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Sexual abuse behaviour remains a global concern that accounts for child sexual abuse, rape and other sexual assaults. Much of these acts of violation are perpetuated, but not entirely, carried out by men against women and children. Moetolo (moetotolo) or ‘sleep crawler’ is a Samoan term that is used to describe a person who sexually violates another while they or their family are asleep. This paper provides a case study presentation and discussion with the aim of examining this challenge from a Samoan viewpoint. Insights are drawn from the authors’ psychological engagement with a sexual offender while also engaging appropriate literature to inform and provide interpretation to the case. It is through such an examination that this article seeks to make a valuable contribution to understanding patterned responses of Samoan people to sexual abuse behaviours such as moetolo, and steps to remedy such concerns within their communities.

Much has been written about the trauma of sexual assaults, particularly those that target women and children (see Hudson, Wales & Ward, 1998; Davis, Parkes & Cohen, 2006). In the New Zealand context, though there is a growing interest in providing more information on this topic (for example, see Tamatea, Webb & Boer, 2011), there is still the need for more robust evidence of the actual nature of sexual violence overall, due to (under) reporting and stigmatisation linked to sexual abuse (Larsen et al., 1998; Percival et al., 2010). Very little attention has been given to examining the impact that sexual abuse has had within Pacific contexts. It is in that space that this paper aims to provide a timely contribution and discussion. It must be stated that although a comparative analysis with other indigenous cultural groups similar to Samoans might find value, however, the key focus of this paper is to bring to the fore a Samoan-specific case examination on sexual abuse.

Moetolo or ‘sleep crawler’ is not a recent phenomenon within Samoan culture; there have been earlier references pointing to this type of sexualised conduct such as Tuvala’s ‘Account of Samoan History up to 1918’ (1968). In his account, Tuvala provides a definition of moetolo as:

One who creeps in the dark – it refers to a man who slinks to the house of a sleeping girl or woman at night – a Don Juan. If the girl or the woman is in league with the “moetolo” no trouble eventuates; but if otherwise is the case, she alarms the household and the intruder is subjected to a thrashing and is made ridiculous before the village and public.” (1968, p. 3)

Tuvale further states that the word moetolo itself is not an insult, but rather a reference to an event that was practiced in village contexts from time-to-time. Of some concern is the seemingly low level of seriousness that Tuvale attributes to this behavioural pattern in those earlier periods. Shore’s (1975) definition of moetolo condemns this violation as “a kind of rape done at night by crawling under the lowered blinds of a sleeping house to a sleeping girl, stifling her cries as she wakes and forcing intercourse with her” (p. 16). In support of Shore’s definition, Isaia (1999) too describes moetolo as “rape or fa’amalosi (forced against your will)” (p. 74). In his discussion of the topic, Isaia argues that the key purpose of this sexual assault was to “deflower a female virgin” (p. 75), an abominable act that is both unacceptable in Samoan society, and the consequences for those caught in the act – deadly. Hence, if a perpetrator was caught in the vicinity of the family home, male relatives would ensure he received a good beating, a clear demonstration of their role of guardian of family honour.

This article examines the sexual offending history of a Samoan prisoner, Fa’asala (pseudonym – to punish), who was incarcerated for sexually assaulting five young female victims. A number of Fa’asala’s victims were young girls under 12 years old. Although the nature of his offending is sometimes referred to as ‘hands-off’ and therefore not as devastating or traumatic as if he had raped or forced his victims, the Judges’ sentencing notes outlined concern that Fa’asala’s sexual offending had sinister overtones, while also noting that he was drunk when he committed all of his offences. Furthermore, Fa’asala is to be deported back to Samoa upon receiving parole or completing his sentence. It was imperative from the Parole Board’s perspective that Fa’asala receive specialised support to help him address his offending and
to provide a safety plan to keep him and others safe, especially young girls. It is imperative to mention that this work does not constitute a broad or complete interpretation of sexual offending by Samoan or Pacific men, nor does this work seek to be dismissive or minimise the level of trauma and total impact that sexual assaults have had and continues to have on victims and their families, particularly children. This work seeks to further our understanding into the sexual offending of one Samoan inmate, in attempting to support the safety of women and children from such violations.

Below, I begin by recounting the steps for which Fa’asala was referred to me for psychological assessment. I also discuss the type of therapeutic engagement that was most suitable in my work with Fa’asala as a Samoan man. I then draw upon insights from Samoan cultural practices, namely the Uputua Therapeutic Approach (Seiuli, 2013) and scholarly sexual abuse recovery literature to examine the work required to engage a Samoan sexual offender towards a rehabilitative safe living plan. A significant objective of this paper is to inform readers, particular those helping professionals, who might be called upon to support Samoan individuals and their families impacted in some way by sexual violation such as moetololo or other types of sexual assaults.

Fa’asala the Sleep Crawler

Fa’asala is a middle-aged man who was born in Samoa and migrated to New Zealand in search of a better life. Like those earlier Samoans who came before him, Fa’asala was keen to use the opportunity to find long-term employment so that he and his family could access better financial opportunities. Over time, his parents moved from New Zealand to Australia, along with his wife and their two children. Fa’asala remained in New Zealand, moving between family members or extended acquaintances to seek opportunities for regular employment. Given his limited understanding of the English language, the jobs Fa’asala attained were mainly in fruit orchards as a picker or short-term manual labour. This also meant moving regularly when picking season came around. It was while moving between his uncle’s place and another location for employment that the incidents involving sexual assaults took place.

Fa’asala was sentenced in a New Zealand court to six years’ imprisonment, with a requirement to serve a minimum two-third non-parole period of four years. At the time of his referral to engage with the author in psychological support services pertaining to his offending, Fa’asala was serving the last third of his sentence. It was reported to the author that Fa’asala was referred to the Department of Corrections’ Psychological Services for an assessment, but he was considered ineligible to meet ‘business rules’ due to his perceived low risk of sexual re-offending. From there, Fa’asala was shortlisted to attend a short intervention programme for sexual offenders run by the Department called Te Mahinga. However, due to his limited English Fa’asala was encouraged to seek other options for his rehabilitation. A group-based Pacific focused programme called Saili Matagi (Seeking Favourable Wind) which targets Pasifika inmates with violent offences was available at the correction facility where Fa’asala was incarcerated, but the course was deemed inappropriate for what Fa’asala needed. At the time of our initial meeting, Fa’asala had already attended his first parole hearing where he was denied release. Moreover, the parole board requested he attend some form of rehabilitative programme or counselling to address his sexual offending. There were no culturally appropriate clinicians within the Department of Corrections with the skills and cultural knowledge to work with Fa’asala on the parole board’s request.

The pressing need for Fa’asala to engage in some form of therapeutic intervention to address his sexual offending prompted a search by the Department for a suitable clinician, with both the cultural knowledge and professional skills, to engage Fa’asala in one-to-one discussions that were meaningful and supportive of his rehabilitative goals. According to the Parole Board report, Fa’asala’s rehabilitative needs were three-fold:

a) Alcohol and drugs – offence related sexual arousal;
b) Address offending and to follow a relapse prevention plan;
c) Provide recommendations for safety plan of self and others.

Therefore, any rehabilitative plan needed to consider these key issues and to ensure that Fa’asala understood the ramifications of re-offending to the community he would be released into. The Parole Board’s report formed the basis for engaging Fa’asala in therapy with the author.

Engagement Process: Looking Beyond Offending

With approval from the Department of Corrections to proceed with psychological support, an initial fono (meeting) was held which included Fa’asala, the Regional Pasifika Advisor for the Department, the Pasifika case manager who initiated the search and referral for counselling, and the author. After the initial welcome greetings and introductions, the fono proceeded following a common Pasifika pattern for formal meetings when various groups engage within a Pasifika-focused space. That is, the fono started with a prayer in the Pacific language, committing the rehabilitative processes and engagements into God’s care, as well as seeking wisdom for those working to support Fa’asala...
both in the penitentiary environment and when he returns home.

Though the conversation between the two department staff, Fa’asala and the author was focused initially on Fa’asala’s offending and rehabilitative goals, much of the discussion followed a strength-based rather than a blame-based approach (Seiuli, 2010). This way of working with Pasifika inmates like Fa’asala enabled them to be respected as people, while still maintaining a strong focus on addressing the core of their offending history, especially with those who offend against children in a sexual way (Seiuli, 2012). Of significance in this work, the case manager who initiated the referral insisted that the therapeutic and safety plan for Fa’asala be based on the author’s Uputūa Therapeutic Approach (UTA; Seiuli, 2013; see Figure 1). The case manager saw the importance of a Pasifika approach as being central to Fa’asala understanding concepts that were very familiar and meaningful to his epistemological foundations. Moreover, given that the UTA is contextualised using predominantly Samoan concepts, this resonated strongly with Fa’asala’s Samoan identity. I outline briefly the key components of the UTA approach next.

The Samoan cultural concepts used to conceptualise the UTA approach is not necessarily new knowledge. Many are drawn together from familiar cultural practices, values and beliefs to support the important work involved in engaging Samoan people therapeutically. Though many of the ideas used with the UTA are grounded in the world of Samoan people and their culture, some practices may find relevance to other Pasifika or indigenous settings. The conceptual framework of a faletalimālō (house to honour guests) is used to discuss various components of fa’asamoa cultural practices (see Seiuli, 2013).

![Figure 1. Uputūa Therapeutic Approach (from Seiuli, 2013)](image-url)
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UTA Component</th>
<th>Conceptual Meaning</th>
<th>Usage and Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Roof</strong></td>
<td>Ola fa’aleagaga</td>
<td>Spirituality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Environment</strong></td>
<td>Tu ma agamu’u</td>
<td>Culture and customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foundation of the house</strong></td>
<td>Aiga potopoto</td>
<td>Family and relationship networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Internal Boundaries</strong></td>
<td>Le va fealoaloa’i</td>
<td>Relational space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Pillar of Wellbeing</strong></td>
<td>Ola fa’aletino</td>
<td>Physical wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second Pillar of Wellbeing</strong></td>
<td>Ola fa’aleloto</td>
<td>Social wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third Pillar of Wellbeing</strong></td>
<td>Ola fa’a’alemafa’au</td>
<td>Psychological wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fourth Pillar of Wellbeing</strong></td>
<td>Ola fa’a’alelagona</td>
<td>Emotional wellbeing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>External Boundaries</strong></td>
<td>Tausi tua’oi</td>
<td>Community boundaries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Step of Engagement</strong></td>
<td>Meaalofa</td>
<td>Gifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Second Step of Engagement</strong></td>
<td>Loto fa’atasia</td>
<td>Co-collaboration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Third Step of Engagement</strong></td>
<td>Mana ma mamalu</td>
<td>Honour and dignity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Uputa’ua Therapeutic Approach

*Ola fa’aleagaga,* or spiritual life as represented by the ‘roof’, is the covering that endorses safety and protection for many Samoan people (*Figure 1*). Spirituality is predominantly associated with Christian teachings and values (Seiuli, 2015; Taule’ale’a’asumai, 1997; Va’a, 2001) in recent periods. But it must be emphasised that many Samoan people continue to maintain an understanding and practice of spirituality connected to their ancestral past (Suualii-Sauni, Tuagalu, Kirifi-Alai, & Fuamatua, 2008).

*Tu ma agamu’u fa’asamoa* is represented by the ‘land’ which advocates for the understanding and practice of fa’asamoa culture and customs. The practices of fa’asamoa include but are not limited to respect for the va fealoaloa’i, acknowledging various feagaiga (covenant) relationships, and honouring personhood and status. Essentially, fa’asamoa serves as the solid ground that upholds the family unit. The cultural context is also representative of one’s tulagavae (place of belonging), helping to locate one’s ancestral connections and birthplace. This cultural context is not rigid but living and breathing, meaningfully forming Samoan identity wherever situated. In this regard, the flexibility of culture gives space for accessing both traditional and contemporary knowledge that support many Samoans in their development or healing journeys.

*Aiga potopoto* is the family and relationship network which is presented as the ‘foundation’ of the UTA...
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approach. The current formation of many Samoan families especially in Euro-urban localities, contain traces of the traditional as well as a diversity of other ethnic mixes. Consideration must be allowed for the complexities of cultural variants that may exist within each and every family group. Significantly, as much as one may presume that family structures for Pasifika people are strong, nurturing and communal, it may not be the reality for all (Samu & Suaalii-Sauni, 2009). Samoan identity is germinated, nurtured, matured and be the reality for all (Samu & Suaalii-Sauni, 2009). People are strong, nurturing and communal, it may not one may presume that family structures for Pasifika each and every family group. Significantly, as much as complexes of cultural variants that may exist within ethnic mixes. Consideration must be allowed for the importance of Samoan people’s psychological wellbeing needs to be highlighted as crucial to their overall restorative health.

Ola fa’alelagona or emotional wellbeing is the fourth pillar. Emotional health is another neglected but central part of the Samoan person, hence depicted like that of the psychological wellbeing with its backward positioning. The aiga plays a foundational part in how emotions are cultivated, articulated, and endorsed. When there is a breakdown in communication that usually fosters strong emotional attachments, the likelihood of healthy emotional development and security can be disrupted or weakened. It is well documented that a significant factor contributing to greater stress in Samoan communities is the struggle for economic survival whilst balancing traditional responsibilities such as fa’alavelave obligations (see Maiava, 2001; Tamasese, Waldegrave & Bush, 2005; Tui Atua, 2009). As a result, family obligations are an enormous “burden”, and many find such obligatory duties hard to bear (Maiava, 2001, p. 132).

Tausi tua’oi or external boundaries as represented by the ‘fence’ provides a secondary boundary for the aiga with their local community, health professionals, helping agencies, researchers and the likes. This secondary boundary allows for a respectful negotiation of desired outcomes, specific timeframes, meaalofa (gifts, reimbursements, resources, food, etc.), accountability and responsibilities involved in an engagement. The tua’oi is an extension of the internal boundaries that needs ongoing care. The capacity to stay alert is instrumental in ensuring that harmony is achieved within such important social and relational spaces (Seiuli, 1997 & 2013).

Meealoafa or gifting processes is the first of the three ‘steps of engagement’ prior to entering into the sanctity of the fa’alavelave, a reminder of the crucial role of supporting healing and restorative practices that is culturally aligned. Meaalofa emphasises the spirit of generosity: with knowledge, with time, with resources and with relevant support. The important aspects of
recognises individuals and families as tufuga (experts) of the process of engagement. Honour in this context is represented by the third step. This final step endorses working with Samoan sexual offenders.

Fa’asala engaged in six hourly sessions over the period of two months. These were one-to-one apart from the initial session where two department staff attended as discussed earlier. Fa’asala reported his delight when he was informed that a Samoan psychologist was available to engage with him in therapy. Given his ineligibility to receive any of the Department-led psychosocial services, he was enthusiastic to get support towards addressing his offending history. Fa’asala consented for the author to use his narratives and the content of his rehabilitative engagement for writing and presentation purposes such as in this article. He expressed gratitude in being asked to include his narratives and steps to safety to support greater understanding and provide valuable knowledge on working with Samoan sexual offenders.

Mana ma mamalu or maintaining honour and dignity is represented by the third step. This final step endorses the critical role of honouring people throughout the process of engagement. Honour in this context recognises individuals and families as tufuga (experts) of their lived experiences and journeys, similar to the collaborative approach initiated by the second step of the fa'atalimālo. One must enter into the sanctity of people’s lives in the spirit of humility that validate expressed life narratives of individuals like Fa’asala beyond merely psychological assessments to define his criminal behaviour as is common in some psychological practices.

The intention of the UTA approach in this context is to facilitate the restoring of inmates like Fa’asala back into his family and wider Samoan community. The process seeks to encourage them into being an active participant of their community’s healing, not only in the maintenance of his safety plan, but to encourage his activity in keeping his community safe. That is, these are his people, and hurting them in turn only hurts his family and himself.

Engaging UTA in Therapeutic Engagement

Fa’asala engaged in six hourly sessions over the period of two months. These were one-to-one apart from the initial session where two department staff attended as discussed earlier. Fa’asala reported his delight when he was informed that a Samoan psychologist was available to engage with him in therapy. Given his ineligibility to receive any of the Department-led psychosocial services, he was enthusiastic to get support towards addressing his offending history. Fa’asala consented for the author to use his narratives and the content of his rehabilitative engagement for writing and presentation purposes such as in this article. He expressed gratitude in being asked to include his narratives and steps to safety to support greater understanding and provide valuable knowledge on working with Samoan sexual offenders.

Fa’asala was asked questions that explored the role of spirituality in his life beyond starting and finishing each session with a prayer. Spirituality opened space to engage in conversations on Fa’asala’s church background, the role this had while living in New Zealand, and how this has been maintained while in prison. Another Samoan word for church is malumalu which can mean covering or protection, hence, the crucial role that spirituality has in providing protection or coverage for many Samoans like Fa’asala. Spiritual also enabled conversations about Fa’asala’s ancestral connection to Samoa to occur. Significantly, the ability of the author to speak and comprehend fa’asamoa (Samoan-focused protocols, language, etc.) created the space to freely engage Samoan cultural concepts that served both a familiar and important core of Fa’asala’s identity and sense of belonging (Seiuli, 2015). In fact, Fa’asala commented that “…this is why I wanted a Samoan person to work with me [because] they can understand the important role that church and Samoan culture has in my life”.

It must be noted that much of the UTA concepts are interconnected and sometimes overlap. For example, spirituality and fa’asamoa cultural ideologies exists side-by-side and may be difficult to sometimes distinguish their specific domains in practice. That is, many components of fa’asamoa functions in tandem with church life to serve the community (Anae, 1997; Seiuli, 2013 & 2015). In this regard, the role of ancestral connection is as much spiritual as it is cultural because both served an integral role in Fa’asala’s identity as a Samoan man. Spiritual and cultural reconnection transpired naturally in the discussions because many of these retained an important place such as Fa’asala’s desire to serve and honour his parents, the wider family, his village and church. The inclusiveness of such cultural and religious foundations reminded Fa’asala of his Samoan heritage that also provided the platform to examine and question his sexual abuse behaviour; one that stood contrary to his religious beliefs and tautua (service) to those he loved like his children and family. This way of working with inmates who sexually offend highlights the value of UTA as a culturally centred therapeutic approach to engage Samoan sexual offenders like Fa’asala. Of significance is UTA’s role to also engage discussions about a safety plan that might integrate and align with the goals set out by the Parole Board as stated earlier. Detail of Fa’asala’s safety plan is presented in the next section.
Family and Community Support

A key part of Fa’asala’s recovery lies in the support of his āiga. Samoan family networks remain vital in the current environment; though family structures might vary from one family to the next nowadays (Samu & Suualii-Sauni, 2009). As the UTA shows (Figure 1), the family represent the foundation of the faatalimālō. It is within the sanctity of the family unit that its members function in their respective roles and responsibilities. It is the foundational place of nurturing, maturing and passing on of vital intergenerational knowledge from one generation to the next. Fa’asala reported that his family network remained strong despite his crime. They were still supportive of him and wanted him back upon his release. This type of family support motivates Fa’asala to restore his family’s tarnished reputation and trust due to the nature of his crime. That is, sexual violation, particularly those acted out against children is a condemned and shameful act within Samoan society. It therefore came as a complete shock when Fa’asala was convicted of child sexual offence. His family questioned the reasons that led Fa’asala to commit such a shameful act. In particular, his parents questioned why such a crime suddenly emerged, when nothing of this nature had ever occurred when the family were living in Samoa.

Fa’asala not only acknowledged the shame he had brought upon his own family, but expressed deep remorse for causing pain and trauma upon his innocent victims. However, he was discouraged by his legal representative from attempting to make any contact with the victims of his crimes. Further to this, his own children would carry the stench of their father’s disgrace because of their association. This emotional and psychological turmoil led Fa’asala to contemplate suicide soon after being caught, particularly when the news of his crimes reached his family and wider Samoan community. In some instances, the family of the offender are fined heftily by the village elders for bringing disrepute and tarnishing the village’s reputation for such criminal offences. There was no mention of such a fine when Fa’asala was convicted of child sexual offence. His family questioned why such a fine needed to be discussed. In particular, it was important for Fa’asala to consider that these incidents were committed to becoming active agents in Fa’asala’s rehabilitative plan, and ultimately, his reinstitution as a valuable member of their āiga.

Such an act of restoration is imperative to the total wellbeing of any person, especially one who has been incarcerated for a sexual offence. In this regard, the discussion in accordance with the UTA’s pillars of wellbeing (i.e., physical, social, emotional and psychological dimensions) ensured that Fa’asala was able to consider the roles of these key components to his welfare and after his release. It is worthy to note here that Fa’asala had made some decisions that would support his goal not to reoffend. He spoke about the role that alcohol had had on his offending history whilst pointing out that all of his criminal activities took place after being intoxicated. Fa’asala was recognising his destructive behavioural pattern when alcohol and smoking marijuana was involved. He concluded that getting rid of these vices from his life altogether would enhance his chances of not reoffending.

Addressing Distorted Cognition

Fa’asala’s willingness to examine and critically reflect on his own wellbeing became a focus of the latter parts of our therapeutic process. Our conversations enabled permissible space to explore and critically examine areas of ‘cognitive distortions’ (Polaschek & Gannon, 2004) that he had minimised concerning his sexual offending. Along this track, questions were asked that allowed Fa’asala to consider typical offending cycles such as those generally used in rehabilitative programmes with prison inmates. That is, Fa’asala’s recognition and admission to alcohol use as indicative of his offending pattern needed to be discussed. In particular, it was important for Fa’asala to consider that these incidents were not as ‘accidental and unrelated’ as he might like to believe. Although they might appear as unrelated decisions, they were inherently an ingrained part of his distorted thinking process often referred to as “stinking thinking” (Porporino, 2000, p. 129). Such distorted thinking patterns were not only linked to his grooming of, or scouting for potential victims, these were also intuitively connected to his involvement in high risk behaviours such as smoking marijuana and getting drunk, which then led to carrying out his plan of fulfilling his sexualised fantasy.

An important realisation to facing up to the nature of distorted thinking patterns came through one of these discussions. In the course of one conversation, the author pointed out to Fa’asala that all of his offending was
within a relatively close distance from his home. Although he had no known association with four victims of his offending, they were within the confines of his daily travels and noticing. That is, their close proximity meant that he could ‘accidently’ observe those belonging to a certain home and whether anyone might pose a risk of a physical assault if he were confronted. Upon this realisation, it was pointed out to Fa’asala that most of the homes he invaded were occupied by single-mums with kids, and mainly young or teenaged girls. Additionally, there were no incidents in which he accidentally entered a home with only men, a couple on their own, or even an empty home. With this new understanding, Fa’asala acknowledged the importance of his safety plan to include regular attention on his thinking patterns. Even more important was the role that others in his family and community need to have in knowing about his sexual offending patterns, and are therefore able to help monitor his efforts to not repeat his sexual offending. Fa’asala put forward the concept of ia pulea muamua e ‘au lo’u mafaufau, as playing a key part in his safety plan. Fa’asala accepted that he must take complete responsibility to ‘take charge of his thinking patterns’ first and foremost. From here, all other areas in his life can be controlled and applied in accordance with his safe plan: to protect himself and others.

Reintegration

It is important to mention that throughout the therapeutic work, our conversations remained respectful and courteous, reminding Fa’asala that he was a person of significant worth despite his sexual abuse behaviour. As the UTA approach recommends, those professionals who seek to engage within ‘clients’ in their cultural space need to do so in the spirit of humility and compassion. This way of working is especially vital when one engages with incarcerated people who are already feeling condemned by society and sometimes, their own families. Due to Fa’asala’s crime, this can easily be his experience of penitentiary services especially given his limited English vocab. Therapeutic intension that encompasses the humanity of those being engaged in the process has the ability to promote le va tapuia or sacred space where relationships can be healed and restored. Fa’asala was desperate to restore the relational space with his family and community, and a key factor in the restorative process was the manner in which he was being treated in the therapeutic engagement. Fa’asala was not just a sex offender who deserved his punishment; he was still a man who needed to be restored back into relationship with those that mattered like his aiga. Yet, despite this recourse for possible integration back into society, there still lies the challenge of those who sexually offend against family or village members.

Within Samoan culture, a traditional form of restorative exchange known as ifoga (self-humility) is the most appropriate and culturally acceptable process for atonement if such an offence occurred within the village. In such an occasion, the offender, together with their kin support group, would seek forgiveness from the victim and their family by “bowing low as a token of submission” while covered with ie-toga (fine-mats) in-front of the victim’s place of residence (Macpherson & Macpherson, 2005, p. 109). This type of public apology is generally performed if the offence is one of a serious nature; sexual abuse falls into such category where an ifoga might be enacted by the offender and his family. If the ifoga is accepted by the victims’ family, then an appointed family leader, usually a matai (family chief), will proceed to uncover the ifoga party by removing or lifting the fine-mats, thereby exposing those who are bowing under its covering. Following the acceptance of the ifoga, both parties exchange speeches and gifts (fine-mats, food and money) as a sign of reconciliation and forgiveness. The exchange indicates a closure of the matter, where any plans for vengeance or retribution by the victim’s family will cease. Nevertheless, an ifoga does not replace or relinquish any legal precedence set up by a judicial system such as a police investigation or later persecution of the victim. In essence, the practice of ifoga represents a “ceremonial request for forgiveness made by the offender and his kinsman to those injured” (Macpherson & Macpherson, 2005, p. 110), and also as a preventative process whereby family honour can be restored. Given that Fa’asala was sentenced immediately after he was arrested, and that the victims of his abuse were located in New Zealand and not in Samoa, an ifoga did not take place.

Safety Plan

It was imperative that Fa’asala became an active agent in considering and initiating key steps for his safety plan. His living plan was not to be initiated by me as the clinician, nor the staff of the correction facility in which he was being incarcerated. It needed to be a living document that Fa’asala himself considered crucial in keeping himself and others safe. He needed to own his safety plan, and I was more than willing to allow him the space to critically explore both its constitution and implementation through ‘what if’ scenario-type situations. The limited allocation of therapy sessions meant engaging with Fa’asala in a way that provided the best use of the time we had together. He was not eligible for any home leaves, and was subject to immediate deportation upon parole or release. It must be stated that this way of working with sexual offenders does not in any way guarantee the absence of recidivism because each person must still choose their actions when confronted with trigger situations similar to those that
resulted in previous offences. But it does provide them with alternative solutions that may not have been part of their coping schemas previously.

Fa’asala’s safety plan needed to reflect the direction provided by the Parole Board in their report. In consideration of their wishes, Fa’asala acknowledged that an important part of his safety plan is directly related to the ongoing role spirituality needs to have in his life after prison. That is, re-offending is much easier when God and his church community remained insignificant in his life. Returning to the role of UTA in therapeutic engagement with Samoan sexual offenders, Fa’asala’s desire to reinstate the covering of his spiritual faith remains crucial in combating arousal fantasies that led to sexual assaults. As mentioned already, the Parole Board’s report outlines that Fa’asala will be deported when he receives parole, or at the completion of his sentence. In this regard, Fa’asala identified the need to reconnect with his church upon returning to Samoa while also enlisting the support of his sister who is a committed Christian, to help reengage him back into the church community.

One area that needed specific attention in the safety plan in accordance with the Parole Board report centred around the concern that Fa’asala’s burglaries was motivated by his desire to satisfy his sexual arousal, particularly with young pre-adolescent and teenage girls. Although it was clearly evident that Fa’asala was under the influence of alcohol when he committed his crimes, he needed to address his sense of entitlement, impulse control and poor decision making leading to his criminal activities. In addition to these, alcohol restriction and management needed to feature strongly in his plan. Although Fa’asala had voiced his desire and attempt to abstain from alcohol completely, this could only happen with the support of various agencies who specialise in this area such as Alcohol Anonymous (AA).

UTA was again used to engage Fa’asala in talanoa conversations on the topic, while also helping him to identify where such support services might be enlisted to with his rehabilitative strategies. For example, using another Samoan concept known as o le fanau, o le ioimata o matua (see Figure 1.) which safeguards the va tapuia (sacred bond) between parent-children relationships, this concept declares that ‘children are the inner pupil of a parent’s eye’. This cultural practice speaks of the protective role that parents have in safeguarding all children from harm. By engaging this familial and relational Samoan concept, Fa’asala was being challenged concerning what sexual abuse of children means from his role as a Samoan father. Here, Fa’asala understood that all relationships, especially with children or females, fell into the realm of feagaiga (scared) covenants. As a Samoan man, it was both his responsibility and duty to safeguard them. From this realisation of the inherent role that covenant relationships has in Samoan culture, Fa’asala stated:

I have a sister who I have total respect for and I have two children that I love very much. I know that the victims of my crime were someone’s sisters and someone’s child. If I ever think about committing such an act again in the future, what I’m really saying to my parents and my children is that I don’t really respect them all. I can’t do that to them again.

Here, Fa’asala situated himself in the context of his cultural landscape (see Figure 1.) where his family reminds him about the foundation of his identity; his place of belonging as a Samoan man. Because of the feagaiga he has with his āiga, re-establishing his relational boundaries serves a vital part of his safety and living plan.

Engaging the UTA approach helped Fa’asala to explore strategies for not reoffending while also allowing him to see the opportunity to reconnect with his community as protectors of those sacred relationships he once trampled and violated. This way of working is imperative in therapeutic work because it give offenders like Fa’asala the opportunity to regain the honour and trust of his family and his community through established cultural pathways that are both protective and restorative. Rehabilitative safety plans conducted in this manner allows the work with inmates to move beyond merely focusing on punitive measures, and towards a protective cultural responsibility that is resident within those individual.

In Fa’asala’s case, the safety plan focused on an important cultural understanding that pointed to an opportunity to redeem the honour of his family, his village and his country; something he desperately wanted to do. An important aspect of this rehabilitative journey is that he was not isolated in this redemptive task; his family will be present to support him to reconnect with his village. Such vital steps to engagement (see Figure 1.) allows an inmate like Fa’asala to retain his dignity while still challenging him concerning the nature and consequence of his criminal behaviour. Fa’asala indicated towards the end of the therapeutic engagement that it was for this primary reason that he wanted to work with a Samoan clinician; one who could explore Samoan cultures rehabilitative practices to help offenders like him reconnect with their cultural heritage. What he meant is that the psychological work not only helped him to identify his criminal behaviour, but importantly, it used Samoan cultural concepts that were familiar and vital to him as a Samoan man to find restorative paths. His journey to remain recidivist free is not only marked by a Samoan safety plan, but one that will help him to re-establish trust and honour for and with his āiga. This is a
strength-based approach that engages inmates in rehabilitative focused therapy, while treating them as people who were capable of transformative action to benefit themselves and the communities from which they came. Indeed, it is this type rehabilitative engagement that is life affirming, life honouring and healing, thereby calling offenders like Fa’asala to teu le va or take care of the relational space not only with his family and community but with those who were victims of his crime. This way of providing psychological services reflects important steps of engagement in the UTA approach where therapeutic allegiance is achieved when working with people, not on people (Seiuli, 2015).

It must be stated that this intervention was very brief for the type of rehabilitative work that the author generally engages in therapeutic interventions with clients, however, the briefness was dictated primarily by the referral and sessions approved by the Department of Corrections. No doubt, the limited sessions and timeframe restricted the work that might have been carried out with Fa’asala if a longer timeframe was made available. That is, family sessions might have been possible, thus allowing members who lived closer to the correction facility where Fa’asala was held to engage as possible, thus allowing members who lived closer to the correction facility where Fa’asala was held to engage as possible, such as those discussed in this paper, are perpetuated by men against women, young girls and children. While sexual offending, particularly those violations that are done against children are abhorrent and condemned globally, there has been very little attention on the impact this type of abuse have had on Pacific communities in New Zealand, the Pacific or in other places (Rankine et al., 2015; Tamatea et al., 2011). While the notion of sexual offending of any kind is received with widespread condemnation among Samoan communities everywhere, it does not negate the common occurrence of such incidents within the confines of homes, villages and greater community. Moetolo or sleep crawling was only discussed in brief as far back as the mid of last century (see Shore, 1975; Tuvale, 1968). Surprisingly, such a serious topic as sexual abuse had not received much detailed discussion as an issue of greater concern than it could have.

This case presentation discussed Fa’asala’s conviction for sexually offending against five young female victims around their early teens. All of these crimes were carried out while Fa’asala was heavily intoxicated and in the early hours while the victims and their families were still asleep – hence the term ‘sleep-crawler’. While his crimes are sometimes referred to as ‘hands off’ therefore not involving any physical intercourse or sexual touching, nevertheless, Fa’asala’s actions underlie sexualised fantasies and sinister undertones that caused fear and trauma for all of his victims and their families. The therapeutic work completed with Fa’asala over six hourly sessions scheduled over a two-month period aimed to examine his thought and behavioural patterns directly related to his offences. These conversations were to help shape a safety plan that would assist him towards culturally responsive strategies that would support his goal to not reoffend.

A key point to highlight by this case is the way which the therapeutic engagement and safety plan focused on engaging Samoan cultural concepts that were familiar to both Fa’asala and the author, as the most appropriate approach to working with Samoan offenders like Fa’asala. As Tamatea and colleagues pointed out, rehabilitative treatment for offenders, whether administered individually or in a group setting, needs to be conducive and compatible with the abilities and learning styles of the offender (Tamatea et al., 2011). Hence, the value of an appropriate ‘cultural’ setting, both ethnic and social, enables working effectively with sexual offenders. As this article has demonstrated, the UTA approach provided a culturally informed way of engaging offenders in Samoan specific concepts that respected and honoured them as people. In Fa’asala’s case, he was treated as a person of immense value, while simultaneously challenging him to accept complete responsibility for his offending history and to take steps to ensure his own safety and that of others is protected. Importantly, his family and community remained supportive of his restoration and reintegation back into their community. In this way, as a protector of the va tapuia, Fa’asala was provided with the opportunity to own his safe living plan and to restore his family’s trust and reputation in the same process. Although the sexual assault caused a lot of pain and shame, Fa’asala found a familiar pathway where his Christian faith, his Samoan culture and his āiga provide a supportive environment where he recognised that restoration and healing is not only possible, but is connected to the core of his identity as a Samoan man.
References


