A new attraction-detachment model for explaining flow sliding in clay-rich tephras
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ABSTRACT

Altered pyroclastic (tephra) deposits are highly susceptible to landsliding, leading to fatalities and property damage every year. Halloysite, a low-activity clay mineral, is commonly associated with landslide-prone layers within altered tephra successions, especially in deposits with high sensitivity, which describes the post-failure strength loss. However, the precise role of halloysite in the development of sensitivity, and thus in sudden and unpredictable landsliding, is unknown. Here we show that an abundance of mushroom cap-shaped (MCS) spheroidal halloysite governs the development of sensitivity, and hence proneness to landsliding, in altered rhyolitic tephras, North Island, New Zealand. We found that a highly sensitive layer, which was involved in a flow slide, has a remarkably high content of aggregated MCS spheroids with substantial openings on one side. We suggest that short-range electrostatic and van der Waals’ interactions enabled the MCS spheroids to form interconnected aggregates by attraction between the edges of numerous paired silanol and aluminol sheets that are exposed in the openings and the convex silanol faces on the exterior surfaces of adjacent MCS spheroids. If these weak attractions are overcome during slope failure, multiple, weakly attracted MCS spheroids can be separated from one another, and the prevailing repulsion between exterior MCS surfaces results in a low remolded shear strength, a high sensitivity, and a high propensity for flow sliding. The evidence indicates that the attraction-detachment model explains the high sensitivity and contributes to an improved understanding of the mechanisms of flow sliding in sensitive, altered tephras rich in spheroidal halloysite.
INTRODUCTION

Most East Asian and western Pacific countries are located in tectonically active, high-rainfall areas where landslides are a major natural hazard. These landslides are typically triggered by rainstorms or earthquakes, and are responsible for fatalities and enormous property damage every year. Many destructive landslides have occurred in pyroclastic deposits in Japan, Indonesia, Hong Kong, and New Zealand (Chau et al., 2004; Chigira, 2014; Moon, 2016), such deposits commonly containing layers rich in clay minerals formed mainly by chemical weathering either during pedogenesis or diagenesis. In regions with predominantly rhyolitic volcanism, halloysite is a common clay mineral (Churchman and Lowe, 2012) and is therefore potentially a key geological factor increasing the risk of landslides (Kirk et al., 1997; Chigira, 2014). Halloysite is a 1:1 Si:Al layered aluminosilicate member of the kaolin subgroup that exhibits various structural morphologies including tubes, spheroids, polyhedrons, plates and books (Joussein et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2016).

Spheroidal halloysite, in particular, has been recognized in landslide-prone layers of pyroclastic material in Japan (Tanaka, 1992) and New Zealand (Smalley et al., 1980). Smalley et al. (1980) linked a high content of spheroidal halloysite to high sensitivity. Sensitivity refers to the post-failure strength loss in the failure zone during landsliding, and is quantified in the laboratory as the ratio of the undisturbed to remolded undrained shear strength at the same water content (Terzaghi, 1944). High sensitivities were first described for post-glacial, brackish and marine clayey sediments in the Northern Hemisphere (Skemption and Northey, 1952) that are subject to landslides with
dimensions and long runout distances difficult to predict. In this study, we investigate processes that have led to high sensitivity in halloysite-rich pyroclastic materials in order to improve landslide-hazard evaluation.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Much of the central part of New Zealand’s North Island is covered by thick rhyolitic tephras (Lowe, 2011) derived from eruptions in the Taupo Volcanic Zone (Briggs et al., 2005), which are commonly altered into halloysite-rich successions. We focus here on a coastal flow slide at Omokoroa, Bay of Plenty (Fig. 1A), where ~10,000 m$^3$ of material were transported downslope over long distance into a lagoon in 1979 (Moon et al., 2015) as well as two minor reactivations in 2011 and 2012. The 1979 event was likely initiated in a white, highly sensitive layer with high spheroidal halloysite concentration (Smalley et al., 1980) (and lacking any detectable allophane; Cunningham et al., 2016).

We have analyzed a 40-m-long sediment core, Omok-1, which we bored via rotary flush drilling in unfailed material near the headwall (Fig. 1B). The lithology of Omok-1 was determined by correlation with units of a previously studied adjacent headwall face (Moon et al., 2015) comprising a succession mainly of Quaternary rhyolitic tephras: overlying lignite at the base of the core, the Pahoia Tephra sequence includes the Te Puna Ignimbrite (ca. 0.93 Ma) and a series of altered tephras which are informally divided into lower and upper Pahoia Tephra units based on two distinct paleosols (P1 and P3). All these deposits and paleosols are overlain by successions of younger altered tephras called Hamilton Ash beds (ca. 0.35 to ca. 0.05 Ma) and late Quaternary tephras (<
ca. 0.05 Ma) (Figs. 1C and 2A). The lower Pahoia Tephra include the 0.3-m-thick, white, highly sensitive clay-rich layer that failed in 1979 (Fig. 1C), having high porosity and high natural water content (Smalley et al., 1980).

**METHODS**

We performed laboratory vane shear tests on samples from the Pahoia Tephra sequence and Hamilton Ash beds to measure the sensitivity $S$:

$$ S = s_u / s_r \quad (1) $$

where the undisturbed strength ($s_u$) was measured on the intact surface of the split core, and the remolded strength ($s_r$) was measured on core samples with the same water content but that been kneaded by hand for 10 min (Jacquet, 1990). Halloysite concentration in bulk samples was measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Philips PW analytical defractometer, and quantification was performed using QUAX software (Vogt et al., 2002). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was undertaken with a Zeiss Supra40 microscope on 24 shock-frozen, freeze-dried, and gold-coated bulk core samples (Reed, 2005). The relative abundances of halloysite particles having distinct morphologies were quantified using a point-counting approach (Frolov and Maling, 1969). Six representative SEM images of planar soil surfaces were chosen for each sample, and at least 600 particles were counted based on rectangular grids. In the white, highly sensitive layer, the change of halloysite particle arrangement upon remolding was quantified by comparing 20 SEM images of undisturbed and remolded material, providing $> 1000$ counts respectively. The spheroid diameters were measured from six representative particles per SEM image.
HIGHLY SENSITIVE SLIDE-PRONE LAYER DOMINATED BY SPHEROIDAL HALLOYSITE

The sensitivity is low in the upper Pahoia Tephras, especially in the paleosols P2 and P3 (Fig. 2A and 2B). However, the sensitivity tends to increase with depth, reaching values of 15–20 in the lower Pahoia Tephras. The highest sensitivity (Rosenqvist, 1953) of $S = 55$, and the lowest remolded shear strength within the profile of $s_r = 1.4$ kPa, were measured in the white, highly sensitive layer at 23 m depth.

The upper Pahoia Tephras have a halloysite content of 10–20 wt% comprising almost entirely tubular halloysite (Figs. 2C and 2D). The lower Pahoia Tephras have 40–50 wt% halloysite comprising mostly spheroidal particles. In the highly sensitive layer, 76% of the halloysite is spheroidal and the spheroid sizes are greater than those in the surrounding layers (Figs. 2D and 2E). A three-dimensional line plot reveals a clear correlation between high sensitivities and high halloysite bulk concentration, and a high content of spheroids with large diameters (Fig. 2F). The high sensitivity is associated with low remolded shear strength rather than with high undisturbed shear strength (Fig. 2G).

We found that deposits with high tubular halloysite content hamper sensitivity development, whereas halloysite spheroids facilitate sensitivity and dominate the highly sensitive layer at 23 m depth within the lower Pahoia Tephras. The highly sensitive layer has low remolded shear strength consequent after failure, which, together with its high water content (Smalley et al., 1980), partly contributed to the long runout distance of the flow slide at Omokoroa.
NEW HALLOYSITE MORPHOLOGY

We present here first observations of a previously unreported halloysite particle morphology, which is visible in the SEM images of the remolded halloysite fabrics of the highly sensitive layer. In the undisturbed state, the spheroidal halloysites are distinctly aggregated into networks of well-connected particles (Figs. 3E and 3F). After remolding, however, most of the aggregates have broken apart into small, loose clusters or individual halloysite particles that are typically ~250–400 nm in diameter (Figs. 3G and 3H). Individual spheroids have distinctive “deformities” in the form of openings ~80–160 nm in diameter on one side. These openings were previously hidden by contact with other spheroids. The deformities give the particles an ovate “mushroom cap” appearance. Point-counting individual mushroom-cap shapes in both undisturbed (aggregated) and remolded (disaggregated) samples showed that the observable mushroom-cap shapes were much more abundant in the remolded samples, increasing from 4.4% ± 3.2% to 44.9% ± 11.6%.

ATTRACTION-DETACHMENT MODEL FOR FLOW SLIDING IN ALTERED TEPHRAS

The open-sided, mushroom cap–shaped halloysite morphology has not been reported previously. Because this particular morphology overwhelmingly occurs in the highly sensitive slide-prone layer, we hypothesize that this unique particle shape controls the mechanical behavior of halloysite clays. Halloysite is composed of an Al-octahedral (aluminol) sheet with a net positive charge and a Si-tetrahedral (silanol) sheet with a net negative charge at pH values.
between ~2 and ~8 (Fig. 3I) (Churchman et al., 2016). The two sheets have slightly

different dimensions, with the silanol sheet being larger. This misfit in the sheet sizes

causes the halloysite layer to be curved (Churchman and Lowe, 2012), with the larger

negatively charged silanol sheet on the outside of the curvature and the positively

charged smaller aluminol sheet on the inside. The halloysite spheroids observed in our

study are most likely composed of concentrically stacked 1:1 layers, i.e., with an onion-

like structure, as shown in numerous studies including those on spheroidal halloysite

derived from altered tephras in New Zealand, Japan, and Argentina (Wada et al., 1977;

Kirkman, 1981; Cravero et al., 2012; Berthonneau et al., 2015). For a perfect halloysite

spheroid, the outermost silanol surface carries a net negative charge and hence the

electrostatic interactions between individual spheroids would be repulsive (Fig. 3I). Our

study shows, however, a halloysite structure where both silanol and aluminol layers are

exposed at spheroid openings and therefore charges within the openings would

correspondingly be weakly positive or neutral overall (Fig. 3J), as indicated from charge

density-functional tight-binding modeling applied to halloysite nanotubes (Guimarães et

al., 2010). If sufficient numbers of positively charged openings are exposed, the

electrostatic interactions between them and the negative exterior silanol surfaces would

allow the mushroom cap–shaped spheroids to form stacked aggregates (Fig. 3K). If the

paired silanol and aluminol sheets exposed in the openings are neutral overall, then a net

increase in particle attraction will still occur because electrostatic repulsion is reduced

and the larger contact areas lead to higher van der Waals forces (Israelachvili, 2011).

During diagenesis via hydrolysis of volcanic glass (Cunningham et al., 2016), the

halloysite spheroids may form consecutively on top of one another in pore spaces,
generating the distinct openings during synthesis. The attractive forces between the
openings and the convex exterior surfaces are demonstrably strong enough to allow for
the formation of aggregates, but also permit easy disaggregation by mechanical
detachment during shear (Fig. 3L). New random contacts between convex silanol
surfaces probably lead to a decrease in average attraction between particles. We posit that
the detachment of attractive spheroidal particle contacts, in the presence of abundant
water having negligible interaction with ions in soil solution because of the inactive
nature of halloysite (Smalley et al., 1980), leads to the very low post-failure shear
strength, facilitating a flow slide with long runout distance. The interparticle attraction-
detachment model appears to successfully explain (at nanoscale dimensions) the post-
failure behavior of the highly sensitive tephra layer at Omokoroa, which is dominated by
the imperfect halloysite spheroids. The question therefore arises whether similar altered
tephras elsewhere have high contents of spheroidal halloysite with potentially hidden
mushroom-cap forms, and if such forms helped mobilize other landslides in the past.

CONCLUSIONS

We investigated a sequence of altered, rhyolitic Quaternary tephras in New
Zealand and the reasons why a landslide-prone layer dominated by spheroidal halloysite
was highly sensitive. We explain this high sensitivity with an electrostatic attraction-
detachment model. Weakly positive or neutral charges on silanol and aluminol sheet
edges exposed in the concave openings of spheroidal halloysite particles were attracted to
the negatively charged convex silanol surfaces of adjacent spheroids. Such short-range
attractions between spheroid openings, and the exterior surfaces of adjacent spheroids,
stabilize an aggregated halloysite framework. If the aggregates are detached by
remolding, the loose arrangement of the spheroids exhibits low remolded shear strength.

We suggest that the attraction-detachment model, based on the identification of
mushroom-cap halloysite morphologies, provides a potential key for the identification of
sensitive altered tephras that are predisposed to sudden failure that triggers landsliding.
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Figure 1. A: Map of Tauranga Harbour, New Zealand, with Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ) as main source for Quaternary tephras at study site. B: Three-dimensional view of the flow slide at Bramley Drive, Omokoroa; red line marks the position of profile in C. I—Ignimbrite. C: Profile through the flow slide with simplified stratigraphy and associated paleosols (P1–4) of core Omok-1 and ages (in Ma) after Moon et al. (2015).
Figure 2. A: Stratigraphy of core Omok-1 after Moon et al. (2015) showing main lithological units as defined in Figure 1, three paleosols (P1–P3), and the highly sensitive white layer at 23 m depth (hatched area). I.– Ignimbrite; T.– Tephras. B: Undisturbed ($s_u$, blue) and remolded ($s_r$, orange) shear strength, and sensitivity ($S = s_u/s_r$). C: Halloysite bulk concentration. D: Cumulative volume percent (c. vol%) of halloysite morphologies with bars indicating average standard deviations. E: Average spheroid sizes with standard deviations depicted by fill patterns. F: Three-dimensional line plot illustrating relationship between spheroid content, sensitivity, spheroid size, and halloysite concentration; gray graded areas enable trends in sensitivity to be visualized. G: Dependency between sensitivity and shear strength.
Figure 3. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of spheroids (A), polyhedrons (B), tubes (C), and plates (D) representing the main halloysite morphologies in Pahoia Tephra sequence (New Zealand). E-H: SEM images from the highly sensitive layer of
undisturbed and multiply-connected halloysite spheroids (E, F) and remolded spheroids (G, H) showing smaller clusters or detached spheroids within much looser particle network. 1– exposed layers in spheroid openings; 2– partially separated halloysite spheroids; 3– detached mushroom cap–shaped halloysite spheroid. I: Electrostatic field proximal to halloysite nanotubes with colored equipotential surfaces (ES), modified with permission from Guimarães et al. (2010), copyright 2010 American Chemical Society. J: Conceptual mushroom cap–shaped spheroid cross-section and weak electrostatic and/or van der Waals attractions arising between exposed silanol-aluminol sheets in spheroid openings and the negatively-charged convex exterior surfaces; enlargement is adapted from Berthonneau et al. (2015). Circles with + and – relate to the positive and negative electrostatic field proximal to the spheroid’s exterior surface. Mushroom cap–shaped spheroids connect with one another between concave openings and convex outer spheroid surfaces, forming aggregates (K) which are partly detached because of remolding (L).