SHCAL04 SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE CALIBRATION, 0–11.0 CAL KYR BP
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ABSTRACT. Recent measurements on dendrochronologically-dated wood from the Southern Hemisphere have shown that there are differences between the structural form of the radiocarbon calibration curves from each hemisphere. Thus, it is desirable, when possible, to use calibration data obtained from secure dendrochronologically-dated wood from the corresponding hemisphere. In this paper, we outline the recent work and point the reader to the internationally recommended data set that should be used for future calibration of Southern Hemisphere 14C dates.

INTRODUCTION

Hogg et al. (2002) and McCormac et al. (1998) have measured the radiocarbon activity of decadal paired samples of wood from the Northern and Southern Hemispheres for the period AD 1950–950. Their results show that the concept of a fixed offset between the hemispheres that can be used to adjust the Northern Hemisphere calibration data to calibrate Southern Hemisphere measurements is erroneous, as significant temporal variations in the magnitude of the hemispheric offset exist. The calibration of Southern Hemisphere measurements is therefore best achieved using securely dendrochronologically-dated wood on which replicate 14C measurements have been made. The Southern Hemisphere is here defined as south of the thermal equator or the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ).

INTCAL04 WORKSHOP AND RADIOCARBON CONFERENCE 2003 RECOMMENDATIONS

Two workshops to review and discuss recent developments and data relating to 14C calibration were convened at Queen’s University Belfast and Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute during 2002 and 2003 (Reimer et al. 2002, 2003). The international group of participants reviewed data from the present to beyond 25 kyr BP. Sections of the calibration curve, including those that used dendrochronological data, were discussed and agreed upon for inclusion. The data relating to the Southern Hemisphere, including the results from McCormac et al. (1998) and Hogg et al. (2002) on New Zealand trees covering 0–1000 cal BP; data from the University of Washington Quaternary Isotope Laboratory from Chilean and Tasmanian wood from 0–290 cal BP and 0–55 cal BP, respectively [McCormac et al. (2002); New Zealand measurements of Sparks et al. (1995)]; and South African measurements from 115–51 cal BP from Pretoria (Vogel et al. 1993), were considered. Comparisons between these data sets were given in Table 2 of McCormac et al. (2002).

It was agreed that the combined set of measurements for Southern Hemisphere wood should form a single calibration, provided the measurements from different laboratories showed good relative consistency, i.e. interlaboratory offsets were small. Ratification was obtained at the International Radiocarbon Conference in Wellington, New Zealand (2003) to recommend the use of the Southern Hemisphere data from cal AD 1950–950 (0–1000 cal BP) given in McCormac et al. (2002), combined via a random walk model using the same parameters as for IntCal04, the Northern Hemisphere atmospheric data set (Buck and Blackwell, this issue).
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Calibration Curve Construction

In addition to the Southern Hemisphere data given in McCormac et al. (2002), a few measurements of nineteenth- and twentieth-century wood from the Cape Town tree Pretoria data set (A S Talma, personal communication) have been included. To account for additional sources of uncertainty beyond the counting error, we use laboratory error multipliers of 1.0 for the Pretoria, Waikato, and Belfast data sets, and 1.2 for the Seattle data set as estimated from interlaboratory comparisons (McCormac et al. 2002). As in the IntCal04 calibration data set (Reimer et al., this issue), the uncertainty in the cal ages was assumed to be on the order of 1 or 2 yr and was therefore neglected in the analysis. The calendar age span of each measurement (e.g. number of tree rings) was taken into account in the random walk model (Buck and Blackwell, this issue).

The offset with the Northern Hemisphere is not constant but ranges from 1 to 10‰ (8–80 14C yr). Rather than using an average offset to estimate the Southern Hemisphere calibration curve from the IntCal04 data set beyond the range of the Southern Hemisphere tree-ring measurements, a random effects model (Buck and Blackwell, this issue) is used to take account of the fact that the offset may vary slowly over time within the constraint of the offset observed from 50–1000 cal BP. The modeled offset varies only slightly from 55 to 58 yr, but the uncertainty increases from ±7.9 at 1000 cal BP to ±25 at 11,000 cal BP. The modeled offset is larger than the previously published average offset of 41 ± 14 (McCormac et al. 2002) but reflects the trend of the offset from 50–990 cal BP. While past changes in ocean circulation may have altered the interhemispheric offset, we note that measurements for the early Holocene are of the same order of magnitude (Kromer et al. 1998; Barbetti et al. 2004).

DISCUSSION

On the basis of a global transport model, the Southern Hemisphere atmosphere was predicted to have greater preindustrial latitude-dependent 14C offsets than the Northern Hemisphere, especially in the high latitudes (Braziunas et al. 1995). No preindustrial calibration records are currently available from the high or low latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere, although measurements of post-bomb atmospheric 14CO2 samples suggest a 14C gradient from 40–70°S (Levin and Hesshaimer 2000). However, no statistically significant difference was observed in tree rings from New Zealand (40°S) and Chile (55°S) from AD 1650–1850 (McCormac et al. 2002). Measurements of post-bomb atmospheric CO2 from sites from 20–45°S show similar timing and magnitude of the 14C peak (Hua and Barbetti, this issue). Thus, as for the Northern Hemisphere, we assume a well-mixed atmosphere for the mid-latitude sites used for construction of the Southern Hemisphere calibration data set, which currently extends to 1000 cal BP (McCormac et al. 2002).

The distinction between the Southern and Northern Hemisphere atmosphere should actually be considered to lie along the thermal equator or the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) during the respective growing seasons, rather than at the geographic Equator. This poses an additional uncertainty for calibration of 14C data from tropical and neo-tropical sites where growing seasons may be less distinct than at temperate sites. The seasonal shift in the ITCZ may bring atmospheric CO2 from the Northern Hemisphere to a site for part of the year and from the Southern Hemisphere for another part. This has been observed in 14C from trees growing in Panama (Westbrook et al., forthcoming) and in Thailand (Hua et al. 2002). Evidence for migration of the ITCZ on multi-decadal to millennial time scales has been seen in proxy records from around the globe (Arz et al. 1998; Haug et al. 2001; Hodell et al. 2001; Lucke et al. 2001; Marret et al. 2001; Maslin and Burns 2000; Wang et al. 2004). It is beyond the scope of IntCal to determine the location of the paleo-ITCZ, but those who wish to develop chronologies for records in this region should be aware of this complication.
Figure 1a. Southern Hemisphere radiocarbon calibration curve SHCal04 (1-standard deviation envelope) based on Southern Hemisphere tree-ring measurements from 0 to 1000 cal BP. Individual measurements are shown with 1-standard deviation error bars in $^{14}$C age including the laboratory error multipliers (see text). The calendar age span (e.g. number of tree rings) for each sample is not shown, but is taken into account in the random walk model. The calibration curve beyond the end of the tree-ring data set at 1000 cal BP is based on the offset from the Northern Hemisphere data set (IntCal04) calculated with a random effects model (Buck and Blackwell, this issue).
Figure 1b Southern Hemisphere radiocarbon calibration curve SHCal04 (1-standard deviation envelope) based on IntCal04 Northern Hemisphere tree-ring measurements from 1000 to 2000 cal BP. Individual measurements are shown with 1-standard deviation error bars in \(^{14}\)C age including the laboratory error multipliers (see text). The calendar age span (e.g. number of tree rings) for each sample is not shown, but is taken into account in the random walk model. The calibration curve beyond the end of the tree-ring data set at 1000 cal BP is based on the offset from the Northern Hemisphere data set (IntCal04) calculated with a random effects model (Buck and Blackwell, this issue). Because the offset from IntCal04 is essentially constant, we do not plot the entire calibration data set.
The SHCal04 data set and calibration curve is plotted for 0 to 2 cal kyr BP (Figure 1). The data are not published here but are available at www.radiocarbon.org and www.calib.org. The calibration data set is recommended for use in future calibration of Southern Hemisphere measurements back to 11.0 cal kyr BP. Before the Holocene, larger-scale carbon reservoirs changes may have altered the interhemispheric offset. We therefore do not recommend Southern Hemisphere calibration beyond 11.0 cal kyr BP, but note that the average offset for the last 500 yr of the modeled data set SHCal04 is 56 ± 24. The additional uncertainty in the Southern Hemisphere calibration data set beyond the measured tree-ring data will, of course, increase calibrated age ranges compared to those from the Northern Hemisphere which utilize IntCal04. The international research funding bodies should be encouraged to support efforts to develop and extend dendrochronological records from the Southern Hemisphere.
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