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Abstract
Music is traditionally retrieved by title, composer or subject classification. It is possible, with current technology, to retrieve music from a database on the basis of a few notes sung or hummed into a microphone. This paper describes the implementation of such a system, and discusses several issues pertaining to music retrieval. We first describe an interface that transcribes acoustic input into standard music notation. We then analyze string matching requirements for ranked retrieval of music and present the results of an experiment which tests how accurately people sing well known melodies. The performance of several string matching criteria are analyzed using two folk song databases. Finally, we describe a prototype system which has been developed for retrieval of tunes from acoustic input.
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Introduction
Music librarians are often asked to find a piece of music based on a few hummed or whistled notes. The magnitude of this task may be judged by the fact that the Library of Congress holds over six million pieces of sheet music—not including tens of thousands of operatic scores and other major works (Goodrum and Dalrymple, 1982). As digital libraries develop, these collections will increasingly be placed on-line through the use of optical music recognition technology (Carter, 1989; Selfridge-Field, 1994; Bainbridge and Bell, 1996). Furthermore, with the increasing use of music notation software for composition, it is likely many compositions will be acquired in computer readable form—particularly by national libraries, such as the Library of Congress, that acquire material through copyright administration.

The possibility of electronically searching corpora of music raises the interesting prospect of retrieval based on direct acoustic input. A user could literally sing a few bars and have all melodies containing that sequence of notes retrieved and displayed—a facility that would be attractive to casual and professional users alike. With advances in digital signal processing, music representation techniques, and computer hardware technology, it is becoming feasible to transcribe melodies automatically from microphone input. For example, a person can sing a tune and have it printed in ordinary musical notation. Searching large music databases and retrieving items in which a given theme or sequence of notes occurs is not a trivial undertaking, particularly given the inaccuracies that occur when people sing known melodies, but it is certainly within the scope of current technology.

This capability will form an important component of the digital music library of the future. With it, researchers will analyze the music of given composers to find recurring themes or duplicated musical phrases, and both musicians and casual users will retrieve compositions based on remembered musical passages—perhaps imperfectly ones. Moreover, the underlying technology for transcribing from acoustic melodies will facilitate the transcription of monophonic melodies such as field recordings of folk songs.

To our knowledge, an integrated system for retrieving music from a database from acoustic input in the form of a theme or musical phrase has not been attempted, although some work has been done on the individual components. Computer-based pitch trackers, which identify the frequency of acoustic input, have been around for thirty years or more, and hundreds of different algorithms have been developed (Hess, 1983). Ashkenfeldt (1978) describes a system that automatically transcribed folk songs into music notation; however, the system required extensive human intervention to correct wrongly transcribed pitches and rhythms. Hawley (1990) developed a system to search a tune database, given a note sequence entered via MIDI keyboard, but his system was inflexible in its retrieval strategy, returning only tunes whose
beginnings exactly matched the input. A great deal of work has been done in approximate string matching, particularly in identifying substrings in text (Wu and Manber, 1992) or genetic sequences (Bishop and Thompson, 1986).

Our goal is to develop a system that unifies all these aspects of a music retrieval system—one that transcribes acoustic input, typically sung or hummed by the user, and then retrieves music, ranked by how closely it matches the input. Such a system would allow for inaccurate singing or imperfect memory on the part of the user, for variation in the way music is performed, and for differences between music as it is notated and performed.

The paper is organized as follows. First we review the state of the art in signal processing for melody transcription, since this represents the main retrieval interface for tunes. The next question is how to match user input against the database. The requirements for the matching operation of a usable and useful library retrieval system turn out to be rather subtle, and in order to identify them we work from three directions. First we study the question of melody matching for music retrieval. Then we describe a pilot experiment on how people perform when asked to sing well-known songs. Next we analyze the characteristics of a test database of ten thousand folk songs in order to quantify how much information is required to identify tunes based on partial information of different types. These studies provide design information for a melody matching system that will satisfy users’ requirements. Finally, we present an initial system for identifying and retrieving tunes from acoustic input. This is a “proof of concept” prototype that accepts acoustic input, typically sung by the user, displays it in standard music notation, and retrieves appropriate matching tunes from a database.

**Automatic transcription of melodies**

Accepting acoustic input for musical retrieval is essentially a problem in music transcription. The analog acoustic signal must be sampled for digital processing, notes must be segmented from the acoustic stream, the frequency of each note must be identified and each note must be labeled with a musical pitch name and a rhythmic value. This section briefly describes how these problems are solved by a system for melody transcription called MT, which may be used as a front end for an acoustic music retrieval system. A more complete description of MT (McNab et al., 1995) can be located online through the New Zealand Digital Library.¹

**The musical scale**

A musical scale is a logarithmic organization of pitch based on the octave, which is the perceived
distance between two pitches when one is twice the frequency of the other. For example, middle C (C4) has frequency 261.6 Hz (Hertz, or cycles per second); the octave above (C5) is 523.2 Hz, and the octave below (C3) is 130.8 Hz.

Although the octave seems to be a perceptual unit in humans (Deutsch, 1972), pitch organization within the octave takes different forms across cultures. In Western music, the primary organization since the time of Bach has been the equal-tempered scale, which divides the octave into twelve equally spaced semitones. The semitone is the smallest unit of pitch in Western music, but smaller units can easily be perceived and are used in the music of some cultures. The cent is defined as one hundredth of a semitone in the equal tempered scale. An octave, then, is 1200 cents. The smallest pitch difference between two consecutive tones that can be perceived by humans is about 3 Hz; this yields a pitch discrimination of about five cents at 1000 Hz. Above 1000 Hz discrimination stabilizes at about 4 cents.

While pitch may be perceived categorically in terms of octaves, semitones and cents, frequency is continuous. Assigning a musical pitch to a given frequency involves quantization. Semitone resolution is sufficient to quantize pitches based on a particular tuning standard (A-440, for example). To accommodate different tuning systems, however—including adapting to users, who inevitably sing slightly sharp or flat—higher resolution is essential. MT is designed around a pitch resolution of five cents (0.29%).

**The MIDI note representation**

Since musical units—octaves, semitones and so forth—are relative measures, a distance in cents could be calculated for each individual interval sung by the user. A fixed reference point, however, allows easier integration with applications. MIDI (Musical Instruments Digital Interface) is a standard for controlling and communicating with electronic musical instruments. It has many facets, the one most germane to our melody transcription system being its standard representation of the Western musical scale. MIDI assigns an integer to each note of the scale. Middle C (C4) is assigned 60, the note just above (C#4) is 61, and that below (B3) is 59. Although it makes little sense to assign pitch labels to frequencies below about 15 Hz, MIDI note 0 is 8.176 Hz, an octave below C0. The highest defined note, 127, is 13344 Hz, again not likely to be perceived as a musical note. The standard piano keyboard ranges from notes 21 to 108.

In our melody transcription system, all pitches are related internally to MIDI notes, each being expressed as a distance in cents from 8.176 Hz. Notes on the equal tempered scale relative to A-440 occur at multiples of one hundred cents: C4, for example, is 6000 cents. This scheme easily incorporates alternative (non-equaltempered) tunings of Western music, such as the “just” or

¹http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/~nzdl
Pythagorean system, simply by changing the relationship between cents and note name. It can also be adapted to identify notes in the music of other cultures.

**Pitch tracking and note segmentation**

Sounds that are perceived as having pitch are made up of a number of recurring pitch periods. Algorithms for identifying the pitch of an acoustic signal may be classified by whether they work in the time domain, by examining the structure of the sampled waveform, the frequency domain, by examining the spectrum generated by a Fourier transform, or the cepstral domain, by performing a second Fourier transform on the log amplitude spectrum and examining the resulting cepstrum (Hess, 1983). MT uses the Gold-Rabiner algorithm, a time domain algorithm which assigns pitch by finding the repeating pitch periods comprising the waveform (Gold and Rabiner, 1969). Figure 1 shows 20 ms of a typical waveform for the vowel ah, as in father. Our implementation of the algorithm breaks the input sound into 20 ms frames and returns a pitch estimate for each frame.

Once pitches have been identified, it is necessary to determine where notes begin and end. We have developed two ways of doing this, one based on amplitude (or loudness) and the other on pitch. Amplitude segmentation is simpler, but depends on the user’s separating each note by singing da or ta—the consonant causes a drop in amplitude of 60 ms duration or more at each note boundary. Adaptive thresholds are then used to determine note onsets and offsets; in order to keep a marginal signal from oscillating on and off, the onset threshold is higher than the offset threshold. Figure 2 illustrates the use of amplitude to segment a series of notes.

The alternative to amplitude segmentation is to segment notes directly from the pitch track by grouping and averaging 20 ms frames. An adjacent frame whose frequency is within 50 cents of a growing note segment is included in that segment. Any segment longer than 100 ms is considered a note. Pitch based segmentation has the advantage of relaxing constraints on the user, but may not be suitable for all applications—repeated notes at the same pitch may not be segmented, while a slide, or *glissando*, is segmented into a sequence of ascending or descending notes.

After note onsets and offsets are determined, rhythmic values are assigned by quantizing note to the nearest sixteenth note according to the tempo set by the user.

**Adapting to the user’s tuning**

MT labels a note by its MIDI number according to its frequency and the current reference frequency. In some applications it is desirable to tie note identification to a particular standard of tuning. In others it is more desirable to adapt to the user’s own tuning and tie note identification to musical intervals rather than to any standard. MT is able to do either.

In adaptive tuning mode, the system assumes that the user will sing to A-440, but then adjusts by referencing each note to its predecessor. For example, if a user sings three notes, 5990 cents, 5770 cents and 5540 cents above MIDI note 0, the first is labeled C4 (MIDI 60) and the reference is moved down 10 cents. The second note is labeled Bb3, which is now referenced to 5790 (rather than 5800) cents, and the reference is lowered a further 20 cents. The third note is labeled Ab3, referenced now to 5570 cents—even though, by the A-440 standard, it is closer to G3. Thus the beginning of *Three Blind Mice* is transcribed.

While constantly changing the reference frequency may seem computationally expensive, it is efficiently implemented as an offset in MIDI note calculation. If tuning is tied to a particular standard, the offset is fixed. To use a fixed A-440 tuning, for example, the offset is fixed at 0.

**String matching for music retrieval**

Retrieving music from a collection of musical scores is essentially a matter of matching input strings against a database. This is a familiar problem in information retrieval, and efficient algorithms for finding substrings in a body of text are well known. Tunes that begin with a certain sequence of notes can be found by the standard search techniques of binary search or hashing, while tunes that contain a certain sequence of notes can be found by standard string-matching methods such as the Knuth-Morris-Pratt or Boyer-Moore algorithms, or Rabin-Karp signature matching (Sedgewick, 1988). Unfortunately, these algorithms find strings that match the input exactly (or, in the case of binary searching, find the match which is closest in lexicographic order). This is not suitable for matching music based on acoustic input.

There are several problems with seeking an exact match between input string and database. The first is the variability in the way that music is performed. Folksongs, for example, appear in many variants (Sundberg and Lindblom, 1976). This applies not only to songs that have been handed down orally from generation to generation, but also to composed songs that have recently entered the folk tradition (Cohen and Cohen, 1973). Popular songs and well-known standards are often performed differently from how they appear in the score (Bauer, 1988). Performances of classical music generally have a more stable relationship to the score. However, there are other sources of error. Problems may be caused by deficiencies in the user’s singing efforts—or his or her memory of the tune may be imperfect. Sloboda (1982) reports that people often distort and recombine melodic fragments in complex ways, changing melodic contours, intervals and tonalities; our own studies confirm this.
It is necessary, then, to perform approximate string matching on the score database in order to retrieve music. Approximate matching algorithms are, in general, far less efficient than those which match strings exactly, and invariably take time which grows linearly with database size rather than logarithmically as in the case of binary search.

Search criteria
What attributes should be used when searching a musical score database? The first point to note is that melodies are recognizable regardless of what key they are played or sung in—so it is important to allow users to enter notes in any key. This is accomplished simply by conducting the search on the basis of pitch ratios, or musical intervals. Second, a number of experiments have shown that interval direction, independent of interval size, is an important factor in melody recognition (Dowling, 1978)—indeed, Parsons (1975) has produced an index of melodies based entirely on the sequence of interval directions, which is called the "melodic contour" or "pitch profile." Using the notation of Parsons, where * represents the first note, D a descending interval, U an ascending interval, and R a repetition, the beginning of Three Blind Mice is notated:

*DDUDUDRDUDRD

One cardinal advantage of searching on contour, at least for casual singers, is that it releases them from having to sing accurate intervals.

Approximate string matching for musical sequences
The problem of approximate string matching was formulated in the early 1970s as a standard application of dynamic programming (Wagner and Fischer, 1974). In general, two strings of discrete symbols are given and the problem is to find an economical sequence of operations that transforms one into the other. The basic operations are deletion of a single symbol, insertion of a single symbol, and substitution of one symbol by another. These three operations have associated numeric "costs" which may be fixed or may depend on the symbols involved: in the case of deletion and insertion the cost might depend on the symbol, while for substitution it might depend on some measure of "distance" between the two symbols. The cost of a sequence of operations is the sum of the costs of the individual operations, and the aim is to find the lowest-cost sequence that accomplishes the desired transformation. The cost of this sequence is a measure of the distance between the strings. Using dynamic programming, the optimal solution can be found in a time which is proportional to the product of the lengths of the sequences. The problem can be augmented by adding new operators such as transposition of adjacent symbols, and the basic dynamic programming solution can be extended quite easily to handle this (Lowrance and Wagner, 1975).

This methodology can be applied to (monophonic) music by regarding a melody as a sequence of notes, each with an associated pitch and rhythm. Rests are dummy notes with only the duration specified. A distance metric between notes can be constructed by defining the distance between two pitches, and between two rhythms, and coming up with a suitable way of combining these components. Deletion and insertion can be handled by transforming a note to a notional zero-length note, and vice versa. Further operations are desirable: the consolidation operator, which combines a sequence of notes into one whose duration is their sum and whose pitch is their average (computed with respect to the distance metric), and the fragmentation operator which does the reverse. Of course, the same effect can be achieved by successive insertions or deletions, along with an appropriate substitution to adjust the rhythm, but in certain circumstances—for example, when the notes all have the same pitch—the consolidation and fragmentation operations involve much smaller costs. This reflects the fact that in these situations, a fragmentation or consolidation makes a less musically significant change to the melody than an equivalent sequence of basic operations.

Mongeau and Sankoff (1990) have performed an extensive study of the comparison of musical sequences using this approach. They measure pitch differences in a way that gives consonant intervals like octaves and fifths a smaller distance than dissonant intervals such as seconds and sevenths. Scale degrees (do = 1, re = 2, and so forth) are used to allow melodies in minor keys to be mapped to ones in major keys without excessive penalty. Rhythmic differences are measured by subtracting the lengths of the notes. Mongeau and Sankoff define the distance between notes as a linear combination of the distances between pitches and rhythms; the relative weight of pitch vs. rhythm is determined heuristically by analyzing particular tunes and standard variants.

So far, we have discussed how to match one complete melody against another. To locate a fragment in a melody database in prefix-match mode, a sequence of contiguous deletions leading right up to the end of a melody receives zero penalty. To locate a fragment wherever it occurs in the melodies, the dissimilarity score is re-cast as an alignment "quality" function which is to be maximized, as described by Mongeau and Sankoff (1990).

Human performance in melody recall
Experiments described in the literature focus on people's recognition of well known melodies; these experiments indicate the importance of melodic contour and interval in melody recall (Dowling, 1978). For a music retrieval system, however, we must know not only how people recognize
melodies, but also the ways in which people generate them. In order to get some idea of the kind of input we can expect to our music retrieval system, we performed an experiment to find out how people sing well known tunes.

**Method**

Ten subjects were each asked to sing ten songs from memory. The songs, listed in Table 1, are all well known in the popular culture, and include folk songs, standards, show songs and popular songs (*Pokare kare ana* is a New Zealand Maori folk song). Subjects represented a wide range of musical background; three had degrees in music and three others had ten or more years of musical training on an instrument. One subject had two years training on piano; the remaining three subjects had little formal musical training.

Subjects were invited to practice singing each song in order to refresh their memories and to decide what key to sing in, then the investigator taped the following performance for later analysis. Subjects were not expected to sing songs in their entirety—rather, they were instructed to sing as much as they knew. The focus was on the tunes; subjects were encouraged to sing words or any comfortable syllable, such as *la* or *da*. Composed songs were compared against publisher’s sheet music to determine accuracy of melodic contour and interval sequences; folk songs (*Yankee Doodle* and *Pokare kare ana*) were compared against a well known version chosen as the norm for this experiment. If a subject could not sing a particular song on the list, he or she was asked to substitute a song from an alternate list of five songs. Because few people used the list of alternate songs, the alternates were not analyzed closely.

**Results**

Table 1 lists the number of people attempting each song. All subjects were able to sing at least one phrase of each song attempted with fewer than three errors in contour. While contour was generally correct, however, repetitions of the same note were very sensitive to subjects’ perceptions of the words. In some cases, for example, singers added extra syllables at the same pitch, or left one or more syllables out. Omitted notes were infrequent, but did occur occasionally; in fact, about half the subjects omitted the descending interval at the end of the second and fourth bars of *Yankee Doodle*. Subjects sometimes added a passing note between notes a third apart—this is a common phenomenon reported by Sloboda (1982).

Subjects started at the beginning of all songs except *Bridge Over Troubled Water*, which seven of nine started at the chorus.

Singers were considered to end in key if they finished singing a song within 25 cents (sharp or flat) of the key they started in. Table 1 shows that, in half the songs, subjects were generally able to sing a phrase or more while staying in key. These songs tended to have a narrow range, with predominantly stepwise melodic movement and repetitive melodic patterns. Several songs were particularly difficult. In *Yesterday*, measures two and three suggest a change of tonality from a major key to the melodic scale of its relative minor three semitones below. Subjects were generally unable to negotiate this change of tonality, with one of the ten missing the accidentals (added sharps or flats) in measure two. Seven of the nine then continued in a new key, while two returned to the original key. *Moon River* presents a challenge with the first interval—an ascending fifth (seven semitones) between the first two notes. Four singers missed this interval, with the inaccuracy putting them into a new key. In *King of the Road*, the problem interval is an augmented fourth (six semitones) between the second and third bars. *Summertime* exhibits a number of major and minor thirds (four and three semitones, respectively), both ascending and descending, in the first two phrases, with one descending fourth (five semitones) as well. Five of the nine subjects attempting this song were unable to accurately negotiate these intervals, with four of the five landing in a new key following these phrases.

In general, intervals greater than two semitones caused problems for singers, and the songs performed most accurately were those with largely stepwise movement. Wide leaps were often “compressed,” with subjects singing the top note of an ascending interval flat, or the bottom note of a descending interval sharp; this was particularly noticeable on fifths and sixths (seven to nine semitones). On the other hand, subjects tended to “expand” sequences of smaller intervals—flattening, for example, on a descending sequence of tones and semitones and sharpening on ascending stepwise or arpeggiated (three or more consecutive thirds and fourths) sequences.

Some subjects decorated their performances with anticipations and slides. This was often a stylistic effect, on *Summertime* and *Memories*, for example, but happened also on songs that singers knew particularly well—*Pokare kare ana*, *Yankee Doodle*, and *Puff, the Magic Dragon*.

Interestingly, subjects’ accuracy was more dependent on singing experience rather than on musical training. Three subjects, for example, had random pitch problems throughout their performances. Two of these were instrumentalists with extensive musical backgrounds—one with a degree in music. Subjects who had sung extensively in amateur choirs were the most accurate. This indicates that subjects’ performance accuracy depends more on motor training rather than on ability to hear musical differences—which means that an acoustic interface for musical subpopulations of users should be as forgiving as one designed for general use. Alternatively, it may be useful to provide a MIDI keyboard for input from musically trained users.
Discussion

The results of the experiment are illuminating in terms of devising a practical matching strategy, indicating the need for an approximate matching algorithm such as the one devised by Mongeau and Sankoff (1990). The tendency of subjects to add or delete syllables calls for fragmentation and consolidation procedures; these procedures will also deal with long slides which are broken into multiple notes by a pitch-based note segmentation mechanism. Missed notes, particularly as exhibited in the three "problem" songs—Yesterday, Moon River, and Summertime—indicate the need for allowing replacement. Insertion and deletion are required in order to handle omitted notes and added passing notes.

In most of the cases presented here, subjects started at the beginning of the song. However, on one of the commercial popular songs, most subjects started at the chorus. The structure of modern popular music, where songs are designed with a memorable "hook" line to capture listeners, means that singers are likely to start at the hook—which often occurs at the beginning of the chorus—rather than at the beginning of a song. This is also true for show songs. As a preliminary to this experiment, a number of people were asked to sing the first phrase of Maria. Most people started at the beginning of the chorus ("Maria, Maria, I just met a girl named Maria..."), but one person, who had recently performed in an amateur production of West Side Story, started at the beginning of the verse ("The most beautiful sound I ever heard..."). Similarly, while only two people in the experiment sang Jerome Kern's Old Man River (from the alternate list), both started at the chorus ("Old man river...") rather than at the beginning of the song ("Here we all work on the Mississippi...").

Because singers tend to compress wide intervals and stretch small ones, it is useful for the system to adapt to the user's gradually changing tonality. Abrupt changes in tonality, such as those occurring in subjects' performances of Yesterday, are adequately handled by a replacement operation along with a general strategy of matching intervals rather than absolute notes.

Anticipations will be noted by our music transcription system, and will appear as a modification of rhythm. While it may be possible to use musical knowledge to deal with this in the front end transcription module, a more general approach is to accommodate these differences in the matching strategy. It is difficult to know how to handle slides. Because our system determines the pitch of a note by a weighted average of the input frames, a short slide will be incorporated into the target note, but may affect the pitch. A longer slide will be broken into two or more notes; the extra notes generated in this way should either be deleted by the matching algorithm, or grouped together (consolidated) to match one note in the database.

While the general approximate matching scheme of Mongeau and Sankoff is well supported by the experiment, there is no indication that match weights should be based on musical consonance rather than absolute distance in semitones.

Characteristics of folksong databases

The weaker the matching criteria, the larger the musical fragment that is needed in order to identify a particular song uniquely from a given corpus. To get a feeling for the tradeoffs involved, we performed an extensive simulation based on two corpora of folksongs. The first is the Digital Tradition collection of mostly American folksongs. This contains 1700 songs including a small number of duplicates (14) which were removed. The other is the Essen database of around 8300 melodies, about 6000 of which are German folksongs, 2200 are Chinese, and the remainder are Irish. Nearly 400 duplicates—the same song with a different name, perhaps in a different key—are present, and were removed. Combining these two sources and eliminating the three songs common to both collections gave us a database of 9600 melodies in total.

We are interested in the number of notes required to identify a melody uniquely under various matching regimes. Our experiments so far have been concerned only with identifying melodies that begin with a given sequence of notes: we have not yet examined the problem of locating melodies that contain a particular sequence anywhere within them, although we are planning to do so. The dimensions of matching include whether interval or contour is used as the basic pitch metric; whether or not account is taken of rhythm, or whether a linear sum of interval and rhythm is used as described above; whether matching is exact or approximate, with the possibility of note deletion, insertion or substitution; and whether attention is paid to note fragmentation and consolidation.

Based on these dimensions, we have examined exact matching of:
  - interval and rhythm;
  - contour and rhythm;
  - interval regardless of rhythm;
  - contour regardless of rhythm;

and approximate matching of:
  - interval and rhythm;
  - contour and rhythm.

For each matching regime we imagine a user singing the beginning of a melody, comprising a certain number of notes, and asking for it to be identified in the database. If it is in the database, how many other melodies that begin this way might be expected? We examined this question by taking every sequence of n notes that appear as the beginning of a melody in the database, and, for each sequence, counting the average number of "collisions"—that is, other melodies that match. In order to control computation time using dynamic
programming heuristics to speed the match (Waibel and Yegnanarayana, 1983), fragmentation and consolidation were not considered in this analysis.

Figure 3 shows the expected number of collisions plotted against $n$, for each of the matching regimes. The number of notes required to reduce the collisions to any given level increases monotonically as the matching criteria weaken. All exact-matching regimes require fewer notes for a given level of identification than all approximate-matching regimes. Within each group the number of notes decreases as more information is used: if rhythm is included, and if interval is used instead of contour. For example, for exact matching with rhythm included, if contour is used instead of interval an extra note is needed to reduce the average number of items retrieved to one. Removing rhythmic information increases the number of notes needed for unique identification by about two if interval is used and about five if contour is used. A similar picture emerges for approximate matching except that the note sequences required are considerably longer.

An important consideration is how the sequence lengths required for retrieval scale with the size of the database. Figure 4 shows the results, averaged over 50 runs, obtained by testing smaller databases extracted at random from the collection. The number of notes required for retrieval seems to scale logarithmically with database size, although all the lines do bend upwards towards the right, indicating that longer sequences may be required for very large databases.

A system for tune retrieval
We have developed a system, based on the melody transcription program described above, for retrieving tunes from the combined Essen and Digital Tradition folksong database. The user starts singing on any note, and the input is notated in the key that yields the fewest accidentals. Transcription operates in adaptive mode, adjusting to the user's gradually changing tuning.

The user is able to retrieve folk tunes using an exact match of pitch, pitch and rhythm, melodic contour or contour and rhythm, or an approximate match of pitch and rhythm or contour and rhythm. All searching incorporates fragmentation and consolidation, as described by Mongeu and Sankoff (1990), and all retrievals are ranked—exact retrieval simply means that only tunes that match with the maximum possible score are retrieved. Our dynamic programming match algorithm is a minimization technique, with the perfect score being zero. In order to provide a more intuitive score for users, the dynamic programming score of each song is subtracted from 1000, with a lower limit of 0, so scores can range from 0 to 1000. Songs are ranked by score; songs with equal scores are listed alphabetically by title.

Figure 5 shows the tune retrieval screen following a search. The names and corresponding scores of retrieved melodies are displayed in a text window and the tune of the best match is displayed in a melody window. The user may select other melodies from the list for display. In the Figure, the user has selected *Three Blind Mice*, following an approximate search on pitch and rhythm. The large number of songs retrieved is not surprising in light of Figure 3, which shows that, for five notes, approximate matching of pitch and rhythm can be expected to yield thousands of collisions. The number of collisions can be controlled, however, using a variable retrieval threshold.

While the system currently matches all tunes from the beginning, we plan to extend the matching algorithm to allow retrieval based on themes which may occur anywhere in a song. The local “quality” calculation described by Mongeu and Sankoff (1992) will, in principle, enable the system to perform retrieval based on themes, but implementation will require a careful study of ways to filter the potentially large number of matching songs.

Conclusion
We have presented and analyzed methods for accessing an online musical score database using microphone input. Searching such a database requires efficient string matching algorithms. Previous experiments that test melody recognition suggest that search should be carried out on the basis of melodic contour and/or musical intervals. The results of a new experiment testing people's accuracy in singing well known melodies suggests that there should be provision for approximate matching of the input, and that the music transcription module of the interface should adapt to the user's musical tuning, which may vary during input.

Analysis of two folksong databases provides some idea of the number of notes needed to perform a useful retrieval under various matching regimes. For a database of ten thousand songs, five or fewer notes are usually enough for exact retrieval if rhythm is included in the match. If rhythm is not included, one or two more notes are needed. Approximate search, in general, requires twelve notes or more to keep the number of retrieved songs manageable.

We have implemented a prototype tune retrieval system which accepts input from a user singing into a microphone, transcribes the input into musical notation, and retrieves songs from a database of 9600 folk tunes. The system supports both exact and approximate searches based on either pitch or melodic contour; rhythm is optional for exact searches. Approximate searches perform relevance ranking on retrieved tunes, with scores ranging from 0 to 1000. The system is currently constrained to retrieving songs based on their beginnings.
Although this seems appropriate for a folksong database, it is not likely to be suitable for matching modern popular and show songs, which may be recognized by a well-known chorus or a hook line. Furthermore, a generalized music retrieval facility requires the capability to retrieve music on themes which may occur anywhere and be played by any instrument. It may be possible to solve the problem for popular music by structural means—a hook line, for example, is likely to be repeated several times throughout the song. The general problem of themes, however, requires the ability to find a sequence of notes starting anywhere in a piece of music; we plan to investigate these issues.

We believe that acoustic interfaces to online music databases will form an integral part of the digital music library of the future.
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Figure 3. Number of collisions for different lengths of input sequence. From left to right:
- exact interval and rhythm
- exact contour and rhythm
- exact interval
- exact contour
- approximate interval and rhythm
- approximate contour and rhythm

Figure 4. Number of notes for unique tune retrieval in databases of different sizes. Lines correspond, from bottom to top, to the matching regimes listed in Figure 1.

Figure 5. Display from the tune retrieval system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Song</th>
<th>Number of singers</th>
<th>Ending “in key”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Over Troubled Water</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hound Dog</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King of the Road</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moon River</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pokare kare ana</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puff, The Magic Dragon</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summertime</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yankee Doodle</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yesterday</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Results of performance experiment