327	spheroids; 3- detached mushroom cap-shaped halloysite spheroid. I: Electrostatic field
328	proximal to halloysite nanotubes with colored equipotential surfaces (ES), modified with
329	permission from Guimarães et al. (2010), copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. J:
330	Conceptual mushroom cap-shaped spheroid cross-section and weak electrostatic and/or
331	van der Waals attractions arising between exposed silanol-aluminol sheets in spheroid
332	openings and the negatively-charged convex exterior surfaces; enlargement is adapted
333	from Berthonneau et al. (2015). Circles with + and – relate to the positive and negative
334	electrostatic field proximal to the spheroid's exterior surface. Mushroom cap-shaped
335	spheroids connect with one another between concave openings and convex outer spheroid
336	surfaces, forming aggregates (K) which are partly detached because of remolding (L).