

Correspondence to:

Professor W. Henderson,
Department of Chemistry,
University of Waikato,
Private Bag 3105,
Hamilton,
New Zealand
e-mail w.henderson@waikato.ac.nz
FAX 0064-7-838-4219

**Platinum(II), palladium(II), nickel(II) and gold(I) complexes of the
'electrospray-friendly' thiolate ligands 4-SC₅H₄N⁻ and 4-SC₆H₄OMe⁻**

CORRY DECKER, WILLIAM HENDERSON,* and BRIAN K. NICHOLSON

Department of Chemistry, University of Waikato, Private Bag 3105, Hamilton, New Zealand

Received:

Abstract

The series of platinum(II), palladium(II) and nickel(II) complexes [ML₂(dppe)] [M = Ni, Pd, Pt; L = 4-SC₅H₄N or 4-SC₆H₄OMe; dppe = Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂] containing pyridine-4-thiolate or 4-methoxybenzenethiolate ligands, together with the corresponding gold(I) complexes [AuL(PPh₃)] were prepared and their electrospray ionisation mass spectrometric behaviour compared with that of the thiophenolate complexes [M(SPh)₂(dppe)] (M = Ni, Pd, Pt) and [Au(SPh)(PPh₃)]. While the pyridine-4-thiolate complexes yielded protonated ions of the type [M + H]⁺ and [M + 2H]²⁺ ions in the Ni, Pd and Pt complexes, an [M + H]⁺ ion was only observed for the platinum derivative of 4-methoxybenzenethiolate. Other ions, which

dominated the spectra of the thiophenolate complexes, were formed by thiolate loss and aggregate formation. The X-ray crystal structure of $[\text{Pt}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe-4})_2(\text{dppe})]$ is also reported.

Keywords: Thiolate ligands; Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry; Platinum complexes; Palladium complexes; Nickel complexes; Gold complexes

1. Introduction

Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI MS) is now a well-established technique for the characterisation of metal complexes.[1] Nonetheless, the successful application of this technique is dependent on the ability of the analyte in question to form ions. Charged complexes typically give excellent spectra [2], providing the charge density of the ion is not too high. For neutral complexes, ionisation may occur by one or more processes, including association with a proton (from a protic solvent used, such as methanol or water), an ammonium, alkali metal or other cation [1], or loss of an anionic ligand such as a halide.[3] There remain, however, many compounds that ionise poorly and remain relatively inaccessible to analysis by ESI MS. This problem can be addressed by the use of appropriate ligands which contain an ionisation-promoting functionality; the ligands should ideally be chemically similar to the ‘parent’ ligand, such that the overall chemistry of the system is not perturbed by the ligand modification. One means of achieving this is by *para*-substitution of an aromatic ring. We previously described such ligands as having an ‘electrospray-friendly’ nature, and a series of *para*-substituted triphenyl-phosphine, -arsine and -stibine ligands were prepared containing protonatable methoxy (-OMe) or dimethylamino (-NMe₂) groups, which permitted the ESI MS observation of a range of neutral metal-carbonyl derivatives, where the parent (e.g. PPh₃) derivatives were invisible to ESI MS analysis.[4]

A wide range of other electrospray-friendly ligands have been reported in the literature, and applications of these ligands are being exploited, particularly in the study of catalytic processes.[5,6] Examples include phosphines containing highly basic proton sponge {1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene} groups [7], cationic monoalkylated bisphosphines [8], neutral bisphosphine monoxides [9], cobalt carbonyl clusters containing organosilicon ligands

[10], organostannoxanes [11], and organomercury compounds.[12] There have been various studies on the ESI MS behaviour of metal-thiolate complexes, such as organo-mercury derivatives of cysteine and cysteinyl-peptides,[13,14] the anti-arthritis gold(I) thiolate drug Auranofin,[15] and thiolate complexes of Zn and Cd.[16,17] To date however, we are unaware of any thiolate ligands that have been reported specifically as being 'electrospray-friendly'. The thiolate sulfur is a soft ligand with a poor proton affinity when coordinated to soft (late transition) metals, so that neutral metal thiolate complexes might be expected to ionise poorly in the absence of suitable charging sites.

In this paper we report the synthesis and ESI MS behaviour of a series of platinum(II), palladium(II), nickel(II) and gold(I) complexes of thiolate ligands derived from 4-methoxybenzenethiol (4-HSC₆H₄OMe, HS*) and 4-mercaptopyridine (4-HSC₅H₄N, HS*) containing protonatable methoxy and pyridine functionalities respectively. These thiols are commercially available analogues of thiophenol (PhSH). HS* has been commonly employed when a variety of *para*-substituted thiophenolate complexes were investigated, *e.g.* for their structural [18,19,20], kinetic [21], or photophysical [22] properties, or simply when substituent effects on classical physical properties were the primary concern.[23,24] S^{•-} can potentially act as a pyridine as well as thiolate ligand. However, while there are many examples of coordination through the S atom [25,26], N coordination is rather rare and involves S^{•-} in the form of a poorly-coordinating disulfide or other derivative.[27,28]

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and instrumentation

Thiophenol (Riedel-de Haën), 4-methoxybenzenethiol (Aldrich), 4-mercaptopyridine (Aldrich) and triethylamine (BDH) were used as supplied. The compounds [NiCl₂(dppf)] [29]

and [AuCl(PPh₃)] [30] were prepared by the literature procedures, while dppe was prepared from PPh₃, Li metal and ClCH₂CH₂Cl, by modification of the literature procedure for Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂ [31]. The complexes [MCl₂(dppe)] were prepared by ligand displacement from the cyclo-octa-1,5-diene complexes [MCl₂(cod)] (M = Pd [32] and M = Pt [33]) by reaction with one molar equivalent of dppe in CH₂Cl₂ solution, followed by precipitation of the product with petroleum spirits (b.p. 60-80 °C).

ESI mass spectra were recorded in positive-ion mode on a VG Platform II instrument. Samples were prepared by dissolving the complexes in a few drops of CH₂Cl₂ before adding approximately 1 mL of the mobile phase (methanol, unless otherwise stated). Spectra were acquired using a cone voltage of 20 V, unless otherwise stated. Assignment of ions was aided by use of the ISOTOPE simulation program.[34] NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC300P spectrometer at 300.13 MHz (¹H) or 121.51 MHz (³¹P) in CDCl₃ solution, and were referenced relative to residual CHCl₃ (¹H) or external 85% H₃PO₄ (³¹P). Elemental microanalytical measurements were from the Campbell Microanalytical Laboratory, University of Otago, Dunedin, NZ.

Reactions were carried out in LR grade methanol. Products were recrystallised from dichloromethane and diethyl ether that were dried and distilled (from CaH₂ and sodium-benzophenone ketyl respectively) under a nitrogen atmosphere prior to use.

2.2 General method for synthesis of thiolate complexes

All complexes were prepared by the following method, without regard for the exclusion of air or moisture: Et₃N and the thiol were added to a suspension of the metal halide in methanol. After refluxing for 30 minutes, the solution was cooled to room temperature. If necessary, water was added to induce precipitation. After cooling to -20 °C overnight, the product was

collected by filtration, washed with a small amount of cold methanol, and dried under vacuum.

[Ni(SC₅H₄N)₂], [NiS[•]₂(dppe)]

[NiCl₂(dppe)] (0.050 g, 0.086 mmol), Et₃N (0.01 mL, 0.17 mmol) and HS[•] (0.019 g, 0.17 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) gave [NiS[•]₂(dppe)] as dark red crystals. Yield: 0.018 g (31%). M.p. 174–176 °C. Found: C, 63.32; H, 4.71; N, 4.30%. C₃₆H₃₂N₂P₂NiS₂ requires C, 63.81; H, 4.73; N, 4.14%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 57.4 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 7.83-7.47 (24H, m, Ph of dppe and H₂' of S[•]), 7.05 (4H, m, H₃' of S[•]), 2.27 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 156.8 (s), 146.6 (m), 133.5 (m), 131.7 (s), 129.0 (m), 128.5 (m), 128.1 (m), 27.5 (m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ESI MS, cone voltage 20 V: [M + 2H]²⁺ *m/z* 340 (100%), [M – S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 566 (32%), [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 677 (65%). Cone voltage 40 V: [M – S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 566 (100%). Cone voltage 60 V: [M – S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 566 (100%).

[Pd(SC₆H₄OCH₃)₂(dppe)], [PdS^{*}₂(dppe)]

[PdCl₂(dppe)] (0.250 g, 0.43 mmol), Et₃N (0.072 mL, 1 mmol) and HS^{*} (0.15 mL, 1 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) gave [PdS^{*}₂(dppe)] as red crystals. Yield: 0.18 g (54%). M.p. 156-159 °C. Found: C, 61.09; H, 4.81%. C₄₀H₃₈O₂P₂PdS₂ requires C, 61.35; H, 4.86%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 54.9 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 7.73-7.37 (20H, m, Ph of dppe), 7.05 (4H, d, ³J_{H₃' , H₂' = 8.5 Hz, H₂' of S^{*}), 6.25 (4H, d, ³J_{H₂' , H₃' = 9.0 Hz, H₃' of S^{*}), 3.65 (6H, s, OCH₃), 2.21 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 156.2 (s, C₄'), 135.5 (m), 133.6 (m), 131.2 (s), 128.8 (m), 112.8 (m), 54.8 (s, OCH₃), 29.4 (m, C₂H₄). ESI MS: [Pd₂(μ-S^{*})₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ *m/z* 643 (100%), [Pd₂(μ-S^{*})₂(dppe)₂ + PdS^{*}₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1035 (8%), unassigned *m/z* 1332 (1+ ion, 4%), [PdS^{*}(dppe) + PdS^{*}₂(dppe)]⁺ *m/z* 1428 (12%).}}

[Pd(SC₅H₄N)₂(dppe)], [PdS[•]₂(dppe)]

[PdCl₂(dppe)] (0.165 g, 0.29 mmol), Et₃N (0.043 mL, 0.6 mmol) and HS[•] (0.065 g, 0.59 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) gave [PdS[•]₂(dppe)] as orange crystals. Yield: 0.13 g (63%). M.p. 192-194 °C. Found: C, 59.64; H, 4.54; N, 4.04%. C₃₆H₃₂N₂P₂PtS₂ requires C, 59.64; H, 4.42; N, 3.87%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 57.2 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 7.79-7.43 (24H, m, Ph of dppe and H2' of S[•]), 7.03 (4H, d, ³J_{H2', H3'} = 5.2 Hz, H3' of S[•]), 2.43 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 156.8 (s), 147.0 (s), 133.4 (m), 133.0 (m), 132.0 (s), 129.2 (m), 128.6 (m), 128.0 (m), 29.8 (m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ESI MS cone voltage 20 V: [M + 2H]²⁺ *m/z* 364 (34%), [M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 614 (8%), [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 724 (100%), [2M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 1341 (5%), [2M + H]⁺ *m/z* 1452 (10%). Cone voltage 40 V: [M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 613 (100%), [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 724 (22%), [2M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 1341 (10%). Cone voltage 60 V: [M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 613 (100%), [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 724 (5%), [2M - S[•]]⁺ *m/z* 1340 (8%).

[Pt(SC₆H₄OCH₃)₂(dppe)], [PtS^{*}₂(dppe)]

[PtCl₂(dppe)] (0.153 g, 0.23 mmol), Et₃N (0.46 mmol, 0.06 mL) and HS^{*} (0.033 mL, 0.46 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) gave [PtS^{*}₂(dppe)] as yellow crystals. Yield: 0.195 g (98%). M.p. 196-197 °C. Found: C, 54.96; H, 4.54%. C₄₀H₃₈O₂P₂PtS₂ requires C, 55.11; H, 4.36%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 46.5 (s, ¹J_{Pt, P} = 2892 Hz). ¹H NMR: δ 7.77-7.39 (20H, m, Ph of dppe), 6.99 (4H, d, ³J_{H3', H2'} = 8.3 Hz, H2' of S^{*}), 6.25 (4H, d, ³J_{H2', H3'} = 8.1 Hz, H3' of S^{*}), 3.64 (6H, s, OCH₃), 2.18 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 156.3 (s, C4'), 135.2 (s, C2'), 133.5 (m, C2), 131.2 (s, C4), 128.6 (m, C3), 112.5 (s, C3'), 55.2 (s, OCH₃), 23.4 (m, C₂H₄). ESI MS (MeCN/H₂O): [Pt₂(μ-S^{*})₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ *m/z* 732 (100%), [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 873 (10%), [Pt₂(μ-S^{*})₂(dppe)₂ + PtS^{*}₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1168 (15%), unassigned *m/z* 1411 (2+ ion, 5%), unassigned

m/z 1500 (1+ ion, 5%), $[\text{Pt}_2(\mu\text{-S}^*)(\text{dppe})_2 + 2 \text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]^{2+}$ m/z 1603 (3%), unassigned m/z 1848 (2+ ion, 5%).

$[\text{Pt}(\text{SC}_5\text{H}_4\text{N})_2(\text{dppe})]$, $[\text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$

$[\text{PtCl}_2(\text{dppe})]$ (0.240 g, 0.361 mmol), Et_3N (0.3 mL, 2.3 mmol) and HS^\bullet (0.080 g, 0.721 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL) gave $[\text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$ as yellow crystals. Yield: 0.263 g (89%). M.p. 218-220 °C. Found: C, 52.3; H, 3.76; N, 3.36%. $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{32}\text{N}_2\text{P}_2\text{PtS}_2$ requires C, 53.1; H, 3.94; N, 3.44%. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 46.8 (s, $^1J_{\text{Pt,P}} = 2890$ Hz). ^1H NMR: δ 7.83-7.23 (24H, m, Ph of dppe and H2' of S $^\bullet$), 7.05 (4H, m, H3' of S $^\bullet$), 2.19 (4H, m, C $_2$ H $_4$ of dppe). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 146.6 (s), 133.5 (m), 132.0 (s), 129.0 (m), 128.1 (m), 126.8 (m), 29.1 (m, C $_2$ H $_4$ of dppe). ESI MS: $[\text{M} + 2\text{H}]^{2+}$ m/z 408 (50%), $[\text{M} - \text{S}^*]^+$ m/z 704 (4%), $[2\text{M} - \text{S}^*]^{2+}$ m/z 759 (8%), $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ m/z 814 (100%), $[2\text{M} - \text{S}^*]^+$ m/z 1517 (15%), $[2\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ m/z 1627 (5%).

$[\text{Au}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{OCH}_3)(\text{PPh}_3)]$, $[\text{AuS}^*(\text{PPh}_3)]$

$[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)]$ (0.200 g, 0.4 mmol), Et_3N (0.05 mL, 0.4 mmol) and HS^* (0.03 mL, 0.4 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) gave $[\text{AuS}^*(\text{PPh}_3)]$ as yellow crystals. Yield: 0.240 g (99%). M.p. 62-64 °C. Found: C, 50.33; H, 3.70%. $\text{C}_{40}\text{H}_{38}\text{O}_2\text{P}_2\text{PtS}_2$ requires C, 50.17; H, 3.68%. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 39.2. ^1H NMR: δ 7.59-7.28 (17H, m, Ph of PPh $_3$ and H2' of S *), 6.71 (2H, d, $^3J_{\text{H}2', \text{H}3'} = 8.59$ Hz, H3' of S *), 3.76 (3H, s, OCH $_3$). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR: δ 156.9 (s, C4'), 134.5 (d, $^2J_{\text{C}2, \text{P}} = 10.4$ Hz, C2), 134.0 (s, C2'), 132.0 (d, $^4J_{\text{C}4, \text{P}} = 1.5$ Hz, C4), 131.5 (s, C1'), 129.9 (d, $^1J_{\text{C}1, \text{P}} = 42.3$ Hz, C1), 129.5 (d, $^3J_{\text{C}3, \text{P}} = 8.6$ Hz C3), 55.72 (s, OCH $_3$). ESI MS: $[\text{Au}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^+$ m/z 721

(42%), $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Au}_2\text{S}^*]^+$ m/z 1057 (100%), $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{Au}_2\text{S}^*]^+$ m/z 1319 (43%),
 $[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{Au}_3\text{S}^*_2]^+$ m/z 1655 (40%).

The following known complexes were also prepared by the general method described above, and their purity confirmed by elemental analysis and/or NMR spectroscopy:

[Ni(SPh)₂(dppe)] [35]

[NiCl₂(dppe)] (0.05 g), Et₃N (0.014 mL) and HSPh (0.02 mL) gave [Ni(SPh)₂(dppe)] as a red powder in 79% yield. M.p. 250–254 °C, lit. 250–260 °C]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 55.9 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 7.84–6.64 (30H, m, Ph of dppe and SPh), 2.12 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ESI MS: [Ni₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ m/z 565 (100%), unassigned m/z 902 (1+ ion, 22%), unassigned m/z 1176 (1+ ion, 15%), [Ni₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + 2 Ni(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ and [Ni(SPh)(dppe) + Ni(SPh)₂(dppe)]⁺ m/z 1239 (8%).

[Ni(SC₆H₄OCH₃)₂(dppe)], [NiS*₂(dppe)]

This complex has been previously prepared from [NiCl₂(dppe)] and NaS* [36].

[NiCl₂(dppe)] (0.060 g), Et₃N (0.017 mL) and HS* (0.03 mL) gave [NiS*₂(dppe)] as a brown powder in 77% yield. M.p. 166–168 °C. Found: C, 64.66; H, 5.11%. C₄₀H₃₈O₂P₂NiS₂ requires C, 65.26; H, 5.17%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 56.06 (s) [lit. 55.7]. ¹H NMR: δ 7.86–7.38 (20H, m, Ph of dppe), 7.01 (4H, d, ³J_{H3', H2'} = 8.60 Hz, H2' of S*), 6.25 (4H, d, ³J_{H2', H3'} = 8.59 Hz, H3' of S*), 3.65 (6H, s, OCH₃), 2.06 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ¹³C{¹H} NMR: δ 157.9 (s, C4'), 135.4 (m), 133.7 (m), 130.9 (m), 128.9 (m), 114.4 (m), 54.8 (s, OCH₃), 21.8 (m, C₂H₄). ESI MS: [Ni₂(μ-S*)₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ m/z 595 (100%), unassigned m/z 764 (2+ ion, 5%), unassigned m/z 932 (2+ ion, 15%), unassigned m/z 1236 (1+ ion, 7%).

[Pd(SPh)₂(dppe)] [37]

[PdCl₂(dppe)] (0.25 g), Et₃N (0.072 mL) and HSPh (0.1 mL) gave [Pd(SPh)₂(dppe)] as orange crystals in 75% yield. M.p. 208–212 °C; lit. 210-215°C. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 55.3 (s). ¹H NMR: δ 7.74-6.67 (30H, m, Ph of dppe and SPh), 2.28 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ESI MS: [Pd₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ *m/z* 613 (100%), [Pd₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + Pd(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 975 (10%), [Pd₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + 2 Pd(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1273 (3%), unassigned *m/z* 1336 (1+ ion, 8%).

[Pt(SPh)₂(dppe)] [38]

[PtCl₂(dppe)] (0.330 g), Et₃N (0.1 mL) and HSPh (0.1 mL) gave [Pt(SPh)₂(dppe)] as yellow microcrystals in 85% yield M.p. 228–229 °C; lit. 225–226 °C]. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 46.4 (s, ¹J_{Pt,P} = 2884 Hz); lit. δ 45.6 (s, ¹J_{Pt,P} = 2885 Hz). ¹H NMR: δ 7.79-6.68 (30H, m, Ph of dppe and SPh), 2.19 (4H, m, C₂H₄ of dppe). ESI MS: [Pt₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂]²⁺ *m/z* 701 (100%), [Pt₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + Pt(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1106 (50%), [Pt₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + 2 Pt(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1513 (8%), unassigned *m/z* 1732 (2+ ion, 50%), [Pt₂(μ-SPh)₂(dppe)₂ + 3 Pt(SPh)₂(dppe)]²⁺ *m/z* 1920 (5%).

[Au(SPh)(PPh₃)] [39,40]

[AuCl(PPh₃)] (0.248 g), Et₃N (0.035 mL) and HSPh (0.055 mL) gave [Au(SPh)(PPh₃)] as a grey powder in 76% yield. M.p. 155–160 °C. Found: C, 50.50; H, 3.52%. C₂₄H₂₀AuPS requires C, 50.70; H, 3.52%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 39.5 (s); lit. 38.6. ¹H NMR: δ 7.58-6.97 (24H, m, Ph of PPh₃ and SPh). ESI MS: [Au(PPh₃)₂]⁺ *m/z* 721 (29%), [(Ph₃P)₂Au₂(SPh)]⁺ *m/z* 1027 (100%), [(Ph₃P)₃Au₂(SPh)]⁺ *m/z* 1289 (30%), [(Ph₃P)₃Au₃(SPh)₂]⁺ *m/z* 1595 (50%).

[Au(SC₅H₄N)(PPh₃)], [AuS[•](PPh₃)] [41]

[AuCl(PPh₃)] (0.495 g), Et₃N (0.08 mL) and HS[•] (0.11 g) gave [AuS[•](PPh₃)] as yellow crystals in 62% yield. M.p. 174-176 °C. Found: C, 48.59; H, 3.67; N, 2.16%. C₂₃H₁₉AuNPS requires C, 48.51; H, 3.34; N, 2.46%. ³¹P{¹H} NMR: δ 39.6 (s); lit: 39.76. ¹H NMR: δ 8.18 (2H, m, ³J_{H2', H3'} = 3.26 Hz, H3' of S[•]), 7.60-7.42 (7H, m, aromatic H of PPh₃ and H2' of S[•]). ESI MS: [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 570 (55%), [Au(PPh₃)₂]⁺ *m/z* 721 (100%).

2.3 X-ray structure determination on [PtS*₂(dppe)]

X-ray data were collected on a Bruker Apex II CCD diffractometer and were corrected for absorption by a multi-scan method (SADABS).[42] The structure was solved and refined (on F_o²) using the SHELX-97 programs.[43] The thiolate rings were partially disordered, tilted by 26.4° about the C(32)-C(33) edge but this was readily modelled. The molecule lies on a 2-fold axis in C2/c. Attempts to refine an ordered molecule in Cc gave severe correlation and suggestions of ‘racemic twinning’ so the disordered model in the higher symmetry space group was preferred. The lattice also contained two disordered water molecules. The final difference map showed a residual peak of 4 e Å⁻³, too close to the Pt atom to be anything other than an artefact.

Crystal and refinement data: C₄₀H₃₈O₂P₂S₂Pt.2H₂O, M_r 907.88, monoclinic, space group C2/c, *a* = 11.644(1), *b* = 24.084(1), *c* = 14.569(1) Å, β = 111.381(2)°, *V* = 3804.7(3) Å³, D_{calc} = 1.578 g cm⁻³, *Z* = 4, μ(Mo-Kα) = 3.9 mm⁻¹, size 0.27 x 0.10 x 0.10 mm³, *F*(000) = 1800, *T* = 93(2) K. Total data 21799, unique data 4550 (*R*_{int} 0.040), 2° < θ < 28°, *T*_{max,min} 0.695, 0.417, *R*₁ = 0.0359, [*I* > 2σ(*I*) data], w*R*₂ (all data) 0.1027, GoF 1.152.

3. Results and discussion

For the choice of electrospray-friendly thiolate ligands, the same concepts apply as for previously described electrospray-friendly phosphine ligands [4]; incorporation of a basic group in a *para* position of an aromatic ring should promote formation of $[M + H]^+$ ions in ESI MS.

The series of known complexes containing the unsubstituted thiophenolate (SPh^-) ligand, $[M(SPh)_2(dppe)]$ ($M = Ni, Pd, Pt$; $dppe = Ph_2PCH_2CH_2PPh_2$), were initially prepared, by reaction of the halide complexes $[MCl_2(dppe)]$ with $PhSH$ and triethylamine in methanol. The chelating $dppe$ ligand was chosen to maintain a *cis* configuration of all complexes. The known gold(I) complex $[Au(SPh)(PPh_3)]$ was also prepared by the same method starting from $[AuCl(PPh_3)]$. The purity of the complexes was ascertained by 1H and $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectroscopy and in some cases, by microelemental analysis.

As expected, none of the thiophenolate complexes gave $[M + H]^+$ ions in ESI MS analysis, due to the absence of protonatable sites in the complexes. However, a number of ions were observed for the complexes $[M(SPh)_2(dppe)]$; at a cone voltage of 20 V, the most intense ions were from the dimeric $[M_2(\mu-SPh)_2(dppe)_2]^{2+}$. Other ions were associated with the coordination of various combinations of $[M_2(\mu-SPh)_2(dppe)_2]^{2+}$ with $[M(SPh)_2(dppe)]$, and are listed in the Experimental section. These ions could be trace impurities in the products, which, being cationic, would have a very large ionisation efficiency compared to neutral $[M(SPh)_2(dppe)]$, and would be expected to dominate the mass spectra. This phenomenon has been observed previously.[1] Alternatively, a solution-based exchange process of the type $2[M(SPh)_2(dppe)] = [(dppe)M(SPh)_2M(dppe)]^{2+} + 2SPh^-$ cannot be ruled out as a source of traces of ionic species. Similarly, the only observed ions for the gold complex $[Au(SPh)(PPh_3)]$ originated from $[(Ph_3P)_2Au_2(SPh)]^+$, $[(Ph_3P)_3Au_2(SPh)]^+$ and

$[(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_3\text{Au}_3(\text{SPh})_2]^+$, together with the ion $[\text{Au}(\text{PPh}_3)_2]^+$, which is ubiquitous in ESI MS analysis of PPh_3 -Au complexes. Such ligand scrambling in gold-phosphine complexes has been observed previously,[44] including ESI MS studies [45,46,47] and the structures of such thiophenolate-bridged polygold species have been determined or proposed.[48,49,50,51]

A series of nickel(II), palladium(II) and platinum(II) complexes of the ligands S^* and S^\bullet were prepared by reaction of the complexes $[\text{MCl}_2(\text{dppe})]$ with the thiol in the presence of Et_3N , as shown in Scheme 1. The gold(I) phosphine complexes $[\text{AuS}^*(\text{PPh}_3)]$ and $[\text{AuS}^\bullet(\text{PPh}_3)]$ were also prepared under the same conditions from $[\text{AuCl}(\text{PPh}_3)]$. $[\text{AuS}^\bullet(\text{PPh}_3)]$ [41] and $[\text{NiS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$ [36] have been reported previously, including applications of the former as a metallo-ligand (coordinating to other metal centres through the pyridine nitrogen) [52,53] and in the formation of a pyridinethiolate-functionalised Au_{11} cluster.[54] However the other S^* and S^\bullet complexes are new. The complexes were characterised by ^1H and $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy where all showed the expected and largely unextraordinary features. However, the literature data [36] for $[\text{NiS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$ reports the ^1H NMR chemical shift of the OMe protons as δ 5.7, whereas we find it to occur at δ 3.65, similar to the other S^* complexes described herein. The purity of the complexes was supported by satisfactory elemental microanalytical data. ^{31}P NMR data indicate the thiolate ligands have similar electronic properties, with little variation in the $^1\text{J}_{\text{Pt,P}}$ coupling constants in the series $[\text{Pt}(\text{SR})_2(\text{dppe})]$ [R = Ph 2884 Hz; R = $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe}$, 2892 Hz; R = $\text{SC}_5\text{H}_4\text{N}$, 2890 Hz].

Surprisingly, none of the S^* complexes, although having a basic site for protonation, gave an $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ion in their ESI mass spectra, but instead all behaved very much like non-electrospray-friendly thiophenolate complexes. The only exception was $[\text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$, for which an $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ion was detected. However, the intensity of this signal was relatively weak with a low signal to noise ratio, suggesting low efficiency ionisation. Other ions were from ionic species similar to those observed for the parent thiophenolate complexes. This

phenomenon was reproducible on numerous occasions, and the Pt complex was the only example where the desired monomeric species was observed as its $[M + H]^+$ ion. The formation of dimers and oligomers of Ni, Pd and Pt thiolate complexes has been studied [55] and it was found that within the triad, platinum was the metal most likely to form monomers, while nickel and palladium appeared to have a stronger tendency to form dimers, oligomers, and eventually insoluble polymers through thiolate bridges. This is directly related to the lower lability of platinum(II) complexes compared to the corresponding palladium(II) or nickel(II) ones.

In contrast, all S^\bullet complexes ionised by protonation. Based on the higher basicity of pyridine (0.661) compared to anisole (0.247) on the Kamlet-Taft β scale [56], the pyridine-derived thiolate ligand S^\bullet would be expected to be the most basic when coordinated, and most efficient in promoting ionisation in ESI MS analysis. For the Ni, Pd and Pt complexes with two thiolate ligands, one or both N atoms were protonated, yielding $[M + H]^+$ as well as $[M + 2H]^{2+}$ ions. Additionally, these complexes ionised by losing a thiolate ligand, forming the $[M - S^\bullet]^+$ ion, with the nickel complex showing the greatest propensity to do so, because of the weakest metal-sulfide bond. This is the ionisation pathway commonly observed for metal halide complexes.[3] To some extent, the reactivity and electronic structure of thiolates are comparable to those of halide ligands (*e.g.* terminal RS^- ligands can replace halide ligands and *vice versa* [57]). The observed ions depended very much on the cone voltages used; Figure 1 illustrates for the complex $[PdS^\bullet_2(dppe)]$ how protonation dominates at low cone voltages, while signals associated with thiolate loss are more intense at higher cone voltages. For the gold complex $[AuS^\bullet(PPh_3)]$ an $[M + H]^+$ ion was observed, but the base peak was again the gold(I) phosphine ion $[Au(PPh_3)_2]^+$.

The X-ray crystal structure of $[PtS^\bullet_2(dppe)]$ was determined on crystals grown by vapour diffusion from dichloromethane-diethyl ether. The structure is shown in Figure 2, and

shows the expected square-planar geometry around the Pt atom (to $\pm 0.03 \text{ \AA}$). The S-Pt-S angle is $101.13(8)^\circ$, wider than expected for square-planar coordination but similar to the equivalent angle in other $[\text{Pt}(\text{SR})_2(\text{dppe})]$ examples (R = Ph (KIXGUT), Ph^{F} (YILMAI), $\text{C}_6\text{H}_4\text{CF}_3$ -2 (YILMEM). In contrast, related $[\text{Pt}(\text{SR})_2(\text{PPh}_3)_2]$ (PIDMIZ, PINDEV, PIDNEW, PIGJAR, SEKSIL, XEYJIV) and $[\text{Pt}(\text{SR})_2(\text{dppm})]$ (VUPCOY) have S-Pt-S angles closer to 90° . The Pt-S distance in $[\text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$, $2.3558(14) \text{ \AA}$, is similar to those in the related examples.

The crystal lattice contains two H_2O molecules (derived adventitiously) for each molecule of the complex, and these form an H-bonded chain parallel to the *c* axis, with every second one H-bonded to the O atoms of $\text{MeOC}_6\text{H}_4\text{S}^-$ ligands from two adjacent molecules, linking them together in the crystal. The tendency for the thiolate ligands to H-bond to water molecules in this way contrasts with the relatively poor proton affinity in solution evident from the ESI mass spectra discussed above.

4. Conclusion


The thiolate ligand S^{*-} derived from 4-methoxybenzenethiol appears to have limited utility (based on the relatively small set of complexes studied), with only the dppe Pt complex forming an $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ion. The thiolate ligand derived from 4-mercaptopyridine can be considered as an electrospray-friendly thiolate ligand, promoting the formation of protonated $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ and $[\text{M} + 2\text{H}]^{2+}$ ions in ESI mass spectra, though the known ability of the coordinated pyridinethiolate ligand to act as a metalloligand towards other metal centres may place limitations on the ability of the ionisation site to be an inert spectator. To address this we are investigating the chemistry of thiolate ligands with alternative charge providers.

Supplementary material

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure described in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC No. 772482. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336033; e-mail deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or [www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk)).

Acknowledgements

We thank the University of Waikato (UW) for financial support of this work and Pat Gread for technical support with the mass spectrometry instrumentation. Tania Grouso (University of Auckland) is acknowledged for collection of the X-ray data set.



Scheme 1 Synthesis of the functional thiolate complexes $[\text{M}(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_4\text{OMe})_2(\text{dppe})]$ and $[\text{M}(\text{SC}_5\text{H}_4\text{N})_2(\text{dppe})]$




Figure 1 Positive-ion ESI mass spectra of the complex $[\text{Pd}(\text{SC}_5\text{H}_4\text{N})_2(\text{dppe})]$, $[\text{PdS}^\bullet_2(\text{dppe})]$ in methanol, at cone voltages of 20, 40 and 60 V.




Figure 2 The molecular structure of $[\text{PtS}^*_2(\text{dppe})]$. Only one orientation of the disordered $\text{MeOC}_6\text{H}_4\text{S}$ rings is shown, and lattice water molecules are omitted. Bond lengths (\AA) include: $\text{Pt}(1)\text{-P}(1)$ 2.2521(14); $\text{Pt}(1)\text{-S}(1)$ 2.3558(15); $\text{S}(1)\text{-C}(31)$ 1.751(7). Bond angles ($^\circ$): $\text{P}(1)\text{-Pt}(1)\text{-P}(1)'$ 86.19(8); $\text{S}(1)\text{-Pt}(1)\text{-S}(1)'$ 101.13(8); $\text{P}(1)\text{-Pt}(1)\text{-S}(1)$ 86.35(5); $\text{Pt}(1)\text{-S}(1)\text{-C}(31)$ 112.8(2).

References

- [1] *Mass Spectrometry of Inorganic, Coordination and Organometallic Compounds – Tools-Techniques-Tips*, W. Henderson, J. S. McIndoe, John Wiley & Sons (2005).
- [2] For example, see C. Jiang, T. S. A. Hor, Y. K. Yan, W. Henderson, L. J. McCaffrey, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 3204 (2000).
- [3] W. Henderson, C. Evans, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **294**, 183 (1999).
- [4] C. Decker, W. Henderson, B. K. Nicholson, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 3507 (1999).
- [5] D. M. Chisholm, J. S. McIndoe, *Dalton Trans.*, 3933 (2008).
- [6] A. O. Aliprantis, J. W. Canary, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **116**, 6985 (1994).
- [7] N. J. Farrer, R. McDonald, J. S. McIndoe, *Dalton Trans.*, 4570 (2006).
- [8] D. M. Chisholm, A. G. Oliver, J. S. McIndoe, *Dalton Trans.*, **39**, 364 (2010).
- [9] N. J. Farrer, R. McDonald, T. Piga, J. S. McIndoe, *Polyhedron*, **29**, 254 (2010).
- [10] C. Evans, G. J. Harfoot, J. S. McIndoe, C. J. McAdam, K. M. Mackay, B. K. Nicholson, B. H. Robinson, M. L. Van Tiel, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 4678 (2002).
- [11] E. Crawford, T. Lohr, E. M. Leitao, S. Kwok, J. S. McIndoe, *Dalton Trans.*, 9110 (2009).
- [12] B. K. Nicholson, S. K. Whitley, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **689**, 515 (2004).
- [13] A. J. Canty, R. Colton, A. D'Agostino and J. C. Traeger, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **223**, 103 (1994).
- [14] A. D'Agostino, R. Colton, J. C. Traeger and A. J. Canty, *Eur. Mass Spectrom.*, **2**, 273 (1996).
- [15] T. Shoeib, D. W. Atkinson and B. L. Sharp, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **363**, 184 (2010).

-
- [16] T. Løver, G. A. Bowmaker, R. P. Cooney and W. Henderson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **7**, 647 (1997).
- [17] T. Løver, W. Henderson, G. A. Bowmaker, J. Seakins and R. P. Cooney, *Inorg. Chem.*, **36**, 3711 (1997).
- [18] X. Chen, F. J. Femia, J. W. Babich, J. Zubieta, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **307**, 88 (2000).
- [19] B. Nock, H. J. Pietzsch, F. Tisato, T. Maina, P. Leibnitz, H. Spies, E. Chiotellis, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **304**, 26 (2000).
- [20] N. Ueyama, K. Taniuchi, T. Okamura, A. Nakamura, E. Hironobu, S. Emura, *Inorg. Chem.*, **35**, 1945 (1996).
- [21] M. T. Ashby, J. H. Enemark, D. L. Lichtenberger, *Inorg. Chem.*, **27**, 191 (1988).
- [22] V. W.-W. Yam, C.-H. Lam, W. K.-M. Fung, K.-K. Cheung, *Inorg. Chem.*, **40**, 3435 (2001).
- [23] A. G. Larsen, A. H. Holm, M. Robertson, K. Daasbjerg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **123**, 1723 (2001).
- [24] G. Chuchani, A. Frohlich, *J. Chem. Soc. B.*, 1417 (1971).
- [25] S. Brand, J. R. Shapley, *Inorg. Chem.*, **39**, 32 (2000).
- [26] W. Gaete, J. Ros, R. Yanez, X. Solans, M. Font-Altava, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **316**, 169 (1986).
- [27] M. Kondo, M. Shimamura, S. Noro, Y. Kimura, K. Uemura, S. Kitagawa, *J. Solid State Chem.*, **152**, 113 (2000).
- [28] O.-S. Jung, S. H. Park, D. C. Kim, K. M. Kim, *Inorg. Chem.*, **37**, 610 (1998).
- [29] G. Booth, J. Chatt, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3238 (1965).
- [30] C. A. McAuliffe, R. V. Parish, P. D. Randall, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1730 (1979).
- [31] Y. Ruina, L. Kunhua, H. Yimin, W. Dongmei, J. Douman, *Polyhedron*, **16**, 4033 (1997).
- [32] D. Drew, J. R. Doyle, *Inorg. Synth.*, **13**, 52 (1972).

-
- [33] J. X. McDermott, J. F. White, G. M. Whitesides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 6521 (1976).
- [34] L. J. Arnold, *J. Chem. Educ.*, **69**, 811 (1992).
- [35] R. G. Hayter, F. S. Humiec, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **26**, 807 (1964).
- [36] V. Autissier, W. Clegg, R. W. Harrington, R. A. Henderson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **43**, 3098 (2004).
- [37] P. S. Bratermann, V. A Wilson, K. K. Joshi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **31**, 123 (1971).
- [38] V. K. Jain, S. Kannan, R. J. Butcher, J. P. Jasinski, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 1509 (1993).
- [39] J. M. Forward, D. Bohmann, J. P. Fackler, Jr., R. J. Staples, *Inorg. Chem.*, **34**, 6330 (1995).
- [40] E. Delgado, E. Hernandez, *Polyhedron*, **11**, 3135 (1992).
- [41] K. Nunokawa, S. Onaka, T. Tatematsu, M. Ito, J. Sakai, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **322**, 56 (2001).
- [42] R. H. Blessing, *Acta Cryst. Sect. A*, **51**, 33 (1995).
- [43] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97 - Programs for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [44] B. Assmann, H. Schmidbaur, *Chem. Ber.*, **130**, 217 (1997).
- [45] R. Colton, K. L. Harrison, Y. A. Mah, J. C. Traeger, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **231**, 65 (1995).
- [46] S. Onaka, Y. Katsukawa, M. Shiotsuka, O. Kanegawa, M. Yamashita, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **312**, 100 (2001).
- [47] W. Henderson, B. K. Nicholson, E. R. T. Tiekink, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.*, **359**, 204 (2006).
- [48] P. G. Jones, A. Weinkauff, *Z. Kristallogr.*, **209**, 87 (1994).
- [49] N. C. Baenzinger, W. E. Bennett, D. M. Soboroff, P. S. O'Donnell, J. R. Doyle, *Polyhedron*, **17**, 2379 (1998).

-
- [50] A. Sladek, W. Schneider, K. Angemaier, A. Bauer, H. Schmidbaur, *Z. Naturforsch., Teil B*, **51**, 765 (1996).
- [51] H. Schmidbaur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 391 (1995).
- [52] K. Nunokawa, S. Onaka, Y. Mizuno, K. Okazaki, T. Sunahara, M. Ito, M. Yaguchi, H. Imai, K. Inoue, T. Ozeki, H. Chiba, T. Yosida, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **690**, 48 (2005).
- [53] K. E. Neo, Y. C. Neo, S. W. Chien, G. K. Tan, A. L. Wilkins, W. Henderson, T. S. A. Hor, *Dalton Trans.*, 2281 (2004).
- [54] K. Nunokawa, S. Onaka, M. Ito, M. Horibe, T. Yonezawa, H. Nishihara, T. Ozeki, H. Chiba, S. Watase, M. Nakamoto, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **691**, 638 (2006).
- [55] T. B. Rauchfuss, J. S. Shu, D. M. Roundhill, *Inorg. Chem.*, **15**, 2096 (1976).
- [56] M. J. Kamlet, R. W. Taft, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 377 (1976).
- [57] R. Zanella R. Ros, M. Graziani, *Inorg. Chem.*, **12**, 2736 (1973).