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THE DRINK PROBLEM IN THE TE AROHA DISTRICT

Abstract: This paper deals with the downside of drinking alcohol, not with its pleasures experienced by those indulging. Public drunkenness was always deplored, in part because it led to accidents and to criminal behaviour, in particular violence. Details of who became drunkards is given, with examples of some notable drunkards, one of them a leading businessman, and also of how women were affected by having drunken husbands. And two female drunkards are included.

To control drunkards, prohibition orders were sometimes issued, but the thirsty had various ways of evading these. Sly grog seems to have been a minor problem, perhaps because there were so many hotels. Details are given of these and how they were conducted, along with accounts of the lives of several prominent publicans, some of whom became a prey to intemperance, an occupational hazard with significant consequences for their health.

Because polite members of society and those suffering from drunkards wasting their family’s money were appalled by the behaviour described here, a strong temperance movement developed in response.

PROBLEM - WHAT PROBLEM?

Although a Hamilton reporter who visited Te Aroha in 1888 gave a very positive description of the township, he claimed to have been alarmed by a drink problem:

What struck me most on arrival was the excessive bibulous propensities of the residents. A custom which I saw soon affected visitors. “Come and have a drink,” can be frequently heard uttered in the dulcet tones of the ladies, as well as in the harsher tones of the male sex. The habit is not confined solely to adults, for even little children indulge very freely. One young lady of tender years admitted having taken several glasses at one time without being any the worse for it. Sunday drinking is carried on to a very great extent, and the authorities, so far from trying to prevent it, connive at it, and encourage the habit as much as possible, as it is an indirect source of revenue to them, and no matter how duty-struck a policeman may be when he goes there, he soon becomes lax in this respect, and, giving way, becomes in a short time as fond of indulging as the rest. As I do not desire to convey a bad impression of the hospitable residents of Te Aroha, it would be as well to state that the drinking mentioned refers
only to the health-giving mineral springs, for during my stay I saw no drinking of intoxicants.¹

The correspondent must have kept away from the hotels and only mingled with the temperate; indeed, it seems like deliberate blindness. In reality, alcohol was a prominent part of community life from the earliest days. In its first issue for 1881, the Observer noted that ‘the best-paying claim at Te Aroha’ was ‘O’Halloran’s hotel,’² for whether people are getting gold or whether they are not, they will drink’.³

Some believed heavy drinking was not a problem. An inquest into one of the early mine managers was informed, in 1893, that he ‘was like many of us, he could take his glass’.⁴ One of those participating in a ‘smoke concert’ of the Old Thames Boys’ Association in 1903 recalled the ‘superior stamina of the men of those days, who could take their whiskey without feeling it’.⁵ Three days later, the Observer commented that ‘they don’t drink nearly so hard at the Thames, in a general way, but there are still some survivals from the era of the copper-lined stomachs’.⁶

THE PROBLEM ADMITTED

A tale about an early Te Aroha resident treated his drinking jocularly:

It is said that a good and muscular old gentleman of the ancient Pistol type,⁷ who is sound everywhere but in his head and his limbs, was wheeled home in a barrow from a meeting of the local society for the promotion of tee-total ideas on Saturday. He has threatened what he calls a smack over the face to any one whoever dares to mention it…. He is a very dear old man; one of the most tiddle-toddle⁸ old members of society to be met with out

² See paper on George Stewart O’Halloran.
³ Observer, 1 January 1881, p. 147.
⁴ Inquest on J.H. Moore, 30 May 1893, Justice Department, J 46 COR, 93/339, ANZ-W.
⁵ Observer, 3 October 1903, p. 5.
⁶ Observer, 18 August 1906, p. 16.
⁷ In Shakespeare, Ancient Pistol was one of Sir John Falstaff’s boon drinking companions.
of the House of Representatives, and never admits getting speechless about 11 o’clock every night.\(^9\)

And in 1892, according to the Waiorongomai Observer Man, a local labourer was ‘always on the look out for pints and glasses’,\(^{10}\) meant as a humorous comment not as a criticism. Those who had to deal with the consequences of excessive drinking were less amused. For instance, in 1907 the Auckland magistrate, ‘one stationed on the water-tower of public morality, as it were’, was interviewed about the latest crime statistics:

“I attribute to drinking and gambling 80 per cent at the very least of the crimes that come before the police courts. There is no question in my mind about it; it is a conservative estimate, and every magistrate must come to the same conclusion. I speak of the colony as a whole, not of Auckland in particular; but a very large percentage under the Married Women’s Summary Separation Act also arise through drinking on the part of the husbands. Drinking has such terribly far-reaching effects, we in the Courts do not see all its consequences.... I am not a prohibitionist, I am not a teetotaller; but I am, of course, opposed to excessive drinking.... I don’t think a glass of good beer will hurt anyone; the harm is in excessive drinking....”

“I think we are just fiddling with the problem.... We are drawing a great deal of money in the shape of fines from these poor people, and are doing nothing for them. We are no nearer a solution of the problem than we have ever been. Time and time again I see before me the same people. Fines do not stop them drinking.... I believe in the prohibition order as a check, and my opinion is shared by the police.”

“But does it invariably prohibit?”

“It does not; but such cases are exceptions, I am glad to say. There are always people who will break the law, and they break it. I believe, too, that our licensing laws, where strictly carried out, do good; but everything depends upon the police and the magistrate.... The shouting evil is absolutely responsible for a good deal of absolutely unnecessary, absurd drinking.”\(^{11}\)

---

\(^9\) Te Aroha Correspondent, Waikato Times, 5 July 1881, p. 2.

\(^{10}\) ‘Waiorongomai’, Observer, 20 February 1892, p. 18; Ohinemuri Electoral Roll, 1896, p. 38.

\(^{11}\) Auckland Weekly News, 11 April 1907, p. 27.
According to a correspondent, writing in early 1885, drunkenness in the Te Aroha district was ‘a rare occurrence’.\(^{12}\) Despite such minimizing of the problem, drunkards existed at all times and places, with significant impacts on their families and sometimes the wider community. Visitors occasionally criticized what they saw; for example, one, writing in 1910, considered there was ‘far too much drinking going on for the size of the town, especially amongst the Maoris’.\(^{13}\) In that year there seemed to be more concern than previously about the issue, possibly because of the current campaigns for local prohibition, for two weeks later ‘Disgusted’ complained about the number of drunken local residents seen during the past three months. ‘On a recent Sunday afternoon two lads, driving in a gig, were under the influence of liquor, one of them being absolutely drunk. Of three young men driving in a buggy two, at least, were drunk, roaring and yelling in a most disgusting manner’.\(^ {14}\) Three months later, the Te Aroha News claimed that the town was ‘getting a most unenviable reputation for drunkenness. Almost every visitor comments on it, and if the local authorities do not take the matter up it will result in diminishing the tourist trade’.\(^ {15}\) In response, a man who had been supplying milk for three months claimed to have ‘seen more drunkenness on one Saturday night’ in a village with half Te Aroha’s population, and considered its hotels were ‘the best conducted’ he had ever seen. ‘I would like to say that I am not a drinking man, nor have I during my lifetime ever taken spirits of any kind, nor do I intend to. I have written the above because I think, in my humble opinion your remarks are totally uncalled for’.\(^ {16}\) The editor stood his ground:

With all due respect to Mr Tompkins, and knowing he is actuated only by the best motives, he might have done better than rush into print after his brief three months’ residence on the furthest outskirts of the town. The evidence, too, collected in the “wee sma’ ” hours of the morning and early afternoon is hardly one would choose for discovering recalcitrant “drunks.” We are amply sure of our ground, and could bring overwhelming evidence if necessary; suffice it to say that Mr Tompkins has only to take up his

\(^{12}\) Te Aroha Correspondent, *Thames Advertiser*, 10 March 1885, p. 3.


\(^{14}\) Letter from ‘Disgusted’, *Te Aroha News*, 12 February 1910, p. 3.

\(^{15}\) *Te Aroha News*, 31 May 1910, p. 2.

residence for a week or two in our main street to become a wiser if a sadder man.\textsuperscript{17}

One week later, ‘Unbiased’ stated that Te Aroha had ‘far too much drunkenness, especially amongst Maori men and women’.\textsuperscript{18} A resident complaining of frequent scenes of drunkenness in 1917 wrote about seeing ‘a young Maori simply mad drunk disporting himself in Whitaker-street. It is also scandalous to see fathers who have boys in the fighting line reeling drunk to their homes three or four nights a week’\textsuperscript{19}. Although excessive drinking was regularly reported, only once, in 1896, was a man locked up for being so drunk that he was suffering from \textit{delirium tremens.}\textsuperscript{20}

\textbf{EXAMPLES OF EXCESSIVE DRINKING}

That the \textit{Te Aroha News} noted that in the council election of 1884 ‘the absence of any excessive drinking was ... very apparent\textsuperscript{21} indicated that such sobriety was not a given on such occasions. Payday could lead to excessive drinking, as suggested by ‘Rore O’More’, of Waiorongomai, who suggested that some residents needed ‘the jail shifted out here, to save being taken in to Te Aroha every pay-day – or the day after I should say’\textsuperscript{22}. In 1910, when the \textit{Te Aroha News} was scandalized by ‘the number of young men staggering about Whitaker-street on Saturday evening the worse for liquor’, the \textit{Observer} commented that this behaviour was the consequence of the adjacent Ohinemuri district having became ‘dry’, forcing drinkers to go to Te Aroha to slake their thirst for alcohol\textsuperscript{23}. According to an 1885 visitor, drinking one of the mineral springs was ‘a splendid tonic and very soothing, invariably curing dyspepsia. A drink of this water is always indulged in for relief by the residents who are in the

\begin{flushright}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{17} Editorial comment appended to letter from F.K. Tompkins, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 4 June 1910, p. 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{18} Letter from ‘Unbiased’, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 9 June 1910, p. 3.
  \item \textsuperscript{19} Letter from John Dukes, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 31 August 1917, p. 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{20} \textit{Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 4/1896, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.}
  \item \textsuperscript{21} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 30 August 1884, p. 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{22} Letter from ‘Rory O’More’, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 31 July 1889, p. 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{23} \textit{Observer}, 3 September 1910, p. 16.
\end{itemize}
\end{flushright}
habit of carousing’. He did warn of a side effect: the water was ‘slightly laxative’.24

PROBLEM DRINKERS

Details recorded by the police of those placed in the Te Aroha lock-up between 1880 and 1903 provide a very clear indication of the type of men (and the four women) who drank to excess. The total number locked up varied from year to year, possibly in part because of varying police enthusiasm and efficiency in dealing with drunkards, but also in the early 1890s reflecting a decline in mining and therefore an economically depressed population. In total 328 people were incarcerated, often for such offences as disorderly behaviour and obscene language in addition to being drunk. Of these, 40 were repeat offenders, the ‘highest score’ going to a man locked up seven times. Only on the first occasion when a person was arrested have their details been used to determine who drank to excess.

The youngest, the only teenager, was aged 19, and the oldest by far was 78; the next oldest was 69. There were 33 in their twenties, 60 in their thirties, 61 in their forties, 50 in their fifties, and 20 in their sixties. Only one woman, a laundress, had a paid occupation. By far the largest male occupation was labourer: 120. The next largest category was miner: 25. There were 11 bushmen, seven cooks, and to the five farmers should probably be added the two who described themselves as ‘settler’. There were five seamen and sailors, four grooms, three blacksmiths and three butchers, and two painters, engine drivers, boilermakers, bootmakers, bakers, carters, and clerks. There was one ploughman, contractor, confectioner, jockey, upholsterer, plumber, stonemason, bricklayer, wheelwright, sharebroker, gentleman and ‘pedestrian’. Eight, all Maori, had no occupation recorded. The largest number, 72, were born in Ireland, the next largest being English, to which could be added the two who gave their nationality as Cornish. The number of New Zealand-born was 30, with Maori comprising another 18. There were 22 Scots and three Welshmen. Other nationalities were much smaller in number: nine Australians, three Danes, two Americans, and one each from Germany, Norway, and Sweden.

Religious affiliation was not always recorded, and in many cases may have been nominal. Only one person stated he had no religion and another, an American, was a ‘Freethinker’. There were 61 Irish Catholics and 23

24 C. Voice-Hawkins, ‘My Visit to Te Aroha’, Observer, 30 May 1885, p. 3.
non-Irish Catholics. Members of the Church of England, mostly born in England, totalled 78. There were 30 Presbyterians, some of them born in Ireland, and seven who gave their religion as ‘Protestant’. Both the Swede and Dane were Lutherans. Six Wesleyans and two Mormons, both Maori, had ignored their faiths’ strong views on the evils of drink.

Literacy was rarely recorded, but at least ten could neither read of write, at least three could not read, and at least two could not write. Two of the totally illiterate were Maori. This is by no means a complete list of drunkards, but only those discovered in the streets by the police. And many of the others arrested for assault or obscene language may have been at least partly under the influence of alcohol. For example, Elizabeth Hector, wife of Thomas Wright Hector, a chemist in the early days of Te Aroha, like her husband had a respectable family background. When her only son died, the death notice reminded readers that he was a great-grandson of the Hon. Elizabeth P. Allen, of Elsie Lodge and Laburnum Lodge, County Down, and a grandson of Robert Hector, a Glasgow doctor. These ancestors would have been appalled by the behaviour of Elizabeth and her husband. In June 1883, she was fined 20s for using obscene language in the main street. ‘The Magistrate added that he regretted the punishment would fall on the offender’s husband in lieu of herself, but added that if she were brought up again he would send her to gaol’. Although she was not charged with being drunk, it must be assumed that she was not cold sober, especially in the light of a case her husband brought against a barman, Thomas Hinton, for violently throwing him out of the Robin Hood and Little John Hotel. Hinton had been the licensee for six months until June that year.

26 For example, Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, nos. 3, 5, in private possession.
27 See letter from T.W. Hector, Waikato Times, 21 June 1881, p. 3.
28 Death Notice of Thomas Waikato Hector, Auckland Weekly News, 17 January 1907, p. 34.
29 Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 23 June 1883, p. 2.
30 See paper on crime in the Te Aroha district.
when the license was transferred to Sarah Ann Allwood,\textsuperscript{31} wife of John, whose career as a publican is outlined below. A resident recalled that, as a barman, he was ‘a good chap’.\textsuperscript{32} The same could not be said of Hector, who was belligerent even when not intoxicated. For instance, he forced the magistrate to imprison him for contempt of court by refusing to give up a business site he occupied illegally.\textsuperscript{33} When charging Hinton with assault, he claimed to have been hurt. Hinton ‘admitted the assault, but said that he did so in consequence of complainant being very drunk and quarrelsome. On the other hand the complainant alleged that he was perfectly sober, and denied that he was either drunk or using any language of the kind stated’. A witness said that Hector ‘seemed excited, but not very drunk’, and the police sergeant, with whom Hector laid the complaint, stated that ‘he appeared to be sober’. The magistrate considered that ‘the assault appeared to be uncalled for and unjustifiable’, but asked the sergeant to check whether the publican had given liquor to a drunk man. Hinton, who was managing the hotel, ‘asked what he was to do when complainant or his wife came to the house, for they were both great nuisances’; he was told ‘not to supply liquor to persons who were intoxicated’.\textsuperscript{34}

Despite all the heavy drinking, only one man was charged with having delirium tremens. He was a Scottish labourer, aged 33, who was remanded for 48 hours for medical treatment. Unwilling or unable to pay his 5s fine, he was locked up for 48 hours in default.\textsuperscript{35}

SOME CONSEQUENCES OF OVER-INDULGING

Some consequences were viewed as amusing and of little account, although sometimes calling for a mild reproof. For example, in July 1882 the \textit{Observer} published a cartoon of a drunkard outside the Hot Springs Hotel getting on his horse backwards.\textsuperscript{36} In December, the Te Aroha

\textsuperscript{31} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publican’s Licenses 1882-1892, Robin Hood and Little John Hotel, BBAV 11493/1a, ANZ-A; \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 27 March 1884, p. 2, 22 May 1884, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{32} Recollections of Charlie Garlick, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 7 April 1937, p. 5.

\textsuperscript{33} \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 15 November 1883, p. 2; \textit{Te Aroha News}, 17 November 1883, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{34} Magistrate’s Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 27 October 1883, p. 2.


\textsuperscript{36} Cartoon, \textit{Observer}, 22 July 1882, p. 301.
Observer Man asked ‘What was the gentleman’s name who made a mistake in his room and got into a lady’s instead. He had evidently taken too much P.B.’, meaning porter beer. The following year, the Waiorongomai O.M. recommended that ‘the young timber-man should use less rum and milk and more of the waters of regeneration when he next renews his baptismal vows’. In 1895, the Te Aroha O.M. asked: ‘Who was the young man that took his intended bride to a ball and imbibed too freely’. Like all such queries, both the O.M. and most residents knew the answer, and treated the offender accordingly.

John Falvey, who was aged 20 in 1880, was a miner at Waiorongomai and, later, Ohinemuri. In 1898, after ‘suffering for some time past with a complication of diseases, together with melancholia, in a temporary fit of delirium’ he fell into the Ohinemuri River but was rescued and sent to the Thames hospital. The hospital determined that he was suffering from alcoholism. He may have recovered from this, for he was never in trouble for drunkenness, and when aged 61 was recorded as being an experienced prospector with plenty of energy.

Hotels were centres of social life for many men, to the annoyance of many wives, as illustrated by a recollection of a son of David McLean Wallace in 1927:

His father had been one of the first members of the borough council…. There were no long arguments like they had today and after each meeting the chairman used to take the members to the hotel for supper. Father would arrive home late and would be called upon to give an account of himself. When asked where he

---

40 Death Certificate of John Falvey, 30 September 1930, 1930/10124, BDM.
41 See Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings 1881-1887, folio 28, BBAV 11500/9a, ANZ-A; New Zealand Gazette, 29 December 1883, p. 1704; advertisement, Te Aroha News, 6 June 1885, p. 7; Mines Department, MD 1, 97/1499, ANZ-W; Ohinemuri Gazette, 29 August 1896, p. 3, 22 May 1897, p. 2.
42 Ohinemuri Gazette, 13 April 1898, p. 2.
43 Thames Hospital, Register of Patients 1884-1901, folio 92, YCAH 14075/1a, ANZ-A.
44 Matthew Paul (Inspector of Mines) to Under-Secretary, Mines Department, 21 October 1921, Inspector of Mines, BBDO 10046, A 902, S 116, ANZ-A.
45 See paper on his life.
had been his usual reply was: “Oh, just down the street.” If the question was repeated he would say, “That’s all right,” or give some other reply. After a time Mother would get tired of asking and go off to sleep. A friend who had difficulty with his wife because of his late hours, learning of the method that had been so successful in escaping trouble, thought he would try it out on his own wife, but in meeting Mr Wallace later said rather ruefully: “I tried that game of yours and it didn’t work.” “No,” said Mr Wallace, “How did you go about it?” “Well,” he said, “when I got home my wife asked me where I had been. I just said, “That’s all right.” With that she picked up the ledger from the table which the boy had been using and hit me over the head with it and said, “So is that all right” twice.46

Two 1920 incidents involving Waiorongomai residents were recorded jocularly:

Two well-known Waiorongomai worthies were in Te Aroha on Wednesday celebrating something or other, perhaps the successful Polo Sports or the carrying of the Electric Power Board loan proposals. Anyhow sometime after curfew-time (6 p.m.) they began to wend their weary way home, although the spirit was strong the legs were weak, and a halt was called at the corner of Burke-street for a breather or a refresher. The balmy evening air must have had a soporific effect, for next morning they were still there, with leaves and twigs in hair and whiskers, and generally presenting a woe-begone appearance. As it was unquestionably “the morning after the night before,” it was decided to postpone the tiresome journey home for a little while. Meanwhile, “just a wee Deoch and Doris,” etc. We wonder are they home yet!47

The second incident occurred six months later (to the same men?):

Last Saturday afternoon a sad catastrophe occurred in Whitaker Street. Two Waiorongomai residents were taking turns at carrying a big demijohn (in a sack) homewards, and by some mischance the sack slipped to the ground, with obvious results. It was hard luck, indeed – and was almost sufficient excuse for the torrent of abuse which was exchanged between the two carriers. Perhaps the man who dropped his bundle was a cousin of the Irishman who, when hanging from the under structure of a bridge over a flooded river, let go his hold to spit on his hands!48

46 Recollections of Arthur David Wallace, Te Aroha News, 26 October 1927, p. 5.
47 Te Aroha News, 14 May 1920, p. 2.
48 Te Aroha News, 8 December 1920, p. 2.
Other consequences were far less amusing, such as causing accidents. In July 1884, a correspondent described potentially life-threatening behaviour:

As the afternoon 'bus, in which were some women and children, was about to start for Waiorongomai, a drunken man accosted some acquaintances who were seated outside, and wished them to remain behind. On their declining to come down he made a rush to the horses' heads to stop the conveyance, and the animals galloped off at a furious rate along the rough road. The driver got thrown from his seat to the ground, and the passengers ... were in a state of fear and trembling. Fortunately one of them who was seated on the box managed somehow to get hold of the reins and succeeded in arresting the career of the animals.

As the road was 'very narrow' in places, capsizing would have been inevitable had the horses not been brought under control. The local newspaper confirmed that, but for 'the lucky circumstance' that the passenger caught the reins, 'both passengers and bus would almost to a certainty have come to grief'. Ironically, the driver who was 'thrown from his seat by the jolting of the vehicle, and had his shoulder dislocated', was Michael Cronin, a leading temperance advocate. In 1889, a 'hopelessly drunk' visitor fell out of his buggy. And in 1903, a 66-year-old man was locked up for being drunk in charge of a horse.

Public disturbances were caused by drink. For instance, in October 1889 John Rosser was locked up for being drunk in the Anglican church and 'disturbing the congregation'. He pleaded guilty, and as there had been a recent conviction for being drunk, the fine was greater than normal. He denied resisting the police, but after Sergeant Emerson testified that he had 'created a great disturbance by his conduct, and gave the police a great deal

---

50 *Te Aroha News*, 12 July 1884, p. 2.
51 See paper on the temperance movement in the Te Aroha district.
52 *Te Aroha News*, 30 November 1889, p. 2.
of trouble', he was fined £1 or, in default, 14 days' hard labour.\textsuperscript{55} Eight years later, when he was an old man and mining at Waihi, he was committed to the asylum.\textsuperscript{56} In 1907, the Waihi hospital trustees recommitted him to it, where he died later that year, aged 73.\textsuperscript{57} 

Drink could lead to crime. In 1882, an 'apparently very respectable young man', as magistrate Harry Kenrick\textsuperscript{58} described him, after being paid off got drunk and stole a silver watch and a paid of boots from a hotel, for which he received three months hard labour.\textsuperscript{59} In 1888, two Maori from Paeroa were each fined £5 for being drunk in charges of horses and resisting arrest. Both pleaded guilty, 'but stated they were both drunk they did not remember' resisting arrest. They had been drinking in the Hot Springs Hotel, and as they were kicking and struggling several people had had to assist three policemen taking them to the lock-up.\textsuperscript{60} 

Drink exacerbated conflicts in the community, as illustrated in the recollections of the early twentieth century of Ronald McIndoe, born in 1891 to Thomas,\textsuperscript{61} a leading Te Aroha citizen:\textsuperscript{62} 

Life in Te Aroha at times was quite lively, plenty of beer fights and festive occasions. There were 2 Irish Factions who were deadly enemies, and Dad who was a J.P. used to stop donneybrooks [fracas]\textsuperscript{63} and get one lot going one way and the others in the opposite direction. However they nearly always finished up in another street when the J.P. went home with a job well done.\textsuperscript{64}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{55} Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 28 October 1889, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{56} \textit{Thames Star}, 3 December 1897, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{57} Waihi Hospital Trustees, \textit{Waihi Daily Telegraph}, 2 July 1907, p. 2; Death Certificate of John Rosser, 1907/4774, BDM.
\textsuperscript{58} See paper on his life.
\textsuperscript{59} Magistrate's Court, \textit{Thames Star}, 27 December 1882, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{60} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 14 November 1888, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{61} Birth Certificate of Ronald McIndoe, 1891/3768; Marriage Certificate of Ronald McIndoe, 1 March 1916, 1916/3267, BDM.
\textsuperscript{62} See \textit{Cyclopedia of New Zealand}, vol. 2, p. 831; \textit{Te Aroha Correspondent, New Zealand Herald}, 24 October 1895, p. 3; \textit{Te Aroha News}, 12 October 1942, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{63} Partridge, p. 328.
\textsuperscript{64} Ronald McIndoe, typescript reminiscences, (n.d.), p, 5, MS Papers 3806, Alexander Turnbull Library.
\end{flushleft}
Heavy drinking carried a heavy financial cost. John Frater, an investor in Waiorongomai mining\(^{65}\) and a staunch Presbyterian who supported temperance,\(^{66}\) in 1887 stated that 'the great cause of the misery and poverty in the cities and towns is the demon drink'.\(^{67}\) As an example, bank manager recorded the downfall of a Te Aroha grocer, John Patrick Gordon:\(^{68}\)

15.1.03. Came in here in June 1902 from Whangarei & bought S.L. Bygrave’s business.
He has usually kept a good credit balance, but his account has recently not been so well in funds and a fortnight ago cheques came in which created an o/d [overdraft] of £30. Further cheques coming in I put them off…. Gordon provided for them next day at Te Aroha.
He said he had lost the run of his a/c [account], & thought he still had funds. He said he had been too busy to get in book debts of which he had £900, that he brought £1100 of capital with him from Whangarei and that his debts were small, only a last payt of £158 being due to Bygrave.
I wrote to Whangarei for information about him & received the following reply:- “Left here with £3/500 cash and I understand mortgages value £7/800. While in this district he was rather given to intemperance, and through this failing lost considerably in respect & fortune. He is only about 22/24 years of age, though he looks older. I should not trust him, without ample security.”
25.1.03. Paid in A.J. Farmer's cheque for £100 – probably borrowed.
12.5.03. Cheque to Northern Mills £106 returned as he was £30 short.
16.5.03. Has been neglecting his business & wasting his time about the hotels & has been compelled by creditors to sell out, which he has done....
31.5.05. Gordon came out with some hundreds of pounds. This has gradually been spent, he has done no work (part of the time he has been incapable owing to an accident), and his money is now all gone. Intemperate.\(^{69}\)

---

\(^{65}\) See *Thames Advertiser*, 9 August 1887, pp. 2-3; *New Zealand Herald*, 27 September 1927, p. 10.


\(^{67}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 6 September 1887, p. 2.

\(^{68}\) Recorded as J.V. Gordon; John Patrick Gordon was a storekeeper at Te Aroha in 1905; see *Ohinemuri Electoral Roll, 1905*, p. 29.

\(^{69}\) Bank of New Zealand, Paeroa Branch, Manager's Memorandum Book 1902-1914, p. 37, Bank of New Zealand Archives, Wellington.
After leaving Te Aroha, Gordon went bankrupt in 1912.70

Another small businessman, Frederick William Gordon, was aged 24 when he married Margaret Innes in 1883.71 He was then a butcher at Te Aroha,72 with interests in two unprofitable claims.73 In the mid-1880s he went to Fiji, where he managed a butchery until settling in Thames late in the century and building up a ‘large and successful business in small goods, which became famous far beyond the limits of the Auckland district’.74 His occupation was recorded as ‘retired pork butcher’ on his death certificate.75 But in 1896, 1897, and 1899 his wife obtained prohibition orders against him because his ‘excessive drinking of Liquor wastes his Estate’.76 Rona Lomas, who worked for him in the 1920s, recalled that

Mrs Gordon had a great struggle because her husband was a heavy drinker, and they would have lost all their business had it not been carefully handled by the wife. We could not take the risk of leaving change in the till, because it would be taken by the husband. He often sold shop goods at a nearby hotel, and used the money to buy liquor. On one occasion he fell and broke his ankle, and all his life after that, he walked with a limp.

Quite a funny experience once happened while I worked for them. Mr Gordon used to put the rubbish tins out the back gate on certain days, but on this morning Mrs Gordon happened to be near the house and as she looked down towards the back gate she noticed he was carrying one old tin as well as a new tin, and she was immediately suspicious and rushed after him. He received a real shock because in the new tin he had a big leg of pork which he had hoped to take and sell at the hotel. We not only used to have to guard the money but also had to keep our eye on the goods. He was allowed so much a day to buy drink, but no more,

71 Marriage Certificate of Frederick William Gordon, 20 December 1883, 1883/2858, BDM.
73 *Te Aroha Warden's Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888*, folios 286, 287, BBAV 11567/1a; *Register of Licensed Holdings 1881-1887*, folios 152, 155, BBAV 11500/9a, ANZ-A; *New Zealand Gazette*, 18 October 1883, p. 1518.
74 *Thames Star*, 6 December 1954, p. 4.
75 Death Certificate of Frederick William Gordon, 4 December 1954, 1954/28559, BDM.
76 *Thames Magistrate's Court, Criminal Record Book 1897-1900*, entries for 14 November 1896, 4 December 1897, 7 January 1899, BBAV 13736/3a, ANZ-A.
and unless he sold anything on the quiet, he usually got his allowance late in the afternoon when the work was over, as Mrs Gordon was afraid he would have an accident with the machinery.77

DRINKING CREATES MENTAL PROBLEMS

In September 1882 a series of press reports illustrated the belief that heavy drinking could lead to lunacy:

A young man named Cornelius Bigley, of Te Aroha, having worshipped at the shrine of Bacchus not wisely but too freely, so affected his reason by his libations as to necessitate the intervention of the police. He was brought to the Thames yesterday for medical treatment under the charge of Constable Cleary.78

Bigley had been employed as a ditcher at Waitoa. After drinking heavily at Te Aroha, although ‘in no way dangerous to others’ he had been arrested because, ‘having no friends to look after him’, Cleary ‘was afraid he might drown himself. He had been drinking heavily for some time past. About a fortnight ago he had a cheque for £29 in his possession, and he knocked it down spreeing about between Waitoa and Te Aroha’. He was ordered to be checked for lunacy,79 because his hallucinations had ‘taken the form of a religious mania, and he is continually under the impression that he is haunted by invisible beings, or that the Virgin, to whom he prays, is present with him’.80 The asylum recorded that he was aged 30, single, and an Irish Catholic labourer, and diagnosed that his ‘acute mania’ was caused by drink. As the attack lasted only one week, he was released early in October.81 In the following May, he was killed in a fall of earth when working as a labourer in Auckland.82

Another unmarried labourer, an Anglican aged 39, in 1897 was sent to the asylum from Te Aroha because he had become an imbecile through

78 Thames Advertiser, 20 September 1882, p. 2.
79 Thames Advertiser, 21 September 1882, p. 2.
80 Thames Advertiser, 25 September 1882, p. 2.
81 Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1853-1884, no. 992, YCAA 1021/1, ANZ-A.
'progressive brain disease alcohol & pills'. A policeman had seen him praying on the Matamata railway station platform because ‘he had often picked up money after he had prayed for assistance’. He went to the post office there ‘& asked for letters & when asked why he went there & how could his friends know how to send letters there he said God would tell them where he was’.

Says the natives gave him tobacco a shilling & something to drink (hot water & sugar) & that then he lost consciousness: & that he found the back of his hand very itchy: he said when he came to, his handkerchief & person were all over blood. He says they also threatened to castrate him. This happened near Te Aroha. Appears to be very simple & childish.

After several epileptic fits in the asylum, he was transferred to the Epileptic Home in Otago.83

Three years later, Thomas Hood,84 a painter aged 66, and another Anglican, was committed for senile decay caused by alcohol. After an attack lasting five years, he was in poor health, and died within three weeks.85 His case file recorded that he had not worked during these years. He claimed to be painting the house and doing other work but a doctor declared that his memory was completely gone and that he suffered from slight epileptic fits. His wife provided a sad picture of his state:

Talks to himself a great deal: gets up at all hours of the night: hides things away: is dirty in habits and blames the hens for it: won’t go to WC:....

Walks about day & night and wanders away if he gets the chance: passes excreta in his clothes & resists cleansing: continually muttering to himself: and hides things without reason.

His condition was ‘attributed to drink fits & sunstroke’, and it was noted that his brother was another heavy drinker. His previous history revealed that this father of 15 children had been

Very clever: a poor memory: strong will: very passionate: not affectionate: energetic industrious: addicted to drink.... When

83 Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1896-1901, no. 2268, YCAA 1021/3; Case Book 1896-1898, folio 167, YCAA 1048/7, ANZ-A.


85 Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1896-1901, no. 2506, YCAA 1021/3, ANZ-A.
unemployed or a contract did not pay was absent-minded & melancholy. Strange for past 15 years when drinking: behaved in a silly manner. 3 years ago began to take fits which increased in severity till he reached this state.

The asylum doctors noted his condition. ‘Chatters away incoherently: laughs in a silly manner when addressed and all you can get in response to questions is Oh Yes. Yes. Yes’. An autopsy revealed his brain to be ‘partly atrophied and degenerated’.86

Patrick Smith, another Irish Catholic and an unmarried miner aged 44 in 1900, was committed three times during that year and the following one after attacks each lasting a week. He was first committed for melancholia caused by drink, then alcoholic mania, and the third time for alcoholic insanity.87 The Thames doctors who first committed him stated that he ‘talks erratically and makes rambling statements as to his occupation & the cause of the scars on his throat & fingers. He says he has a yacht and that he is going to sail to the Paris Exhibition’. The arresting constable said ‘he was behaving very strangely on the street – wanted to take a child out of a tram to take her into church and marry her’. It was reported that he had ‘cut his throat some time ago at Helensville’, and an old scar was found ‘over the larynx’.

Countenance apathetic. His answers to questions are rational at times. Sometimes he makes an irrelevant remark during conversation. Was somewhat uneasy during examination, persistently attempting to replace his clothes. Says he believed this institution is a boarding-house. He makes statements one moment & then contradicts them the next. Will give no information regarding the scar on his neck.

As his mental condition quickly improved, he was released six months later.88 He was re-admitted for the second time, from Waitekauri, because, as a doctor reported, he claimed people were trying to poison him. ‘Says that he dug graves in the mine to bury Fenians & the priest’. The local policeman had arrested him for ‘walking up & down the streets of

86 Avondale Asylum, Case Book 1900-1903, folio 13, YCAA 1048/9, ANZ-A.
87 Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1896-1901, nos. 2458, 2560, 2656, YCAA 1021/3, ANZ-A.
88 Avondale Asylum, Case Book 1898-1901, folios 307-308, YCAA 1048/8; Register of Admissions 1896-1901, no. 2458, YCAA 1021/3, ANZ-A.
Waitekauri – gesticulating with a broom & saying he was going to sweep the dust from everything. He had dug a hole in the shape of the grave, filled it in, and said the Pope was buried there. He had refused to eat boardinghouse food because he said someone had put rats in it'. The asylum doctors assessed his condition:

Patient looks at one furtively with a half ashamed half amused expression. He does not enter readily into conversation but on drawing him out about what has happened recently he says he has been drinking – had stopped work – and was going to get Home Rule for Ireland & clear out the priests.

Then exalted emotionally, running around and dancing by himself, later he calmed down and was discharged after 11 months. Two weeks later, he was recommitted, this time from Te Aroha. Within nine months he had ‘a fairly normal expression, talks intelligently has an active & accurate memory for recent and past events and expresses no delusions, states that on admission he was suffering from alcoholism, his principal trouble then was that he saw devils and all sorts of animals’. After being discharged as recovered, he never returned to the asylum; had he ceased drinking?

More than one man named John O’Brien lived in the district in the 1880s. One was a miner, who lived for some years at Waiorongomai. He may have been the John O’Brien who, when mining at Kuaotunu in 1891, was admitted to the asylum after a two-week bout of ‘mania caused by alcoholism’. ‘He refuses food on the ground that it is poisoned’. A Catholic,

---
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91 See section on James Warren below, and paper on larrikinism in the Te Aroha district.
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93 Avondale Asylum, Register of Admissions 1885-1896, no. 1712, YCAA 1021/2, ANZ-A.
he claimed to have been ‘given arsenic in Mt Eden Gaol by order of the Freemasons because he divulged their secrets. He is “King of the World”’. Although very violent when admitted, he gradually quietened down and was released after nearly a month. He told an asylum doctor that ‘his recent behaviour was due to alcoholism’.94

THE IMPACT OF HAVING A DRUNKEN HUSBAND

The impact on families of having a drunken husband and father was serious, as illustrated in the papers on women’s lives and on the Roycroft brothers. For instance, in 1908, when a prohibited man pleaded guilty to having been drunk, the constable said that he ‘was a married man with a young family. His wife had frequently complained of his excessive drinking’.95

Being drunk could mean being deprived of employment. For instance, in June 1884 James Joseph Clements96 sued Edward Peel, a Te Aroha cordial manufacturer,97 for £38 3s 4d, for wages owing. He told the court that Peel ‘never complained of my being drunk. When he discharged me I had some drink in, but was not drunk. The only reason he gave me was he could not keep two men’. His wife Ann confirmed that he ‘was not drunk on the day he was discharged’. When Peel told her he had paid a publican ‘a bill of about £3 for drink supplied to my husband’ she replied that she ‘would not pay it’. She insisted that ‘Peel never told me he would have to discharge my husband for being drunk’, but under cross-examination said she had asked Peel to give Clements’ wages to her ‘because he spent his money in the public houses. Mr Peel complained to me of my husband drinking. I told Mr Peel it would be necessary to obtain a prohibition order against my husband on account of the big scores he was running up at hotels’.

Peel then gave evidence that in January ‘plaintiff was out at Wairongomai and got drunk and upset the trap, I discharged him and paid

94 Avondale Asylum, Case Book 1890-1892, folio 613, YCAA 1048/5; Register of Admissions 1885-1896, no. 1712, YCAA 1021/2, ANZ-A.
95 Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 26 November 1908, p. 2.
96 See Bay of Plenty Times, 20 September 1881, p. 3; advertisement, Auckland Star, 6 February 1894, p. 5; Death Certificate of James Joseph Clements, 1923/3958, BDM.
him his wages’. When he came back ‘promising to reform if I took him on again’, Clements was given ‘another trial. Since January he has been drinking a good deal and several parties came up for accounts against him, and he authorized me in the presence of these parties to pay them, and I did’. He was discharged in June ‘on account of his being hopelessly drunk’. He confirmed that Ann had ‘come to me complaining of her husband drinking and spending his money at hotels. She spoke of getting out a prohibition order against him’. One publican then stated that after being owed money he refused to give Clements ‘further credit, he seemed annoyed and brought in Mr Peel who promised to pay it’, and did. Another publican stated he had ‘often’ seen Clements ‘the worse for drink, and he often forgot orders of mine’. Kenrick awarded £5 15s, already paid into court, and determined that Clements had been ‘lawfully discharged without notice on account of being drunk’. He further ‘commented on the pernicious system of employers promising to pay drink bills on behalf of workmen, who were unfortunately too fond of drink’. Clements’ authorizing Peel ‘to pay his drink bill and stop it out of his wages’ was ‘to the loss of his wife and family. The payment was a legal one, but such an arrangement in reality really encouraged drinking habits, and ought to be discouraged.’

In one case, drink caused the collapse of a marriage. William Gooding was a miner, labourer, carter, and timber cutter with an interest in one Waiorongomai mine. Until the early twentieth century, his wife, Inga Dorothea, owned property at Te Aroha and sold butter, eggs, and fruit. In October 1905, she sold her land, presumably because she was leaving him; subsequently she left New Zealand.

---

99 See Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folio 316, BBAV 11567/1a, ANZ-A; Piako County Council, Minutes of Meeting of 4 August 1891, Matamata-Piako District Council Archives, Te Aroha; *Te Aroha News*, 29 June 1911, p. 2; Death Certificate of William Gooding, 27 June 1911, 1911/5942, BDM.
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Gooding died, in bed, in June 1911, aged 63. Described as a ‘well known identity in Te Aroha’, which could be either an approving or a disapproving description, he had just sold his house to enable him to purchase a fruit farm north of Auckland. He had no relatives in New Zealand, suggesting his wife had left both him but the country. At the inquest, a doctor explained that his lungs ‘showed long continued neglect and death was accelerated by excessive drinking’. A labourer deposed that he taken Gooding home when he was weak from drink and ‘put him to bed without undressing or taking off his boots as he requested it, saying he wished to go to Auckland next day’. The day before his death, as another labourer stated, he did not eat because he ‘had been suffering for some weeks from drink’. He drank alone at home, having ‘periodical fits of drinking’. The local constable described him as ‘a hard working man, but would break out occasionally in drink’. The verdict was that he died of ‘exposure accelerated by drink’.

**SOME DRUNKARDS**

Many papers on local identities include information about their occasional, or more than occasional, lapses into drunkenness. More examples are given below:

**Thomas Bradley**, a respectable member of the Te Aroha community, was the son of a ‘well known magistrate’ in Northern Ireland. After going to the Bendigo goldfield in Australia, unsuccessfully, he joined the Otago gold rush, and from 1861 onwards for about 17 years had a successful coaching line between Dunstan and Dunedin as well as being a publican for a time. After operating another coaching business in the Marton and Whanganui area for three years, he moving to Thames. In a Thames obituary he was recalled as being ‘esteemed by all with whom he came in contact, for his straightforward and honest dealings, and he numbered many all over the colony as personal friends’. Another obituary described him as ‘a good business man, highly respected’, who as a judge of horses and cattle ‘had

---
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few equals’. A Te Aroha correspondent wrote that he ‘had a widespread connection in both islands as a dealer in horseflesh and a coach proprietor’. After settling in the Marton district ‘he combined coaching with the management of a large general store’. After moving to Thames in about 1880, he ran a coaching business, Bradley and Co., with Nathaniel John Ferguson, until five years before his death. ‘Latterly’ he ‘devoted himself almost exclusively to horse dealing, in which he was a recognised authority, having few equals in his knowledge of the good points of a horse’.

As usual, obituaries omitted the less respectable aspects of his life. In 1871 he had become bankrupt when a livery stable keeper at Tokomairiro, and his discharge was suspended for a year because of his varying accounts of his assets and the fact that he had lost over £100 in betting. His Whanganui venture cannot have been too successful either, for he became bankrupt again in 1879, although the estimated value of the assets exceeded his debts.

In early 1882, Bradley first ran coaches to Thames from Paeroa and then Te Aroha. In April, he erected a store at Te Aroha costing £70. He advertised as ‘Cobb & Co’s Telegraph Line of Coaches: Bradley & Co., Proprietors: Te Aroha Line’. The following year, he bought the horse and plant of the rival Te Aroha Stage Coach Company for a ‘very substantial amount’. At the end of that year, there was a forced sale of some assets, but his firm quickly recovered and expanded. From 1882 until 1893 it had the contract to deliver mail between Thames and Morrinsville and to

---
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other settlements in the district.\textsuperscript{117} The \textit{Te Aroha News}, in 1890, said the firm had ‘given universal satisfaction’ with their mail service.\textsuperscript{118} Before the railway line reached Te Aroha, ‘the enterprising coach proprietors’ connected it with Morrinsville.\textsuperscript{119}

In January 1886, Bradley drove a reporter around Ohinemuri:

Bradley and Co. deserve all praise for the excellent coaching accommodation they provide. They are as punctual as clockwork. Last week Mr Bradley had landed a fine new coach built expressly for the Thames-Te Aroha line. He is pushing the business in all possible directions, and providing facilities for travelling by granting return and round tickets wherever possible. He is only sorry that he cannot arrange with the railway authorities for the round trip from Auckland via Waikato and back by the Thames. However, if Te Aroha and its hot springs do not become appreciated, he is determined the fault will not lie at his door.\textsuperscript{120}

In August, a newspaper described his ‘enterprising’ company’s large addition to its Thames building, which provided more stalls for their horses along with a booking office and a horse bazaar and a large cattle yard at the rear.

As is well-known, Messrs Bradley’s coaching service has hitherto given entire satisfaction, and we understand they intend to still further develop their already well-organized assisting of means of travelling, by having at the disposal of the public several first class American buggies which Mr Bradley has just had consigned to him.\textsuperscript{121}

They had expanded their building ‘in the expectation of a large increase of business’, and also intended holding periodic horse sales.\textsuperscript{122}


\textsuperscript{119} Waikato Times, 20 February 1885, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{120} Own Correspondent, ‘Thames to Ohinemuri by Coach’, Auckland Weekly News, 2 January 1886, p. 6.

\textsuperscript{121} Travelling Correspondent, ‘Te Aroha Notes’, Thames Advertiser, 2 August 1886, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{122} Waikato Times, 24 July 1886, p. 3.
Their new ‘round trip, Auckland to Waikato via Thames’, was ‘well patronized by the public, and proved a great boon to commercial men and holiday makers’.123

In April 1888, a reporter touring the goldfields was driven by Bradley, who was described as being ‘most attentive to the wants and comforts of his passengers’, for instance carefully avoiding ‘several deep ruts and mud holes’.124 Six months later, a Thames newspaper praised his ‘enterprising firm’ after a reporter was invited ‘to enjoy a trip’ through Thames in the ‘Maratoto’, their new omnibus, which could seat 36 people. ‘No need to fear that a lengthy journey would cause any unpleasant sensations in this turn-out as the seats are as soft as the most fastidious traveller might require’. Bradley drove ‘in his usual professional manner, and his control of the six prancing bays was a sight which would have gladdened the famous Jehu of old’; there was ‘a noticeable amount of admiration from the onlookers’. In the following month the firm would celebrate its eighth anniversary, its line of coaches being ‘arranged on the same principle as Tom Bradley so successfully adopted in the South Island’. Mail to ‘up-country townships’ had ‘always been regularly delivered, and no complaints have ever been made’ because the firm carried out ‘the conditions of their contract to the letter’. Their Thames building would ‘favourably compare with any in the North Island’ and would soon be extended considerably. They had depots at Hikutaia, Paeroa, and Te Aroha, ‘where they have stable accommodation second to none in the colony’. They had recently purchased another coach proprietor’s business, and the newspaper wished “Tom Bradley and Nat Ferguson the enterprising and spirited proprietors every success’.125 The Hamilton newspaper also considered ‘great credit’ was due ‘for the admirable manner in which they provide for the comfort of travellers’; their ‘Maratoto’ bus ‘cannot be surpassed’.126

With the development of Waihi and Tauranga in the early 1890s, these ‘popular and enterprising’ men provided a round trip to the latter.127 When the business was sold in 1893, a Thames newspaper wrote that ‘the wants of the travelling public have been attended to in the best possible manner’,

123 Waikato Times, 16 May 1893, p. 2.
125 Thames Advertiser, 12 October 1888, p. 2.
126 Waikato Times, 15 January 1889, p. 2; see also 22 January 1889, p. 3.
the firm having only one accident during its 11 years. It was ‘partly due to the exertions and energy displayed by this firm that Te Aroha is the place it is today’.\textsuperscript{128} The Hamilton newspaper reported that ‘many people were surprised’ on hearing that the business had been sold.

That the firm had been making money must have been pretty evident to those other residents in that part of the country, who noted the expansion of their business. As might have been expected, opposition from time to time had to be met, but invariably went down under the good generalship of the firm who had so long enjoyed the well deserved patronage of the public, due to the personal care and attention Mr Bradley and his partner Nat Ferguson always devoted to their clients.

They had looked after their horses well, and only lost five in accidents.\textsuperscript{129}

In all departments the interests and convenience of the public were studied. At their stages the firm had built commodious stables, etc, away from the public houses, so that their passengers might alight or remain in their seats without fearing the annoyance of the usual hanger-on so often met with at wayside “pubs.”

Their mail services were so satisfactory ‘that the authorities never had occasion to inflict a fine for carelessness or for failing to deliver their mails up to contract time. We congratulate the old firm on their successful career’. It was understood that Bradley would ‘settle down on his Meadow Bank Estate, a fine property of some thousand acres in the vicinity of Te Aroha, and we have little doubt, carrying the same business capacity with him in this venture, he will become one of our leading agriculturalists’.\textsuperscript{130}

Two years after selling his coaching business, Bradley had capital estimated at from £1,500 to £2,000. His bank manager recorded that his overdraft was ‘sound’, with both freehold and leasehold land as security, and that he was ‘in a fair way of business’.\textsuperscript{131} Over the next 18 months, he was described as ‘In sound position’, ‘Of fair means – position sound – well

\textsuperscript{128} Thames Advertiser, 21 April 1893, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{129} For one accident, caused by a dog, see Te Aroha News, 8 June 1889, p. 2.
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doing. Active & profitable account’, and, in the last entry made before his death, ‘Of fair means, position sound – Shrewd’. Although he died with a debt to the bank of £461 7s 9d, his estate was valued at under £1,500, and his widow was able to open an account by depositing ‘£4/500’. By having only a minimal investment in Waiorongomai mining, he had not been greatly affected by the field’s decline.

As might be expected, over many years Bradley was active in the Te Aroha Jockey Club as a steward and a member of its management committee. He supported the local Volunteers by offering a cup for a competition, and was a vice-president of the football club. In 1892 he was elected to the town board, and was re-elected two years later with the fourth highest vote, 61; the highest received was 70. His election meant that he was also a member of the domain board. He was a prominent supporter of the Liberal Party. All in all, as the obituaries stated, he was a respectable pillar of the community.

Yet could he have been the Thomas Bradley, then a labourer living in Hobson Street, who was before the Auckland police court in September 1880 for having broken nine panes of glass belonging to the city market and the council? ‘He was not aware of having done it, but he believed he did.
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appears he had drunk himself into a state of madness, and was smashing all before him until he was captured’.142 When living in Te Aroha it was certain that he had a serious drinking problem. In May 1885 his partner, Ferguson, charged him with excessive drinking, and a prohibition order was taken out against him for the districts of Te Aroha, Waitoa, and Thames.143 This order did not stop him drinking, for two weeks later a man was charged with providing him with alcohol.144 Three months later, in a case ignored by the press, he was charged in Paeroa with being drunk in charge of a four-horse coach. A publican deposed that ‘he was the worse for liquor – he was not sober – I noticed his manner as being unusual etc – I have no doubt he was under the influence of liquor’. Cross-examined, he described Bradley as ‘slipping & staggering’. Another publican on first seeing him ‘could not say he was drunk – but he was not sober’. An hour later, Bradley had dinner at his hotel.

He was then the worse for liquor & I was then anxious to get him away – & Since then harnessed the Horses for him but man got in the box to drive the Coach away – After the Coach was driven away Def followed it & got up to it – his people were anxious to prevent his driving the Coach that day as he was drunk.

The Paeroa policeman saw him drive the coach and ‘deliver papers to a boy - & he seemed muddled & relied on the Boy to put him right’. The policeman could not say he was under the influence, ‘he being a stranger – but I think he was so’. In his defence, Bradley admitted that he had had ‘a glass of liquor & was a little fresh – but delivered my mail alright’. He was fined £2 and costs.145

Bradley was not before the court again until September 1893, four months after selling his business, when he was charged with excessive drinking. Entering no plea, he was ‘Remanded for 3 Months as promise by Bradley to leave off drinking. May be brought before the Court in the

142 Police Court, New Zealand Herald, 18 September 1880, p. 6.
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145 Paeroa Magistrate’s Court, Notes of Evidence 1884-1892, entry for 10 August 1885, ZAAP 13790/1a, ANZ-A.
meantime if required’.  

146 It was required, nine days later, when he made no plea to another charge of excessive drinking; a prohibition order was issued.  

147 On the same charge, in October 1895 he admitted his guilt and was remanded for three months on a promise to ‘leave off drinking’.  

148 Twelve days later, he was before the court on the same charge, and another prohibition order was issued.  

149 Nevertheless, he continued to drink, and in September 1897 Ferguson sought another order, but the application was withdrawn after Bradley died.  

150 He was only 56, and perhaps his drinking had exacerbated the kidney disease he died from.

Charles Lawson may really have been Larsen or Lenton, and he may have been born in Denmark, Holland, Germany, or Norway. One newspaper simply described him as ‘a foreigner’. Born in 1834, he was a labourer and miner. He was minimally involved in mining at

146 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 21/1893, entry for 4 September 1893, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.

147 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 21/1893, entry for 13 September 1893, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.

148 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 17/1895, entry for 6 October 1895, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.

149 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 17/1895, entry for 19 October 1895, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.

150 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1896-1907, 32/1897, BCDG 11220/1b, ANZ-A.


153 Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, no. 17, in private possession; Paeroa Magistrate’s Court, Thames Advertiser, 18 December 1877, p. 3; New Zealand Police Gazette, 30 January 1879, p. 16; Police Court, Thames Star, 6 June 1900, p. 2; Death Certificate of Charles Lawson, 4 July 1911, 1911/826, BDM.

154 Thames Advertiser, 20 October 1883, p. 3.

Waiorongomai,  but his drinking was not at all minimal, and is almost the only thing that can be discovered about his life.

In March 1880, he may have been the Charles Lawson who was charged with using abusive and threatening language in Tauranga.  In Te Aroha, his first arrest for being drunk and disorderly was in March 1882. He made no plea, and was convicted and discharged, ‘Defendant having been locked up for a night’. In October, he was locked up there once more, for being drunk and incapable, and once more made no plea. Fined 40s, or in default 48 hours imprisonment, he was imprisoned. The following year, he was found in a Thames street ‘far gone in liquor, his person being in a most filthy condition’. Fined 5s for being drunk and 20s for resisting a constable, he accepted 48 hours imprisonment instead of the fine. In April 1884 he was fined for being drunk at Thames. At Te Aroha, in January 1885 he pleaded guilty to being drunk, and was dismissed with a caution, but his plea of not guilty to using obscene language was not believed, and as he was also considered to be a vagrant, he was sentenced to a week of hard labour in the Thames gaol. In October 1886, he pleaded guilty to being drunk in Thames, and was fined. In December 1887, he was arrested in Thames for what the magistrate described as language ‘of the grossest possible character’. After he pleaded not guilty, a constable deposed to having heard him ‘use the objectionable language twice’.

---

156 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Plaint Book 1880-1898, 3/1882, BBAV 11547/1a, ANZ-A.
157 Police Department, Tauranga Summons Book 1877-1887, folio 23, BAVG 4775/1a, ANZ-A.
158 Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, no. 17, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 6/1882, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
159 Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, no. 33, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 26/1882, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
160 Thames Advertiser, 20 October 1883, p. 3.
161 Thames Advertiser, 21 April 1884, p. 2.
163 Thames Advertiser, 18 October 1886, p. 2.
Prisoner explained that he had been to the Telegraph office and was returning when some boys met him and insulted him. He became excited and spoke to them in his own language, which had been misunderstood. The constable in arresting him used considerable violence. – Constable Scott, recalled, denied this. The man resisted and in the scuffle fell and cut himself. There were fifty people present who saw what took place.164

Lawson was fined £5, in default one month in prison, and when he ‘asked to be allowed to go and send the money’, presumably at the telegraph office, the magistrate said he ‘would certainly allow such an offender no favour’.165 Unable or unwilling to pay the fine, he was imprisoned for a month with hard labour.166 Yet again arrested for being drunk in Te Aroha in 1888, he admitted the offence and was convicted and cautioned.167 In court, he ‘made a long rambling statement ... to the effect that he was plaintiff in a case before the Court the previous day, and got greatly excited through having lost his case, and took too much drink, but begged to be let off this time, and promised to never so transgress again’.168

Lawson did not transgress again in Te Aroha, but only because he left the district. In 1900, at Thames, ‘Charles Lawson better known as “German Charlie” was charged with being illegally on the premises’ of a coaching firm. He pleaded guilty, ‘and was discharged on his promise to leave the town immediately’.169 Lawson wrote to the justices of the peace thanking them for their decision.170 He died in 1911,171 without being in trouble with the law again for his drinking.

Alexander Russell, a carpenter, was a Scots Presbyterian, born in either 1840 or 1843.172 Much of his life in New Zealand was spent in a

164 Police Court, *Thames Advertiser*, 18 December 1877, p. 3.
165 Police Court, *Thames Advertiser*, 19 December 1877, p. 3.
166 *New Zealand Police Gazette*, 30 January 1878, p. 16.
167 Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 5/1888, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 10/1888, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
170 *Thames Star*, 8 June 1900, p. 4.
171 Death Certificate of Charles Lawson, 4 July 1911, 1911/826, BDM.
drunken stupor. In 1880, when living in Thames, he pleaded guilty to being
drunk and incapable in a main street and was convicted and cautioned.\textsuperscript{173}
After moving to Te Aroha early in 1882,\textsuperscript{174} he was almost immediately
locked up for being drunk, incapable, and disorderly; he pleaded guilty, and
paid the 5s fine.\textsuperscript{175} In November, a prohibition order was issued against him
for the Te Aroha and Waitoa districts.\textsuperscript{176}

Once this order expired, he started drinking again.\textsuperscript{177} In March 1884,
charged with being drunk and disorderly, he was fined 5s, but at this small
amount was not paid, he was locked up until the rising of the court.\textsuperscript{178}
Three months later, he was locked up for attempting to set fire to the stable
of a Morrinsville hotel, but was ‘Discharged for want of sufficient
evidence’.\textsuperscript{179} The publican had given evidence that Russell had slept in his
hotel on a Saturday night and breakfasted there the following morning.

He had been drinking on Saturday night and wanted a drink on
Sunday morning, but I refused him. After this he became noisy
and fighting, so I put him in the loose-box in the stable. I locked
him up there to keep him quiet. I was in the stable two or three
times during the day. Believe he was sleeping, as he did not make
any noise.

In the afternoon, a fire broke out in this box.

\textsuperscript{173} Thames Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1879-1881, entry for 13 September
1880, BACL 13736/39a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{174} Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Applications 1880-1882, folios 163, 197, BBAV
11505/3a; Rent Ledger 1881-1900, folios 96, 201, BBAV 11501/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{175} Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903,
no. 15, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-
1896, 4/1882, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{176} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, number not known
[page missing], November 1882, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{177} Te Aroha News, 21 June 1884, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{178} Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903,
20/1884, in private possession.
\textsuperscript{179} Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903,
28/1884, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-
1896, 53/1884, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
Found accused on his hands and knees near the fire. Stamped the fire out and put water on it. Could get no sense out of accused till the next morning, when he said he knew nothing about it. Believe he was at the time so muddled with drink he did not know what he was doing. Don’t believe he has any ill-feeling against me. Believe he lighted the fire only to keep himself warm. \textsuperscript{180}

After his acquittal, Russell pleaded guilty to excessive drinking and a prohibition order was issued against him. \textsuperscript{181}

In June 1886 he was locked up for being drunk and disorderly and fined 5s on each charge. \textsuperscript{182} Four months later, he was once more locked up for being drunk and using obscene language, and received seven days in Mount Eden gaol for the first offence and three months for the second. \textsuperscript{183} In July 1888, after once more being locked up for the same offences, he again admitted his guilt. Fined 10s for the first offence, he did not pay, and received 24 hours hard labour in Mount Eden. For the second offence, he received three months of hard labour. \textsuperscript{184} The sergeant described how he had received a complaint on Saturday afternoon that Russell was very drunk and making use of most filthy language. Immediately he went up and warned the accused to at once go home, but instead of that he lay down on the footpath after going a short distance towards his home. He then arrested him, but he refused to walk and had to be conveyed in a cart to the lock up. The language he made use of in his hearing was filthy in the extreme.

A butcher’s bookkeeper stated that Russell ‘abused him in a most unwarranted manner, using very foul language and sat down on the

\textsuperscript{180} Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 21 June 1884, p. 7.

\textsuperscript{181} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 54/1884, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.


\textsuperscript{184} Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges Taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1898, 21, 22/1888, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 33, 34/1888, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A; \textit{New Zealand Police Gazette}, 24 October 1888, p. 205.
doorstep’ of the shop, ‘causing obstruction to business and great annoyance. His conduct was very bad’.  

In September 1889, he pleaded guilty and paid the 5s fine for being drunk. He also pleaded guilty to excessive drinking, which resulted in another protection order being issued. Despite this order, three months later he admitted being drunk and paid the 20s fine to avoid being imprisoned. At the end of the year, no doubt to the delight of the local constabulary, he left Te Aroha. For a time he kept out of trouble, but in 1898 ‘an elderly man named Alexander Russell’ was charged in Paeroa with being drunk and disorderly. After a witness stated his character ‘was very good’, he was fined another 20s. Perhaps his drinking was declining, for there were no further reports of his being drunk; or did he drank more discreetly at home?

TWO FEMALE DRUNKARDS

Public drunkenness by women was uncommon in the Te Aroha district. A notable example of this behaviour was Annie Curnow, a Scot, and perhaps only nominally a Presbyterian, who was aged 47 in 1884. In June that year, she pleaded guilty to having been drunk and was fined 5s, the justice of the peace ‘reprimanding defendant, and remarking on the disgraceful nature of the charge against a female’. Two months later, a prohibition order was taken out against her. Also in 1884, her husband William, a Cornish miner also aged 47, was convicted of being drunk and

---

185 Police Court, Te Aroha News, 11 July 1888, p. 2.
186 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 53, 54/1889, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
187 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 80/1889, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
188 Te Aroha News, 11 December 1889, p. 7.
189 Ohinemuri Gazette, 27 July 1898, p. 2.
191 Police Court, Te Aroha News, 14 June 1884, p. 2.
192 Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 23 August 1884, p. 2.
disorderly and sentenced to one month’s hard labour in Thames.\textsuperscript{193} Her charge that she had been deserted and left without adequate means of support was withdrawn.\textsuperscript{194} Four years later, he pleaded guilty to being drunk and disorderly at Waiorongomai and was fined £1. Annie, who had been locked up for the same offence, was fined 5s, in default to be imprisoned until the court rose.\textsuperscript{195} Two months later, two women sought prohibition orders against both Curnows, but as neither applicants nor defendants appeared in court the cases were struck out.\textsuperscript{196} Four months later, in February 1889, Annie pleaded guilty to having been drunk and disorderly and to using obscene language. The constable explained that on a Saturday night, in front of her house at Waiorongomai, she used ‘very bad language to her husband…. This was a frequent occurrence, as soon as accused had some drink in her she seemed to go mad. The police said they would not press for a heavy penalty as a prohibition order would be applied for … and she had promised to amend her ways’. After she was fined 10s, they were both charged ‘with excessive drinking, thereby wasting their substance, interrupting the peace and happiness of their family, etc’. They pleaded guilty and agreed to a prohibition order forbidding the sale of liquor to them in the districts of Te Aroha and Waitoa for 12 months.\textsuperscript{197} A correspondent reported that, ‘possibly through the law’s delay in serving the different hotels in the district with the necessary notices’, they both obtained liquor to drink at home. The afternoon after being convicted, Annie, ‘who, by the way, is said to be the stronger of the twain – was found in a mining ditch at Waiorongomai so helplessly drunk that some of the boys meditated damming up the ditch, so that she might experience a thorough external soaking as well’.\textsuperscript{198} Whilst they were not before the local court again, it unlikely that they gave up drinking, just becoming more discreet.

\textsuperscript{193} Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 34/1884, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 60/1884, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{194} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1893, 35/1884, BCDG 11200/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{195} Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 18 August 1888, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{196} Magistrate’s Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 10 October 1888, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{197} Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 16 February 1889, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{198} Te Aroha Correspondent, \textit{Waikato Times}, 19 February 1889, p. 2.
The second example of a female drunkard was connected to Te Aroha only through her husband, Josiah Mallett, a stonemason, who had been born in Cornwall in 1842. During the 1870s, they lived at Thames, where Mallett worked and invested in mining; he was foreman in one in late 1868. A year later, in addition to working in a claim he looked after an investor's machine for £1 a week. In March 1870 he sued for money owing:

If Mr Greenaway says he made no agreement the statement would be untrue — had a verbal agreement — I am supposed to look after the machine & go to the premises once or twice a day — it takes me two or three hours together cleaning the tables & the machine nearly once every day - tables require rubbing down every day, water dirty in general.

Two years later, ‘a very loose’ contract resulted in another court case. Despite these problems, when at Thames he erected a ‘very superior four-roomed residence’, which, when sold in December 1873, was described as ‘One of the Best-Furnished Houses in the Thames. It stands in the centre of a large Section of Land, laid out in the first style of Ornamental Gardening’. He had ‘spared no expense to produce all those comforts the houses of old England are so justly celebrated for’. He received £120 for the house and a good price for his furniture and effects. Subsequently he

---

199 For example, Te Aroha Supplementary Electoral Roll No. 1, 1891, p. 2.
200 Ancestry.co.uk.
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202 Thames Warden's Court, Register of Agreements and Licenses 1868-1870, folio 247, BACL 14417/1a, ANZ-A.
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206 Thames Advertiser, 16 December 1873, p. 3.
owned 'Mount Cottage in Mount Pleasant, a superior suburb of Thames.\textsuperscript{207} After selling this, he lived for a time at Coromandel.\textsuperscript{208}

At the beginning of December 1880, he joined the Te Aroha rush and invested in three Tui claims.\textsuperscript{209} Nearly three months later, he fled to Auckland after the murder of Hamiora Haira\textsuperscript{210} aroused Maori wrath,\textsuperscript{211} although there was no indication that he was involved, before returning to Thames.\textsuperscript{212}

The first time Mallett was in trouble with the law was in 1873, when he was charged with striking another man in the face with his clenched fist. As the combatants did not appear in court, the case was struck out. At the same hearing, he was accused of using ‘abusive and insulting words’ against a different man ‘with intent to provoke a breach of the peace’, but as neither party turned up the outcome was the same.\textsuperscript{213}

Although Mallett drank without coming to the attention of the police, his wife Ellen was an alcoholic. She had been born in 1847 in the same Cornish town as him.\textsuperscript{214} The first indication of marital strife was in July 1879, when Mallett gave public notice that he would ‘not be responsible for any Debts my wife Ellen may contract after this date’.\textsuperscript{215} The following July, when she charged him with not providing for her,\textsuperscript{216} the Thames evening paper could not resist the headline ‘Malletting and Deserting a Wife’.\textsuperscript{217} The morning newspaper described him as ‘a respectable-looking man’. He admitted that ‘the charge was true. He had not supplied any money since the 29th of June because of her drunken habits’. Ellen then gave evidence:

\begin{footnotes}
\item[207] \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 30 September 1878, p. 2.
\item[208] magistrate’s Court, \textit{Thames Star}, 12 July 1880, p. 2.
\item[209] Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Miner’s Right No. 590, issued 1 December 1880, Miners’ Rights Butt Book 1880, BBAV 11533/1d; Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folios 178, 191, 201, BBAV 11567/1a, ANZ-A; Te Aroha Warden’s Court, \textit{Thames Star}, 27 January 1881, p. 2.
\item[210] See paper on the Te Aroha murder of 1881.
\item[211] \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 23 February 1881, p. 3.
\item[212] \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 21 October 1881, p. 3.
\item[213] Police Court, \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 28 July 1873, p. 3.
\item[214] Ancestry.co.uk.
\item[216] Thames Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1879-1881, entry for 12 July 1880, BACL 13736/30a, ANZ-A.
\item[217] Magistrate’s Court, \textit{Thames Star}, 12 July 1880, p. 2.
\end{footnotes}
Some time ago her husband went away to Coromandel, and she went after him. She lived with him there for three months. He returned to the Thames at the end of last month, and a day or two after she followed him.... She went to his house, and he turned her out. He beat her shamefully, and kicked her. This was just after she went into the house. She had been married fifteen years. Josiah told her that if she brought her boxes back from Coromandel he would smash them and her too. She obtained protection at the house of a neighbour, Mr Mellor, a carter. After Josiah beat her she was ashamed to go outside the house. She was sober at the time. She had never been drunk in her life. She would be willing to go back to his house. He had a bad temper. She wished the court to order him to maintain her. When he left Coromandel he left her no money, but she had £2 in her possession that her sister in Sydney had sent her. She was positive she was quite sober when she went to her husband’s house.

Mary Mellor described Ellen coming to her house appearing ‘to be in great trouble’ and asking to be taken in. ‘Mrs Mallett was a very respectable woman, and not given to drinking. She was of a nervous disposition. Witness examined her body and found a number of marks on it, such as would be caused by her husband beating her’. Cross-examined by Mallett, she insisted that Ellen was not drunk and had been ‘quite sober’ whilst living in her house, although agreeing that she ‘might have had a glass of beer without witness knowing of it’. Mallett then gave evidence:

He was a miner. For the last two years his wife had been addicted to drink. He tried to break her of it, but without success. Hundreds of times within the past three years he had come home from work wet, cold, and hungry, and found no dinner prepared, and his wife lying drunk on the sofa. He denied that on the 3rd inst. he ill-treated her. He had no money and was not worth 5s now. He could bring witnesses to prove that she was always drinking, but had no money to subpoena them. He was now working in a tribute. He had been most unfortunate of late. He was now laid up with a bad hand.

He was cross-examined by Ellen’s counsel:

During the time she was in Coromandel he supported her. He was now living with two men. When she came back from Coromandel she told him that she had plenty of money in her pocket. She then had half-a-sovereign and some silver in her hand. He had
sometimes struck her over the head with the back of his hand, but he had had great provocation. During the past three weeks he had only received £5. He got that for working in a claim at Tararu. He had received nothing from the tribute yet.

A constable deposed that Ellen, since coming back from Coromandel, had been ‘very drunk’ when attempting to get into her house but ‘not very violent’.

When he saw her she was leaning against the gate. He smelt liquor on her. He saw a mark on her face then; it was a scratch, not a bruise. – His Worship said the woman’s tale had broken down, and she had failed to prove that her husband had deserted her. Of course the dismissal of the present case did not release him from the responsibility of maintaining his wife, and he would advise him to make some arrangement for contributing to her support. – Mallett said he would try and do so.218

The evening newspaper added further information, quoting her counsel that ‘for some time the parties had been living very unhappily together’. Mallett ‘had several times ill-treated and beaten his client who still bore evidence of his brutality – he had also been accustomed to get drunk. The defendant had several times left his wife, and did not leave her any means of support’. Ellen wanted £5 a week; Mallett stated that she had been drunken for the past three years and claimed that he had only struck her once, after ‘great provocation’.

He could not live with his wife, who was frequently lying drunk on the couch when he returned from work, and when there was often no fire or supper for him. He had lived a miserable life with her. While down at Coromandel he had heard she was “carrying on” and getting drunk, but he could not send for her as he had no money. His wife could keep herself as well as he could, as he had not a penny to bless himself with.219

Mallett’s finances were indeed in a bad state, and early in 1885, when a stonemason in Auckland, he was forced into bankruptcy.220 His liabilities

218 Police Court, *Thames Advertiser*, 13 July 1880, p. 3.
220 Supreme Court, Bankruptcy Register 1884-1927, folio 128, BAEA 11029/1a, ANZ-A; *Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand*, 14 March 1885, p. 87.
totalled £148 4s 6d; his assets were initially listed as furniture, worth £18, and jewellery worth £22 8s.221

I am a journeyman stonemason, but have devoted a great deal of my time to gold prospecting in the Thames district up to three years ago, when I came to Auckland, and have worked at my trade, off and on, ever since. I was not successful as a gold prospector, and when I left the Thames I owed about £80; about twelve months before I left I gave two of my creditors jewellery to the value of £22 8s, as security for their claims. It is understood that the jewellery is redeemable, but, as a matter of fact, their value would barely pay the indebtedness; these two claims are included in the £80 referred to. During the three years I have been in Auckland I have been laid up thirteen weeks with a bad leg; on another occasion I was incapacitated for nine weeks; besides this my work has been very uncertain – sometimes out of work for many days together, and on one occasion for seven weeks at a stretch. I am a married man, but without children. When I got work I earned 12s a day, but during the whole three years I have not earned on an average more than half that sum. I can positively say that I have not had fifteen months’ work in the three years, so that with loss of time and doctor’s bills my earnings were not sufficient to meet expenses, hence an increase of my indebtedness, in that time, by £68 4s 6d. I have about 25s worth of tools, and my furniture, set down at £18, would not fetch £10 at a sale. I have nothing else in the shape of assets, and cannot hold out any hopes of satisfying my creditors.222

When bankruptcy proceedings were closed, the liabilities were given as £125 16s 6d and the assets as £18.223

After Mallett broke a leg in 1888, he applied for charitable aid.224 Whereas this was an accident, in 1891 he successfully charged James Colby, an Auckland shopkeeper,225 with ‘kicking him and breaking his leg’.226 This

221 Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand, 21 March 1885, p. 95.
222 Auckland Weekly News, 21 March 1885, p. 15.
224 Auckland Hospital and Charitable Aid Board, Applications for Relief 1888-1894, folio 260, no. 172, YCAB 15245/1a, ANZ-A;
225 See Thames Advertiser, 4 July 1878, p. 3; Auckland Star, 5 September 1881, p. 2; New Zealand Herald, 1 August 1884, p. 4, Magistrate’s Court, 15 August 1884, p. 3.
injury required a month in hospital, a month without any income. The court case revealed that, despite their limited finances, he and his wife continued to drink:

Josiah Mallett deposed that he was a stonemason, residing in East-street, Newton. On Wednesday evening, November 25, he went into James Colby’s shop, and wished accused good evening, and asked whether another man, who was present, was a boarder or not. Accused was drunk at the time. Witness left the shop after getting some abuse and being challenged to fight. At half-past eight the same evening witness, who was accompanied by his wife, met accused in Pitt-street. Accused rushed at witness, and struck out with his left hand. Accused then drew back and kicked witness with all his might, breaking his leg just about four inches above the ankle. Witness then fell to the ground, telling the accused that his leg was broken, who nevertheless came forward and gave witness two or three kicks on his broken leg. Accused then went up to witness’s wife, who was remonstrating with him, and struck her twice in the face. Accused then disappeared.... Cross-examined: Witness did not abuse accused for inveigling his wife into his shop. He did not know why he went to accused’s shop on the occasion in question. Witness did not know of any reason for a dispute between himself and accused.

After confirming the attack, under cross-examination Ellen stated she ‘sometimes’ went into Colby’s shop ‘to buy fish’, but ‘never’ to ask ‘for beer or money’. She also denied having ‘been frequently in the Naval and Family Hotel that afternoon’. A tailor confirmed an assault with hands but not feet, and said Ellen ‘did not appear to be sober’.

James Colby deposed that about three days before the alleged assault he had to send for a policeman to put Mrs Mallett and a man out of his shop, both being drunk at the time. Witness had a quarrel with Mallett for using obscene language in his shop. Witness struck Mallett with his fist, who fell breaking his leg.

As the magistrate noted ‘several discrepancies in the evidence on both sides’, the charge was reduced to common assault and Colby was fined the maximum penalty of £10.228

---

227 Auckland Hospital, Register of Admissions 1884-1893, folio 194, no. 2749, ZAAP 15288/1a, ANZ-A.
228 New Zealand Herald, 31 December 1891, p. 3.
Mallett and his wife had previously been before the court in Auckland, usually because of Ellen’s drinking problem. In April 1888, she pleaded guilty to being drunk, and when she did not pay the 10s fine was imprisoned for 48 hours with hard labour.\(^{229}\) If Mallett had refused to provide the money needed to avoid prison, this either indicated that he had little money, and that what little money he had was wasted by Ellen, or that he was trying to teach her a lesson. In June 1889, she was fined 5s for being drunk.\(^{230}\) One month later, she was imprisoned for not paying 10s for being drunk again.\(^{231}\) Not till January the following year was Ellen taken to court for the usual offence; as usual she pleaded guilty, but, unable or unwilling to pay the 10s fine, she was imprisoned for 48 hours.\(^{232}\) In December, she again pleaded guilty and again, for not paying the 5s fine plus the 2s cab fare, was imprisoned for 24 hours with hard labour.\(^{233}\) The following March, she paid 10s for the usual offence.\(^{234}\) Four months later, she pleaded guilty to being drunk and paid another 10s.\(^{235}\) Twice in December 1892 she admitted being drunk and was imprisoned twice because the fines were not paid.\(^{236}\) In June 1895, she was imprisoned for not paying 15s for being drunk yet again.\(^{237}\) Released after one day’s

\(^{229}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1887-1888, 592/1888, BADW 10254/11a, ANZ-A.

\(^{230}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1889-1890, folio 118, 1012/1889, BADW 10254/13a, ANZ-A.

\(^{231}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1889-1890, folio 135, 1175/1889, BADW 10254/13a, ANZ-A.

\(^{232}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1889-1890, folio 242, 11/1890, BADW 10254/13a, ANZ-A.

\(^{233}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1890-1891, folio 175, 2109/1890, BADW 10254/14a, ANZ-A.

\(^{234}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1890-1891, folio 232, 392/1891, BADW 10254/14a, ANZ-A.

\(^{235}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1891-1892, folio 66, 1088/1891, BADW 10254/15a, ANZ-A.

\(^{236}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1891-1892, folios 374, 378, BADW 12254/15a, ANZ-A.

\(^{237}\) Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1895-1896, folio 77, BADW 10254/18a, ANZ-A.
imprisonment, she became drunk immediately, and for not paying 10s was imprisoned for four days with hard labour.238

At the end of December, after pleading guilty to having broken six panes of glass belonging to William George Garrard, a dogcatcher and prominent local identity, Ellen was imprisoned for 14 days with hard labour.239 One week after being released she used threatening language to Garrard. Though she admitted guilt, he withdrew the charge on condition she paid the 9s costs within a week.240 Garrard told the court that she ‘had promised peace and quietness for the future’,241 Two weeks later, at his request she be bound over to keep the peace for six months.242 Garrard said ‘he was in constant fear that she would inflict some bodily injury’.243 As she did not pay the costs of his previous suit, she was imprisoned for seven days.244

In contrast, Mallett’s criminal convictions were rare. In 1889, he and another man pleaded not guilty to fighting in Hobson Street, for which they were convicted and discharged with a caution.246 In 1895, he was fined 5s for having an unregistered dog.247 Though it is unlikely that he had sworn off the drink, he was never found drunk in the street.

Curiously, although the court and press records all named her as Ellen Mallett, when released from prison in 1896 she was recorded as ‘Ellen
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241 Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1895-1896, folio 323, BADW 10254/18a, ANZ-A.
242 Police Court, New Zealand Herald, 28 January 1896, p. 6.
243 Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1895-1896, folio 345, BADW 10254/18a, ANZ-A.
244 Police Court, New Zealand Herald, 13 February 1896, p. 6.
246 Auckland Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1889-1890, folio 138, 1203/1889, BADW 10254/13a, ANZ-A; Police Court, New Zealand Herald, 27 July 1889, p. 3.
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Mallett *alias* Williams’.248 Had she been living with another man while Mallett was away from Auckland? In 1891, he had been a stonemason in Waihi.249 In 1897 he led a prospecting party in a Coromandel claim.250 She lived with him there, and continued to drink. In June 1899, she pleaded guilty to being drunk; fined 20s or, in default, 48 hours in the local gaol, she did not pay, and was imprisoned.251 Five months later, she again pleaded guilty, but this time paid the fine.252 In June 1901, despite her long history of drunkenness, she pleaded not guilty when Mallett charged her with excessive drinking; a prohibition order was issued.253 Five months later, she admitted being drunk, and paid the fine.254 The following month, she pleaded guilty to being on licensed premises while a prohibited person. ‘Convicted – Dismissed with caution’.255

By 1904, they were living in Auckland again, but not harmoniously, for in January she charged him with assault:

The complainant stated that she did not wish to proceed against her husband, but she explained that he had, in consequence of some provocative language she had used, hit her on the crown of the head with a hairbrush. The blow was sufficient to cut the scalp, causing a wound an inch in length, from which the blood flowed very freely. She denied that she was under the influence of liquor at the time. The accused admitted the facts, contending at the same time that the blow did not cause the wound. She fell over, he said, striking her head against the door, when her head commenced to bleed very freely. His Worship convicted, and
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254 Coromandel Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1898-1904, folio 112, BACL 13751/1a, ANZ-A.

255 Coromandel Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1898-1904, folio 117, BACL 13751/1a, ANZ-A.
ordered the accused to come up for sentence when called upon. Mallett was also ordered to pay the costs.\(^{256}\)

Yet, despite all these conflicts, usually caused by her alcoholism, they remained together until, in November 1909, Mallett, by then a storeman, found her dead from a heart attack. For some months she had complained of not feeling well.\(^{257}\) Her death was, Mallett stated in the death notice, ‘Deeply regretted’.\(^{258}\) Nearly two years after her death, he died, partly because of heart failure also.\(^{259}\)

**PROHIBITION ORDERS**

The legal justification for issuing a prohibition order was that a person, ‘by excessive drinking of intoxicating liquor wastes his estate, injures his health and interrupts the peace and happiness of his family’.\(^{260}\) A variant of this phraseology was used in 1889 when the Te Aroha policeman charged a man ‘with excessive drinking, thereby injuring his health and wasting his substance’; as was common, the accused ‘said he had no remark to make, and wished the order should be made’.\(^{261}\) The effect of the order was to forbid any publicans within the designated licensing districts providing the prohibited person with alcohol, and the person was prohibited from entering any hotel. The *Observer* doubted the usefulness of a prohibition order because it did not reform. ‘What it mostly does is to turn a drunken loafer into a sober but exceedingly bad-tempered loafer, who is ready to break the law whenever he gets the chance. It is only when the sinner is a consenting party to the issuing of the order that it works well’.\(^{262}\)

Publicans sometimes evaded the order. The *Te Aroha News* stated, early in 1909, that there were ‘grievous complaints that the prohibition...

---


\(^{259}\) Death Certificate of Josiah Mallett, 15 September 1911, 1911/9204, BDM.


\(^{261}\) Magistrate’s Court, *Te Aroha News*, 17 April 1889, p. 2.

\(^{262}\) *Observer*, 2 September 1905, p. 16.
order is a mere empty form in Te Aroha, that it does not prohibit'. To illustrate this belief, in the previous year the Waiorongomai publican pleaded guilty to allowing a prohibited man to stay on his premises. His justification was that he sympathized with an ill man, who was arranging to see a doctor, and, although fined, his license was not endorsed with the conviction. Three months later, the same man was fined for being in this hotel during the currency of the order. In 1910, a prohibited person ‘showed considerable ingenuity in his desire to quench his thirst’ by entering a hotel wearing ‘a false beard and moustache to hide his identity but was not successful’. According to the Observer, his ‘Druid’s false beard’ disguised him ‘so effectually that the host of the hotel didn’t know him. However, this ingenious person was bowled out, and his refresher cost him £3, in addition to the first cost’. In 1883, the chairman of the licensing committee ‘referred in somewhat pointed terms to the fact that, notwithstanding that prohibition orders had been issued against certain persons, they were still able to obtain a supply of liquor when they wanted it, and then not a moderate supply’. Publicans were warned they would lose their license if caught. Three years later, another chairman ‘believed that great laxity existed with respect to complying with the law’ and again threatened to cancel the licenses of offenders.

Publicans were not always to blame for prohibited people obtaining drink, according to one observer. ‘For weeks past such a person has been seen about out streets in a more or less intoxicated condition. We do not think that the local publicans are to blame in this case. Contemptible, loafer creatures, unworthy of the name of men, sponge upon their victims and procure them liquor’. In this case, a man was fined £5 for providing alcohol to a prohibited storekeeper. Despite this success, a week later the Te Aroha News stated that the police seemed unable to deal with the
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271 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1907-1913, 10/1909, BCDG 11220/2a, ANZ-A; Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 25 February 1909, p. 2.
number of prohibited persons and old age pensioners being supplied with drink.\textsuperscript{272} The following year, ‘Disgusted’ wondered whether public drunkenness should be blamed on licensees or ‘those curs in human form who procure liquor for lads and prohibited persons’.\textsuperscript{273} When the latter were prosecuted for being drunk, they refused to say who had provided the alcohol,\textsuperscript{274} frustrating the police.

**SLY GROGGING**

The historian of the West Coast gold rushes stated that ‘sly-grogging was universal’ there.\textsuperscript{275} In 1898 the *Observer* noted the belief that ‘sly grog-selling is the most payable industry upon certain parts of the gold fields just now. And it is not very sly either’.\textsuperscript{276} Six years later, it reported that ‘two individuals who have been running a sly grog shanty for the last seven months claim to have cleared £400 each in that time. They made most of their own whisky’.\textsuperscript{277} It believed that sly grog was being sold in Ohinemuri and Waihi on a massive scale.\textsuperscript{278}

The only known case of attempted sly grogging at Te Aroha was not reported until Henry Jackson, a labourer in the early 1890s who became a fruiterer in the following decade,\textsuperscript{279} recalled it in 1927. When working in the All Nations mine at Stoney Creek, a ‘hard case mate proposed that he should enter into a partnership in running an illicit still. The man had the whole of the plant and had gone so far as to arrange with the hotelkeeper to purchase the whisky’.\textsuperscript{280} A son of Frederick Wild, the policeman of the time,\textsuperscript{281} recalled this occurring in 1889 or shortly afterwards. ‘He remembered his father’s suspicions being aroused by a column of smoke
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frequently seen on the mountain and he climbed up to investigate one day. He did not find the still but the column of smoke was not seen after that’.  

It is not possible to determine who this ‘hard case’ might have been. Whilst there was a very small amount of mining at Stoney Creek since the early 1880s, the All Nations was worked only in 1895 and 1896, one of the many attempts during the mining boom to profit by a quick sale to an English company.  

The names of most of those working this mine are not known. Wild had become a junior policeman in the district in 1888, and if the still was spotted then and the recollection muddled the name of the claim, John Saunders was a likely suspect. A former Thames and Coromandel miner who had worked and invested in the Grand Junction at Thames, in the mid-1880s he was an owner of a Stoney Creek mine with that name. An illiterate Cornishman aged 55 in 1884, he had a serious drinking problem. In July 1872, he had pleaded guilty to being drunk and disorderly in Coromandel, and was fined for using indecent and obscene language, in default imprisonment for 16 days, an indication of the language used. In June 1881 he pleaded guilty to being drunk and
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incapable in a Thames street, but was discharged because he had been locked up overnight.\footnote{Police Court, \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 28 June 1881, p. 2.}

At Te Aroha, he continued in the same manner, in February 1884 being locked up for being drunk and disorderly and using obscene language in the main street; he paid the fines.\footnote{Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at the Te Aroha Lock Up 1880-1902, nos. 12, 13, in private possession; Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 1 March 1884, p. 2.} One week later, he was locked up again for being drunk and using obscene language outside John Bernard Kilian’s\footnote{See paper on the Kilian family.} hotel at Waiorongomai.\footnote{Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at the Te Aroha Lock Up 1880-1903, nos. 16, 17, in private possession.} The sergeant who arrested him described him as being ‘in a beastly state of drunkenness, and using most filthy language’. Saunders pleaded guilty.\footnote{Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 8 March 1884, p. 2.} Sentenced to pay 60s for the first offence or be imprisoned for seven days, and £10 for the bad language or to be imprisoned for two months, he did not pay, and was sent to Mount Eden prison, where he served two months with hard labour.\footnote{\textit{Thames Advertiser}, 8 March 1884, p. 2; \textit{New Zealand Police Gazette}, 28 May 1884, p. 95.}

His passing through Thames en route to imprisonment provoked a Te Aroha miner, Edward Drury, a former journalist and a drunkard who defended other drunkards,\footnote{See \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 24 April 1884, p. 3.} to write to a Thames newspaper. He claimed that it was ‘the general opinion of the residents in this district that the man (a well-known Thames and Coromandel miner – better known as “Jackie Jackie,” or “Cornish Jack”)’ had been ‘harshly and unjustly dealt with’. His case was an example of how some Justices of the Peace treated miners and other workers. Saunders had been fined at the beginning of the month for having ‘a “wee drappie” too much’.

On the ensuing Wednesday morning he left his work on the Hill for the purpose of obtaining a settlement with his late employer at Waiorongomai, and was squared up with by him that evening. On the same night as J.B. Kilian, the proprietor of the Premier Hotel,\footnote{Recorded as the Palace Hotel, a Te Aroha establishment.} sent into town and obtained Sergt. Emerson, who rode out and arrested this simple, unfortunate man, who had been drinking at Kilian’s in company with myself and others.
Drury claimed that Saunders was convicted and fined ‘without his mates or friends having a chance to give evidence on his behalf’, and argued that a drunk man could ‘hardly be held responsible’ for his language. ‘The unfortunate Saunders’ was ‘semi-conscious’, and yet the J P ‘determined to give this man who could neither read or write, and was noted as a hard-working wight the full benefit of the law’. Drury was unaware of any previous offences, which ‘would have been a horse of another colour, and some excuse might have been found for this peculiar and iniquitous sentence’.

What then is to be said to the publican who supplies the man with liquor to bring him to such a condition, and into such a state? What opinion can the public hold of him when he becomes the informant, and to gratify a spleen, furnishes the evidence to secure the conviction? Will Kilian deny he was actuated by malice, and that “Jackie” owes his imprisonment because on times and at occasions he visited the adjoining “pub”? Let him; and I am prepared to depose on oath to the contrary.

Once it was known that ‘this unfortunate man had been so treated, evidence was forthcoming on his behalf’, but too late; ‘so much for’ the Justice of the Peace ‘and this publican friend of the Waiorongomai miners’.297 In response, Kilian requested the newspaper ‘to state that it was not on his instigation that the man Saunders was arrested, although he quite agrees that he ought to have been so arrested. Mr Kilian further wishes to state that Saunders had no liquor in his hotel during the 24 hours previous to the arrest’. Kilian considered ‘that the man Drury by leading Saunders on to drink and misconduct himself, was really the moving cause of all the trouble which ensued, Saunders himself being, when sober, a quiet kind of man.298 The newspaper declined to print Drury’s reply. ‘Our readers are not sufficiently interested in the quarrels between drink-sellers and their victims’; and Drury could raise the issue at the next meeting of the licensing committee.299 Drury did not do so.

---
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Saunders could not keep off the drink, and in January 1886 the tramway manager, Edmund Cookson, \(^{300}\) his initial partner in the Stoney Creek claim, \(^{301}\) successfully applied for a prohibition order against him. Cookson said that Saunders had ‘been drinking more than is good for him. He is my mate, and I want a prohibition order against him, as he is injuring his health and wasting his estate’. \(^{302}\) Since this order was made, the _Te Aroha News_ noted that Saunders had ‘been drunk almost continuously at Waiorongomai ever since. Where does he get the liquor from?’ \(^{303}\) That June, he was locked up and fined once more for being drunk. \(^{304}\) Two years later, when he had returned to Thames, he was fined 5s for being drunk, and a month afterwards pleaded guilty to being drunk, being fined 10s or, if unpaid, 48 hours imprisonment. \(^{305}\) Saunders was to die in 1913 from double pneumonia ‘on road to hospital from Salvation Army Home Auckland’; \(^{306}\) had he been sent to this home because of alcoholism?

If a muddle over the date meant that Saunders had set up this illicit still, it should be noted that he had, in 1886, sold a share in his Grand Junction to publican Samuel Tozer Smardon. \(^{307}\) However, everything known about Smardon, outlined below, disproves any claim that he was involved in sly-grogging. Possibly a more likely suspect was James Don, a partner in the Hot Springs Hotel until 1889. \(^{308}\) The principal claim in that area was ‘named the “Don” out of courtesy’, as he had ‘been instrumental in

---
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carrying on the work of development’. 309 He was a shareholder in the Grand
Junction,310 and invested in several other Stoney Creek mines.311

There was one charge of sly-grogging at Waiorongomai, against
Edward Jennings, a carpenter who for a time was an amalgamator in the
battery.312 In 1888, he was in charge of the Waiorongomai Skating Rink
Club, and, according to a correspondent, his name was ‘a sufficient
guarantee that matters of this kind are carried on in first-class style’. However, ‘we have been told that an information has been laid against our
host for supplying liquor on Sunday. The action of the informant is
generally condemned, especially by those who ought to know’.313 If an
information was laid, the police must have discovered that no such crime
was committed. Jennings was an unlikely sly-grogger, being a respectable
member of the community who baptized his children into the Church of
England and sent them to the Wesleyan Sunday School;314 for many years
he was a member of the school committee.315

George Clotworthy was, nominally at least, an Irish Presbyterian,316
and a notable sly-grogger, although not at Te Aroha, where he was, briefly,
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one of the first publicans. In the 1860s, he fought against Maori in several parts of the North Island.\textsuperscript{317} In 1867 and possibly earlier, he had a bush license for the Settlers’ Arms at Harapepe, near Pirongia mountain.\textsuperscript{318} In September that year, he joined the goldrush to Thames, and mined there for a couple of years.\textsuperscript{319} He was a farmer in 1870 when, at the age of 32, he enlisted as a policeman, but was discharged within two months.\textsuperscript{320} He returned to being a miner at Thames, becoming a director of one company.\textsuperscript{321} He lived an unnoticed life until, in 1878, he became briefly married. Then a storekeeper at Tairua,\textsuperscript{322} at the age of 40 he married a 21-year-old Thames waitress.\textsuperscript{323} The \textit{Thames Advertiser} at first spared his blushes by not naming him, but described his matrimonial woes as ‘capital groundwork for a sensational novel’. After a short courtship, the wedding was all arranged when ‘the fickle-minded damsel, without any apparent reason, returned the presents, saying that she had no intention of marrying’ him. Clotworthy rushed back to Thames, convinced her to change her mind, and immediately married her. ‘But, unexpected denouement! At about dusk the newly-wedded wife quietly stole out of the house, and left the
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bridegroom lamenting’.\textsuperscript{324} A few hours later, he traced her, ‘but failed to induce her to return’. Consequently, he published a notice that he would not be responsible for any debts she might contract in his name, thereby revealing his embarrassment to an amused world.\textsuperscript{325}

Clotworthy participated in the Te Aroha rush and acquired interests in several claims.\textsuperscript{326} Arriving in mid-November, he started erecting a building at first intended to be a general store.\textsuperscript{327} Three days before the goldfield was officially opened, the framework of his hotel was erected. ‘This well-known pioneer is determined to have the first license, and intends to fulfil the conditions laid down’ by the magistrate.\textsuperscript{328} At the end of the month, a correspondent considered that he deserved to be successful because of ‘his enterprise in providing for the wants of the miners before gold was discovered in the prospectors’ reef, and doubts existed in the minds of many as to the payable character of the field’.\textsuperscript{329} Four days after the opening, his building was ‘in a forward state’.\textsuperscript{330} It was to have seven or eight bedrooms, two sitting rooms, a bar, and a kitchen.\textsuperscript{331} On 16 December, he did indeed become the first licensee, of the Rina Mokena Hotel, which was almost completed.\textsuperscript{332} It was sufficiently complete for a visiting clergyman to preach to a ‘large congregation’ in its ‘commercial room’ on the previous morning.\textsuperscript{333} ‘Some persons had a fine time of it’ on opening night, ‘for free liquor was distributed all round’.\textsuperscript{334} ‘The crowded state of the house, and the ringing cheers which were given at the opening spoke volumes as to the estimation
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\textsuperscript{326} Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Miner’s Right no. 644, issued 3 December 1880, Miners’ Rights Butt Book 1880, BBAV 11533/1e; Register of Applications 1880-1882, folios 19-20, BBAV 11505/3a; Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folios 163, 191, BBAV 11567/1a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{327} Thames Advertiser, 15 November 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{328} Thames Star, 22 November 1880, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{329} Te Aroha Correspondent, Bay of Plenty Times, 30 November 1880, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{330} Thames Star, 29 November 1880, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{331} Thames Advertiser, 30 November 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{332} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publicans’ Licenses 1880-1882, no. 1, BBAV 11494/1a, ANZ-A; Thames Star, 16 December 1880, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{333} Thames Advertiser, 16 December 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{334} Thames Star, 18 December 1880, p. 2.
in which he is held by the large number of people in the district who know him. Later in December, he erected a billiard room next to it.

As Clotworthy ‘had neither cash nor credit’ to meet the cost of these buildings, the site was mortgaged to two Thames brewers, who wanted the hotel opened as soon as possible. In the first two months of 1881, Clotworthy was having difficulty meeting his debts, and in late February he filed for bankruptcy, gave up his license, and returned to Thames. His debts amounted to £576 5s 9d whereas the estimated value of the assets was £100. The mortgagee sold the hotel.

After moving to Waihi to become a storekeeper, at the end of May Clotworthy was charged with sly grog selling. The police had offered a man £5 if a conviction was obtained, and this witness went to the ‘shanty’ to ‘spy’. After being given his drink, the police were informed, and seized one gallon of rum, the same of whisky, nine bottles of gin and the same of whisky, and three bottles of ale. The defence was that the drink was not for sale but was given to those who used the store, and that the police informer had paid for his dinner, not drinks; several witnesses stated that nobody paid for their drinks. Clotworthy’s partner insisted that the liquor was for their ‘private use, and for the purpose of obliging those who were in the habit of dealing at the store, there being no accommodation house in the district’. The magistrate, unimpressed with this argument, fined Clotworthy £20 plus costs; a second charge of selling without a license was dropped. Being unable to pay, he was sentenced to two months in Mount Eden
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Waihi residents believed he had been treated harshly: ‘it was a breach of the law that might well have been overlooked’. Upon his release, he returned to Waihi and erected a restaurant and livery and bait stables and also ran a store.

In May 1882, Clotworthy moved to Alexandra, later Pirongia, and opened another store. A week after this was reported, he was in trouble once more for the same offence. Police were aware of sly grog selling, but ‘an exploring expedition’ to the Tainui settlement of Whatiwhatihoe, near Pirongia, produced no evidence until, on their way back, they saw a light spring cart being driven by Clotworthy.

On seeing the two police officers, Clotworthy started the horse at a very suspicious pace, and Sergeant McGovern and Constable Gillies went off in pursuit. Clotworthy turned towards the banks of the giver, still urging the horse onwards, and on reaching the top of the bank, took a box out of the cart and ran towards the river, at the same time concealing the box in the fern. Neither of the officers slackened their pace until they came up with their object, whom they accused of having concealed or done away with his burden. Clotworthy in strong terms denied the accusation, intimating that sly grog traffic was as far from his mind as the constables were mistaken with their victim. They, however, set to work in searching the fern, Mr Clotworthy dissuading them from any such foolish pursuit, but notwithstanding his remonstrances they discovered a small box concealed in the fern, containing six bottles of unadulterated rum.

A couple of days later, the two policemen entered a house to find Clotworthy ‘serving two natives with brandy out of a flask, and was watched in the act by Constable Gillies, who was looking over his shoulder the whole time’. When a summons was to be served he could not be found, and a warrant was taken out for his arrest. He evaded arrest, despite
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Maori and police searching for him. Presumably he left the colony, for he has not been traced after this date.

CONDUCT OF THE HOTELS

According to one correspondent, in 1885 Te Aroha hotels had ‘the reputation of being well conducted’. In that decade hotels closed at 10 o’clock, but by the late nineteenth century were allowed to remain open until 11 o’clock. ‘Visitor’, in 1908, disapproved, and urged Te Aroha to follow the example of most of the rest of the country and close its bars at 10 o’clock.

In practice, it did not matter what the hours were, for some publicans served drinks outside licensing hours. In 1895, the Te Aroha News complained that customers were being served on Sundays: ‘It is a common sight to see men coming out of the “pubs” in a state of intoxication’. Eight years later, ‘Remedy’ claimed that many were asking where the drunks ‘got their drink from on Sundays’ and that ‘lately we have been reminded of this disgrace in our midst very often’.

Publicans sometimes allowed customers to keep drinking after closing time. In 1886, James Mills, a future mayor, asked the licensing committee to extend closing time to midnight for a very practical reason. ‘Anybody could at present get drink up to midnight at the hotels, the only difference was that the doors were closed, and for decency’s sake he would prefer it was served with open doors’. A majority agreed, but the police objected. At the committee’s annual meeting three months later, three licenses were extended to 11 o’clock, over the protests of the chairman, John
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355 Te Aroha News, 27 February 1895, p. 2.
357 See paper on his life.
358 Licensing Committee, Te Aroha News, 13 March 1886, p. 2.
Allan Dobson, who considered that ‘much harm and misery was occasioned by these late hours’. Mills responded: ‘Whether open or not they would sell and did sell’. George Lipsey considered it ‘astonishing’ that some publicans ‘did not apply to keep open all night; I believe it would be a benefit to the place if they did’.

In April 1888, Sergeant William Emerson charged Annie Thompson of the Family Hotel with selling liquor after hours, but the case failed for want of corroboration. One of the two seemingly reluctant witnesses, James Hendy Rowe, a butcher, stated that although he had not left the hotel until about 10.30 or 11.00, he had not drunk after 10.00, being only there for ‘taking orders’, and did not admit to seeing anyone else drinking. ‘Could not say if the bar was closed’. A carpenter said he had had ‘no difficulty in getting in’ to the bar after ten o’clock, ‘the side door being open. I went in with the Captain of the steamer who had some business with Mrs Thompson. No one asked for drinks so far as I know. I could not say whether the bar was lit or not’. Sergeant Emerson gave evidence of seeing two men entering the hotel at about 10.30 and finding more inside. ‘Just as I entered the dining room I met Mrs Thompson coming out with three empty glasses and a tray, her father, Mr Brown, was standing in the doorway of her bar, which was lit up. Defendant in reply to my question as to why she did not close her house, replied she was not selling anything’. After leaving, Emerson saw three more men enter the hotel. When he turned back, ‘defendant’s father looked out and seeing me coming at once went in and the three men cleared out in great haste, the bar was still lit’. In defence, it was stated that two of these men were boarders and Rowe was there simply ‘to take orders’. Although dismissing the charge, the magistrate stated ‘that without doubt the lights should be put out in the bar at ten o’clock’.

Nearly two months later, her license was renewed, despite Emerson stating ‘that, although frequently cautioned, Mrs Thompson had persisted in keeping people in her hotel after closing time’. She promised not to do this again, but was warned that if she did, the police would seek to have her
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license cancelled. At the committee’s subsequent annual meeting the police described her hotel as being kept ‘indifferently well during the past twelve months, owing to the residents of the place being allowed to remain drinking after closing time’. As well, she ‘locks the doors on the Police’. Martin Murphy, licensee of the Premier Hotel at Waiorongomai, was also criticized. ‘Complaints have been made with reference to men being allowed to remain drinking after closing hours, to the great annoyance of their wives and families’. The licenses of both hotels were renewed on condition there was no further Sunday trading or late hours.

The following year, Te Aroha’s Observer Man reported an ‘incident’ at the Hot Springs Hotel:

Too officious bobby enters on Sabbath, and two gentlemen are discovered with a like number of whiskies before them. Bobby: “Are ye travellers or boarders?” Answer: “Boarders, of course.” Bobby (to landlord): “Who are these gentlemen?” Landlord: “Well, one is the Hon. Mr ----- MLC of new South Wales, and the other is the Chief Commissioner of Police for the colony of -----!” Exit bobby in a great hurry.

In 1895, ‘A Parent’ expressed strong views about enforcing the liquor laws:

I am not a prohibitionist, but I am a firm believer in the law as at present constituted being enforced to its full. It is a well-known fact, Sir, that the publicans of this district push their trade at all times and seasons in defiance of the law, and yet under the very eyes and with the full knowledge of the guardians of the peace; and yet the Police are quite powerless to stop this open violation of the law; either because of vested interested or the almost impossibility of getting a conviction. Surely, Mr Editor, something ought to be done to check this evil; our young men frequent these houses, and are induced to remain – long after closing time – playing cards and billiards, etc, with the usual attendant drinking, and thus they contract habits and vices which will be anything but helpful to them later on in life. Methinks, Sir, that if the present law was rigidly enforced there would be less cry about Prohibition; but while things are allowed to drift a large number
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of moderates will be inclined to support the Prohibition vote when the time comes.368

An even worse offence was exposed in 1886 when the chairman of the licensing committee charged licensees with serving ‘children under age, which was an utter disgrace’. Any publican proved guilty of this would have their license cancelled.369

SOME PROMINENT PUBLICANS

The careers of several people who were publicans for part or all of their lives have been discussed in other papers: William Dibsell, Charles Gallagher, Edwin Hadfield, Louis Kalman, John Bernard Kilian, Thomas Lawless, George Lipsey, John McSweeney, Akuhata Mokena, George Stuart O’Halloran, Paddy Quinlan, and John Watson Walker. Some other leading publicans who were leading members of the community are discussed below.

John Allwood, born in the 1840s,370 was a brother-in-law of two prominent members of the district, Thomas Hinton and John Wood, who both helped him financially.371 Earlier a butcher at Hamilton East and Waitoa,372 and owning property in Hamilton valued at £450,373 in February 1881 he purchased the Rina Morgan Hotel from George Clotworthy.374 The

369 Waikato Times, 8 June 1886, p. 3.
370 Although 1843 was the most likely birth date, he gave ages that suggested he was younger: for example, Notices of Intentions to Marry 1876, p. 247, Births Deaths and Marriages, BDM 20/21, ANZ-W; Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 19/1886, in private possession; ancestry.co.uk.
371 Death Certificate of Sarah Wood, 9 February 1883,1883/1104; Birth Certificate of Thomas Alfred Roy Allwood, 18 May 1884, 1884/11629, BDM; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Civil Record Book 1881-1884, 193/1883, BCDG 11221/1a; John Allwood to Thomas Hinton and John Wood, 9 January 1884, Certified Instruments 1884, BBAV 11581/5a, ANZ-A; Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 12 January 1884, p. 7; Waikato Times, 19 July 1890, p. 2.
374 Thames Advertiser, 25 February 1881, p. 3.
following month, he formed a partnership with Wood whereby they shared equally in purchasing stock and furniture, Allwood to be the sole manager. His wife was to receive 10s per week ‘in consideration of her services’ in helping to run it, and both partners were to share the profits equally.\textsuperscript{375}

Seven months later, the partnership was dissolved, Allwood paying Wood £170 and meeting all the debts.\textsuperscript{376}

In June, a correspondent wrote that Allwood had made ‘considerable additions’ to what was now called the Robin Hood and Little John Hotel, now of 14 rooms, converting ‘an old shanty into a really first-rate hotel’.\textsuperscript{377} Five months later, he advertised it as ‘considerably enlarged and thoroughly refitted’.\textsuperscript{378} In April 1882, he spent £200 further enlarging it.\textsuperscript{379} When applying for another license in November he described it as having 13 rooms plus the unspecified number occupied by his family.\textsuperscript{380} In February 1883, from Louis Ehrenfried, the Thames brewer,\textsuperscript{381} he obtained a mortgage of £200 over both hotel and land.\textsuperscript{382} In the same month, an Observer Man wrote that ‘Johnny is doing a fine business at Te Aroha. He wants to for, like the comet, he has a long string behind him to provide for’,\textsuperscript{383} a reference to his children. In December that year, the license was transferred to Hinton, who, six months later, transferred it back to Allwood and his

\textsuperscript{375} Articles of Partnership between John Wood and John Allwood, 23 March 1881, Hesketh and Richmond Papers, box 3, MS 400, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.

\textsuperscript{376} Dissolution of Partnership between John Wood and John Allwood, 20 October 1881, Hesketh and Richmond Papers, box 3, MS 400, Library of the Auckland Institute and War Memorial Museum.

\textsuperscript{377} Te Aroha Correspondent, \textit{Waikato Times}, 25 June 1881, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{378} Advertisement, \textit{Waikato Times}, 10 November 1881, p. 1.

\textsuperscript{379} \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 19 April 1882, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{380} \textit{Waikato Times}, 18 November 1882, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{381} See \textit{Cyclopedia of New Zealand}, vol. 2, pp. 332-333.

\textsuperscript{382} Mortgage between Louis Ehrenfried and John Allwood, 17 February 1883, Certified Instruments 1883, no. 83, BBAV 11581/3a, ANZ-A.

wife. Hinton was ‘manager more than barman’ during 1883 and 1884, Allwood’s family living in the hotel until the end of the latter year.

By 1886, Allwood was working as a labourer at Te Aroha. During the early 1890s, he was a farm labourer at Waitoa. He was a labourer at Te Aroha in the late 1890s and early twentieth century. When he died, in Hamilton in 1928, his occupation was given as a butcher.

Allwood took out a miner’s right in mid-December 1880, when he invested in two Tui claims. At Waiorongomai, in 1882 he invested in the Three Fools, his interest being transferred to the Waiorongomai Company, in which he was allotted 1,000 shares. Threat of forfeiture for not paying a call of 1 1/2d a few months later forced him to pay it, but at the end of 1884 his remaining 60 shares were liable for forfeiture for the same reason. Also in 1882, his attempt to obtain the Provincial for non-working failed because the warden considered there was ‘collusion’. His also invested in the Hero Company. In August 1883 he bought one share in
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the Golden Hill, near Te Aroha; almost immediately, it was transferred to a
compny of which he was a director.395

The first time Allwood was before the court was when, with Thomas
Hector, earlier noted as a drunkard, he was accused of 'Obstructing the road
alongside the Waihou river by placing posts & rope & other obstructions
thereon', for which Hector alone was fined.396 Nearly two years later, in
January 1884, his brother-in-law Thomas Wood accused him of 'Excessive
drinking and injuring health' and a prohibition order was issued.397

As this did not stop his drinking, his wife took him to court two months
later.398 In July 1876, when he gave his age as 27, Coleman had married
Sarah Ann Hinton, aged 21, in her father’s house.399 Six months later, their
first child was born.400 By the date of her taking him to court, they had had
three more children and another would be born less than two months
later.401 She charged him with ‘Habitual Drunkenness and cruelty to
informant his wife wherefore informant applied for an order to protect her
property against the Said Husband’.402 Sergeant Emerson deposed that
Allwood was ‘often the worse for drink’ and that, shortly before the hearing,
he had gone into his hotel. 'Defendant was then excited, and used insulting
language towards me. His wife had complained to me that she had no
control over him, and showed me her arms bearing marks of bruises caused
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400 Birth Certificate of James Henry Allwood, 31 January 1877, 1877/627, BDM.
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by him’. John Wood gave evidence that, since the prohibition order had been issued, Allwood had ‘been drinking in different places. Those who call themselves his friends get it for him. He is not fit to be entrusted with property, being an habitual drunkard’. An order was made ‘protecting the earnings of Sarah Allwood from her husband and his creditors’.\footnote{Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 5 April 1884, p. 2.} Perhaps Allwood’s violence towards his wife ceased after this case, for although they had no more children they stayed together until his death.\footnote{Death Certificate of John Allwood, 6 December 1928, 1928/7161, BD M; B.R. Marshall, \textit{Family Tree: Descendants of Henry and Sarah Ann Hinton} (?Hamilton, 1976), pp. 6-7.}

If the cruelty stopped, the drinking did not, no doubt one reason why he gave up being a publican; the police would have ensured that his license was not renewed. In May 1886 he was locked up for being drunk and refusing to leave the Palace Hotel.\footnote{Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 19, 20/1886, in private possession.} He pleaded guilty to being drunk, and was convicted and discharged; for refusing to leave the hotel he was fined 10s and 12s for breaking a window.\footnote{Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 26-28/1886, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.} In 1890, he was locked up again for being drunk and using ‘Insulting words in a Public place’; he was dismissed with a caution.\footnote{Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 10, 11/1890, in private possession.} In 1901, was locked up for being drunk once more, pleaded guilty, and was fined 5s.\footnote{Armed Constabulary Force, Report of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 15/1901, in private possession; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1896-1907, 33/1901, BCDG 11220/1b, ANZ-A.}

Before he disgraced himself through excessive drinking, Allwood was regarded as a leading resident.\footnote{Waikato Times, 24 May 1881, p. 2.} As was normal for publicans, he was a steward for a race meeting.\footnote{Waikato Times, 25 February 1882, p. 3; Thames Advertiser, 3 March 1882, p. 2.} He was treasurer of the provisional committee to establish the first brass band.\footnote{Thames Advertiser, 29 March 1882, p. 3.} Elected to the first school committee, after a year and a half his seat was declared vacant because of his non-attendance.\footnote{Waikato Times, 28 January 1882, p. 3; Te Aroha News, 8 September 1883, p. 2.}
John Coleman was the son of Irishman Peter Coleman, who arrived in Sydney in 1841 and remained there before settling in New Zealand in 1864. A Waikato farmer, Peter died in 1882, aged 59, of what was recorded on his death certificate as a stroke, although newspapers reported his death as being caused by ‘a sharp attack of bronchitis’. Nearly five years later, his children (four sons and five daughters) felt obliged to publish an advertisement vindicating his name:

Some few years ago ten or a dozen copies of the drawing of a genealogical tree were circulated in Hamilton and neighbourhood. At the root of the tree was the name of “Peter Coleman, convict,” and the various branches of the tree represented his various sons and daughters and their offspring, the names and all the lettering being formed of letters or words cut out of printed matter and pasted on in place of writing, thus rendering detection impossible. The family and their connections, some of the most respectable residents in Waikato, have had to sit quietly under this foul indignity for years.

During a recent visit to Sydney, his daughter Isabella had contacted the Lieutenant Governor, who testified that the accusation was false. A Legislative Councillor, who had rented a farm to the family, recalled her father as ‘an upright and honourable man, while your mother was a woman who laboured hard and continually to bring up her family respectably’. Another daughter was married very ‘respectably’ to Isaac Coates, a prominent Waikato resident who would be mayor of Hamilton for five years.

The accusation was undoubtedly false. Not only was no convict named Peter Coleman recorded as transported to New South Wales in 1840 (or adjacent years), the details of passengers arriving in Sydney on 23
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December 1841 on board the ‘Emerald Isle’ included Peter Coleman, aged 20, a farm labourer, whose ‘native place’ was Dundalk, County Louth, Ireland, and his wife Alice and sister Bridget. According to an obituary, ‘almost immediately after his marriage’ he left Ireland. ‘After his arrival in Sydney, he found employment as a clerk in the office of Sir Alfred Stephen, the Chief Justice of New South Wales’, a somewhat surprising position to be held by a farm labourer. ‘There he was employed for a number of years, but sheep farming becoming recognized as a very profitable undertaking’ he purchased a farm ‘and became a farmer and stock owner’. He lived on this farm, near Wollongong, for over 20 years, according to his account, but ‘the terrible droughts of 1862 and 1863 swept away his former savings’. He then came to New Zealand to fight for the Crown, his explicit reason for joining the Waikato Militia being to acquire ‘the land which was then offered as an inducement for enlistment’.

In March 1864, when in Sydney Peter Coleman and his eldest sons William, aged 20, and Bernard, aged 18, enlisted in the Fourth Waikato Regiment, and in consequence all three received land grants in the Waikato. In the 1870s, Coleman followed in his brothers’ military footsteps by joining, in sequence, the Hauraki, Waikato, and Hamilton Rifle Volunteers. Bernard became a miner at Thames in June 1868, and was
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joined by John one month later. Each had an interest in a different claim, and John invested in one company. In the mid-1870s, they were still mining there. A sister was married there in 1874. In December 1873 John enrolled in the Waikato Rifle Volunteers, suggesting he was living either in Hamilton or on the family farm with William and his father. In 1875, when he was a clerk at Tairua, he invested in the Brothers claim and subsequent company formed there. The following year, William opened a butcher's shop in Hamilton East, but in September transferred his business to his brothers John and Bernard.

John and Bernard owned butcheries in Hamilton East and West and Ohaupo in the late 1870s and early 1880s. Both brothers were charged with sheep stealing in 1879, but the charges were dropped for lack of evidence. Coleman Bros, as they were known, sold their shops in August and September 1882 so they could settle in Te Aroha. In addition to being butchers, they were drainage contractors. Bernard had a contract to construct part of the railway line from Hamilton to Morrinsville, and in
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434 Frankton Police Station, Warrant Book 1877-1912, entry for 12 March 1879, BADB 11344/1a, ANZ-A; Waikato Times, 13 March 1879, p. 2, 20 March 1879, pp. 2, 3; Supreme Court, Auckland Weekly News, 12 April 1879, p. 16.
1880 was fined £25 for providing sly grog for his labourers.\textsuperscript{436} The brothers had a contract for part of the road from Hamilton to Whatawhata in that year.\textsuperscript{437} In September 1881 they obtained one of the drainage contracts for the Aroha Block, but unsuccessfully tendered for another.\textsuperscript{438} The drains they constructed at Shaftesbury were criticized in 1882.\textsuperscript{439} Bernard would be a contractor on the main trunk line in 1885, and when he died, in 1906, his occupation was a 'railway contractor'.\textsuperscript{440}

In the 1870s and early 1880s, the three brothers also worked on the family farm, 'Ravensdale', 360 acres near Hamilton, and one or more lived on it at different times.\textsuperscript{441}

In October 1882, Coleman purchased the Hot Springs Hotel.\textsuperscript{442} He shared the ownership with others, including his brother Bernard, and, at first, appointed a former Auckland publican as manager.\textsuperscript{443} An unmarried sister assisted him.\textsuperscript{444} Early in 1883, he advertised that he ran 'that well-known Commercial and Family Hotel at Te Aroha – the Hot Springs Hotel. The Hotel has been recently enlarged and fitted up in the best style'.\textsuperscript{445}

Then disaster struck in late May, as he told the inquest:
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He retired to rest on the night in question between 12 and 1 a.m. Was the last up in the house. Before retiring, he extinguished all the lights and fires in the house. There were two fires kept going on the night preceding the fire, one in the private dining-room. Was awakened by his sister about 3 o’clock who sang out “fire.” On getting up, found the roof of the old dining-room and his sister’s bedroom in flames. Did not think there was then any possibility of saving the house. Was not aware of the cause of the fire, but supposed that to have originated with the chimney. 446

Immediately afterwards, Coleman carried on business in a store on the opposite side of the road but then set up a bar in the old billiard room and had plans prepared for a new hotel. 447 At the end of the month, full details of the new hotel were published. Of two storeys, and 60 feet by 40, the ground floor was divided into a bar, a bar parlour, a private parlour, a commercial room, a dining room, a kitchen, a servants’ room, a pantry, and passages, while the first floor contained a large sitting room and 17 bedrooms. The width of the allotment would permit later extensions. 448 He accepted a tender for £885 for its erection. 449

In mid-July, contractors cleared away the debris left after the fire and promised to complete the hotel in ten weeks. 450 Late in the month, the framework of the lower storey was levelled by a big gale, causing damage of £6 or £7. 451 The local newspaper considered it to be ‘in every respect a creditable building to all concerned’. The ground floor contained a ‘rather small’ bar, a commercial room, a dining room, and kitchen, servants’ room, and a temporary bathroom, Coleman planning to raise the roof of the kitchen and put in two large bedrooms and a bathroom on the floor above, which had 16 bedrooms and a ‘very comfortable and commodious sitting-room. A balcony runs along two sides of the house, and is a most agreeable feature of the establishment. It commands a most extensive and magnificent view’. The ‘excellent cellar’ would keep its contents cool. ‘The whole of the house is tastefully painted and papered, and the furniture will

---

446 Waikato Times, 31 May 1883, p. 4.
448 Waikato Times, 31 May 1883, p. 2.
450 Te Aroha News, 14 July 1883, p. 2.
be new throughout’; it would be ‘most comfortable’, for ‘neither pains nor expense have been spared’. A local correspondent described it as ‘second to none in the district’. Coleman ‘wisely’ delayed reopening until he was ‘thoroughly prepared to do justice to his guests’. When it did reopen at the end of October, he celebrated with a free night. In December, he announced that he would increase the number of bedrooms and add a billiard room.

In late April 1884, it was reported that, ‘owing to the present depression in business, and the very heavy losses sustained by the destruction of the old hotel by fire’, Coleman had ‘decided to give up the business, and leave the district’. George Stewart O’Halloran, his predecessor, succeeded him. He did not leave the district immediately, in mid-July being granted the license for the Commercial Hotel at Waiorongomai. The following month, when it was being ‘properly finished and furnished’ in readiness for their taking it over, the Te Aroha News wrote that ‘Mr and Miss Coleman have established a reputation for making their boarders and visitors comfortable’. This license was abandoned in late November, and another publican took over the hotel.

When giving up the Hot Springs Hotel, the local newspaper described Coleman as ‘widely known and respected, and his many friends will much regret his going away’. When at Waiorongomai, a resident thanked him for assisting when his house burnt down. The Church of England journal
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reported, in January 1883, that both the bishop and the clergyman who periodically visited had stayed at his hotel. ‘The visiting clergyman is greatly indebted for the free hospitality he has received’.\textsuperscript{465} Subsequently Coleman was elected, twice, to the local vestry.\textsuperscript{466} What was unusual about this appointment was that although his mother was an ‘Episcopalian’ his father was a Catholic.\textsuperscript{467} His brother Bernard and other family members were also Catholic.\textsuperscript{468}

In June 1883, when Te Kooti was staying with a local rangatira on his way to Ohinemuri, Coleman invited him to visit the township.\textsuperscript{469} When Te Kooti visited the hotel, ‘a few white people went to Coleman’s to see him, and some, but not many, drank with the visitor at his expense’.\textsuperscript{470}

Coleman limited his investments in local mining to three claims, two of which became companies, and to two other companies, selling a few shares before being warned that the remainder would be forfeited if he did not pay a call.\textsuperscript{471} He was a director of one of these companies.\textsuperscript{472} He would not have received any financial benefit from these unsuccessful ventures, and nor did he receive much financial benefit from being a publican. He started the decade owning property worth £75 in Hamilton, and with William shared in the ownership of the family farm, valued at £1,800.\textsuperscript{473} He was already under some financial stress. In March 1880, he and Bernard were warned by a solicitor that they owed interest amounting to £9 6s 2d,
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and that unless this amount was ‘paid and the Policy of insurance for £150
effected’ to the mortgagee ‘within one week from this date we will proceed to
sue’. They did as required, but in August were warned that as £3 15s had
been due ‘for some time and the neglect in payment of the same upon the
due date causes us much inconvenience and trouble’ they must pay
immediately. In May 1881, they were warned that they had failed to pay
£15 off their mortgage two months previously and were threatened with
legal action. The following year, Coleman had to be sued to obtain 9s 8d,
calls in a Thames mining company.

One reason for failing to make some payments on time was that others
were slow to pay him, forcing Coleman to sue them. In September 1882,
the night before he died, his father made a will leaving all his estate of £589
7s to him. Probably encouraged by this legacy, and certainly by
Waiorongomai’s prospects, he took over the Hot Springs Hotel the following
month. Lacking sufficient capital, in October 1882 he obtained a loan of
£1,800 from Samuel Jagger, a partner in the Auckland brewery Hancock
and Company; for security, he gave Jagger a mortgage over the hotel and
its section, and Jagger retained an interest in the business. In February
1883 he was warned that two quarters’ interest was owed on another
mortgage on his Waikato property and unless £26 8s was paid he would be
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sued; ‘the interest must be kept paid up more punctually in future’. 482 In April he was sued to obtain payment of £30 and £10 14s 7d. 483 The following month, he and his brother were told that yet another mortgagee refused to extend the time to pay the £300 he was owed on Waikato land. If not paid by 25 May, ‘we shall then proceed to advertise your property for sale’. 484 Before that date, his hotel was destroyed by fire. Although he managed to remove much of the furniture, ‘£400 worth of stock and furniture were destroyed. Upwards of £300 worth was in the cellar, but in the endeavour to save the effects from the burning house, this was not thought of until it was too late’. 485 The building and some of the stock and furniture was insured in Jagger’s name, and Coleman estimated his own loss at £1,500, only £700 of which was covered by insurance. 486 At first he ‘estimated his loss personally at over £700 in stock and depreciation of the value of the property and the business’, later reducing this amount to ‘about £500’. 487

As warned, in June the Waikato mortgagee’s solicitor advertised Coleman’s allotments in Hamilton East for sale. ‘We had no other course to pursue as you have been given every opportunity of paying the money due ... and have not done so’. 488 Coleman immediately paid the amount owing and the sale was cancelled but he had to pay legal costs of £15 1s. 489 One month later, he and William were required to pay interest of £26 8s within
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a week, otherwise ‘we shall advertise your property for sale, without giving you any further notice’. Another month later he was warned that his Hamilton property would be sold if he was not paid. In November, he took out another mortgage over the hotel, for £534, from Jagger. Also in that month he and Bernard mortgaged another property to a building society, and, yet again, were hounded for £19 3s 10d, interest owing. As they delayed signing a new deed to this mortgagee, they were threatened with legal action again. Late in the month, he and William were warned that if they did not pay £52 10s due to one mortgagee, their property would be sold.

In January 1884, Coleman was taken to court to make him pay two debts, one only 15s. Two months later, he was informed that, upon returning a promissory note for £38 4s 4d, his ‘matter’ with one of the mortgagees of his Waikato properties would be ‘considered settled’. The solicitor then warned: ‘If as you usually do you make any delay in attending to the business, it must take its course’. Also in March, he was ordered to

---
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pay £5 in arrears of rent on a Te Aroha business site; when he did not, it was forfeited.\textsuperscript{498} He was also sued for £9 19s by an Auckland sharebroker and £46 5s 6d by a Thames merchant.\textsuperscript{499} He managed to sell one Waiorongomai allotment for £20 but the bailiff seized another under a warrant of distress.\textsuperscript{500} In April, a Thames blacksmith sued for £27.\textsuperscript{501} Immediately afterwards, he sold another Waiorongomai allotment to his brother-in-law Isaac Coates for £20.\textsuperscript{502} In July, because interest on its mortgage remained unpaid, a solicitor threatened to sell the family farm.\textsuperscript{503} Although Coleman sent £35 two weeks later, he was told it was advertised for sale and would ‘not be withdrawn’.\textsuperscript{504} It was indeed advertised;\textsuperscript{505} but whether the sale went ahead was not recorded in the press, and one of the brothers was still living on it in the following year.\textsuperscript{506} He was also told that as the promissory note had not been paid and no reply had been received to a request to pay it he must reply at once or suffer legal action.\textsuperscript{507} As there was no further correspondence, it must be assumed that the money was paid. In October, to repay the mortgage, he transferred the hotel and
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section to Jagger. The following month, a Thames plumber sued for a dishonoured cheque for £8 18s. In mid-December, he gave up the Commercial Hotel, left the district, and filed as bankrupt.

The following February, Coleman told the court that his liabilities were £360 and his assets £100. The judge recorded his statement:

I was 2 yrs ago a member of the firm Coleman Bros butchers at Hamilton & sold goodwill to Beecham Bros and went to Te Aroha. The price was £470 not paid in cash.
I then kept the Hot Spring Hotel Te Aroha belonging to Mr Jagger.
On receipt of money from Beecham I was to put the money into the hotel –
I had an interest in a farm in Waikato over which I gave Jagger security.
I carried on business for abt 7 mos at the hotel –
Then Beecham failed & I lost the whole of the purchase money of the butchering business.
Abt 3 weeks after this the hotel was destroyed by fire –
I lost ab £500 by that over the insurance for which I am responsible to Jagger – I was quite solvent up to the time of these losses –
Jagger & Co rebuilt the hotel I went into it again
The pressure of my Creditors obliged me to file
One obtained a judgt order against me –
Jagger kept the hotel. I was 13 weeks as servant of Mr Jagger in another hotel at Waiorongomai
Since then I have been unable to obtain employt
My liabilities are abt £300 and my assets abt £100 –
I have given up all my ppty
I attribute my loss to the failure of Beecham and to the fire.

To the blacksmith he owed £27 for providing a range for the hotel; when he had ordered this there was no bill of sale over his property, but then he ‘gave this bill to Jagger’ two months later, in December 1883. He
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told the judge: ‘I was obgd to do so or he wd have stopped supply of spirits’. His ‘liabilities at that time were light’.  

The press report of his statement explained that he had taken the Hot Springs Hotel ‘on the usual terms’, meaning with a ‘mortgage for advances’ from Jagger. He had been forced to leave the Commercial Hotel ‘in consequence of the pressure of his old creditors’. After working for Jagger in the Waiorongomai hotel he ‘was now out of employment’. The court ordered his discharge at the next sitting. As no creditors opposed his discharge and the assignee was ‘satisfied’, his discharge was granted but suspended until he paid costs.

In May 1888, the Te Aroha News reported that Coleman, who had left Waikato for the Kimberley rush in Western Australia, ‘had met with a slice of good luck’. He had written to his family that, with another man, he had ‘discovered a rich gold-gearing reef in a place called Golden Gully, not far from Perth’. In 1895, he briefly revisited Hamilton before returning to Coolgardie. Having been on the Western Australian goldfields ‘for some years’, he spoke ‘most favourably’ of the ‘immense goldfield’ at Coolgardie, which he expected to ‘fully equal the wealth of the Victorian goldfields in the fifties’. Late that year, he was elected a town councillor at Esperance, Western Australia, with a large majority. In 1898, after he retired as manager of the Esperance brewery, he purchased two shops along with the Esperance Hotel, and also resigned from the council. In 1904, the Observer wrote that he was ‘reported to have amassed a fortune in the Golden West’.

In 1934, when he died in Tully, a town south of Cairns, Queensland, the Cairns Post described him as ‘a northern pioneer’ and ‘a well-known Northern identity’ who ‘during his life had visited many mining fields in
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Australia and New Zealand.520 Possibly in late 1910 and certainly in early 1911 he was prospecting at the Tanami goldfield, in the Northern Territory.521 In June 1911, when he had returned to Sydney, he told a newspaper that during his visit ‘he had seen a good deal of the country’ around Tanami. Although only ten miners remained there, Coleman blamed this on the adverse report of a mining expert. ‘Mr Coleman, who is an experienced mining man, holds the belief that there is still a big future before the field’, although it required plenty of capital. ‘But he thinks it surprising that men should rush away to an arid spot like Tanami, when there are so many fine-looking mineral belts nearer at hand in the Territory, which give every indication that they would repay systematic prospecting’.522 An obituary mistakenly claimed he and two other prospectors had ‘located’ the Tanami goldfield, then ‘being compelled to vacate the field after a period of privation’.523 In fact, this field had been discovered in the 1890s if not earlier.524

In November 1920, when living in Sydney, a newspaper reported that he had ‘returned from a tour of ex-German New Guinea, where he led a small party in search of gold’. He had followed the Waira River from the coast to the mountains, finding ‘some fine gold along the river valley, but nothing to warrant a rush’.525 In July 1926 he wrote (from Tully) to the press in response to a report about a new gold find in the ‘New Guinea Mandated Territory’, giving advice to those interested in venturing there and revealing the conditions under which he had laboured there six years previously, when aged 70:

I was prospecting on the Waira River in 1920, and native boys informed me that there was plenty of gold over the Bismarck Range. These boys had worked on various alluvial fields in Papua in the early days, so knew what they were talking about.

The country was in a very unsettled state – the Australians taking the Administration over from the Germans – and I was advised by the Administration at Rabaul not to venture so far inland.... Any
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miner contemplating a visit should book direct to Rabaul, and then get a small boat to land them on the coast, five or six days’ journey to the field. The distance is only about 30 miles, but until one has had a trial of travelling that broken rugged country he has no idea of the almost unsurmountable difficulties.

When the coast is left behind, there is no danger of fever, and from a health point of view, the altitude of the field makes it ideal. During my sojourn on the Waira, I never once used my mosquito net....

There is no shortage of water, the giant mountains are gashed by streaming creeks on all sides, so the difficulties of Coolgardie, Kimberley and Tunami are non-existent. Although most of the food supplies must be man-handled from the coast up the almost impassable track, there are wild pigs and wallabies to be shot, and from the native villages sweet potatoes, etc, may be procured. The sweet “buck” grows almost wild.526

In September, a journalist interviewed Coleman about the latest gold discoveries in New Guinea, reported that he ‘was in the vicinity of the present “finds” in 1920’ and, in addition, had ‘been at the Milne Bay Rush, the Giera Rush, and the Yodder Rush’. When in New Guinea in 1920 he had been advised by the Administrator and two Lutheran missionaries about how to find his way to the goldfield. As it was a hundred-mile trip up the Waira River, carriers, known as ‘boys’, were essential, and he ‘emphatically’ stated ‘that he experienced no trouble in procuring these’.

Every village visited and there were quite a number along the 100-mile route, supplied “boys.” The villages were between eight and ten miles apart, and the Head Boys of each village procured the number required. The carriers would only go from one village to another, but not past it; so it was necessary to procure fresh carriers at every village. They were paid in kind. They particularly liked tobacco and salt.

He went to the head of the Waira River, near the Giera goldfield, and ‘having gained the necessary information and found out what he could’, he ‘retraced his steps and arrived back at Marobe with as much ease as on the outward trip’. There the officer-in-charge asked him to describe the country, and Coleman advised another man ‘about to start on a prospecting expedition’. Asked to lead a party up the Twatta River to find an allegedly ‘very promising spot’, he declined because ‘the interior was still in an

526 Clearly an edible plant, but not traced.

527 Letter from John Coleman, Cairns Post, 2 July 1926.
unsettled state, particularly so soon after the [First World] war. In addition he required rest. Mr Coleman adds that he now regrets his negative decision’. As for the climate, he stated ‘that his health there was as good, if not better, than it was in the early days of the Western Australian gold rushes. He had not had to endure the hardships and privations he experienced at Kimberley’. Compared to prospecting in Australia, there was ‘an abundant supply of water, sweet potatoes grow almost wild, wild pigs are plentiful, and there is an abundance of game’. As well, ‘a prospector does not need a great amount of money, sufficient to set himself up, for the natives have no use for the money’.  

Whatever money he made from mining had not provided him with a comfortable retirement, for when he died aged 84 it was noted that ‘of recent years’ he had ‘conducted a bookstall at the Tully railway station’.

Whilst living in the Te Aroha district, Coleman, like all publicans, supported horse racing and sports. In 1883 he was elected to the committee of the Jockey Club. The following year, he was on its ‘working committee’ as well as acting as a steward. He owned a horse that participated in steeplechase races. In 1883, he sold tickets in the ‘Waikato Company Consultation’ on the Melbourne Cup. Before moving to Te Aroha, he had helped organize Boxing Day sports at Hamilton East. In December 1883, Coleman lost a 100-yard race with an Auckland visitor by about a yard. ‘The boniface was by no means satisfied, and another race was arranged, which crowned the local ped with the laurels of victory. A good many sovereigns changed hands on the event’. Shortly afterwards, he was a member of the committee organizing Boxing Day sports at Te Aroha and New Year’s Day sports at Waihou. One year later, in the annual sports he

528 Cairns Post, 22 September 1926.
529 Cairns Post, 18 June 1934.
530 Thames Advertiser, 12 February 1883, p. 2; Te Aroha News, 8 September 1883, p. 2.
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won footraces and Bernard successfully participated in several events.\textsuperscript{537} He also played cricket for the Te Aroha team.\textsuperscript{538}

If his death certificate recorded the correct details, Arthur Downes’ wife died almost immediately after they married in England, when he was 28.\textsuperscript{539} Subsequently he migrated to New Zealand and in 1884 a managed a Waitoa farm and then purchased the Wairakau farm, a short distance upstream of Waiorongomai, before selling it in May 1886.\textsuperscript{540} The following month, Emily Jex, formerly ‘connected with’ two Napier and two Auckland hotels and other unspecified ones, including one in Thames,\textsuperscript{541} was granted a temporary license for the Club Hotel at Te Aroha. Two members of the licensing committee opposed her receiving a license because it was inappropriate for a single woman to run a hotel.\textsuperscript{542} This was a common prejudice shared, for instance, by the Thames licensing committee.\textsuperscript{543} A visiting reporter considered it ‘a most convenient and well appointed house’ and described her as ‘a lady whose business tact and agreeable manner will no doubt ensure for her a great share of the public patronage’.\textsuperscript{544} Five months after being granted the license, ‘Miss Jex, the popular hostess’, married Downes. Both were aged 33, and her surname was recorded as Hunter because she was the daughter of George Hunter, a soldier, and Ann Jex, and the adopted daughter of her grandfather, a surgeon.\textsuperscript{545} Within a month of their wedding, the license was transferred to her husband.\textsuperscript{546} They were effective partners in the business: he was praised for running it well and she controlled the ‘domestic management’ and was ‘a caterer of the first
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\textsuperscript{546} Te Aroha Licensing Committee, Waikato Times, 7 December 1886, p. 3.
water’. In late 1892, when the license was in Emily’s name, possibly because her husband was in hospital, it was transferred to another publican, and they left the district to run first the Club and then Masonic hotels in Wellington, which were held in her name. Her husband may have assisted to operate the hotels, but the only reference to him in the press when in Wellington was when his pocket was picked in Courtney Place. In 1897 Emily left the Masonic Hotel to run the Albion Club Hotel in Gisborne. During her years in charge of this hotel until she transferred the license to another publican in 1900 she was fined £2 because a barmaid served men who were not bona fide travellers on a Sunday. Emily was out for her regular Sunday drive at the time and, the prosecuting constable admitted, had ‘conducted the hotel very well as far as he knew’, but the magistrate ruled that ‘though of course there was nothing against Mrs Downes personally the license would have to be endorsed’ because insufficient enquiry had not been made about whether the men were indeed travellers. When she died in 1918 in Wellington her address was the Waitangi boarding house, but whether she operated it is not known.

While they lived in Te Aroha, Emily and Arthur had three children, and another daughter was born after their departure. Their married life had its strains. In January 1887, just after their marriage, he was sued in Auckland because he ‘had stopped cheques in respect of money he had lost at gambling, believing that he had been hoaxed’ [hoaxed]. The holders of the cheques ‘evidently thought better of it, as they did not appear’ in
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More serious was Emily charging him in mid-1892 with habitual drunkenness. She sought the protection of her property, and as Downes did not appear, being in hospital, her property and earnings were protected from Downes and his creditors and she was given exclusive custody of her children. It must be assumed that he changed his ways because they stayed together, having one more child; his children recalled him as being the ‘beloved husband’ of Emily.

Emily died in 1918, leaving an estate estimated to be worth under £2,400; when Downes died in 1931 his occupation was given as ‘farmer’.

William Henry Knock was born in Norfolk, England, in 1849. On his death certificate his father was recorded as a ‘Minister’, but in fact he was an agricultural labourer. Before coming to New Zealand, Knock had married in 1885, but nothing is known of this marriage apart from no children being born. In 1891, when running a hotel at Porotī, near Whangarei, he married Margaret Woodhouse. Although he was an Anglican, his wife was an Irish Catholic, and their only child, a daughter, was baptized as a Catholic.

In February 1892, Knock bought the Hot Springs Hotel. After one year he sold it. During the subsequent year, he was landlord of two
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Auckland hotels. In June 1894, when he repurchased the Hot Springs Hotel, a local correspondent described him and his wife as having been ‘well liked by the visitors’. Knock considered his name so enticing that he informed the Auckland press that he had ‘re-taken’ the hotel. In November 1897 a fire was detected in the kitchen at about half past three in the morning, but, ‘there being no appliances to quell the fire, the building was soon in a blaze’. All the ‘large number of boarders’ escaped, all losing some of their possessions. The building was owned by a brewery which had fully insured it, but Knock ‘was not insured, and his loss is heavy; all his belongings had been burnt. He immediately erected temporary premises on the site and tried to operate as normal.

In October 1898, a Hamilton newspaper enthused over photographs of the newly rebuilt hotel. ‘As the internal arrangements are as complete and well arranged as the exterior is handsome and striking, patrons may rely on obtaining every comfort and convenience’. It had been designed on the latest and most approved principles. Pending the establishment of the borough water supply, a temporary system has been instituted, the water being drawn from the creek. Every attention has been paid to sanitation, the drainage system – leading straight to the river – being quite equal to that of any city hotel, and the numerous lavatories, bars, and other conveniences have a copious supply of water laid on.

A high-pressure boiler in the kitchen supplied hot water to the bathrooms. The 40 bedrooms were ‘all large, airy, and tastefully furnished’, and ‘so arranged that four suites of rooms can be obtained in the best part of the building, if required. The property adjoins the Domain, into which there is a private entrance’, an important advantage to invalids. In 1902, it was described as

a large two-storey building, with a verandah and balcony on two sides. It has sixty rooms, forty of which are bedrooms, and there are five sitting rooms, exclusive of the bar parlours. The dining
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room has seating accommodation for one hundred guests, and
there is a large billiard room.\textsuperscript{571}

In December 1901, he sold the hotel to Ralph Lake Somers,\textsuperscript{572} whose
career is outlined below.

In 1898, a Hamilton newspaper described Knock and his wife as ‘well-
known in the district, having made themselves popular with the travelling
public’.\textsuperscript{573} The local newspaper, in describing the newly completed hotel,
added that, ‘as is well known’, Knock and his wife were ‘second to none in
the colony in the hotel keeping business’.\textsuperscript{574} Despite this praise, in late 1900
he was charged with breaches of the Licensing Act, to which he pleaded not
guilty;\textsuperscript{575} because of incomplete court records and the loss of the local
newspaper the nature of this offence and the outcome are not known. In
January 1901, the \textit{Observer} scolded him under the headline ‘A Nasty
Knock’:

W.H. Knock, who describes himself on his letter-paper as the
proprietor of the Hot Springs Hotel, Te Aroha, forwards us an
account of what he calls “a rather amusing incident” which he
says happened at his hotel during the holidays. He states that
two young gentlemen of the “smart” persuasion [‘a very elegant
young man about town’],\textsuperscript{576} whom he names, arrived there with
their lady friends, evidently with the intention of having a good
time, on the cheap if possible, but at any rate a good time. This by
way of preamble. Let us interpolate, however, that the young
people did not know Mr Knock if they thought they were going to
have their good time on the cheap, while their innocent delusion
that they were going to have a good time at all evidently indicates
a want of knowledge of their host.

“The first move of the group,” proceeds Mr Knock, “was a
progressive euchre party, but evidently owing to want of funds
the arrangements did not work very smoothly, as after a light
supper was thought of the idea had to be abandoned for
something less gorgeous, and it was proposed to get ginger pop
from a lemonade factory to help make things lively. They had no
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idea of paying the proprietor of the hotel 6d for such a luxury, and kicked on 3d corkage. The upshot of the matter was that they were requested to go to fresh fields and pastures new, or in other words clear out, which they eventually did, after requesting an apology for their treatment. The proprietor considers this explanation an ample apology.

We make no comment upon the bad taste of Mr Knock in writing stories to this or any other newspaper for the purpose of belittling guests who had stayed at his hotel. Neither have we anything to say about the vulgar suggestiveness of his coarse joke about “two young gentlemen bringing their lady friends there for the purpose of having a good time.” But we have something to say about Mr Knock’s consummate impudence in endeavouring to make use of the Observer as a means of gratifying his spite against these young people.

His ‘miserable and insulting paragraph’ had ‘made himself more offensive to the Observer than to his recent lodgers’, for it was not ‘a whip to be used by anyone with a grievance on the backs of those who have annoyed him’.

We have gone to some trouble to investigate the facts of this alleged “amusing incident,” and they are not in any way calculated to encourage other young people to use Mr Knock’s hotel as a holiday resort. Whatever the fault of the young people, Mr Knock’s behaviour in ordering them out of his house was high-handed, boorish and unwarrantable. After a progressive euchre party, at which they had entertained some friends, they refreshed these friends in their own rooms with cake and lemonade, which latter was not bought in the hotel because the proprietor wished to charge 6d per bottle.

The proceeding was unusual, but nevertheless was within the boarders’ rights. Had they entertained their guests with beer or whisky not purchased at the hotel, the position would have been different, seeing that the proprietor pays a license fee for the sale of these liquors. But, as Mr Knock himself says, the refreshment was lemonade. Next day, because of certain treatment which they resented, the ladies of the party wrote to Mr Knock demanding an apology. Be it remembered that they are all highly respectable young people, and the daughters of estimable citizens. But mark Mr Knock’s treatment of the ladies. According to his own statement, they were promptly ordered out of the house....

His action in ordering these girls to clear out of his house was an indefensible breach of the Licensing Act, and one of which the police ought to take cognizance. As it happened, the young ladies could not get accommodation at either of the other hotels, and it
was only as a matter of favour that they were taken into a private house....

Mr Knock having specially desired that we should give publicity to “the amusing incident,” we have obliged him, but we must confess that we are still at a loss to see the humour of the joke. It may be fun to a publican like Mr Knock to insult young and unprotected girls, who have gone to his hotel to spend their holidays, and to peremptorily order them out of his house, but the point of the joke is lost upon us. And if this is Mr Knock’s usual method of having fun, and if he is in the habit of behaving to his guests in this way on mild provocation, we shall not be surprised if his hotel is carefully avoided by holiday seekers at Te Aroha – and especially those of the gentler sex.577

Perhaps this expose was one reason why Knock sold his hotel at the end of this year.

A bill of sale over its furniture and effects was arranged in mid-1894 by the brewery owning the hotel to ensure repayment of £250.578 His bank manager described Knock as a ‘fair risk’ by in 1897 and 1898.579 A month after the second evaluation, a bill of sale was prepared over newly purchased furniture; it required him to pay £15 per month, and charged interest of eight per cent.580 In the late 1890s, Knock was a partner with Percy Snewin581 in P. Snewin and Co., timber merchants.582 ‘Their means are small’, their bank manager noted,583 and they do not seem to be very successful;584 the business folded after Snewin left the colony in early 1899.585 Knock was on a works committee of one Stoney Creek syndicate,
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treasurer of another, and invested in one company working in that area; this involvement cannot have produced any reward. On his death in 1927 his estate was sworn as worth less than £270.

A correspondent reporting his departure from the district at the end of 1901 wrote that he and his wife had ‘made many friends, and their departure from our midst will be missed in more ways than one’. Immediately afterwards he became a publican at Hamilton. By 1909, he was a wine and spirit merchant in Auckland, and remained one until his death.

Knock had the traditional publican’s involvement in sport and horse racing, although his support for sport was limited to being one of the many vice-presidents of the football club. He was on the committee of the Jockey Club and acted as a steward. His real enthusiasm was for rifle shooting and the Volunteers. During his first year at Te Aroha he organized a ‘sparrow match’ (which killed only two sparrows) and a pigeon match. In 1896, he presented the Te Aroha Rifles ‘with a handsome silver-mounted belt for shooting competition’ which became known as the Knock Belt. As it was not allowed to remain anyone’s property no matter how many times it was won, two years later he provided a ‘very handsome gold medal’ to be presented to anyone winning the belt more than once. In May 1900, he enrolled as Sergeant Major in the newly formed Te Aroha Mounted Rifles, and five months later was in charge of arranging a camp of the Piako
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Mounted Rifles, as they became known.\textsuperscript{596} When he left the district, a correspondent wrote that he had taken 'a keen interest in the welfare of the Company, and considered nothing too great a sacrifice that was for the betterment of the corps'.\textsuperscript{597} He transferred to the Auckland Mounted Rifles.\textsuperscript{598}

Knock was public spirited in a variety of ways. In 1897 he was on the committee of a medical association attempting to attract a doctor to settle.\textsuperscript{599} The following year, as his hobby was gardening and he was a member of the domain board, he supervised the pruning of the domain's willows.\textsuperscript{600} In 1900, he chaired a meeting to organize a school excursion, and was appointed treasurer for it.\textsuperscript{601}

Knock came bottom of the poll in July 1895 in his first attempt to be elected to the town board.\textsuperscript{602} In his second attempt, in the following year, he received 70 votes, the third highest score; the highest was 81.\textsuperscript{603} In July 1898, he came bottom of the poll for the new borough council, with 48 votes compared with the highest tally of 115.\textsuperscript{604} His actions on the board have not been traced to explain this drastic loss of support.

**Martin Murphy**, born in County Galway, Ireland, to a farmer, in either 1848, 1853, or 1855,\textsuperscript{605} was proudly Irish. In 1881, for example, when at Coromandel, he gave £1 to the Irish Land League.\textsuperscript{606} Eight years later,
when at Waiorongomai, he was on a three-man committee collecting subscriptions for evicted Irish tenants.\textsuperscript{607}

In August 1881, when there was a rush to the new Tiki goldfield near Coromandel township, the \textit{Observer} reported that ‘Martin Murphy keeps the Hospital Hut’, meaning a bush hotel, and was ‘in all respects one of the best fellows on the field’.\textsuperscript{608} After his marriage in Auckland in 1883, when he was a railway labourer, he and his wife ran a hotel there for several years.\textsuperscript{609} In May 1888, when he had a hotel at Coromandel, he applied for a publican’s license at Waiorongomai.\textsuperscript{610} He was granted one for the Premier Hotel, which he refurnished, spending £140 acquiring a ‘first-class’ billiard table.\textsuperscript{611}

When his license came up for renewal in June 1889, the police reported that he had conducted the hotel ‘passably well, but complaints have been made with reference to men being allowed to remain drinking after closing hours, to the great annoyance of their wives and families’. In renewing the license, the chairman of the licensing committee merely requested that he stopped ‘Sunday trading and late hours’.\textsuperscript{612} In September, Murphy accused Thomas Murphy, apparently not a relative, of assault, but after extenuating circumstances were pleaded his assailant, who had pleaded guilty, was let off with a caution. The charge of obscene language was withdrawn, but Thomas was required to pay the cost of replacing a pane of glass.\textsuperscript{613} Two months later, Murphy asked the council to repair the road outside his hotel.\textsuperscript{614}
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In mid-1891, he abandoned the Premier Hotel to become licensee of the Waiorongomai Hotel, which had 21 rooms. When his license was renewed in 1897, as the police required him to make repairs he had ‘the whole of his premises thoroughly renovated to keep pace with the times’. The following month, he was reported to have received a ‘substantial’ offer from ‘outside capitalists’ for it. He did not accept the offer, and the following March bought the abandoned Premier Hotel and pulled it down. As he had ‘great faith in the Waiorongomai mines proving a success in the near future’, he intended to use the timber to erect five or six cottages.

Proving his faith in the local mines, Murphy in 1888 he was an owner of one unsuccessful claim. In 1892, he was a member of a committee that formed a prospecting association. He chaired its first meeting, provided £10, obtained more subscriptions, and was on the committee that drew up the prospecting scheme. He subscribed to this association for the year it lasted. After it discovered the Loyalty mine, one of the rare successes of that decade, he became one of its owners. In 1895 he applied for two worthless claims.

In addition to running his hotels, Murphy in the early 1890s was a roading contractor. In 1900, he unsuccessfully tendered to extend the Te
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Aroha domain lawn.\(^{627}\) In 1894, he purchased a 97-acre farm in the High School Endowment for £260.\(^{628}\) After farming this for eight years he took up a farm at Eureka.\(^{629}\) When he died there in 1910, of cancer, aged 57, he left an estate of £4,041 19s 3d.\(^{630}\)

Murphy was a popular member of the community. A family burnt out of their home in 1897 was ‘taken to Murphy’s hotel, where they received every kindness’.\(^{631}\) He was not only treasurer of athletic sports held in 1888, but participated in this event, coming second in the hop, step, and jump.\(^{632}\) He was both on the committee and a steward of Te Aroha’s jockey club.\(^{633}\) When complaining to the council about a piggery fouling the street, he said he was ‘willing to subscribe towards the cost’ of repairs.\(^{634}\) In 1898 he provided a site for a teacher’s house, the same year that he was elected to the school committee.\(^{635}\) He was re-elected in the following year.\(^{636}\) His widow, who remained on the Eureka farm, also assisted the community, her obituary recording that she ‘took a keen interest in the affairs of the district and among other things she gave the piece of land on which the Eureka Hall now stands’.\(^{637}\)

Patrick O’Meagher was recalled, in 1938, as having been ‘a most popular figure in Te Aroha’.\(^{638}\) Born in Tipperary in 1854, before coming to New Zealand he served in the army learning skills that would be of more use to a publican than a soldier, as shown in the account of his military career provided to the *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*:
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He enlisted at the age of seventeen to the 98th Prince of Wales Foot, saw service in the Ashantee war of 1874, under Lord Wolseley, and served altogether twelve years, including a period in Jamaica. While in the West Indies, he was for four years butler to the Hon. John Devereaux, and for a short time to Viscount Valencia, late of the 10th Hussars. During his residence in Jamaica, he was for two years in charge of the officers' mess. For a time he served in Malta, where he was one of the men selected to wait on the Prince of Wales on his return from India.639

Whilst in Malta, he married an Englishwoman, Louisa Kate Cripps; they were to have three daughters.640

In 1889, O'Meagher was licensee of the Lake Hotel at Takapuna, known to some as the ‘Honeymoon’ Hotel.641 In the early 1890s he became licensee of the Bridge Inn at Parawai, Thames.642 In 1893, he was licensee of the Bridge Hotel at Coromandel.643 An application in the following June to lease it and appoint a tenant to operate it was declined.644 By 1896 he had moved to Te Aroha.645

In April 1897, it was reported that O'Meagher, ‘the genial and deservedly popular landlord of the present Family Hotel’, situated on the corner of Whitaker and Rolleston Streets, would move to its replacement as soon as it was completed.646 A new lease of £8 5s a week from Ehrenfried's brewery was signed in August.647 In dealings with him in 1891, O'Meagher had been forced to pay £121 19s 7d for goods supplied plus rent.648 The new two-storied building, completed in August, was lavishly praised in a Thames newspaper, which considered he was ‘to be heartily congratulated on obtaining possession of such a handsome and well appointed hotel and

639 *Cyclopedia of New Zealand*, vol. 2, pp. 830-831.
640 Death Certificates of Patrick O'Meagher, 17 December 1910, 1910/9018; Louisa Kate O'Meagher, 5 April 1918, 1918/12799, BDM.
641 *Observer*, 26 October 1889, p. 13, cartoon, 21 December 1889, p. 15.
642 Licensing Committee, *Thames Advertiser*, 30 June 1894, p. 3.
643 *The Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette*, 5 October 1893, p. 390.
644 Licensing Committee, *Thames Advertiser*, 30 June 1894, p. 3.
645 For example, *Thames Advertiser*, 1 April 1896, p. 2.
646 *Thames Advertiser*, 5 April 1897, p. 2.
647 *Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand*, 16 September 1897, p. 495.
he will doubtless receive his full share of business’.649 The following May, because of its ‘growing popularity’, the brewery decided to add a wing containing 20 bedrooms.650 The following month, O’Meagher went to Auckland to make arrangements for this double-storied wing, to face Rolleston Street.651 In mid-1899, he changed the name to the Grand Hotel.652 Three years later, it was described as having ‘thirty-seven bedrooms, seven sitting rooms, a large dining room with seats for fifty guests, and baths supplied with hot and cold water’. He claimed it was ‘one of the most popular hostelries in the township’.653 A Hamilton newspaper described it as ‘one of the best-equipped establishments in the province’.654 In 1898, he catered ‘in splendid style’ for the banquet honouring the politician Alfred Jerome Cadman when he opened the bathhouse named after him.655

In 1900, the licensing committee received a report critical of his suitability as a licensee because he had been ‘drinking severely’, but withdrew their opposition to renewing his license after a doctor’s evidence contradicted this report.656 By late 1904, O’Meagher had given up the hotel and become a billiard room proprietor.657

Newspapers agreed that O’Meagher was ‘popular’,658 and his wife was described as ‘the popular hostess’.659 In 1895, a resident thanked them ‘for their many kindnesses to me since my accident some five weeks ago’.660 In February 1898 ‘what might have proved a disastrous outbreak of fire was arrested by’ his ‘promptitude’. At half-past ten one evening, ‘the proprietress of the old Family Hotel boardinghouse found the wash house on fire, and

649 Thames Advertiser, 5 August 1897, p. 3.
650 Te Aroha News, 14 May 1898, p. 2.
651 Te Aroha News, 16 June 1898, p. 2.
652 Thames Advertiser, 16 June 1899, p. 2.
654 Waikato Argus, 24 August 1899, p. 2.
655 Observer, 26 May 1898, p. 2; Auckland Weekly News, 28 May 1898, p. 10.
656 Licensing Committee, Thames Star, 7 June 1900, p. 2.
657 Marriage Certificate of Katie Elizabeth O’Meagher, 28 December 1904, 1904/5783, BDM; Te Aroha News, 10 April 1918, p. 2.
658 For example, Thames Advertiser, 5 August 1897, p. 3; Te Aroha News, 16 June 1898, p. 2.
659 Te Aroha News, 14 May 1898, p. 2; see also 10 April 1918, p. 2.
660 Public Notice, Te Aroha News, 16 October 1895, p. 2.
immediately raised an alarm. In appealing to Mr O’Meagher, of the now well-known hotel, she ‘did not appeal in vain’. He

promptly proceeded to the scene, and directed a by-stander to mount the roof, where the individual indicated at once secured a precarious footing commanding the incipient conflagration. To him were handed buckets of soap-suds, drawn from a convenient tub. After a sharp engagement with the devouring element, Mr O’Meagher had the satisfaction of announcing that danger was at an end, and the crowd breathed freely.661

On one occasion, ‘a scene’ revealed a less appealing side to his personality. In 1900, just before a small committee meeting to arrange a school excursion, a man named [James] McCord662 entered and stated that he had booked it from O’Meagher for a public meeting. The chairman, William Henry Knock, said ‘he knew nothing about that’ and ‘had engaged the hall for a committee meeting and intended to use it accordingly’, and did. Shortly afterwards O’Meagher ‘entered the hall in a state of considerable excitement and requested to be informed by whose authority the meeting was sitting in his hall’. After Knock explained that it had been ‘duly engaged’, a statement confirmed by Robert Edward Crombie, who was associated with Ohinemuri mining.663

Mr O’Meagher informed the meeting that the hall was his hall, and that the sooner those present got outside the better. Thereupon he turned down the light, which Mr Crombie at once turned up. The turning up and turning down process was repeated several times, with considerable emphasis. Finally the meeting adjourned to the billiard-room of the Hot Springs Hotel. Mr O’Meagher being left in possession of his property, locked the door thereof, and went home.664

This report of ‘a difference of opinion’ was reprinted in the Thames Star for the amusement of residents there.665 The Observer also gave its slant on this storm in a teacup in what it unkindly described as ‘a sort of

661 Te Aroha News, 8 February 1898, p. 2.
662 See Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Ohinemuri Gazette, 13 March 1897, p. 5; Ohinemuri Electoral Roll, 1900, p. 60.
663 See Ohinemuri Electoral Roll, 1900, p. 21; Thames Star, 28 October 1901, p. 4.
664 Te Aroha News, 23 January 1900, p. 2.
665 Thames Star, 24 January 1900, p. 4.
North Island Sleepy Hollow’, whose inhabitants ‘occasionally’ woke up and advertised the township by getting up ‘some sort of a sensation’.

The latest *contretemps* was a row over who should have the right to hold a meeting in a public hall owned by Pat O’Meagher. The school committee, with a rival publican Knock in the chair, took possession with a view of deciding on the date of their excursion, but before they got fairly to work a Mr McCord came in and claimed he had engaged the hall for a public meeting. As the committee, however, declined to clear out, Mr McCord left, breathing dire threats. Business then went on until they got as far as fixing the price of the tickets, when Mr O’Meagher suddenly burst into the premises like a Lyddite shell, demanding to know why they were in his hall without authority, and ordering everybody out. After the general excitement subsided a little, the question arose as to whether the meeting should proceed with or without light, for O’Meagher turned out the lights and the chairman of the meeting turned them up again. After five minutes or so of this amusing up and down process the meeting concluded to retire in good order, leaving O’Meagher in sole possession. A friendly billiard-room afforded them an opportunity of continuing their deliberations.

The *Observer* did not realize that the billiard room belonged to Knock, a rival publican.

In April 1899, O’Meagher acquired a claim at Stoney Creek. In August, he obtained a water race and requested permission to work with two instead of the required ten men for six months. As he claimed to have done a ‘considerable amount of work’, this application was granted. As he only paid the first instalment of rent, the claim was forfeited in August 1903, and two years later the rent was treated as unrecoverable because he had no assets for the bailiff to seize.

---

666 Crombie, according to the local newspaper.
667 *Observer*, 3 February 1900, p. 15.
668 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Mining Applications 1899, 6/1899, BBAV 11289/15a; Register of Licensed Holdings and Special Claims 1887-1909, folio 137, BBAV 11500/8b, ANZ-A.
669 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Mining Applications 1899, 15, 16/1899, BBAV 11289/15a, ANZ-A.
670 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings and Special Claims 1887-1909, folio 137, BBAV 11500/8b; Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Home Warrant Book 1883-1928, 6/1904, BBAV 11498/1a, ANZ-A; County Clerk to Minister of Mines, 25 September 1905,
O’Meagher was an Anglican and an office-bearer in the local Masonic lodge. As was normal for publicans, he helped to run the Te Aroha and Te Aroha West Jockey Clubs. In 1903 he was a steward for light horses at the agricultural show. He was a vice-president of the football club and the Piako Cricket Club. ‘With his usual generosity’ he donated caps to the former. He organized quoit matches, the stake on one occasion being ‘A Brace of Fowls’. In June 1899, he became captain of the Te Aroha Rifles, resigning one year later. Nearly six months previously, he had failed to notify his corps of their involvement in an entertainment for the Patriotic Fund.

In 1899, a Hamilton newspaper described the ‘well known and popular’ O’Meagher as ‘one of the “live” men of the thermal borough, taking an active interest in all movements, and whether it is as captain of the volunteer corps or as member of the other various organizations, he is always to the fore in advancing the interests of the place’. He was proud to be one of the original members of the borough council. When the first council was elected in 1898, he had the lowest vote of the six successful candidates, 75; the highest scorer received 115. He was re-elected the following year. In early 1901, he retired because he intended to leave the district. As he did not leave, in 1902 he was re-elected with the second-
highest vote, 140; the highest was 167. The following year, all candidates were elected unopposed. In 1905, he was re-elected with 111 votes compared with the highest score of 144, and became deputy mayor, the highest office he ever held. In 1899, when he stood as mayor he was defeated by Edward Gallagher by 141 votes to 89.

O'Meagher suffered from a ‘complaint of somewhat long standing’ before his death in 1910. Aged only 57, he died from exhaustion and cirrhosis of the liver. It seems that he was another publican whose occupation ruined his health. In January 1900, when driving a meeting out of his hall, he was described as being in a ‘state of considerable excitement’, perhaps a euphemism for being drunk, and six months later the police opposed renewing his license because he was ‘drinking severely’.

**Samuel Tozer Smardon**, born in Devon in 1838, was by profession a shipwright and carpenter, having been apprenticed to a shipwright in 1831 when aged 13. After arriving in New Zealand in 1863 he was the proprietor of the Waikato Hotel at Ngaruawahia in 1864 and 1865, ‘in the palmy days, prior to the departure of the troops’. He also owned a store

---

685 *Auckland Weekly News*, 24 April 1902, p. 32, 8 May 1902, p. 25.


688 See paper on his life.


690 Death Certificate of Patrick O'Meagher, 17 December 1910, 1910/9018, BDM.


694 Death Certificate of Samuel Tozer Smardon, 22 January 1899, 1899/612, BDM; Ancestry.co.uk.

695 For example, *Thames Advertiser*, 5 April 1881, p. 2; *Te Aroha News*, 7 July 1883, p. 2; *New Zealand Gazette*, 18 October 1883, p. 1518; *Waikato Electoral Roll*, 1884, p. 20; *Thames Star*, 11 December 1905, p. 2; 1851 United Kingdom Census, Ancestry.co.uk.

there. Subsequently he ‘worked at his trade and as a builder’ at Whangarei for several years; his eldest son was born there in 1886.

By the early 1870s, he had moved to Thames, where he worked as a carpenter, shipwright, and, according to one record, a miner, until moving to Waiorongomai in 1883, where at first he was a carpenter. In April 1885, he succeeded John Bernard Kilian as license of the Premier Hotel. The following month, to secure repayment of the £605 he had borrowed from Louis Ehrenfried, he provided the latter with a conditional bill of sale over the hotel’s stock in trade, furniture, billiard table, bar fittings, and other chattels. Interest was charged at eight per cent; because the loan remained unpaid, the bill of sale was re-registered in 1890 and 1895. Late in 1885, his silver hunting watch was stolen from the hotel. To attract visitors, he advertised ‘Romantic Scenery, Beautiful Walks, and the Grandest Ferns, obtainable in Great Variety. Also can be seen the Quartz Reduction Battery at work’. After taking in these wonders, visitors were invited to take refreshments at his hotel.

In June 1887, his license was transferred to the Waiorongomai Hotel, which he ran until June 1891. When the police charged him with selling

---

697 Observer, 16 December 1905, p. 3.
698 Ohinemuri Gazette, 9 March 1898, p. 2; Waikato Argus, 24 January 1899, p. 2; Observer, 16 December 1905, p. 3; Birth Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 28 July 1866, 1866/10968, BDM.
699 Birth Certificates of Fred Smardon, 1867/3375; Mary Elizabeth Smardon, 1870/219; Harry Smardon, 7 June 1872, 1872/17939; Ernest Smardon, 18 September 1875, 1875/3375; Death Certificates of Harry Smardon, 28 June 1872, 1872/2695; Fred Smardon, 6 November 1879, 1879/4423, BDM; Thames Guardian and Mining Record, 4 November 1871, p. 2; Thames Advertiser, 9 March 1874, p. 2, 8 November 1879, p. 2, 5 April 1881, p. 2; Tauranga Electoral Roll, 1887, p. 22; New Zealand Gazette, 18 October 1883, p. 1518; Thames Star, 11 December 1905, p. 2.
700 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publicans’ Licenses 1882-1892, Premier Hotel, BBAV 11493/1a, ANZ-A; Licensing Committee, Te Aroha News, 27 June 1885, p. 2.
701 Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand, 23 May 1885, p. 172.
702 Weekly Advertiser and Commercial Gazette, 23 May 1885, p. 330; Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand, 26 April 1890, p. 123, 19 December 1895, p. 692.
704 Advertisement, Te Aroha News, 27 November 1886, p. 3.
705 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publicans’ Licenses 1882-1892, Premier and Waiorongomai Hotels, BBAV 11493/1a, ANZ-A; Te Aroha News, 25 June 1887, p. 2.
liquor on a Sunday in May 1888, four witnesses admitted that they had been drinking there on that morning. The magistrate commented that ‘no evidence could be much stronger’, but on the technicality that the prosecution had not proved that Smardon had a publican’s license, ‘no license having been put in evidence’, the case was dismissed.\textsuperscript{706} At the subsequent sitting, this omission was rectified, he pleaded guilty, and was fined £1.\textsuperscript{707} At the beginning of April 1889, he pleaded guilty to keeping his hotel open after hours.\textsuperscript{708}

Sgt Emerson stated Constable Wild being on duty at Waiorongomai on the night in question, heard billiards being played, and went and spoke to the defendant about it, requesting that it be stopped. Defendant replied that it was only his boarders who were playing, and declined to stop it; hence the issuing of the summons, as defendant appeared to wish to establish a right and precedent; which, if allowed, would result in other hotel-keepers making similar breaches of the Act, and then saying it was only boarders.

Defendant said Constable Wild never asked him to stop the playing, and did not seem very clear as to the law himself on the subject; they simply argued the point in a friendly way. The only persons playing on the occasion referred to were boarders, who had been staying with him for months previously, the hotel being closed to all others at the proper hour. In allowing his boarders to pay he did not know he was breaking the law, and expressed regret if he had done so.

His Worship dismissed the case with a caution, and advised defendant not to allow the offence to occur again.\textsuperscript{709}

In June, the police told the annual licensing meeting that the hotel was well conducted, apart from his unwitting crime of permitting boarders to play billiards after hours.\textsuperscript{710} Five months later, the council directed him ‘to at once cease causing the nuisance at present arising through the

\textsuperscript{706} Police Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 23 May 1888, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{707} Magistrate’s Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 6 June 1888, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{708} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 22/1889, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.

\textsuperscript{709} Magistrate’s Court, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 3 April 1889, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{710} Licensing Committee, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 12 June 1889, p. 2.
offensive matter flowing from his premises on to the public road or into the road drain'.\textsuperscript{711}

In 1890, he moved to the Palace Hotel in Te Aroha, which he held until his death.\textsuperscript{712} Built by John Watson Walker and at first named after him,\textsuperscript{713} this two-storey building had 60 rooms, 50 being bedrooms and included eight sitting rooms; the dining room could accommodate 100 people.\textsuperscript{714} In February 1891, the \textit{Te Aroha News} reported ‘a very pleasant episode’ at the dinner table, when Smardon and his wife, the popular host and hostess, were presented with a testimonial from the visitors who were staying at the hotel. Mr Reston, of Auckland, read the testimonial, and said it gave him great pleasure to testify to the comfort and attention which he, as well as all the other visitors, had received during their stay at Te Aroha. He asked those present to give three cheers for Mr and Mrs Smardon, after which Mr Smardon returned hearty thanks on behalf of Mrs Smardon and himself for the very unexpected testimonial which had been presented to them. They had simply done their duty in trying to make their guests comfortable and pleased, and that they had succeeded in doing so was very gratifying to them both.\textsuperscript{715}

Judging by the comment in a Paeroa newspaper in mid-1892 that ‘Friend Smardon is looking well and flourishing’,\textsuperscript{716} it was a profitable investment. Three years later, he advertised that he had the best billiard table in the town with an ‘Experienced Marker’.\textsuperscript{717} According to an obituary, he and his wife ‘enjoyed a large measure of patronage and support from the public, due to the constant care and attention devoted to the comfort of their guests’.\textsuperscript{718}

\textsuperscript{711} Piako County Council, Minutes of Meeting of 27 November 1889, Matamata-Piako District Council Archives, Te Aroha.

\textsuperscript{712} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publicans’ Licenses 1882-1892, folio 17, BBAV 11483/1a, ANZ-A; \textit{Cyclopedia of New Zealand}, vol. 2, p. 831; \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 11 May 1891, p. 3.

\textsuperscript{713} See paper on his life.

\textsuperscript{714} \textit{Cyclopedia of New Zealand}, vol. 2, p. 831.


\textsuperscript{716} \textit{Ohinemuri Gazette}, 25 June 1892, p. 5.

\textsuperscript{717} Advertisement, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 12 October 1895, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{718} \textit{Waikato Argus}, 24 January 1899, p. 2.
Occasionally he provided food for banquets. In 1888, when a banquet was held at the Werahiko Hall for Henry Hopper Adams,\textsuperscript{719} he catered for 120 guests.\textsuperscript{720} He ‘carried out his duties in a manner that gave the greatest satisfaction; the menu included a variety of good things, in fact the spread was all that could be desired’.\textsuperscript{721} The following year, footballers from Paeroa, Te Aroha, and Waiorongomai ate at his hotel after their match. ‘Dinner was of a most substantial character, put on in host Smardon’s best style’.\textsuperscript{722} In May 1897, when reporting that ‘Host Smardon’ would provide a banquet for the Masons, a local correspondent wrote that this was ‘a sufficient guarantee that it will be a first-class spread’.\textsuperscript{723}

An example of a problem all publicans faced was reported in 1897:

On Saturday afternoon a disturbance took place at the Palace Hotel, Te Aroha, the originators being three roughs from Sydney, who had been visiting the various goldfield centres. They arrived at Te Aroha on Saturday and called at the hotel for drinks. One of them becoming disorderly Mr Smardon, the licensee, put him out. His mate objected, and in course of the altercation struck Mr Smardon on the face, knocking him down. The Boots of the hotel thereupon interfered in his employer’s behalf, as did also Mr Smardon’s son. By this time the three Sydney visitors were all fighting, and Constable Wild putting in an appearance, was very roughly handled in attempting to arrest them. So persistently did they resist that the constable had to call on the spectators to assist him, which they readily did, and after a good deal of rough and tumble work he managed to get his prisoners to the lock-up.\textsuperscript{724}

Of the three ‘roughs’, all bushmen, aged 25, 32, and 33, two were born in New Zealand and the third in Tasmania. For ‘riotous behaviour when drunk’ and resisting arrest they received three months’ hard labour.\textsuperscript{725}

In late 1894, Smardon, as chairman of the Te Aroha Public Hall and Reading Room Company, chaired the meeting that decided to wind it up.\textsuperscript{726}

\textsuperscript{719} See paper on his life.
\textsuperscript{720} \textit{Waikato Times}, 14 April 1888, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{721} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 14 April 1888, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{722} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 15 May 1889, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{723} \textit{Te Aroha Correspondent, Waikato Argus}, 15 May 1897, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{724} \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 4 March 1897, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{725} Armed Constabulary Force, Return of Charges taken at Te Aroha Lock-Up 1880-1903, 5-7/1897, in private possession.
Afterwards he acquired this hall, known during his lifetime as ‘Smardon’s Hall’, and it was the venue for both meetings and entertainments.\footnote{Te Aroha Borough Council, Rate Book 1900-1901, Sections 7, 8 of Block XI, Matamata-Piako District Council Archives, Te Aroha; \textit{Te Aroha News}, 18 December 1895, p. 2, 10 February 1900, p. 2, 9 October 1900, p. 2; \textit{Auckland Weekly News}, 12 July 1898, p. 21.}

Like all the publicans whose careers are summarized here, Smardon invested in several mines, in his case at Te Aroha, Stoney Creek, and Waiorongomai during the 1880s and 1890s.\footnote{Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Te Aroha Claims 1880-1888, folios 132, 140, BBAV 11567/1a; Register of Applications 1891-1899, Hearings of 19 December 1892, 20 March 1893, 24 July 1893, BBAV 11505/4a, ANZ-A; \textit{New Zealand Gazette}, 18 October 1883, p. 1518, 6 May 1897, p. 1036.} He was a member of the committee of a prospecting association formed in 1892, and three years later was chairman of a syndicate hoping to find gold close to Te Aroha.\footnote{\textit{Auckland Weekly News}, 26 November 1892, p. 23; \textit{Te Aroha News}, 31 August 1895, p. 2.}

In September 1886 he was granted 150 acres in the new Gordon Special Settlement, which were held in his wife’s name.\footnote{Waikato Times, 7 September 1886, p. 2; Gordon Special Settlement, Memorandum of 16 March 1887, Lands and Survey Department, BAAZ 1108/102a, ANZ-A.} Six months later, he had made no improvements.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 5 March 1887, p. 3.} In March 1889 Anna was warned that, as she had failed to be ‘continuously in residential occupation’, the land was liable to forfeiture.\footnote{Chief Clerk, Lands Department, to A.R. Smardon, 4 March 1889, Lands and Survey Department, BAAZ 1108/102a, ANZ-A.} Her response was that, as the block had only from 20 to 30 acres of moderately flat but extremely stony land plus a broad stream with precipitous banks, it was quite unsuited to agriculture. Accordingly, she had sold it to a flaxmiller for £30.\footnote{A.R. Smardon to Chief Clerk, Lands Department, 12 March 1889, enclosing agreement of 6 March 1889 with E.S. von Sturmer, Lands and Survey Department, BAAZ 1108/102a, ANZ-A.}

Smardon and his wife were only moderately successful financially. The size of Smardon’s estate, all of which went to his widow, is not known.\footnote{Probate of S.T. Smardon, Probates, BBAE 1569/3124, ANZ-A.} When she died nearly seven years later, her estate, sworn as being under
£100, turned out to be worthless, unfortunately for her bankrupt son Ernest, the ‘beneficiary’.

Like all local publicans, Smardon was involved with horse racing. In 1886 he was a member of the committee organizing a race meeting. Nine years later he was on the committee of the Jockey Club. In the latter year, he offered to forego a £10 claim against the club if he was made a life member, which was agreed to. Like the other publicans, he supported and participated in sporting activities. In the 1880s he helped to organize athletic sports at Waiorongomai, and was a player and vice-president of the cricket club there. In the 1890s, he was one of the many vice-presidents of the Te Aroha football club. Seven months before his death, he presented it with a ‘match ball’ to be competed for.

His most notable sporting involvement was a ‘somewhat novel’ foot race at Waiorongomai in 1888. With the stakes at £5 a side, Smardon was to run 100 yards down the main street while his opponent, the assistant assayer at the battery, had a 50 yards start but had to carry a man on his back. The betting was ‘in favour of the weight carrier’. Smardon lost by either 15 or 20 yards (reports varied) in a race that caused much amusement, and plenty of “chaff” was flying. Subsequently, he refused to pay up by arguing that the person being carried should have been naked. ‘There was nothing specified in the agreement that the person being carried should be naked, and the action of the old gentleman in respect to this matter is generally condemned’.  

---
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Subsequently, ‘the Heavyweight’, an indication of his girth, was induced to drop his protest.\footnote{Waiorongomai Correspondent, \textit{Waikato Times}, 14 June 1888, p. 2.}

Smardon was prominent in the community, for instance as a leading Mason.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 9 October 1900, p. 3.} He tried to be active in the Waiorongomai school committee. In 1884, he received 19 votes, insufficient to be elected.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 2 February 1884, p. 7.} In the subsequent election, he was elected and became chairman.\footnote{\textit{Waikato Times}, 29 January 1885, p. 2.} The legality of this election as chairman caused an even split in the committee, which being deadlocked could undertake no business.\footnote{Te Aroha Correspondent, \textit{Waikato Times}, 5 February 1885, p. 3.} At the March meeting, although a majority asked him to resign in favour of Peter Ferguson,\footnote{See paper on Peter Ferguson and his New Era.} Smardon ‘apparently “didn’t quite see it” and stoutly refused to accede to their request’. He had been ‘early on the scene, and lost no time in taking possession of the disputed seat, and never gave “the opposition” the slightest chance of “jumping” his claim’.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 7 March 1885, p. 2.} The board of education ruled that, ‘from the facts placed before them’, Ferguson was the legally elected chairman.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 18 April 1885, p. 7.} Smardon did not stand in the subsequent election, instead acting as returning officer.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 1 May 1886, p. 2.} The following year, the committee rejected his complaint that the head teacher had wrongfully punished his son.\footnote{Waiorongomai School Committee, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 20 August 1887, p. 3.} When he stood again, in 1890, he came bottom of the poll with only five votes; the top scorer received 49.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 30 April 1890, p. 2.} The \textit{Te Aroha News} considered it was ‘only justice’ to Smardon to explain that he had stated in reply to several householders who asked him to allow himself to be nominated, that he did not wish to be on the committee, and if anyone nominated him, and he were elected, he should resign. This being known to a good number will account for so few votes being recorded in his favour, although some of his friends insisted on nominating him.\footnote{\textit{Te Aroha News}, 17 May 1890, p. 2.}
In July 1883 he was elected to a committee charged with watching over the interests of Waiorongomai.\(^{759}\) The following July he was one of five men calling a meeting about local concerns, and was elected chairman of the meeting and a member of the committee to seek the implementation of the resolutions.\(^{760}\) In October that year his motion that Waiorongomai become a town district was carried.\(^{761}\) One month later, he was elected to a committee seeking to combine Te Aroha, Waiorongomai, and Quartzville into a borough.\(^{762}\) If he was in favour of this concept, he soon changed his mind, for less than three months later he was the only person at a Waiorongomai meeting to oppose the motion that this borough be formed.\(^{763}\) Also in that month, he seconded an amendment to a motion supporting the building of the New Era tramway, but only three people voted for it. ‘A rather lively passage-at-arms took place ... between Messrs Smardon and [James] Munro,\(^{764}\) in which the former decidedly had the worst of it’.\(^{765}\) In June 1886 he was elected to the newly formed Waiorongomai Progress Committee, and the following month to its successor, the Waiorongomai Improvement Committee.\(^{766}\) He opposed a motion asking the council to levy a special rate for works needed in the Te Aroha Riding, arguing that whereas many at Waiorongomai would only pay a few shillings, ‘they should consider how hardly it would bear on the farmers and settlers whose property was of more value’; his amendment to oppose this rate was defeated.\(^{767}\) In December, he was elected to a committee drawing up a petition opposing the closure of the telegraph office.\(^{768}\) A month later he was elected to a committee to obtain a water supply and helped collect subscriptions.\(^{769}\)

\(^{759}\) *Thames Advertiser*, 16 July 1883, p. 2.

\(^{760}\) *Te Aroha News*, 5 July 1884, p. 7, 12 July 1884, p. 2.

\(^{761}\) *Te Aroha News*, 25 October 1884, p. 2.

\(^{762}\) *Te Aroha News*, 29 November 1884, p. 2.

\(^{763}\) *Te Aroha News*, 7 February 1885, p. 7.

\(^{764}\) See paper on John Squirrell.

\(^{765}\) *Te Aroha Correspondent, Waikato Times*, 5 February 1885, p. 2.

\(^{766}\) *Te Aroha News*, 5 June 1886, p. 2, 24 July 1886, p. 3.

\(^{767}\) *Te Aroha News*, 24 July 1886, p. 3.

\(^{768}\) *Te Aroha News*, 11 December 1886, p. 2.

\(^{769}\) *Te Aroha News*, 22 January 1887, p. 2.
In May 1888, he convened a public meeting ‘To consider the Action of the Governors of the Thames High School Endowment, in connection with mining operations’.\textsuperscript{770} He told it that the governors were trying to kill the goose that laid the golden eggs by trying to prevent the sinking of the Alameda shaft on the edge of the township.\textsuperscript{771} In July, he challenged James Mills, the chairman of a very small meeting seeking support for a borough comprising Te Aroha and Waiorongomai, about why Te Aroha residents had earlier defeated this proposal:

Mr Smardon to the Chairman: Do I understand you to state that they are dissatisfied with the form of Government they have got?

The Chairman: They are.

Mr Smardon: Why did not Te Aroha join in for the Borough on the previous occasion?

The Chairman: Because a number of the people at Te Aroha had axes to grind; but the people of Te Aroha now want a Borough.

Mr Smardon: A large number of the people now residing at Waiorongomai are newcomers since this question was last discussed, and it would be better to take a little time and have the question fully ventilated before taking action. This surely is not a representative meeting of the people of Waiorongomai.

After another opponent of the borough wanted the meeting adjourned for six months, Smardon agreed: ‘It certainly would not be right for the few of us here to assume to dictate for the residents of Waiorongomai’. After his proposal that the meeting be adjourned was defeated, he refused to be a member of the committee to establish a borough.\textsuperscript{772} In August 1889, he was elected to a committee of four to draw up a petition opposing Waiorongomai being included in a borough.\textsuperscript{773}

In 1893, after having moved to Te Aroha, he chaired a meeting of 400 people protesting at the domain board sacking the caretakers.\textsuperscript{774} Two years later he was elected to a committee seeking to build a hospital at Paeroa.\textsuperscript{775} In February 1898, he chaired a meeting protesting at restricting the hours when the baths were open and was one of the three people delegated to

\textsuperscript{770} Advertisement, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 16 May 1888, p. 7.

\textsuperscript{771} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 23 May 1888, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{772} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 7 July 1888, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{773} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 10 August 1889, p. 2.

\textsuperscript{774} \textit{Auckland Weekly News}, 2 September 1893, p. 10.

\textsuperscript{775} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 27 November 1895, p. 2.
discuss this with the domain board.\textsuperscript{776} Five months later he stood for the new borough council, receiving 74 votes, one lower than the last person elected; the highest vote was 115.\textsuperscript{777} In standing for the council he advertised that he intended to benefit all.\textsuperscript{778} That he always portrayed himself as a thorough democrat was indicated by a comment by the \textit{Observer} Man in 1895 that ‘S.T.S. still maintains we “har” (are) the people’.\textsuperscript{779} He supported Liberal or Independent Liberal parliamentary candidates.\textsuperscript{780}

Smardon died in January 1899, after suffering for two and a half years from diabetes, heart disease, and an enlarged liver.\textsuperscript{781} His death was not unexpected, as having been in ‘indifferent health’ for some time he had been sent ‘south’ for a rest. A Thames newspaper described him as having been ‘deservedly popular for his many sterling qualities’.\textsuperscript{782} A Paeroa correspondent stated that he was ‘well known and much respected’.\textsuperscript{783} ‘A very large Masonic funeral’ was held for him.\textsuperscript{784}

After his death, the elder of his surviving sons, John Samuel Smardon, who had been born in 1866,\textsuperscript{785} managed the hotel.\textsuperscript{786} Obituaries would describe him as ‘a favourite of all’ and ‘universally respected and esteemed’.\textsuperscript{787} His first appearance in a newspaper was in 1877, when charged with breaching the Thames by-laws:

John Smardon (a little boy) was charged with wheeling a barrow in Mackay-street on the 10th inst. – Defendant pleaded guilty. The father of defendant said the barrow was only a small one,

\textsuperscript{776} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 17 February 1898, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{777} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 12 July 1898, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{778} Advertisement, \textit{Te Aroha News}, 30 June 1898, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{779} ‘Te Aroha’, \textit{Observer}, 5 January 1895, p. 22.
\textsuperscript{780} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 10 September 1887, p. 2; \textit{New Zealand Herald}, 4 November 1896, p. 5.
\textsuperscript{781} Death Certificate of Samuel Tozer Smardon, 22 January 1899, 1899/612, BDM; \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 21 January 1899, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{782} \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 21 January 1899, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{783} Paeroa Correspondent, \textit{Waikato Argus}, 24 January 1899, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{784} \textit{Te Aroha Correspondent}, \textit{Auckland Star}, 23 January 1899, p. 4.
\textsuperscript{785} Birth Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 28 July 1866, 1866/10968, BDM.
\textsuperscript{786} Probate of John Samuel Smardon, Probates BBAE 1569/3336, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{787} \textit{Ohinemuri Gazette}, 23 August 1899, p. 2; \textit{Waikato Argus}, 22 August 1899, p. 2; Probates, BBAE 1569/3336, ANZ-A.
which he had made last week. – His Worship dismissed the 
defendant with a caution.\textsuperscript{788}

He became a carpenter,\textsuperscript{789} and in 1885 erected tramway stables at 
Fern Spur, unprofitably.\textsuperscript{790} He later worked for the Te Aroha Silver and 
Gold Mining Company, and when it ceased work he asked its supervisor to 
let him know of jobs at Broken Hill. He left for there in 1890 to take up an 
offer,\textsuperscript{791} returning early in that decade to establish a coaching firm, 
Smardon Bros, with his younger brother Ernest.\textsuperscript{792} He died only seven 
months after his father, from heart disease, aged only 33.\textsuperscript{793} His excessive 
weight, which so amused the \textit{Observer} that it had published a cartoon of 
‘Johnny Smardon, a Te Aroha light-weight’,\textsuperscript{794} must have contributed to his 
early death, as his father’s weight problem must have contributed to his. A 
year before he died, an attack of rheumatic fever weakened his heart.\textsuperscript{795} No 
doubt he had been most helpful to his mother as she adjusted to widowhood 
and the demands of the hotel; his probate recorded him as a hotel 
manager.\textsuperscript{796} An especially tragic aspect was that he had been married in 
June 1897 and his only child, named after him, was not born until three 
months after his death.\textsuperscript{797}

After John died, \textbf{Anna Rowe Smardon}, who had assisted her 
husband run his hotels,\textsuperscript{798} considered giving up the license but decided to

\textsuperscript{788} Police Court, \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 17 August 1877, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{789} \textit{Tauranga Electoral Roll}, 1887, p. 22; Death Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 20 August 1899, 1899/3888, BDM.
\textsuperscript{790} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 14 May 1885, p. 2, Piako County Council, 4 April 1885, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{791} \textit{Te Aroha News}, 29 January 1890, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{793} Death Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 20 August 1899, 1899/3888, BDM.
\textsuperscript{794} Cartoon, \textit{Observer}, 28 December 1895, p. 22.
\textsuperscript{795} \textit{Waikato Argus}, 22 August 1899, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{796} Probates, BBAE 1569/3336, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{797} Marriage Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 7 June 1897, 1897/4831; Birth Certificate of John Samuel Smardon, 5 November 1899, 1899/8704, BDM.
\textsuperscript{798} See \textit{Auckland Provincial Government Gazette}, 26 July 1865, p. 163; \textit{Waikato Times}, 23 June 1885, p. 3; \textit{Te Aroha News}, 9 June 1888, p. 2, Magistrate’s Court, 3 April 1889, p. 2;
retain it, possibly with the assistance of John’s widow.\textsuperscript{799} The \textit{Observer} was delighted at her decision. ‘The house is one of the best conducted in the provincial district, and ... its success is chiefly due to Mrs Smardon’s own excellent personal management’.\textsuperscript{800} According to one obituary, she and her husband had ‘enjoyed a large measure of patronage and support from the public, due to the constant care and attention devoted to the comfort of their guests’.\textsuperscript{801} It was also profitable; John had opened an account before his death by depositing ‘£6/700’, and after his death his widow deposited £150 and his mother ‘£3/400’.\textsuperscript{802}

Anna ran the hotel until taking over a boardinghouse, Carlton House, from Emma Blencowe\textsuperscript{803} in October 1901. A Thames newspaper recommended her establishment:

\begin{quote}
It is almost unnecessary to recommend visitors to Te Aroha to call on Mrs Smardon. She is so well known both on the Thames and the whole countryside. That Mrs Smardon will leave no stone unturned to make her patrons comfortable will only be in keeping with her custom for years when in the Palace Hotel.\textsuperscript{804}
\end{quote}

By this time she was eligible for the old age pension, which she was granted.\textsuperscript{805} She died in December 1905, aged 68, of senile decay and asthma.\textsuperscript{806}

\textbf{Ralph Lake Somers}, known as ‘Raaf’ or ‘R.L.S.’,\textsuperscript{807} was born in County Cork in 1866 to an Anglican family, and arrived in Auckland in

\begin{flushleft}
\begin{footnotesize}
\textsuperscript{799} \textit{Ohinemuri Gazette}, 13 January 1900, p. 2, 24 November 1900, p. 3.  \\
\textsuperscript{800} \textit{Observer}, 27 January 1900, p. 7.  \\
\textsuperscript{801} \textit{Waikato Argus}, 24 January 1900, p. 2.  \\
\textsuperscript{802} Bank of New Zealand, Ohinemuri Branch, Half-Yearly Balance Books, Balances as at 31 March 1899, 31 March 1900, Accounts Opened, Bank of New Zealand Archives, Wellington.  \\
\textsuperscript{803} See paper on women’s lives in the Te Aroha district.  \\
\textsuperscript{804} \textit{Thames Star}, 28 October 1901, p. 2.  \\
\textsuperscript{805} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Old Age Pension Claim Register 1899-1909, no. 47, BBAV 11503/1a, ANZ-A.  \\
\textsuperscript{806} Death Certificate of Anna Rowe Smardon, 3 December 1905, 1905/6595, BDM.  \\
\textsuperscript{807} \textit{Observer}, 11 April 1928, p. 5; Te Aroha Jockey Club, \textit{Sixty Years of Racing: a diamond jubilee 1880-1940} (Te Aroha, 1940), p. 43.
\end{footnotesize}
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The Te Aroha News extolled his career prior to settling at Te Aroha:

On completing his education he was apprenticed to the jobbing department of the New Zealand Herald, where he remained for 20 years, showing that he possessed more than the ordinary amount of ability in the craft. By this time Mr Somers had many responsible positions thrust upon him, and he more than demonstrated his ability in every appointment. Mr Somers always took a keen interest in the volunteer movement, and by studious attention he became captain. On leaving the Herald he started business at Helensville, where he remained for three years. During that time he accepted his share of responsibility for the good government of the town, and was a prominent member of the Helensville Town Board.

His obituary clarified that the Helensville business was a hotel. In mid-1895, when he was left the newspaper to ‘enter into another line of business on his own account’, his fellow employees presented him with a ‘silver-mounted ebony walking stick, a silver-mounted pipe, and silver match box, and a cigar case, as a mark of the esteem in which he was held’ by ‘all departments of the works, with many of whom he has been associated for over twenty years’. Perhaps he was tired of ‘the typographical art’, perhaps it was the influence of his wife, whom he had married earlier that year and who was his partner in this new venture. In August that year he leased the Helensville Hotel from a brewer. In December 1897 he gave security over the furniture and effects of the Terminus Hotel there for the brewer’s loan of £250.

---

808 Death Certificate of Ralph Lake Somers, 29 November 1944, 1944/27889, BDM; ‘Addresses Presented to Sir George Grey on his 74th Birthday, 14 April 1886, by European and Maori Residents of Auckland Province’, p. 44, Grey New Zealand Papers, MS 275, Auckland Public Library.
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After being a successful publican there,\textsuperscript{816} in December 1901 he purchased the Hot Springs Hotel at Te Aroha.\textsuperscript{817} He was to run it until retiring in October 1923 because of his wife’s poor health. The local newspaper wrote that ‘sincere regret’ would be ‘felt both by the travelling public and visitors to Te Aroha as well as by the majority or the residents at both the town and district’ at the news that this ‘popular proprietor’ was retiring. ‘By his genial and courteous manner coupled with the kindness which has ever been displayed by Mrs Somers the hotel soon acquired a reputation right through the length and breadth of New Zealand’.\textsuperscript{818} When his wife died, in 1936, she was recalled as a ‘popular hostess’ and ‘one of the social leaders of the district’.\textsuperscript{819}

Somers was twice in trouble with the law during his proprietorship. In 1913, the Inspector of Awards successfully sued him for £10, presumably the amount he had underpaid staff.\textsuperscript{820} In 1919, he pleaded guilty to letting a chimney catch fire and was fined.\textsuperscript{821}

In 1905, he bought a property that included winemaking appliances and some bottles of New Zealand wine,\textsuperscript{822} but there are no records of him making his own wine. Seven years later, he was a director of the Te Aroha Mineral Water Company,\textsuperscript{823} whose products he must have sold.

His 22 years in this hotel were highly profitable. In 1912, he declared he was worth £2,500 ‘in real and personal estate’.\textsuperscript{824} Six years after his retirement he could afford an architect to design his new house.\textsuperscript{825} At that time, he had a fixed deposit of £1,592 12s 6d and his wife had one of £300.\textsuperscript{826} The following year, he erected several shops in what he named the

\textsuperscript{816} Te Aroha News, 22 July 1936, p. 1.
\textsuperscript{817} Observer, 14 December 1901, p. 4.
\textsuperscript{818} Te Aroha News, 2 October 1923, p. 1.
\textsuperscript{819} Te Aroha News, 20 July 1936, p. 1.
\textsuperscript{820} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Civil Record Book 1911-1914, 47/1913, BCDG 11221/3a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{821} Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1919-1921, hearing of 10 July 1919, BCDG 11220/3a, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{822} Ohinemuri Gazette, 5 April 1905, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{823} Company Files, BADZ 5181, box 268 no. 1540, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{824} Hamilton Probates, BCDG 4420/247, ANZ-A.
\textsuperscript{825} Te Aroha News, 11 January 1929, p. 5.
\textsuperscript{826} Bank of Australasia, Te Aroha Branch, Half-Yearly Balance Books, Balance as at 15 April 1929, p. 24, Australia and New Zealand Bank Archives, Wellington.
Jubilee Buildings. In 1939, when he owed it £4,932, his bank estimated that his assets gave him a surplus over liabilities of over £31,000; Somers estimated the surplus at over £41,000. His bank manager described him as a ‘reliable man of good standing and consider [he] can be relied on for amount’. When he died, in 1944, he left an estate of £23,026 1s 4d. With these means, it was not surprising that his obituary referred to the ‘generosity and hospitality’ that would ‘never be forgotten by the many who came into contact with him during his long and useful public career’.

One reason why his financial position was strong was that he invested only minimally in mining when this was declining. He had two claims at Waihi in 1906, and three years later became a shareholder in the Bendigo Company at Waiorongomai, his largest holding being 4,500; he wisely sold them before it collapsed. As chairman of the Murphy’s Find syndicate, he must have invested in this unsuccessful mine, and unless he sold out in time would have lost his investment. He was a director of New Zealand Cinnabar Ltd, which mined for mercury at Karangahake. He was also a director of the Thames Valley Printing Company, established to purchase the Te Aroha Mail and the Morrinsville Herald.

As early as 1883, Somers was a notable member of several communities. In December 1883, presumably referring to him, Hamilton’s Observer Man wrote that ‘the young spark Somers is taking his departure for Te Aroha this week’. During over 20 years of employment by the New Zealand Herald he was captain of the Victoria Rifles, ‘which he has fitted

---
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with credit'.\textsuperscript{837} When he left for Helensville, the Observer wondered how the volunteers would cope without his ‘moustachios’\textsuperscript{838} Although not a member of the Volunteer movement at Te Aroha, in 1902 he was a timekeeper at a Piako Mounted Rifles gymkhana.\textsuperscript{839} When war broke out in 1914 he did ‘his best to augment the Belgian Fund being raised’ and ‘not only persuaded others to give but has given lavishly himself’. A member of the committee that auctioned a horse for this appeal, when his bid of £15 won he put the horse back into the auction and another person bought it for £12.\textsuperscript{840} The death in action in late 1918 of his second son, a second lieutenant, was ‘a great blow to his parents’ and was believed to be a cause of his wife’s invalidism for the last decade or more of her life.\textsuperscript{841} (They had earlier lost a son at the age of 16 months.)\textsuperscript{842}

The \textit{Te Aroha News} obituary described Somers as ‘one of the most respected residents of the town’.\textsuperscript{843} Like the other publicans, he actively supported horse racing and sport. Soon after arriving in Te Aroha he was elected a vice-president of the Jockey Club, and later became treasurer and, for 25 years, president.\textsuperscript{844} He also acted as a steward.\textsuperscript{845} His obituary recorded that it was ‘in the racing world’ that he would ‘be most familiar to the public outside Te Aroha’. Many race-goers would recall him as the president,

always immaculately attired and never without some gay buttonhole. To thousands he was a familiar figure over the 34 years he guided the Te Aroha Jockey Club from its original obscure position to its present proud place as premier country meeting in the Dominion. His wisdom and energy in the advancement of the club have reaped their reward in the respect of the racing fraternity throughout New Zealand.\textsuperscript{846}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[837] Observer, 6 July 1895, p. 3.
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\item[845] Photograph, Te Aroha News, 29 August 1934, p. 4.
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He was also president of the local trotting club.\textsuperscript{847} A life member of the Jockey Club and the bowling and golf clubs, he was president for 35 years of the latter.\textsuperscript{848} When the former was formed in 1902, he was on its committee.\textsuperscript{849} In 1914 he became a vice-president of the South Auckland Rugby Union, and four years later was elected president of the local tennis club.\textsuperscript{850} And he was an enthusiastic cribbage player.\textsuperscript{851}

When the Te Aroha Chamber of Commerce was formed in 1908 he was on its committee.\textsuperscript{852} Being in a ‘mixed marriage’ with a Catholic did not inhibit him from being vicar’s warden at St Mark’s Anglican Church for 12 years.\textsuperscript{853} In 1906, he was elected to the school committee, and in the following year became chairman, a position he held ‘for a considerable span of years’.\textsuperscript{854} ‘For a long time he was chairman of the fire board, and also president of the St John Ambulance Association’, and was a patron of both the Thames Valley Agricultural Pastoral, and Horticultural Association and the Te Aroha District High School Old Pupils’ Association.\textsuperscript{855} He was also for a time a member of the Thames harbour and hospital boards and held ‘every important public position which it was within the power of the ratepayers to bestow’.\textsuperscript{856}

In April 1903, Somers was elected unopposed to the borough council.\textsuperscript{857} He did not stand for election again until 1906, when he was elected mayor with 197 votes; his rival received 107.\textsuperscript{858} According to the Observer (as the local newspaper is lost, the Observer’s claims cannot be either proved or
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disproved), his opponent, John Benjamin Johnson, had the support of the prohibitionists, who ‘plied the muck-rake for all they were worth’, attacking his character and claiming he had ‘no stake in the town worth speaking of’. It also commented that it was ‘no wonder’ that he had grown fat, ‘judging from the way in which he is being feted by the citizens’. After becoming mayor, he was opposed for re-election only once, when ‘he secured a most convincing victory’. Upon his re-election in 1908 councillors congratulated him ‘and expressed great satisfaction with his conduct of affairs’. The following year, after a deputation presented a petition signed by 250 residents, he agreed to stand as mayor once more and was re-elected unopposed. In its Christmas Annual, the Observer published a kindly cartoon of him, plus a poem:

Who’s this scrumptious dook? –
Note his sprightly look,
And his air so debonair and bland.
    Somers is his name,
    And he’s known to fame
As Te Aroha’s Mayor supreme and grand,
    And each one admits
    That while Somers sits
In the chair there cannot be a frost.
    If they’re wise they’ll see
    Carefully that he
Is kept within the chair at any cost.

In 1910 he again consented to be re-nominated, and was again unopposed, as in the following year. Over 100 ratepayers petitioned him

---
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to stand again in 1912, which he agreed to do.\textsuperscript{869} Two years later, after eight years as mayor, he decided not to stand again.\textsuperscript{870} Upon retiring he received ‘a public testimonial’\textsuperscript{871} In November 1914 the Observer wrote that, although he was ‘taking a rest from affairs municipal and is devoting himself to the care of the Hot Springs Hotel’, it was rumoured that he would stand against a prohibition candidate if his successor retired.\textsuperscript{872} As the latter did not, Somers did not contest the mayoralty again.

In a 1927 review of his career, his successes were outlined in detail:

Up to the time he retired Mr Somers was a foremost figure in all local affairs, and by his tact and consistent efficacy in all matters tending towards the advancement of Te Aroha earned a warm spot in the heart of every true townsman. The foundations of electric lighting reticulation and sanitary concerns were laid at the time of Mr Somers’ entering local politics, but the local conveniences were in a very primitive condition. Realizing this, Mr Somers immediately set to work and discussed with his colleagues ways and means for bringing the various local schemes up to a more modern state of affection. The first plant in his platform was in the direction of perfecting the electric lighting system and instituting an efficient drainage scheme. His council immediately affected an improvement by having a water pipeline laid from the electric power station and attaching it to the reservoir. The extra water supply thus obtained was most beneficial during the dry months of the season. By a gradual process the water scheme was perfected. In 1912 the ratepayers sanctioned a loan, and with this money a reservoir was constructed and a power plant was installed. During Mr Somers’ administration the main portion of the town was properly sewered making it one of the healthiest towns in the province. Through Mr Somers’ instrumentality Te Aroha received the sanction of the Railway Department for the re-erection of an up-to-date railway station, in place of the “dog box” variety of architecture. He was also instrumental in having the traffic bridge ... constructed, and though this bridge was not as wide as was advocated, it still served a great purpose. One of his most important acts was in obtaining the sanction of the Minister to build a post office at Te Aroha. To obtain his purpose he had to make many visits to Wellington, and dispatch hundreds of letters and telegrams to the Minister. And Mr Somers did much to make

\textsuperscript{869} Auckland Weekly News, 14 March 1912, p. 49.
\textsuperscript{870} Te Aroha News, 27 March 1914, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{871} Te Aroha News, 2 October 1923, p. 1.
\textsuperscript{872} Observer, 21 November 1914, p. 5.
the name of Te Aroha known throughout the Dominion. Through his advocacy the people of Te Aroha obtained that piece of land known as the Herries Memorial Park which is situated right in the heart of the town. No better tribute could be paid to Mr Somers than appeared in the “Mail” on April 10th, 1913: “In all public functions ... Mr Somers had ungrudgingly lent his services, especially when representations had to be made Mr Somers had added an additional honour and importance thereto by the enrichment of the metrical resources of our language, which will keep his memory green throughout the ages. He arrests general and marked attention by his distinguished air, his confident voice, and his finely turned phrases. He has infused into local politics a new spirit born of his indomitable belief in reform and progress, and his own unshaken determination to carry these into practice.”

When he retired from his hotel the local newspaper wrote that he could ‘look back with pleasure to the result of his efforts in assisting the progress of the town and district. Amongst the foremost of these has been the securing of 150 acres at Ruakaka for a scenic reserve’. In addition, ‘it was through his efforts that the Borough Council obtained the present Borough Chambers’ for ‘a peppercorn rent; as well as securing 15 acres for a recreation reserve’. Somers’ obituary recalled him as being ‘instrumental in the installation of electric light in the Borough, the third provincial town in New Zealand to be thus served’.

As in national politics he was a Liberal, he was genuinely pleased to entertain Sir Joseph Ward in 1910. According to local gossip, ‘there was only one thing’ that Ward enjoyed ‘more than Mayor Somers’ company, and that was his stock of tough yarns’. The Observer, which praised him on and off over many years, referred in 1928 to his ‘everlasting youth and bloom’ and being ‘full of Irish wit and poetry’. In 1940, four years before his death, he was praised for his ‘wide and sympathetic knowledge of human nature’; he was ‘widely known for his hospitality’.

---

874 Te Aroha News, 2 October 1923, p. 1.
875 Te Aroha News, 1 December 1944, p. 5.
876 Ohinemuri Gazette, 23 July 1906, p. 2.
878 Observer, 11 April 1928, p. 5.
879 Death Certificate of Ralph Lake Somers, 29 November 1944, 1944/27889, BDM.
880 Te Aroha Jockey Club, p. 43.
When a mariner in his youth, James Warren had been impressively tattooed. ‘Crucifix tattooed on left upper arm; Highlander, sailor holding cutlass on left forearm; bracelet on each wrist; Scotchman and flag on right upper arm; SL on right forearm’. Despite these Scottish touches, he had been born in England, in 1834. And despite the crucifix, when at Te Aroha he was a vestryman for the Church of England; he would die a Baptist. The military flourishes reflected his being the son of a soldier. He was a member of the 58th Regiment, which served in the colony from 1845 to 1858. In 1898, when he sought money from the government for his service, his claim of service in the regiment was dismissed: ‘No evidence whatever submitted’. His widow’s death notice described him as a sergeant major in it. Seemingly he left it before moving to New Zealand.

In March 1865, at the age of 28 he married Annie Coleman, John Coleman’s sister. Nine months later, their first child, Florence Maud, was born. In 1867, their son William James was born, but he died aged two years and three months of what was described variously as ‘concussion of brain’ and ‘cerebral congestion’. A week later, Annie’s mother Alice died; four days later, a daughter was born and named Alice.

---

881 Birth Certificate of Florence Maud Warren, 23 December 1865, 1865/10041, BDM.
883 Te Aroha News, 24 April 1886, p. 2; Death Certificate of James Warren, 31 May 1901, 1901/2325, BDM.
884 Death Certificate of James Warren, 31 May 1901, 1901/2325, BDM.
888 Lucy Alabaster (Librarian, Kippenberger Military Archive and Research Library, Army Museum, Waiouru) to Philip Hart, 15 August 1907, email.
890 Birth Certificate of Florence Maud Warren, 23 December 1865, 1865/10041, BDM.
891 Birth Certificate of William James Warren, 1867/16132, BDM; Death Certificate of William James Warren, 3 October 1869, 1869/2407, BDM.
892 Death Certificate of Alice Coleman, 11 October 1869, 1869/477; Birth Certificate of Alice Warren, 15 October 1869, 1869/16778, BDM.
When he married, Warren was a sergeant major in the Waikato Militia.\footnote{Church of England, District of Waikato, Marriage Register 1857-1878, no. 5, Anglican Diocesan Archives, Hamilton.} When in Dunedin, and aged 29, at the beginning of October 1863 he had enrolled in No. 3 Company of the First Regiment.\footnote{Waikato Militia, Third Regiment, Nominal and Descriptive Roll, no. 233, microfilm.} Almost immediately, he transferred to No. 1 Company of the Fourth Regiment and became a sergeant major.\footnote{Waikato Militia, Fourth Regiment, Nominal and Descriptive Roll, no. 454, microfilm.} When he enlisted, he described himself variously as a mariner, a soldier, and a labourer.\footnote{Waikato Militia, Third Regiment, Nominal and Descriptive Roll, no. 233; Fourth Regiment, Nominal and Descriptive Roll, no. 454, microfilm.} For his services, he received land grants.\footnote{First Waikato Regiment, Land Register 1863; Fourth Waikato Regiment, Land Register 1864, Army Department, microfilm; ‘Papers Relative to Claims of Certain Waikato Militiamen for Additional Pay’, \textit{AJHR}, 1866, D-4, p. 11; \textit{Auckland Provincial Government Gazette}, 22 August 1867, p. 352, 26 June 1869, p. 529, 28 November 1870, p. 433.} In March 1869, he enrolled in the Hauraki Rifle Volunteers as a private.\footnote{Hauraki Rifle Volunteers, No. 2 Company, Nominal Roll as at 1 April 1869, Army Department, ARM 41, 1883/1ah, ANZ-W.} The following year, he transferred to another company as colour sergeant.\footnote{Hauraki Rifle Volunteers, No. 3 Company, Nominal Roll as at 31 March 1872, Army Department, ARM 41, 1878/1m, ANZ-W.} In 1874, he transferred to the Thames Scottish Rifles, with the rank of sergeant.\footnote{Thames Scottish Rifles, Nominal Roll as at May 1874, Army Department, ARM 41, 1882/1v, ANZ-W.} Five years later, he assisted to form the Hamilton Rifle Volunteers and was elected at what was variously described as Colour Sergeant and Sergeant Major and was the Drill Master for the corps and its band.\footnote{Hamilton Rifle Volunteers, Capitation Roll, March 1880, Army Department, ARM 41, 1880/1q, ANZ-W; \textit{Waikato Times}, 10 May 1879, p. 2, 1 July 1879, p. 2, 11 October 1879, p. 2, 30 December 1879, p. 2, 11 March 1880, p. 2.} In June 1868, Warren became a miner in Thames,\footnote{Thames Warden’s Court, Register of Miners’ Rights 1868, no. 6355, BACL 14358/2a, ANZ-A; Church of England, Thames Register of Baptisms 1868-1874, no. 186, Anglican Archives, Auckland.} and continued to mine there during the early 1870s.\footnote{In 1877 he was working for others on}
two farms in Piako.\textsuperscript{904} Although he settled in Hamilton East in 1878,\textsuperscript{905} he continued his links with farming, advertised at the beginning of 1880 that, ‘having leased Mr Coates’ Threshing Machine, with four Horses’, he ‘respectfully’ solicited ‘a share of patronage’.\textsuperscript{906} In June 1878, after having collected tolls for the council for a few weeks, he obtained the lease of the Hamilton ferry for 12 months.\textsuperscript{907}

At the end of 1879 he advertised himself as the proprietor of ‘River View Cottage, Hamilton East. Private residence and comfortable house for single gentlemen or families’; it provided ‘Boating Accommodation’.\textsuperscript{908} Then in June 1880 he sold all the furniture and effects of his Hamilton East house.\textsuperscript{909} Nevertheless, his family remained in Hamilton while he took Coates’ steam threshing machine around the farming districts of Tuhikaramea and Whatawhata (and no doubt elsewhere) and also during 1882 worked on the formation of Sydney Square (now Steele Park) in Hamilton East.\textsuperscript{910} As an indication of his standing in the community, he held a minor office in the Freemasons.\textsuperscript{911}

The first time it was recorded that Warren was involved with Te Aroha hotels was in November 1882, when applicants for positions as a cook and a housemaid at the Hot Springs Hotel were told to apply either to John Coleman at Te Aroha or Warren’s wife in Hamilton’.\textsuperscript{912} In August 1883, Warren took over Walker’s Hotel, as a tenant of its owner, Louis

\textsuperscript{903} Thames Warden’s Court, Shortland Claims Register 1871-1872, nos. 2581, 3600, BACL 14397/6a, ANZ-A; \textit{Thames Advertiser}, 9 March 1874, p. 2, 17 March 1875, p. 4, 23 May 1876, p. 6.
\textsuperscript{905} Hamilton Rifle Volunteers, No. 3 Company, Capitation Roll, March 1880, Army Department, ARM 41, 1880/1q, ANZ-W.
\textsuperscript{906} Advertisement, \textit{Waikato Times}, 1 January 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{907} \textit{Waikato Times}, 24 June 1878, p. 2; see also 19 October 1878, p. 2.
\textsuperscript{908} Advertisements, \textit{Waikato Times}, 30 December 1879, p. 2, 1 January 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{909} \textit{Waikato Times}, 8 June 1880, p. 2, advertisement, 10 June 1880, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{910} \textit{Waikato Times}, 1 April 1882, p. 2, letter from James Warren and Alfred Bennett, 30 May 1882, p. 3, letter from T.G. Sandes, 1 June 1882, p. 2, advertisement, 4 November 1882, p. 3.
\textsuperscript{912} Advertisement, \textit{Waikato Times}, 4 November 1882, p. 3.
Ehrenfried,913 a Thames brewer.914 According to the local newspaper, he was ‘well and most favourably known’.915 Late in the previous year he had invested in the Vermont and Diamond Gully claims; when the latter became a company in December, he was allotted 250 scrip shares,916 and when the former became a company in August 1883 he held 833 shares.917 His only other known investment in Waiorongomai mining was in 1886, when, ‘in consideration of a half share in the claim’, he ‘agreed to cry quits’, presumably of board owed by the bachelor miner, and allowed the latter ‘25s per week towards the cost of working the claim’.918

Warren was licensee of this hotel, which became the Palace in June 1885,919 for three years.920 In February 1885, he planned to extend ‘his already well-appointed Hotel’.921 The Hamilton newspaper sang its praises in mid-1886:

The Palace Hotel of Mr James Warren is the nearest to the railway station, and is a most comfortable hostelry. The parlours are well furnished and the bedrooms are lofty and healthy. The dining room is very spacious, and we have always found here an excellent table. Waikato visitors generally make for the Palace.922

In 1885, Warren was charged with having sold drinks at one o’clock in the morning.923 The Hamilton newspaper commented that, as was ‘usual in such cases, the evidence adduced was of a most conflicting nature’.924

---

913 Waikato Times, 7 August 1883, p. 2.
914 See paper on Harry Kenrick.
915 Te Aroha News, 11 August 1883, p. 2.
916 Te Aroha Warden’s Court, Register of Licensed Holdings 1881-1887, folios 4, 97, BBAV 11500/9a, ANZ-A; New Zealand Gazette, 14 December 1882, p. 1885.
917 New Zealand Gazette, 6 September 1883, p. 1265.
918 Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha News, 26 November 1887, p. 3.
919 Te Aroha News, 27 June 1885, p. 2.
920 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Register of Publicans’ Licenses 1882-1892, nos. 7, 17, 24, BBAV 11493/1a, ANZ-A; Waikato Times, 2 September 1886, p. 2.
921 Thames Advertiser, 28 February 1885, p. 3.
922 Waikato Times, 8 June 1886, p. 2.
923 Te Aroha Magistrate’s Court, Criminal Record Book 1881-1896, 25/1885, BCDG 11220/1a, ANZ-A.
924 Magistrate’s Court, Te Aroha, Waikato Times, 6 August 1885, p. 2.
local newspaper provided considerable detail of what went on and how witnesses attempted to deny culpability:

The first witness called by the Police was Jas. Gerrish, who, on being sworn, stated in reply to Sergeant Emerson, that: He was a bellman residing at Te Aroha. Recalled the morning of the 18th of July. Was in Warren's Hotel on that date after the house had been closed. Saw you there. There were several others with me at the time. [The Sergeant here stated witness had a pint pot of beer half full before him when he saw him.]

Went after ten o'clock to the hotel to light my lantern which had gone out. Wanted to try and find a hat a man had lost, and also to find out the number of a gentleman's room who wanted to be called early, to leave by the steamer in the morning. Whilst there a man named O'Brien came in and commenced to curse and swear. The man whose hat I was looking for “shouted” for us. Left the hotel immediately after you. Do not remember you saying “There's the clock striking one.” Don't know what time it was. Could not say whether the bar was lit up or not; was in the room adjoining it. It might have been lit up.

In the reply to His Worship: It might have been an hour after I went there that the Sergeant came in.

Charles Gordon, sworn, in reply to Sergeant Emerson: Am a miner residing at Quartzville. Recollect the morning of the 18th July. Saw you in Warren's Hotel. I was there also, and a man named Smith was with me. Intended stopping there that night, but did not do so, and went away with Smith. The men who were in the room at the same time all appeared sober with the exception of Smith. Don't know what hour I left the house. Did not engage any bed up to 1 o'clock in the morning. Recollect both you and myself assisting my friend Smith, who had too much liquor, and fell into the creek. Whilst I was at Warren’s I had several drinks. Recollect that a disturbance took place there, and Warren put a man named O'Brien out of the house. O'Brien was quiet enough as far as I know. A party of four men were playing dominoes. I stayed that night afterwards at [Charles] Gallagher's hotel.

In reply to His Worship: My friend was not drunk when he went in, but was when he came out; he got drunk whilst there. Saw the witness Gerrish there. As far as I know my friend had two or three drinks whilst we were at Warren’s. I had previously left Gallagher's hotel, which was not closed at the time I left.

925 See paper on James Gerrish.

926 As he did not invest in any mines, his career has not been traced.

927 See paper on his life.
John O'Brien, sworn, in reply to Sergeant Emerson: Reside at Te Aroha. My last occupation was as cook at the British Hotel, Te Aroha. Do not recollect going to the Police Station one morning recently. Cannot say how it is I don’t recollect doing so, but suppose it is because I was too intoxicated. I had previously been at Warren’s Hotel, but could not say at what time. Do not recollect having had any quarrel with Warren.

Sergeant Emerson, sworn, stated: At ten minutes to one o’clock in the morning of July 18th the witness O’Brien knocked at the Police Station and said he wanted me to come up to Mr Warren’s at once, that he had been assaulted, ill-used, and kicked out of the hotel. I dressed myself and went up as quickly as possible. On arriving there I heard a number of voices in the room which adjoins the bar. Went round to the door and knocked two or three times for admission. After a little Warren came and let me in. In the room next the bar I found six men, most of them the worse for drink, one man named Smith being helplessly drunk. Asked Warren if these men were lodgers. He replied they all were with the exception of the witness Gerrish. The bar was lit up at the time, and on a table round which several men were sitting were three glasses, and a pint measure was in front of Gerrish, which was about half full of drink. Spoke to Warren about keeping the men there.

In reply to Mr Hay [Warren’s solicitor]: There did not appear to be anything in the glasses. There was a “head” on the beer in Gerrish’s pint. There were men there whom I knew well were not lodgers.

To His Worship: I found both the man Smith and the witness Gordon later on lying drunk in the creek; they might have been drowned.

Warren’s solicitor then raised ‘several technical objections’, which were over-ruled. Hay then called Warren to the witness box:

Remember the evening of the 17th July. Was then in my hotel. Closed the hotel at 10 o’clock. There were no persons except lodgers in my house at the time I closed it. The witness Gerrish came in soon after I had closed with two other lodgers. My lodgers come in up till 1 and 2 o’clock in the morning. I always leave the back door open for them, to avoid sitting up. On the night referred to O’Brien came in with Smith and Gordon, and wanted drinks which I refused. I understood Gordon to ask for a bed for himself and his mate who was intoxicated, and I told him to take No. 17, and booked it to them at the time. At about 10 o’clock, or it might have been a few minutes afterwards, I sold liquor to one of the lodgers who “shouted” for three or four others. I sold no liquor after that on the night referred to. O’Brien interfered with this
lodger, and used some filthy language, and repeated it to me, I put him out on his head in the passage. The reason I kept my house open was to accommodate late lodgers. Remember Sergeant Emerson coming to the hotel. I let him in. He asked what noise was going on, I said I was not aware of any noise beyond some few lodgers playing a game of dominoes. Believe two or three lodgers were still out at the time referred to.

In reply to Sergeant Emerson: Gordon and Smith came in between 10 and 11. They were there because I could not get them out.

Chas. Gordon (recalled), to His Worship: I am quite positive Warren supplied me with at least two drinks that night, I may have had more. I paid for one drink, Smith, I believe, for another. I am certain I did not ask for any room. Warren told me to take a room, No. 17, but I never asked for one, nor did I make use of one.

The magistrate, Harry Kenrick, had no difficulty reaching a verdict:

It was quite clear that the house had been kept open on the occasion referred to for the sale of liquor; of that there was not the slightest doubt whatever, even allowing a large margin for the excuse made respecting the boarders. It had been clearly shown that one or two persons who went in were the worse of liquor when they did so. I take it that any respectable hotelkeeper would be anxious to get such people out again as soon as possible.

After citing evidence to prove that after-hours drinking had taken place, Kenrick 'regretted' that this charge had been 'brought home against this house, as it was generally well kept and orderly' and fined Warren £5 with costs.928

Like other publicans, Warren helped to run race meetings.929 He was also a Mason, becoming treasurer of the Te Aroha lodge.930

Warren admitted, in 1886, that as he 'had no capital’ when he became the landlord he had given Ehrenfried 'promissory notes amounting to about £900 for the furniture and stock in the hotel. A month or two after I took the hotel I gave Mr Ehrenfried a promissory note for £168 for the billiard table and fittings’.931 By February 1885 his financial state forced him to give Ehrenfried a conditional bill of sale over the household furniture, billiard

---

930 *Te Aroha News*, 10 January 1885, p. 2; *Waikato Times*, 23 February 1886, p. 2.
931 *Te Aroha News*, 11 September 1886, p. 3.
table, and bar fittings ‘to secure the repayment of £1225’.\textsuperscript{932} He later estimated that he ‘put at least £600 worth of extra furniture in the house; this was included in the bill of sale, also, this was in the first six months of my occupation’. Late in August that year, Ehrenfried

\begin{quotation}

Mr Ehrenfried: Are you aware that in March, April, and the beginning of May last you had goods from me amounting to about £400, for which not yet one shilling [had] been paid. The profit on those goods would be at least 75 per cent; and these goods have been sold, and should have produced £700. What have you done with the money?

Mr Warren: I had goods from you, but without my books cannot tell the amount. With the proceeds I have done the best I could in paying my creditors.
\end{quotation}

\textsuperscript{932} Mercantile and Bankruptcy Gazette of New Zealand, 28 February 1885, p. 67.

\textsuperscript{933} Waikato Times, 2 September 1886, p. 2, 4 September 1886, p. 2; Te Aroha News, 4 September 1886, p. 2.
After it was unanimously agreed, on Ehrenfried’s motion, to transfer the proceedings to Auckland, Ehrenfried criticized Warren once more:

I believe if Mr Warren had tried he could have paid 20s in the £, but apparently he made no effort to pay anybody. From Friday morning last until Wednesday £50 cash was taken in that hotel, and that in the middle of winter, and the business has gone on at a similar rate since; what must have been the takings of the bankrupt in the summer time? Surely often at least £100 per week. He is not in the position of one who had called his creditors together and asks what he had best do; but at once rushed off to Hamilton to [his solicitor] to put him through [the bankruptcy process], and pays off the Bank of New Zealand overdraft, whilst at the time owing the servant girls over three months wages.

Warren’s solicitor responded that, ‘as an evidence of bad times’, Warren had told him ‘that long ago Mr Ehrenfried promised (verbally) to reduce the rent to 5s a week. (This Mr Ehrenfried denied)’. Ehrenfried had ‘seized everything, without the slightest consideration for the rest of the creditors’. In reply, Ehrenfried stated that during the time that Warren ran the hotel the rent he received ‘would not average £2 10s per week’.934

The subsequent meeting of his creditors was held in Auckland.

In answer to Mr Ehrenfried, the debtor said two of the riding horses used by his wife and his daughter belonged to his wife, and he did not now know where they were. He was overdrawn in his bank account two days before he filed; when his attention was drawn to it he paid it. The list put in showed the payments made by him since the 1st of May, amounting to £233 11s. This was mostly paid in cash. The voucher should be amongst the papers in the Assignee’s possession. About £20 to £25 of this was paid within a fortnight of his filing. He had £11 odd belonging to the Masonic body, and that he paid to one of the Masters out of cash in hand four or five days before he filed. He did not remember any other payments within a fortnight of his filing. He owed three months’ wages to servants. He was adjudicated a bankrupt on September 3. On the 25th of August he drew cheques for himself for £10 and £33 13s 2d, and on September 30 £6 2s. His cheque books would show what the money was paid for. He fell back in rental £130, for which he gave Mr Ehrenfried a promissory note. He afterwards paid him £50, leaving £80 owing. His rent was doubled last spring. His weekly takings were from £60 to £70 or

---

934 *Te Aroha News*, 11 September 1886, p. 3.
£80 during his tenancy, and his profits might be one-third of the takings. Mr Ehrenfried suggested to him that he should leave the premises, transfer the license, and receive £20 for the furniture he left, Mr Ehrenfried giving him a clear receipt. He agreed to do so, but on being informed that it would be an injustice to other creditors, he refused to do it and filed.

The meeting was adjourned so that Warren could ‘supply a proper account of receipts and expenditure from January 1, 1886, up to date of filing’.935 Shortly afterwards, he ‘presented the account of receipts and expenditure as instructed’:

It appeared that no cash book had been kept, and the only statement which could be produced is from the bank pass-book and vouchers. The bank pass-book showed that during the eight weeks from January 1, 1886, the sum of £1992 19s was banked, of which £88 was received from creditors to assist the debtor in meeting promissory notes. That left £1905 as receipts from the business during thirty-five weeks, or an average of £54 per week, plus all accounts paid into court.

After he was questioned about Ehrenfried’s statement, the meeting was adjourned, ‘the debtor in the meantime to consider whether he could make an offer to the creditors’.936 Warren’s third and public examination in the Supreme Court was not held until the following July, when the creditors applied for his committal to prison because of fraudulent bankruptcy. The solicitor representing some of the creditors noted that this application ‘had been repeatedly adjourned on account of the non-appearance of the bankrupt’. Asked to account for £90, which he admitted having received, Warren explained who had been given money between 23 and 27 August, the latter date being when Ehrenfried took possession of the hotel. ‘To meet these payments, he withdrew his account from the bank’, to which he added ‘the money taken in the bar’. He received ‘had no notice of the seizure’ of his hotel ‘until five minutes before it took place’.

The accountant working in the assignee’s office explained ‘how he made up the list of book debts. He could not do so from the books, it would be impracticable from the way they were kept’. He described ‘the state of

935 New Zealand Herald, 29 September 1886, p. 3.
936 Te Aroha News, 2 October 1886, p. 2.
the ledger, and the explanation made by the bankrupt as to the meaning of
the entries, which was very confused’.

Ehrenfried in evidence stated he had asked Warren to take the hotel.
He had been ‘aware then he had no means, but since then, during the three
years before his bankruptcy, he had large transactions with him, and
received regular monthly payments in full of his accounts till within the last
three months’. He ‘was not entirely supporting’ the application for
committal, ‘but was doing so in conjunction with other creditors’. During the
last few months Warren was landlord, ‘certain alterations’ to the hotel were
made, ‘but he was sure there was no falling off in the business’, and if
Warren ‘said his business was stopped by the noise made by the workmen,
it was incorrect’. Four months before ‘he re-entered for rent’, Warren had
asked him ‘to take over the house, as he had then increased his account
from £800 to £1400, having purchased a quantity of furniture and a billiard
table’, which Ehrenfried had paid for. Warren had also ‘cleared a quantity of
furniture out of the house into a cottage, and sent for’ Ehrenfried ‘to take
possession’.937 According to another version of Ehrenfried’s evidence,
Warren ‘cleared the most valuable furniture out of the house, and then
invited witness to come up and take possession of the half empty house’.938
Under cross-examination, Ehrenfried admitted that ‘part of the furniture
removed was brought back to the hotel’ to enable Warren to carry on.939

Warren’s solicitor argued his client should not be imprisoned, ‘as the
books were not kept in such a way as to show any intention to deceive’ and
the accounts had been paid monthly. The creditors’ counsel argued that he
should be imprisoned ‘as a lesson to bankrupts that they will not be allowed
to keep a set of books which are only calculated to mislead and deceive’. The
judge decided that ‘the books certainly showed great carelessness’, accepted
Ehrenfried’s statement that Warren had tried ‘to conceal the true state of
his affairs’, and sentenced him to one month’s prison with hard labour.940
‘Had he been charged with removing goods from the hotel his sentence
would have been very severe’.941

---

937 Supreme Court, New Zealand Herald, 26 July 1887, p. 3.
938 Supreme Court, Auckland, Te Aroha News, 30 July 1887, p. 3.
939 Supreme Court, New Zealand Herald, 26 July 1887, p. 3.
940 Supreme Court, New Zealand Herald, 26 July 1887, p. 3.
941 Waikato Times, 26 July 1887, p. 2.
Warren was released almost immediately, ‘by special remission of sentence’.\(^{942}\) His solicitor had informed the Minister of Justice of ‘the very serious state of Mrs Warren’s health, and the fact that Warren had himself come up to town in connection with his wife’s health’; because of her ‘precarious state’, the judge acquiesced in his discharge.\(^ {943}\) When he was sent to gaol, Warren was a labourer.\(^ {944}\) His occupations have not been traced since then until his death, in 1901, aged 68, when he was a pensioner.\(^ {945}\) Almost 15 years later, his widow died, after a painful illness.\(^ {946}\)

**CONCLUSION**

There was nothing unusual or special about these examples of overconsumption of alcohol. On all goldfields, many miners went on the spree whenever in funds,\(^ {947}\) as did many non-miners. Some of the consequences for families were illustrated in this paper; and it was examples like these that led to a vigorous temperance movement in Te Aroha and Waiorongomai.

**Appendix**

*Figure 1*: ‘Blo’ [William Blomfield], ‘Pat O’Meagher, Mine Host of the “Grand”’, sketch from ‘Faces and Forms at the Te Aroha Volunteer Banquet’, *Observer*, 24 June 1899, p. 17.

*Figure 2*: ‘Blo’, ‘Mr R.L. Somers, Te Aroha’, *Observer*, Christmas Annual, 1909, p. 15.

\(^{942}\) *New Zealand Police Gazette*, 17 August 1887, p. 167.

\(^{943}\) *Waikato Times*, 28 July 1887, p. 2; *Te Aroha News*, 30 July 1887, p. 3.

\(^{944}\) *New Zealand Police Gazette*, 17 August 1887, p. 167.

\(^{945}\) Death Certificate of James Warren, 31 May 1901, 1901/2325, BDM.


Figure 1: ‘Blo’ [William Blomfield], ‘Pat O’Meagher, Mine Host of the “Grand”’, sketch from ‘Faces and Forms at the Te Aroha Volunteer Banquet’, Observer, 24 June 1899, p. 17.
Who's this scrumptious dook?—
Note his sprightly look,
And his air so debonair and bland.
Somers is his name,
And he's known to fame

Mr. R. L. Somers, Te Aroha.

As Te Aroha's Mayor supreme and grand.
And each one admits
That while Somers sits
In the chair there cannot be a frost.
If they're wise they'll see
Carefully that he
Is kept within the chair at any cost.

Figure 2: 'Blo', 'Mr R.L. Somers, Te Aroha', Observer, Christmas Annual, 1909, p. 15.