An Uncommon Call: Prospect for a New Dialogue with Islam?

Douglas Pratt

Abstract

This paper seeks to analyse and discuss the text of a most significant Muslim letter of 2007 addressed to the Christian Church, and the evidence of its reception within the Christian community in the year since it was delivered. It will also discuss some of the theological issues and challenges raised by the letter. Has a new era for dialogue with Islam been inaugurated by this epistolary event? What might the letter portend for the immediate and longer-term future of Christian relations with Islam? What does it raise in terms of theological issues and challenges? What challenges does this document signal for the ongoing quest of theology and interfaith engagement?

1. Introduction

engagement with Islam and Muslim peoples. What is at the heart of this 'call' of Christian-Muslim relations and, indeed, of the wider arena of interfaith a further 19 named heads of Eastern (Orthodox) Churches; together with the XVI; the Patriarch of Constantinople, His All-Holiness Bartholomew I, and some 138 Muslim clerics and academics, was addressed to Pope Benedict Leaders' was issued to the Christian Church. This seminal letter, signed by term future of Christian relations with Islam? What might this intimate for and what lies behind it? What might it portend for the immediate and longer-Christian Churches, everywhere". Entitled A Common Word Between Us and General Secretary of the World Council of Churches and, indeed, "Leaders of Archbishop of Canterbury and four heads of Western Churches including the measured considerations are presently underway. Colloquia and conferences dialogue with Islam? Following a flurry of initial reactions and responses, more Christianity, and also with Judaism, what is the prospect of a new theological this invitation to consider the common 'word' that interconnects Islam with the future of international relations with the World of Islam? On the basis of You, this is a significant document in terms of the context and pressing issues In October of 2007 an 'Open Letter and Call from Muslim Religious

have or are being convened in Europe, America and elsewhere. The task of reception of this epoch-making Muslim text is steadily progressing. This paper will present a summary and analysis of the letter; a review of some key responses thus far; and a critical examination of issues and challenges that are raised by the document and which are implicit in any theological response to it.

Summary and Analysis

essential to our faith and practice". Love - of God and neighbour - is the basis for dialogue and the foundation of peaceful coexistence. The substantive letter together with us on the basis of what is common to us, which is also what is "in obedience to the Holy Qur'an, we as Muslims invite Christians to come scriptural text and theological sensibility. Hence the summary concludes: commandments of love expressed by Jesus in his citation of Torah - love of justification are interlinked to the view as proffered in the letter that the two with Christians as well as Jews by virtue of all three being 'Peoples of Scripture' and love of the neighbour" (ibid). These principles, which thread throughout (or for) God; love of (or for) neighbour - are also embedded within Islamic fundamental theological values (Aal 'Imran 3:64). This dialogical call and its in order to arrive at "a common word between us and you..." in matters of pivotal reference to the Quranic injunction to Muslims to engage dialogically Islam and Christianity" (ibid). Furthermore, the Summary of the letter makes Holy Qur'an (Al-Ikhlas, 112:1-2; Al-Muzzammil 73:8) and one from the New their respective scriptural texts - two examples of which are given from the hand in "the very foundational principles of both faiths: love of the One God, context of the critical need for peaceful resolution to contemporary mutually global faith communities to live up to their own precepts, especially in the letter is premised on the moral and theological responsibility of these two "The future of the world depends on peace between Muslims and Christians" context for the letter: the pursuit of the peace of the world. Specifically it asserts further eight pages. The opening paragraph of the Summary gives the pressing and the list of 138 signatories, given in alphabetical order, and covering some Common Word Between Us and You - followed by over four pages of Notes three parts - (I) Love of God, (II) Love of the Neighbour, and (III) Come to a over a page; then the substantive letter of some thirteen pages divided into Testament (Mark 12:29-31) – form the basis of "the common ground between divisive and destructive situations. And the basis for such resolution is to (p.2). Comprising, together, over half of the world's current population, the The document is in two sections: a Summary and Abridgement of little

The title of the substantive part of the letter is preceded by the invocation of the *bismillah* – In the Name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful. This standard preface of Muslim piety is no sop to tradition: in all things the name

Douglas Pratt is Associate Professor at the Department of Philosophy and Religious Studies, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand, and is a New Zealand Associate of the UNESCO Chair for Intercultural and Interreligious Relations-Asia Pacific, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

² 'A Common Word Between Us and You': An Open Letter and Call from Muslim Religious Leaders Jordan: The Royal Aal al-Bayt Institute for Islamic Thought, 2007CE / 1428AH, 1.

compassion of God. Following the title, the full text of Sura Al-Nahl, 16:125 of God is to be remembered and recalled; all endeavours are couched within and membership of the Muslim community. The first of these is extolled by essential Islamic identity; their denial signals exclusion from Islamic identity Then come the three sections, commencing with "Love of God", explored first the call to Muslims to engage in dialogue with their co-religionists – is cited. the frame of acknowledgement of our ultimate dependency on the mercy and and perspectives, each found in various locations within the Holy Qur'an, but message, or expression of deen (ideational essence) of theistic religion as such. Muhammad as "the best remembrance" - that is, it is the key to the essential God" and "Muhammad is the messenger of God". Their affirmation establishes 'Shahadahs' that comprise the sine qua non of Islam: "There is no god but (just two pages). The Muslim exposition commences with reference to the in respect to Islam (over four pages) then in respect to the Christian Bible praise, and who possesses "power over all things" (p.4, and note iii on p.17). that God is alone; without any "associate", to whom belongs sovereignty and brought together by Muhammad in summary fashion, as recorded in Hadith: To the locution "no god but God" there is added a set of theological values of Muslim devotion and attachment to God, which is also given as the key ground and so the call for a 'common word' of dialogical engagement, yet at the sentiment" (p.8). It is thus a prompt for the realization of love in and through one's heart, all one's soul, all one's mind, all one's will or strength, and all one's of God, the devotional response of "loving and being devoted to God with all is understood to inculcate, through its ritualized repetition and by the grace sovereignty and His the praise and He hath power over all things" and which in full, "There is no god but God, He Alone, He hath no associate, His is the this "best remembrance" is explicated in and through the Hadith that says exposition of the Shahadah that "There is no god but God" is to assert that all-embracing, constant love of God" (p.7). The concluding sub-section to the to be totally devoted and attached to God ... is in fact an injunction requiring so doing, the Muslim may be assured of God's love. Thus, for Muslims, the "call example that the Prophet Muhammad left for Muslims to follow whereby, in The point of them, in terms of the message of the letter, is to assert the totality differently understood across the two religions? I shall return to these below. same time presenting a clear theological challenge: are these 'values' equally or letter, over three pages – at once seeking to establish the basis of common hermeneutical reference – it occupies the single largest subsection within the This summarising Hadith is expounded in the letter. It is a critical point of

The lengthy explication of Love of God (i.e. of the human for God, as opposed to God's love of us) within the Islamic framework of theological reflection and praxis is followed by a shorter, but quite apt, presentation of this Love

and potentially fruitful dialogical engagement. equality of meaning, namely the "primacy of total love and devotion to God" is materially identical - or at least showing "effective similarity in meaning" echoed within Islam; it is the bedrock of common ground and the call to a all your heart, with all your soul, with all your mind, and with all your strength" (p.10). This is a provocative suggestion which could open up some interesting or deen, of religion as such and as testified by all preceding Prophets, including perspective - namely, the expansion of the "best remembrance" (the essence, expression of Muhammad as given in Hadith - and itself a précis of Quranic the Bible are given to reinforce the point. The conclusion drawn is that the common word between Muslim and Christian. Further textual references from commandment in the law?" And to this first Jesus adds the quintessential second - to the biblical first commandment. Parallelism of formulas is taken to infe ('His the praise') and the omnipotence ('power over all') of the divine Being, - as the first and greatest commandment. This is a universal injunction, re-Torah, endorsed by the Gospel of Christ, reinforces the love of God fully - "with reference to a citation from the Gospels (Matthew 22:34-40; Mark 12:28-31) in commandment, namely the Shema of Deuteronomy 6:4-5. Acknowledging of God in respect to the Bible, specifically referring to the "first and greatest ('alone'; 'no associate'), the inclusive scope ('sovereignty'), the worshipfulness Jesus) that there is but one God, is understood to mean that the singularity also drawn from Torah – "you shall love your neighbour as yourself". Thus which Jesus recites the Shema in answer to the question: "What is the greatest its source within Jewish text and liturgy, its Christian usage is validated with

 are pivotal to the Abrahamic religious tradition ("the Law and the Prophets") motif that the two great love commandments - love of God and of neighbour 40, is reiterated together with the noting of its pedigree in Torah (Leviticus second great dominical commandment, as already cited in Matthew 22:38 and Aal Imran 3:92) both underscore the point and, significantly, highlight the Hadith, together with two citations from the Holy Qur'an (Al-Baqarah 2:177 God and no righteousness" (p.11). Two sayings of Muhammad, as recorded in corollary to love of God: "without love of the neighbour there is no true faith in likewise demands the righteous actions of generosity and self-sacrifice. The 19:17-18) and the assertion that the biblical injunction to love the neighbour link of this love to righteous behaviours of "generosity and self-sacrifice". The letter simply notes the association and asserts "love of neighbour" as an essential is closely associated with mercy; mercy is a quality, or expression, of love. The of neighbour as a motif within Islam, and then within the Bible. In Islam 'love' half pages "Love of Neighbour". Once again the first sub-section looks at love The second substantive section of the letter addresses in a brief one-and-a-

The third and final substantive section, spanning four pages, expounds the

and "Between Us and You". Noting that there are real and formal differences dialogical call: "Come to a Common Word between Us and You". There are and Al-Ahqaf 46:9). Hence the 'common word' - that which underlies true affirmed as adding nothing new to that which had been previously conveyed neighbour form a common ground upon which Islam and Christianity (and the letter boldly states: "Thus the Unity of God, love of Him, and love of the out of" the oneness or singularity - the letter says "Unity" - of God. Hence their being found in both Torah and Christian scripture, in each case "arise the "Two Greatest Commandments" that interlink Qur'an, Torah and the New that the basis of dialogical engagement between them is the commonality of between the religions of Islam and Christianity, the letter nonetheless asserts three sub-sections headed "A Common Word", "Come to a Common Word!" beings (love of the neighbour). Love is no mere sentiment; it is a call to right devotion to God (love of and fidelity to the One God and so the spurning of truths or theological values: the reality of the one God; the response of love and religion as such and is the basis for dialogue - is none other than the eternal Testament. Further, the letter asserts that these commandments, in terms of living and action. 'false gods'); the necessary corollary of justice in respect to our fellow human - and that observation is itself attested within the Qur'an (Suras Fussilat 41.43Judaism) are founded". The message brought by the Prophet Muhammad is

goes on to expound on the motif of invitation: "Come to a Common Word". The and the maintenance of theological fidelity ("none of us shall take others for One God, the preservation of the Unity of God ("ascribe no partner unto Him") commandment - love God; love neighbour - the Muslim signatories to the clear and compelling. In inviting Christians to be mindful of the dual dominical with the second dominical commandment, the love of neighbour - and that offered that this openness and freedom in matters of religion is consonant Qur'an: "Let there be no compulsion in religion" together with the viewpoint and freedom. This is endorsed by citing Sura Al-Baqarah 2:256 of the Holy is to say, in matters of religious identity and practice there is to be openness and Jews should be free to each follow what God commanded them" (p.14); that Quranic commentary by Al-Tabiri the letter affirms that "Muslims, Christians having embedded in it the essence of the "First and Greatest Commandment" lords"). Along with the assertion of the oneness of God, this call is regarded as invite Christians and Jews, as fellow 'peoples of the Book' to the worship of the principle Muslim reference is to Sura Aal 'Imran 3:64 that exhorts Muslims to letter proclaim their positive outreach to Christians: "we are not against them ... implies the exercise of justice and the freedom of religion. The argument is Islam is not against them" (ibid). Difference of theological outlook and the fact the total unsullied love of God. And with reference to the authoritative Having established the substantive content of the 'common word', the letter

> states: "Let this common ground be the basis of all future interfaith dialogue the Abrahamic faiths, and with reference to Matthew 22:40, the letter boldly no partner unto Him"); and the loyalty and fidelity to the One God ("none of original). This sub-section ends with a further invitation to Christians to join the Prophets" (p.15). between us, for our common ground is that on which hangs all the Law and Al-Baqarah, 2:136-137 with its intimation of theological plurality between us shall take others for lords beside God") as earlier explicated. Citing in full the worship of the One God; that God is alone God, and God alone ("ascribe of our two religions" as found in the Holy Qur'an (Aal Imran, 3:64), namely with Muslims in dialogical engagement on the basis of "the common essentials invited "to consider Muslims not against and thus with them..." (p15; italics in Christianity necessarily against Muslims?" (p.15). In the context of recognizing of religious plurality are acknowledged in the context of asserting the value of to understanding the person of Jesus Christ - Christians are nevertheless firmly differences in exegetical and theological interpretation - especially in respect mutual respect and forbearance. And the rhetorical question is thus posed: "Is

majority of which is fully positive. And so a trajectory of the phenomenon of event that has occasioned much interest and reaction - the overwhelming an announcement of being open for the business of dialogue. As such it is an both our common beginning and our encompassing ending. The letter itself is of God the Creator who could have chosen to make everyone the same, but did not particularly long; it is not some massive tome. It is an invitation; a foretaste; not; yet all difference and variety is, in the end, resolved by virtue that God is by the quoting of Sura Al-Ma'idah, 5:48 - religious plurality is a consequence comparison; let mutual respect, fairness, justice and kindness rule in the quest pursuit of "righteousness and good works" be the only just basis of rivalry and concludes on a hortatory note - let differences not be the cause of strife; let the every effort to make peace and come together in harmony" (ibid). The letter of both Christians and Muslims is at stake, lest "we fail to sincerely make not simply a matter of interreligious nicety: "our common future is at stake" and international relations means the arena of Christian-Muslim dialogue is of the elite. Rather, noting that the two faiths between them comprise some 55% for peace, harmony and reciprocal goodwill. And this is summed and capped reinforced by Quranic and biblical reference - is that the future and integrity realities and issues of inter-communal, even global, peace; the suggestion of the global population, a stark truth is enunciated: if the people of these two motivating theme of the epistle: dialogue is not to be limited to a polite exchange (p.16). The eschatological motifis indeed deepened. As well as pressing practical The intertwining of Christians and Muslims in terms of global social realities faiths are not at peace with each other, "the world cannot be at peace" (p.15). The third and final sub-section - "Between Us and You" - returns to the

reception of this text is now emerging in its own right.

3. Some Key Responses

the figure to whom it is initially and primarily addressed); Orthodox Church to the now infamous 'Regensburg' address given by Benedict XVI, and he is upon the Christian responses, I have grouped them into four categories: the of Islam with the wider world more generally. In order to review and comment and nearly 500 recorded news items; a dozen audio-visual items and some various organisations, groups and individuals. formal response by the Archbishop of Canterbury; sundry responses from responses; Other Christian Churches, institutions and councils, including the Vatican and other Catholic responses (the letter arose out of the earlier reaction Christian-Muslim relations. It is also a signal event in terms of the interaction the letter and its reception has become already a land-mark event in terms of the letter have now more than doubled and this is likely to increase. 4 Clearly year since the letter was released. Furthermore, the original 138 signatories to This is an impressive amount of activity to have taken place in a little over a developments are listed as having been initiated as a direct result of the letter individuals may be accessed on the site together with some Jewish responses 2008, some 60 formal Christian responses from leaders, organisations, and response documents and related material.3 As at the beginning of November, 180 personal comments recorded. Further, around 20 'new fruits' of interfaith The official website of A Common Word is an interactive repository of

3.1 Catholic Responses

A number of responses are listed from Cardinal Jean-Louis Tauran, President of the Pontifical Council for Interreligious Dialogue. They include his immediate reaction of welcoming the letter as a "very encouraging sign" and "an eloquent example of dialogue among spiritualities" among other comments and press releases. ** Inter alia*, he is on record as portraying something of a cautious attitude in respect to noting very real hermeneutical difficulties and the limits to dialogue that exist. But I suggest this is a mark of interfaith realism; dialogical engagement is a process not a panacea, and the Vatican has clearly welcomed this new Muslim initiative for the process. Indeed, the gratitude of Pope Benedict for the letter was formally given by the Secretary of State, Tarcisio Cardinal Bertone, on November 19, 2007 in which the Pope's appreciation for the "positive spirit which inspired the text" is conveyed. ** Belief in the one God – though differingly understood – is at the core of the quest

⁷Press Release of the Vatican, March 5th, 2008.

*See: http://www.acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=27

See: http://www.acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=51

for the common 'word' between Christians and Muslims. The principle that "without ignoring or downplaying our differences as Christians and Muslims, we can and therefore should look to what unites us" was clearly expressed. Pope Benedict's remarks to Muslim representatives, given at Cologne on August 20, 2005, were also included: "I am profoundly convinced that we... must affirm the values of mutual respect, solidarity and peace. The life of every human being is sacred, both for Christians and for Muslims. There is plenty of scope for us to act together in the service of fundamental moral values". By March 2008 an agreement had been reached to establish "The Catholic-Muslim Forum" with the first seminar to be held in Rome in November 2008 with some 24 religious leaders and scholars from each side participating. The overall seminar theme is "Love of God, Love of Neighbour" with the sub-themes "Theological and Spiritual Foundations' and 'Human Dignity and Mutual Respect' being specifically addressed. This is a significant new development for which we await its fruit with interest.

The Pontifical Institute for Arabic and Islamic Studies (PISAI), a leading Catholic institution in respect to relations with Islam and Muslims, has recorded its appreciation of the Muslim leaders' letter.8 This response states that the "long an diligent association with the cultural and religious patrimony of Islam, as well as our regular contacts with members of the Muslim community enables us to take note of the originality of this gesture and entitles us to draw attention of non-Muslims to its qualities". Among a number of salient positive observations made in respect to the text of the letter, the PISAI response comments in particular on "the special treatment (given) to the supreme point of reference that undergirds 'the other' as Jew or Christian, namely, the dual commandment of love of God and neighbour ... The willingness to acknowledge another person in the deepest desire of what he or she wants to be seems to us one of the key points of this document".

A number of leading Catholic scholars have also made individual responses, among them Professor Daniel Madigan SJ from Georgetown University, a member of the Vatican's Commission for Religious Relations with Muslims. He sets the Muslim letter, and its Catholic response, in the context of Vatican II and its pivotal document, Nostra Aetate, which marks the commencement of the search for 'a common word'. Madigan notes the letter "forms part of a larger project, focussed in Jordan, to develop an authoritative consensus on what it means to be Muslim in our time" and that the intent of the letter is to promote a peace building process. Furthermore, the Muslim letter clearly regards "the reactionary and intransigent ideologies that drive terrorism and puritanical repression are not drawing on the whole of the Islamic tradition,

³ See http://www.acommonword.com/

^{*}To 277 as at November 4, 2008; 280 as at November 6 – and climbing!

See, for example, http://www.acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=6 6 See: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/downloads/letter-from-the-vatican.pdf

address issues of peace and social harmony. Madigan also makes the point critique that is here signalled bodes well for a dialogical process that seeks to continue to claim many more lives" than has occurred with respect to any two great religions of Islam and Christianity - qua avoidance of hostility - in that although a rationale for the letter and its invitation is peace between the but rather a truncated and impoverished reading of it". An internal Islamic fact each religion "has had its own internal conflicts that have claimed and hostility between them.

3.2 Orthodox Responses

a number of Arab Orthodox Christian leaders - Coptic, Marionite, Melkite, II, Supreme Patriarch and Catholicos of All Armenians. This response also the Ottoman Empire – given in a letter on behalf of His Holiness Karekin with respect to Arab Muslims; negative with respect to Turkish Muslims of long-standing relation between Armenian Christians and Muslims - positive Armenian and Syriac.10 There is a moving acknowledgement of the very Orthodox Church of Antioch, and a statement of endorsement supported by example, letters from the Patriarch of Moscow and all Russia, from the Syrian various senior figures within the family of Orthodox Churches. They include, for A number of responses to the Muslim letter have been forthcoming from

and cultural traditions and heritage.11 including strengthening mutual respect for one another's spiritual, national remain hopeful that this would contribute to better understanding each other, between different faiths, and the protection of all that God has created. We also eternal and common human values, the reinforcement of relationships monotheistic religions, the aim of which should be the strengthening of We therefore deem it imperative to begin a true dialogue among the

to one humanity and one world under one sovereign God".12 and so stresses the theme of common humanity and community: "We belong prospect of Christians and Muslims dialogically engaged for the greater good voice to a widely-felt positive response to the Muslim letter. He affirms the Church, and a former Moderator of the World Council of Churches, gives His Holiness Aram I, Catholicos of Cilicia in the Armenian Orthodox

3.3 Other Christian Church Responses

hold before us as a vision worthy of our best efforts" (ibid). differences, there is a shared "responsibility before God that we shall seek to our lives of faith before God" (p.3); v) the common awareness that, despite real scriptural texts; iv) relating from the basis of humble piety - "from the heart of exploration: i) understanding "the love of God"; ii) practical implications of and extend the encounters" (p.15). Williams identifies five areas for further represented among the signatories ... provides a unique impetus to deepen compromising fundamental beliefs" (p.2). Indeed, the Muslim invitation is "a exploratory dialogue and peaceful co-operation with integrity and without "love of neighbour"; iii) the nature, interpretation and use made of respective for which the "very wide geographical (43 countries) and theological diversity are speaking enough of a common language for us to be able to pursue both more modest quest to "find a way of recognising that on some matters we powerful call to dialogue and collaboration between Christians and Muslims" Muslim invitation to Christians as not seeking a facile quick accord but the and sisters everywhere".14 This Anglican response has been endorsed by the a wide-ranging ecumenical consultation before composing his formal reply. letter's spirit of "a helpful generosity of intention" (p.1) and interprets the World Alliance of Reformed Churches. 15 Williams' missive notes the Muslim have signed A Common Word Between Us and You and to Muslim brothers Good' - is addressed to "the Muslim Religious Leaders and Scholars who The Archbishop's document – entitled 'A Common Word for the Common Christians and Muslims and other faith communities", Williams undertook for further development of existing dialogue and common action between in which he "welcomed the letter as a clear reaffirmation of the potential Williams. After an initial positive message of response in a Press Release¹³ is a carefully considered response by the Archbishop of Canterbury, Dr. Rowan institutions, both denominational and ecumenical. Among the more substantial The two substantive sections of Williams' document echo the structure of There is a raft of responses now recorded from leaders, councils, and

the Divine reality. Thus it is of the essence of faith that there is a response to love, as demonstrated and realised through the Christ event, is the essence of do and do not mean by it" (p.5). Here Williams asserts that for Christianity for the sake of open and careful dialogue (in) that we try to clarify what we Christian apologia of Trinitarian theology as being "all the more important the Muslim letter. The first - 'The One God Who Is Love' - incorporates a

ge=newcontent&item=1), also bears the signatures of Roman, Syriac, Coptic and Melkite Catholic and Peace Making" held in Jordan in January, 2008 (http://www.acommonword.com/index.php?pa 10 This statement - the Final Communiqué of the Third International Conference, "Coexistence

¹¹ See http://www.acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=57 accessed November

<sup>4, 2008.

12</sup> See: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/downloads/Aram-I-Armenian-Orthodox-Catholicos.

¹³ Press Release from Lambeth Palace, Thursday 11th October, 2007. (http://www.acommonword com/index.php?page= responses&item=11 accessed November 6, 2008)

sent-14-7-08-1.pdf See: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/downloads/Common-Good-Canterbury-FINAL-as-

See: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/documents/World-Alliance-of-Reformed-Churches

the gift of divine love which involves love of neighbour. On this latter point Williams anticipates a focal theme of further in-depth dialogical discussion: "We support the clear affirmation in your letter, through texts from the Qur'an and the Bible, of the importance of love for the neighbour. Indeed, your letter can be considered an encouraging example of this love" (p.10). Gospel examples that challenge any narrow definition of 'neighbour' are touched on, giving evidence that the love of neighbour is, indeed, premised on the love of God: "Where love replaces enmity we can recognise the work and way of God" (p.11). This leads into the second main section in which Williams touches on aspects of 'Seeking the Common Good in the Way of God'. He commences with a discussion around, and extending, the Muslim letter's references to "peacemaking, religious freedom and the avoidance of violence" (p.12).

Religious violence suggests an underlying religious insecurity. When different communities have the same sort of conviction of the absolute truth of their perspective, there is certainly an intellectual and spiritual challenge to be met; but the logic of this belief ought to make it plain that there can be no justification for the sort of violent contest in which any means, however inhuman, can be justified by appeal to the need to "protect God's interests" (ibid).

Williams observes that

the more we as people of genuine faith are serious about the truth of our convictions, the more likely we will be to turn away from violence in the name of faith; to trust that God, the truly real, will remain true, divine and unchanging, whatever the failures and successes of human society and history. And we will be aware that to try and compel religious allegiance through violence is really a way of seeking to replace divine power with human; hence the Qur'anic insistence that there can be no compulsion in matters of religious faith (al-Baqarah, 2:256)... What we need as a vision for our dialogue is to break the current cycles of violence, to show the world that faith and faith alone can truly ground a commitment to peace which definitively abandons the tempting but lethal cycle of retaliation in which we simply imitate each other's violence (p.13).

With reference to the four-fold typology of interfaith dialogues – life, action, theological exchange, religious experience – Williams enunciates three imperatives for dialogical engagement between Christians and Muslims: to strengthen practical programmes; intensify intellectual endeavours by way of research and colloquia; to foster deeper mutual appreciation to the life of faith of each other. He goes on to identify three possible outcomes: 1) maintaining and strengthening momentum for engagement; 2) the creation of safe dialogical discursive space to enable the problematic deep divergences to be explored; 3) that such engagements need to have a wide impact of relevance – they are not just the edification of participants. "Seeking the common good is a purpose

conference agreed that Yale University, another conference took place in July 2008. Participants at this invitation to dialogue at depth and in humility. And across the Atlantic, at signalled by William's response can be seen in the communiqué to emerge say: Yes! Amen" (ibid). Already a follow-through in terms of the intentions our faithful response to the revelation of God's purposes for humankind, we invitation to enter more deeply into dialogue and collaboration as a part of of the essence of the practical response to the Muslim letter: thus "to your in living practical issues, and the commitment to a long-haul process as being acting effectively in the world" (p.17). The applied focus with which Williams is likely to lead "into all kinds of complex territory as we seek to find ways of around which Christians and Muslims can unite"; at the same time this quest Muslim leaders ever to take place in the UK, attempted to engage the Muslim in itself represented one of the most significant gatherings of international University, England, and of which he was a host. 16 This conference which from a conference on 'A Common Word' held in October 2008 at Cambridge draws his paper to an end affirms mutual education, the continued engagement

- 1. Muslims and Christians affirm the unity and absoluteness of God. We recognize that God's merciful love is infinite, eternal and embraces all things. This love is central to both our religions and is at the heart of the Judeo-Christian-Islamic monotheistic heritage.
- We recognize that all human beings have the right to the preservation
 of life, religion, property, intellect, and dignity. No Muslim or Christian
 should deny the other these rights, nor should they tolerate the
 denigration or desecration of one another's sacred symbols, founding
 figures, or places of worship.
- 3. We are committed to these principles and to furthering them through continuous dialogue. We thank God for bringing us together in this historic endeavor and ask that He purify our intentions and grant us success through His all-encompassing Mercy and Love.
- 4. We Christian and Muslim participants meeting together at Yale for the historic *A Common Word* conference denounce and deplore threats made against those who engage in interfaith dialogue. Dialogue is not a departure from faith; it is a legitimate means of expression and an essential tool in the quest for the common good.¹⁷

The National Council of the Churches of Christ in the USA provides an ecumenical response that welcomes the intent of the Muslim letter "to engage seriously with Christians in dialogue ... grounded in the authentic religious

¹⁶ See: http://acommonword.com/en/a-common-word/16-conferences/16-communique-from-a-common-word-conference.html

¹⁷ See: http://acommonword.com/lib/documents/Yale%20draft%20statement.8.pdf

of Christian ecumenical dialogue opens out to interfaith dialogue and the quest convictions of our respective communities". $^{\rm 18}$ This response highlights the mes of against Christians; indeed, Christians may consider Muslims as "with us, and recognition that the Muslim letter affirms that Muslims are not necessarily education are noted and encouraged. Most significant and challenging is the another". Practical expressions of Christian-Muslim engagement and mutual in this life we are driven by an inner impulse to reach out in community to one God's creation is ultimately the content of salvation, as human beings sojourn the whole of creation: "Because communion with God and God's people and interaction between humanity and God; among the human family; and within as expressive of both the inherent relationality of God and also the relational love for one another". A stress is placed upon the Christian doctrine of Trinity our whole being, and in belief that love for God leads to and is demonstrated in with mutual appreciation in acceptance of the commandment to love God with for building upon common theological ground: "we can walk forward together hospitality and peacemaking as expressions of neighbourly love. The experience that Christianity is not against Islam". that this togetherness bears upon the state of the world... we similarly affirm

urges Muslims "to consider joining us in ... discussions" aimed at resolving that the deep theological divergence over God (Trinity) means "we cannot of the truth of our faith as you are" (p.2).19 This response goes on to assert through what his son Jesus Christ did for us..." because "we are as convinced about (the Muslim letter) is that it exists. The second most impressive thing is proclaimed in a lead article on November 13, 2007: "The most impressive thing which are decidedly positive about the letter and open towards Muslims in and the Mennonite Church, USA, are among those Christian responses - Britain Yearly Meeting, the Monastic Interreligious Dialogue organisation National Council of Churches, the Religious Society of Friends (Quakers) theological misunderstandings. By contrast, organisations such as the Danish accept your invitation" but at the same time the World Evangelical Alliance Muslims to put their "faith in God, who forgives our opposition to him and sin worshipping God without ascribing to him a partner", reciprocate by inviting everything pertinent to Christian-Muslim dialogue and instead invite Christians the economy of its argument. The scholars resist the innate desire to touch on terms of outcomes. The highly influential publication, The Christian Century, 'call' interpreted as implying that Christians ought "to become Muslims by By contrast, the World Evangelical Alliance, in response to the Muslim

your initiative a top priority". religious Dialogue and Cooperation programme staff to make a response to the sake of justice and world peace" and he indicates he has "asked our Interof the Muslim will to engage with the Christian community in dialogue for of the WCC. Kobia stated that he read the letter "as a representative expression Samuel Kobia, expressed his positive response to the Muslim letter on behalf of March 2008 the General Secretary of the World Council of Churches, Rev. to remember Jesus' words about loving God and neighbour". 20 And in a letter

3.4 Sundry Responses

published their agreed text in full. 22 The text concludes: York Times (Nov 18, 2007) in which some 300 Christian scholars and leaders and, in March, The World Community for Christian Meditation gave theirs the Unitarian Universalist Association of Congregations made their reply and endorsement was also forthcoming from the joint Evangelical Christianin the chorus of overwhelmingly positive responses and reflections. 21 Support Sternberg on behalf of the Three Faiths Forum, represent two Jewish voices Ochs, Co-founder of the Society for Scriptural Reasoning, and Sir Sigmund Perhaps one of the more intriguing is the paid advertisement placed in the New There are many others available on the official website of A Common Word Muslim Dialogue conference held in Tripoli, January 2008. In February 2008 be taken of a range of sundry organisations, groups and individuals. Peter Finally, in this review of responses to the Muslim letter, notice needs to

harmony you correctly remind us that "our eternal souls" are at stake as well. in peace. If we fail to make every effort to make peace and come together in today, the task before us is daunting. And the stakes are great. The future of the world depends on our ability as Christians and Muslims to live together Given the deep fissures in the relations between Christians and Muslims

ourselves to labor together in heart, soul, mind and strength for the objectives with humility and hope that we receive your generous letter, and we commit would have us fulfill the requirement that we love God and one another. It is you so appropriately propose. level to meet together and begin the earnest work of determining how God We are persuaded that our next step should be for our leaders at every

of peace and tolerance". David Ford, Regius Professor of Divinity at the endorsement states of the Muslim document that it "is a crystal-clear message John Esposito, the renowned American scholar of Islam, in his letter of

mon-word-between-us-and-you.html 18 See: http://acommonword.com/en/christian-responses/11-an-ecumenical-response-to-a-com-

^{4.} Accessible at: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/downloads/We_Too_Want_to_Live_in_ Love_Peace_Freedom_and_Justice .pdf

²⁰ See: http://www.christiancentury.org/article.lasso?id=3808

²¹ See: http://acommonword.com/index.php?page=jewish-responses&item=8 and http://www.

page=jewish-responses&item=34 ²² See: http://www.acommonword.com/lib/downloads/fullpageadbold18.pdf

University of Cambridge, England, who has the honour of being the first be listed on the Christian Responses section of the official web-site, affirms the supreme importance of the Muslim initiative. He states of the Muslim letter that its

significance is not that it offers anything novel but that it selects so wisely from the riches of both scriptures and opens them up in a way that is highly relevant to the present situation. I found myself deeply moved by its vision of what it calls 'the all-embracing, constant and active love of God' and 'the necessity and paramount importance of love for – and mercy towards – the neighbour', and by its concern not only for that half of the world's population who are Muslim or Christian but also for every single other person and the whole of creation.²³

Among the many other individuals who have responded to the Muslim letter, I would note that of the widely-respected author, Karen Armstrong. She wrote in October 2007:

The initiative of the Common Word is sorely needed by the entire world. All too often, religion is associated with violence and intolerance, and the compassionate ethos, which lies at the heart of every major faith, gets pushed to the sidelines. The assertion of the principle of love, which is so central to both the Muslim and the Christian traditions, should be paradigmatic of the religious response to the fearful realities of our time. We must reclaim our traditions from the extremists. Unless the major faiths emphasize those teachings which insist upon the absolute holiness of the "other", they will fail the test of the 21st century. The coming together of Muslims and Christians, who have such an unhappy history of hostility, is a beacon of hope and an example to the whole of humanity.²⁴

Finally, mention must be made of the joint response issued by the Archbishop of Canterbury and the Chief Rabbis of Israel in the context of their second meeting, which took place in Israel on October 31, 2007. In their comment they noted the Muslim letter signals "very positive developments which are a clear sign of determination to create structures that can advance principled cooperation and moral solidarity among the Christian, Jewish, Islamic and other religious communities" and they stated:

The 'Common Word', though addressed to Christian Churches, also makes clear its respect for Hebrew scripture in citing directly from

the Book of Deuteronomy and in acknowledging the inspiration that this provided for their understanding of the Quranic teachings on the unity and love of God and of neighbour. In promoting these values we commit ourselves and encourage all religious leaders to ensure that no materials are disseminated by our communities that work against this vision. We have agreed that in responding to the Common Word, it will be important to consider carefully together how the perspectives of Christians and Jews are properly held together.

4. Issues and Challenges

pursued within the context of any dialogical 'coming to a common word'. and as a signal to further work as part of the theological agenda that could be commonality needs to occur both as part of a Christian response to the letter, the unity of God as representing a key reference point for the presumption of the terms of an irresistible invitation. Teasing out a response to the stress upon prima facie, it could be taken as a hegemonic hermeneutic embedded within and respectful consideration and reply. Inter alia, it is inescapably the case that surrender distinctive understanding and identity, nor an invitation to reductive its theological articulation, requires some unpacking and consideration for, the preface and qualification of 'Unity', with which Islamic discourse imbues unanimity - is certainly well-grounded and invites, by way of response, careful basis the call to "come to a common word between us" - which is not a call to the basis of dialogical engagement: love of God and love of neighbour. On this principles and values as the bedrock of interreligious connectedness and so of Abrahamic commonality but rather (b) it highlights indisputable theological Christians and Muslims - is the fact that (a) it does not start from the premise by implication and direct allusion made, a wider dialogue between Jews, cause for a hopeful future of dialogue between Christians and Muslims - and Christian comment? The first thing I notice, and that seems to me to signal responses that have been made to it, what may be said further by way of a Having analyzed the content of the letter, and discussed some of the

Of course, Christians affirm with Islam that there is but One God: Say: He is God, the One! (Al-Ikhlas 112:1) poses no theological problem in principle for Christians when taken at face value. There is only one God. And, yes, God is also a unity within God's self: Divinity is neither divided nor divisible. Once again, in terms of primary metaphysical principle, we would concur. Indeed, this would be well attested by way of the doctrine of Divine Simplicity. Nevertheless, the Word of God which Muslims apprehend through the Qur'an, Christians have apprehended through Jesus the Christ: the revelation of God is manifestly communicated, received, interpreted and so believed, differingly across our two faith communities. Upon the singularity and essential unity of God we

²³ See: http://acommonword.com/lib/media/Regius-Professor-of-Divinity.pdf

²⁴ See: http://acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=35

²⁵ See: http://acommonword.com/index.php?page=responses&item=37

certainly agree; the unity of God necessarily precedes and proscribes any reflective and responsive development of understanding about the nature and being of God. ²⁶ But the manifestly different ways in which the revelatory 'word', or 'message', of the One God has been responded to in terms of structures of belief, teaching and doctrine, requires to be acknowledged. For it is important that, in the context of any dialogical engagement, the quest to comprehend that 'common word' is not foreclosed by theological presumption from one side toward the other.

compassion, mercy and love that signals the relational initiative which properly existential and theological integrity (the agentive expression of divine as such; no subordinate ranks in partnership) - but it also may issue in an to be maintained and enhanced, not undermined and devalued. be carefully weighed and interpreted such that the essential integrities are seen ways. And here the words of the Qur'an, as with the words of the Bible, need to peoples of God) that allows us to speak, conceive, and know God in manifold lies with God reaching out to, and connecting with, the lived history of the nor in some sort of federated association (there are no partners and associates agree - God does not exist as a member of a divine community (polytheism affirmation of ontological integrity upon which Christians and Muslims may affirmations we otherwise assert. Thus the singularity of God can issue in an alongside, and in mutual deepening of, the common understanding and our response to God we find both the basis and initial agenda for meaningful theological dialogue. Theological differences must be addressed in dialogue Same God, yet that One God is differently revealed and responded to. Thus in Christians and Muslims believe, worship, and submit to the One and the

The Muslim letter rightly draws attention to the exhortation of piety – that intentional devotion to God – that preserves loyalty and fidelity: we worship but One God, the Creator and Lord of All. There is no dispute here; there is rather a strong case for the grounding of further dialogue between us. And this urging of piety is reflected in eschatological and soteriological awareness (cf. p.5). We share awareness – perhaps even orientation – within the bounds of the 'common word' motif; yet there is also between us, and within our wider faith communities, nuanced difference of interpretation and conceptuality concerning these which need not be downplayed for the sake of discerning the deeper common word; rather, once again, there is signalled within the letter likely lines of dialogical agenda. Attention to piety is also reflected in and through references to the fear of God; ways in which the term 'fear' is interpreted and received could well prove a useful focus of fruitful dialogical engagement. Understood as responsive respectful awe, it signals one modality of submission; regarded as a cowering concern to avoid retributive justice, it

of both God and neighbour. And both premises are arguably compatible with for responding positively to this invitation. may perhaps be regarded as intimations of faith that is intentionally focused it flags yet another strand of a prospective theological agenda for dialogue to put that to the test. This need not deter or detract from dialogue; rather each of Christianity and Islam; but it would take careful dialogical engagement appear at first hand to be substantive differences between us are resolved as Dialogue challenges shallow nominalism on either side; another good reason Pietistic concerns expressed in terms of both the fear and the love of God regarded as the premise for submission to God, and the basis of active loving manifest an underlying divine commonality. The fear of God may be equally mutually acceptable variability of particularities that yet coherently express and may be room for nuanced understanding and application such that what Creator and the creature. Thus in the comprehension of the dynamic there an illustration of the complex dynamic relationship that obtains between the main faculties" (p.6) is not so much an assertion of a necessary psychology as invitation. In similar fashion, the depiction of the soul as the locus of "three theologically fruitful encounter arising in consequence of accepting the embedded in the letter; it rather strengthens the prospect of a genuine and this flagging of likely difference does not diminish the import of the invitation perhaps less about God than about our response to, and relation with, God. Yet may well signal another mode of submission. The motif of the fear of God is

5. Conclusion

There is much in this letter in terms of both its underlying intention and substantive content, which comes to us framed as a dialogue between textual sources, and which is cause of great encouragement. It also reflects the need for such dialogue; the call to come to a common word between us cannot be discharged by one side. It is only within the context of genuine theological dialogical encounter that the Quranic call can be truly honoured. And it is only as Christians and Muslims together search their respective scriptures and related traditions of interpretation and appropriation that the essential dynamic of deity — the Will of God — can be apprehended in and through the differing details of textual record and interpretative tradition. This letter is to be warmly welcomed and responded to, without prejudice; it provides, arguably, a door of opportunity opening into a new era of dialogical engagement with Islam.

²⁶ Cf. Douglas Pratt, 'Christian-Muslim Theological Encounter: the priority of tawhid.' Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations, Vol 7, No 3, 1996, 271-284.