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Abstract Cenozoic limestones are widely distributed in New Zealand, especially in the Oligocene—earliest Miocene in both islands, and the Pliocene—Pleistocene in North Island. A spectrum of limestone types exists, but all are skeletal-dominated (>70%), with usually <20% interparticle cement-matrix and <10% siliciclasts, and they have facies attributes typical of nontropical carbonates. The range of diagenetic features identified within the limestones is the basis for assigning them to a small number of “end-member” cementation classes that are inferred to be associated with four, broad, diagenetic settings.

Class I limestones have very open fabrics dominated by abraded bryomol (bryozoan + bivalve mollusc) facies skeletons coated with isopachous rinds of typically nonferroan, dull to blotty luminescent, fibrous to bladed spar, often with porosity occlusion by detrital and/or precipitated micritic. The limestones are usually thin and rare, of Oligocene—early Miocene age, and are interpreted to have formed by marine cementation at the seafloor under special environmental conditions associated with high energy levels and reduced sedimentation rates.

Class II limestones have open fabrics with meagre amounts of dull to bright luminescent, ferroan to nonferroan, often substrate-specific, bladed to dog-toothed scalenohedral fringe or syntaxial rim cement. These porous, bryomol, echinofor (echinoderm + benthic foraminifera), and less commonly barnamol (barnacle + bivalve mollusc) facies limestones are relatively common, especially in Oligocene—Miocene eastern South Island and in some Pliocene eastern North Island occurrences, where diageneis occurred mainly under conditions of only shallow burial (<500 m) in the presence of essentially marine pore fluids.

Class III limestones have open to moderately open fabrics with typically nonferroan rinds to ferroan, variably porocyteic, clear drusy equant spar. These hard, flaggy, bryomol-dominated limestones are common, of Oligocene—Miocene (and also Paleocene—Eocene) age, and their diageneis proceeded mainly under conditions of moderate to deep burial (500—1500 m) in the presence of marine-modified connate fluids.

Fig. 1 Some contrasting environmental, compositional, and diagenetic features of tropical and nontropical shelf carbonate facies (after Nelson 1988).

INTRODUCTION

Shallow-marine carbonates in nontropical settings have several properties that contrast with those in tropical regions, including variations in the nature and extent of the major diagenetic processes experienced and the resultant diagenetic products (Fig. 1; Nelson 1988). While sea water in tropical environments is supersaturated with respect to CaCO₃ and heat energy is available for local dissolution and precipitation, these conditions are not present in nontropical settings.

Table: TROPICAL SHELF CARBONATES vs. NONTROPICAL SHELF CARBONATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TROPICAL SHELF CARBONATES</th>
<th>NONTROPICAL SHELF CARBONATES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RIMMED SHELF HIGH TO LOW ENERGY</td>
<td>UNRIMMED SHELF HIGH ENERGY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERMATYPIC CORAL REEFS (≥30ºN TO 30ºS)</td>
<td>HERMATYPIC REEFS ABSENT (BEYOND 30ºN AND S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHLOROZOAN SKELETAL TYPES (CORALS, CALC GREEN ALGAE, CALCITE MINERALOGY)</td>
<td>FORAMOL SKELETAL TYPES (BRYOZOANS, ECHINODERM SKELETAL TYPES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAND AND MUD TEXTURES</td>
<td>GRAVEL AND SAND TEXTURES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARM WATER (&gt;20ºC) SATURATED/UNDERSATURATED</td>
<td>COOL WATER (&lt;20ºC) SATURATED/UNDERSATURATED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONSKELETAL GRAINS (OOLIDS, AGGREGATES)</td>
<td>NONSKELETAL GRAINS ABSENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARINE AND METEORIC CEMENTS</td>
<td>BURIAL CEMENTS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARAGONITE AND HIGH-Mg CALCITE MINERALOGY</td>
<td>LOW- AND MODERATE-Mg CALCITE MINERALOGY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTIVE DIAGENESIS</td>
<td>DESTRUCTIVE DIAGENESIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYPICALLY HIGH ACUMULATION RATES</td>
<td>TYPICALLY LOW ACUMULATION RATES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The spectrum of marine, shallow burial, meteoric, and deep burial spar cements recognised in the New Zealand limestones, together with special diagenetic features such as neomorphosed aragonitic fabrics and polygenetic micrites, remains to be fully appreciated and incorporated into existing models of nontropical shallow-marine carbonate evolution.
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Fig. 2 The age range, distribution, and typical diagenetic class of the main Cenozoic nontropical limestones in New Zealand. The letters at the top of the diagram refer to the Cenozoic sedimentary basins shown in the inset map of New Zealand. The vertical lines indicate approximate age range of the numbered limestone units, defined in the lower key. The roman numeral code to the right of each lithostratigraphic name defines the dominant diagenetic class (subdominant in brackets) within that limestone: I, seafloor; II, shallow burial; III, combined shallow burial - meteoric; and IV, deep burial (see Fig. 11).
supports abundant and diverse carbonate-secreting organisms, more temperate environments experience a lesser degree of saturation, and even undersaturation (Alexandersson 1978), and a less diverse flora and fauna (Leonard et al. 1981). The cooler temperatures associated with nontropical waters, their higher CO₂ content, and the generally slower rates of carbonate accumulation, encourage destructive early diagenesis by bioerosion, maceration, and selective or total dissolution of metastable skeletons (e.g., Young & Nelson 1988; Smith & Nelson 1994). The cooler conditions also discourage or limit direct precipitation of marine cements and other inorganic chemical precipitates, such as ooids and aggregates (Nelson 1988). Thus, in comparison with many tropical carbonates, cementation tends to be delayed until the later stages of diagenesis, where it is sourced from pressure-dissolution of the carbonate skeletons during burial or, less commonly, from the cissolution or neomorphism of any aragonitic skeletons in the deposits (e.g., Nelson et al. 1988).

Nontropical shallow-marine limestones are widely distributed in New Zealand Cenozoic sequences, especially in the Oligocene – earliest Miocene in both islands, and the Pliocene–Pleistocene in North Island (Fig. 2, 3). A spectrum of limestone types exists, but all are skeletal dominated (>70%), with typically <20% interparticle cement-matrix and <10% siliciclasts (Nelson 1978). Their skeletal make-up involves assemblages characteristic of temperate carbonates (Fig. 4) (Hayton et al. 1995). These limestones formed at paleolatitudes between 60 and 35°S (Nelson 1978) under mainly cool-temperate to warm-temperate climatic conditions, marginally subtropical at times. This is generally supported by paleontological evidence (Hornibrook 1992) and by the oxygen isotope record, the latter suggesting mean water temperatures in the New Zealand region since the Eocene were mainly cooler than c. 20°C (Nelson 1978).

In this paper, while appreciating the complexity and continuity of diagenetic processes and their often location-specific nature in detail, we nevertheless recognise and group the broad diagenetic features and histories for most major occurrences of New Zealand Cenozoic shallow-marine limestones (Fig. 2), as a contribution towards developing
the basis for grouping the limestones into four diagenetic classes (Fig. 5). The geographic and age distribution of these classes (Fig. 2) is summarised in Fig. 6 and 7, respectively.

**Class I**

Class I limestones are of Oligocene–Miocene age and are generally relatively massive, coarse calcarenites to fine calcirudites that have very open fabrics and few chemical compactional features, such as (micro)stylolites. Skeletal grains are characteristically broken, moderately to strongly abraded, well sorted, and are dominated by the bryomol skeletal assemblage (Fig. 4). The limestones are both biomicrites and biosparites.

 Petrographically, the limestones are characterised by the occurrence of first generation inter- and intra-particle isopachous spar rinds, typically 50–200 µm thick (Fig. 8A) (Nelson & James 1995). These cements are clear to dull (inclusion rich) in appearance, have a variety of acicular to fibrous habits, and although now low-Mg calcite (LMC; <4 mol.% MgCO₃), were possibly precipitated as metastable Mg calcite, judging from their relatively enriched Mg content compared to other components (Nelson & James 1995). The cements are nonferroan and usually exhibit a non-bright-dull CL. The common retention of large depositional interparticle pore volumes (up to 45%) is a particularly characteristic. Porewards of the isopachous spar rinds, any remaining pore spaces are filled by micrite and/or spar, or rarely remain empty. The micrite can have microbioclastic, homogeneous, or peloidal fabrics (Nelson & James 1995), whereas the spar is clear, mainly ferroan equant calcite with dull CL. Evidence of former aragonitic components is a feature of the Class I limestones, either as spar-filled biomoulds (Fig. 8A) or skeletons neomorphosed to LMC.

**Class II**

Class II limestones are calcarenites to fine calcirudites of mainly Oligocene–Miocene age, but include some of Pliocene age, that are relatively soft, friable, poorly and often differentially cemented biosparites, rarely biomicrites, dominated by the bryomol and echniofor, and less commonly barnamol skeletal assemblages (Fig. 4). An overall paucity of cement is characteristic (Fig. 8B), but where present it typically occurs as thin, sporadic, uneven spar fringes. The fringes often appear to be best developed upon specific substrates, especially as syntaxial rim spar about echinoderm fragments and/or as bladed to dog-toothed spar about certain bivalves. The syntaxial spar is nonferroan or ferroan and may exhibit a non-bright-dull concentric CL zonation. The limestones mainly have open to moderately open fabrics with only occasional evidence for the initiation of mild pressure-dissolution effects at grain contacts. Rare examples occur of spar-filled biomoulds of former aragonitic skeletons.

**Class III**

These “Te Aute megafacies” limestones (Fig. 3), of Pliocene–Pleistocene age, are commonly differentially cemented at a range of outcrop scales, varying from dense, well-cemented biosparites, to soft and friable, poorly cemented rocks. They are dominantly coarse calcirudites, sometimes coquinites (whole shells), with generally open to moderately open fabrics. Their content of terrigenous material may range up to 40%. The limestones are dominated by barnamol and bimol skeletal assemblages, with some

---

**DIAGENETIC CLASSES**

The nature and abundance of the different diagenetic features preserved in the New Zealand Cenozoic limestones form diagenetic scenarios for nontropical carbonate deposits more generally. The database for the synthesis comes largely from unpublished theses lodged in New Zealand universities, especially those of Nelson (1973), Hood (1993), and Hayton (1995), but also Hyden (1979), Leask (1980), Dobbie (1982), Anderson (1984), Hammsen (1984, 1985), Harris (1986), Lawrence (1989), and Haywick (1990), and includes field observations, information from standard, stained and cathodoluminescence (CL) petrography, and from scanning electron microscopy, and the results of X-ray diffraction and limited geochemical analysis of samples.

**Skeletal assemblage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skeletal assemblage</th>
<th>Major skeletal components</th>
<th>Typical primary mineralogy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bryomol</td>
<td>Bryozoans (Bivalve molluscs (Echinoderms) (Benthic foraminifera)</td>
<td>MMC-LMC (LMC LMC/HMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinofor</td>
<td>Echinodermas Benthic foraminifera Bryozoans (Planktic foraminifera)</td>
<td>HMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nannofor</td>
<td>Nannofossils Planktic foraminifera</td>
<td>LMC LMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnamol</td>
<td>Barnacles Bivalve molluscs (Bryozaoons (Echinoderms)</td>
<td>LMC LMC/Arag (MMC-LMC (HMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodechfor</td>
<td>Calcareaeous red algae Benthic foraminifera (Echinoderms) (Bryozaoons</td>
<td>HMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bimol</td>
<td>Bivalve mollusces Barnacles (Bryozaoons (Benthic foraminifera)</td>
<td>Arag/LMC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhodoalgal</td>
<td>Calcareaeous red algae Benthic foraminifera (Bryozaoons (Echinoderms)</td>
<td>HMC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 4** Skeletal assemblage types in New Zealand Cenozoic limestones (from Hayton et al. 1995), their main skeletal components, and typical primary mineralogy. LMC, low-Mg calcite (<4 mol.% MgCO₃); MMC, moderate-Mg calcite (4-10 mol.% MgCO₃); HMC, high-Mg calcite (>10 mol.% MgCO₃); Arag, aragonite; () denotes less common.
Hood & Nelson—N.Z. Cenozoic nontropical limestones

Diagenetic processes:
- Mechanical, biological, and chemical erosion
- Physical compaction
- Chemical compaction
- Cementation

Diagenetic feature(s):
- Skeletal abrasion
- Skeletal bioerosion
- Seafloor skeletal dissolution
- Fibrous-bladed isopachous spar rinds
- Micrite (cement and/or matrix)
- Syntaxial rim spar
- Bladed/dog-tooth spar (substrate specific)
- Equant (micro-) spar
- Micrite envelopes
- Thin-film transformation
- Incongruent dissolution
- Biomould formation
- Micrite to microspar
- Pyrite
- Glauconite
- Phosphatic minerals
- Iron/manganese minerals

Diagenetic process(es) Diagenetic feature(s)  
Mechanical, biological, and chemical erosion  Skeletal abrasion  Skeletal bioerosion  Seafloor skeletal dissolution  
Physical compaction  Skeletal grain fracture/realignment  Rock fractures and veins  
Chemical compaction  Pressure-dissolution contacts  
Cementation  Micrite envelopes  Thin-film transformation  Incongruent dissolution  
Neomorphism and dissolution  Micrite to microspar  
Non-carbonate authigenesis  Pyrite  Glauconite  Phosphatic minerals  Iron/manganese minerals  

Fig. 5  The nature and relative occurrence of diagenetic processes and features in the diagenetic classes established for New Zealand Cenozoic limestones. The value of individual processes/features relates to the maximum of the shaded bar (e.g., occurrence of skeletal abrasion in Class I is high, occurrence of skeletal bioerosion in Class I is low). Based mainly on data from Nelson (1973), Hood (1993), and Hayton (1995).

New Zealand sedimentary basin  Diagenetic class
Northland  I  II  III  IV
Waikato-North Wanganui  I  II  III  IV
South Wanganui  I  II  III  IV
East Cape-Hawke Bay  I  II  III  IV
Wairarapa  I  II  III  IV
Marlborough  I  II  III  IV
West Coast  I  II  III  IV
Canterbury  I  II  III  IV
Southland  I  II  III  IV

Fig. 6  Generalised distribution of limestone diagenetic classes in relation to the various New Zealand Cenozoic sedimentary basins (see inset of Fig. 2). Stipple = present; white = generally absent or rare.

Bryomol occurrences (Fig. 4). They are characterised by the presence of locally abundant aragonitic infaunal bivalves, either directly as skeletons, or indirectly as biomoulds and neomorphosed skeletons (Fig. 8C). A special feature in outcrop can be the development of secondary mouldic porosity resulting from variable dissolution of the infaunal bivalve shell components.

Thin-sections show that the mode of aragonite stabilisation varies and involves any of thin-film transformation, dissolution-reprecipitation, or complete dissolution and empty mould production (Scoffin 1987; Haywick 1990; Maliva & Dickson 1992; Saller 1992; Hood 1993). Micrite envelopes often surround biomoulds which are variably infilled by nonferroan equant calcite spar (Fig. 8D). A variety of interparticle cement fabrics is evident, and these are often substrate specific. Isopachous bladed to dog-toothed spar cements, most commonly developed about originally aragonitic bivalves, are the characteristic first generation cement (Fig. 8C, D). These cements less commonly have fibrous to acicular habits that are restricted to originally aragonitic skeletons. Syntaxial rim spar about echinoderms is common and may be poorly to very well developed. The dominant pore-occluding cement is equant calcitic spar (Fig. 8D), usually nonferroan but occasionally ferroan, with a generally dull to non CL, although rare multiple concentric zonations with bright CL may also be present.

Class IV  Class IV limestones, especially widespread in Oligocene–Miocene sections, are dominantly biosparites with lesser biomicrites. They are typically coarse calcarenites to fine calcirudites dominated by the bryomol skeletal assemblage, but also including echinofor and rhodechfor assemblages...
Fig. 8A–F  Thin-section photomicrographs, in plane-polarised light, representative of the four diagenetic classes identified within New Zealand Cenozoic limestones where, A = Class I (seafloor); B = Class II (shallow burial); C. D = Class III (combined shallow burial – meteoric; and E, F = Class IV (deep burial).  A, Open fabric coarse calcarenite, composed predominantly of bryozoan grains. First-generation fibrous cement is dusty inclusion-rich nonferroan isopachous spar with remaining interparticle porosity occluded by micrite or equant spar. Spar-filled micrite envelopes (top left and far right) are evidence for the former existence of originally aragonitic bivalves. Sample 16927, Orahiri Limestone, North Wanganui. B, Very open fabric calcarenite composed of echinoderm, bryozoan, and bivalve fragments, with a paucity of cement limited to irregular equant LMC spar fringes about some grains, and poorly developed syntaxial rims about echinoderm grains. Sample W94653, Ototara Formation, Canterbury. C, Moderately open fabric calcirudite to coquinité, composed predominantly of barnacle plates and bivalve fragments (both originally calcitic and aragonitic). An aragonitic bivalve fragment (left centre) has been incompletely dissolved with some of the original mineralogy (plus thin-section bubbles) remaining. Skeletal grains are coated by either a dusty inclusion-rich uneven fibrous first generation spar (originally ?aragonitic, now LMC) or a dirty even spar rind (originally calcitic grains). Final porosity occlusion is by nonferroan equant LMC. Sample W93351, Te Onepu Limestone, East Cape – Hawke Bay. D, Moderately open fabric fine calcirudite composed predominantly of barnacle plates, bivalve fragments (originally calcitic and aragonitic), and bryozoan fragments. First generation uneven equant – dog-toothed LMC spar is followed by often coarse nonferroan equant-drusy LMC. Aragonitic bivalves have been dissolved, leaving micrite envelopes and secondary mouldic pores which have subsequently been partially infilled by nonferroan equant LMC, or have been neomorphically stabilised to nonferroan LMC. Sample W93344, Park Island Limestone (= Petane Formation), East Cape – Hawke Bay. E, Tight pressure-dissolved
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Broad diagenetic controls</th>
<th>Specific diagenetic controls</th>
<th>Diagenetic class I</th>
<th>Diagenetic class II</th>
<th>Diagenetic class III</th>
<th>Diagenetic class IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sedimentary</td>
<td>Skeletal composition</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skeletal mineralogy and stability</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grain size</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Porosity and permeability</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of sedimentation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terrigenous input</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tectonic</td>
<td>Burial depth</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time of burial</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eustatic sea-level fluctuations</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General submergence event</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>General emergence event</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dip of strata</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Terrigenous input</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General environmental conditions</td>
<td>Latitude</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upwelling</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seawater flux</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water depth at deposition</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental energy at deposition</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pore fluid chemistry</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pore fluid residency time</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temperature of seawater</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eh conditions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substrate type</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 Relative importance of the principal diagenetic controls for each of the diagenetic classes of New Zealand Cenozoic limestones. The value of individual processes/features relates to the maximum of the shaded bar (e.g., relative importance of skeletal composition in Class I is moderate, relative importance of grain size in Class II is none).

(Fig. 4). Stylolites and dissolution seams are prominent features in the limestones, along which preferential weathering occurs to produce a characteristic flaggy appearance in outcrop. These limestones are typically hard, crystalline, and very well cemented.

Petrographically, these limestones exhibit tight pressure-dissolved fabrics and substantially reduced intergranular pore volumes, typically 10–15% or less (Fig. 8E, F). First generation cements are generally substrate specific and form uneven fringes of bladed to dog-toothed spar. Syntaxial rim spar cements about echinoderm skeletal cells are characteristically well developed and may change outwards from nonferroan to ferroan and exhibit a typical burial CL sequence of non-bright-dull-bright-dull zones (Nelson et al. 1988). The bulk of the pore-occluding cement is clear, dominantly ferroan, often drusy, equant spar, with a characteristically dull CL. Skeletal grain fracture is common, and grain-to-grain contacts are (highly) pressure dissolved, often being sutured or concavo-convex, and microstylolites are common (Fig. 8E, F). Any evidence of former aragonitic skeletons from micrite envelopes or spar-filled biomoulds is extremely rare.

A special subclass of the Class IV limestones are fine-grained limestones (micrites or calcilutites) composed of the nannofossil skeletal assemblage (Fig. 4). These “Amuri megafacies” limestones (Fig. 3) of mainly Paleogene age consist of planktic foraminifera set in a micrite matrix dominated by calcareous nanofossil material (e.g., Nelson 1968; Carter 1969; Lewis 1992). They typically have tight pressure-dissolved fabrics with common stylolites (Lewis 1992). Cements are dominated by microspar, and authigenic pyrite is common.

**DIAGENETIC ENVIRONMENTS AND PATHWAYS**

The diageneis of New Zealand limestones has been controlled by a combination of different temperate-latitude depositional settings, by basinial tectonic histories, by the degree of influence of eustatic sea-level fluctuations, by the composition and degree of preservation of the original metastable skeletons, by the chemistry of the pore fluids, and by the period of residency of these pore fluids (Fig. 9). Together these factors have been responsible for the evolution of four recurring and contrasting diagenetic classes. By considering the wide range of diagenetic features typical of each of the diagenetic classes it is possible to relate them to different diagenetic environments and to suggest pathways along which the New Zealand limestones (classes for individual limestones are shown in Fig. 2) have evolved.

Fabric calcarenite composed mainly of bryozoan and some bivalve and echinoderm fragments. Skeletal grain dissolution along microstylolitic contacts promotes low interparticle porosity. Rare internal micrite infills have neomorphically aggraded to microspar. First generation interparticle cements are syntaxial rim spar about echinoderm grains with later porosity occlusion by fine, typically ferroan equant LMC. Sample W94626, Takaka Limestone, South Taranaki. F, Tight pressure-dissolved fabric with microstylolitic grain contacts and little remaining interparticle porosity. Skeletal grains are dominated by bryozoans, with lesser amounts of echinoderm and benthic foraminifera fragments. An irregular stylolite seam traverses the centre of the field, with a concentration of insoluble residues. Sample W94576, Potikohua Formation, West Coast.
### Diagenetic Classes and Diagenetic Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagenetic class</th>
<th>Dominant original skeletal mineralogy</th>
<th>Mode(s) of skeletal dissolution</th>
<th>Diagnostic skeletal mineralogy</th>
<th>Cement/Mineralogy characteristics</th>
<th>Micrite cement/matrix characteristics</th>
<th>General limestone characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I</strong> seabed (equivalent to seafloor)</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Abnormal and/overgrowth of aragonite</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Direct growth of HMC or LMC or Fe content</td>
<td>Faint</td>
<td>Handground or depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II</strong> shallow burial (up to 30 m)</td>
<td>LMC and minor aragonite</td>
<td>Incongruent dissolution of aragonite and/or neomorphic transformation</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Baled dog tooth</td>
<td>Gull</td>
<td>Elevated or Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>III</strong> meteoric</td>
<td>LMC and minor aragonite</td>
<td>Dominantly</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Generally dull</td>
<td>Gull</td>
<td>Elevated or Early</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IV</strong> deep burial (100-1000 m)</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Incongruent dissolution of aragonite</td>
<td>LMC</td>
<td>Dominantly dull with typical non-bright, dull, bright, dull</td>
<td>Gull</td>
<td>Elevated or Early</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NB: Class III limestones are generated in the marine environment (Class I) and progress into the meteoric environment in which their characteristic diagenetic features are produced.

Mainly bioclastic micrite.

Fig. 10 Summary of principal diagenetic features characterising the four diagenetic classes (I-IV) of New Zealand Cenozoic limestones. LMC, low-Mg calcite; MMC, moderate-Mg calcite; HMC, high-Mg calcite; CL, cathodoluminescence.
Fig. 11 Summary of diagenetic pathways, classes, and environments for New Zealand Cenozoic limestones.

**SEAFLOOR DIAGENESIS**

- Primary skeletal sediment having mixed mineralogies (typically calcite > aragonite)

**SHALLOW BURIAL DIAGENESIS**

**MODERATE - DEEP BURIAL DIAGENESIS**

**METEORIC DIAGENESIS**

- Class I - seafloor
- Class IV - deep burial
- Class II - shallow burial
- Class III - combined shallow burial - meteoric

Seafloor (Class I)

The diagenetic history of these limestones is unique among the diagenetic classes because an extraordinary combination of environmental and sedimentary conditions has enabled the direct precipitation of marine cements within the seafloor deposits. Their overall occurrence is rare, and mainly in Oligocene—Miocene limestones. The special environmental conditions conducive to marine cementation are noted by Nelson & James (1995) and involve: high water energies to achieve a high sea-water flux through the sediment; upwelling of cold nutrient-enriched waters over platform margins where they warm, lose CO₂, and become supersaturated in CaCO₃ (e.g., Wright 1994); a very coarse grained, often bryozoan-dominated sediment substrate having high porosity and permeability; a hiatus in sedimentation; and possibly a relatively lowered sea level. Thus, marine cementation is not pervasive but is limited to particular rock types which were subjected to specific environmental conditions. Class I limestones can be associated with genuine hardground formation and encrusting megafauna and borings, and also mineralisation by glauconite, and phosphatic and Fe-Mn compounds (e.g., Watt 1972; Carter et al. 1982; Lewis & Belliss 1984).

The marine cements typifying these limestones are first generation, fibrous to bladed isopachous rinds of nonferroan calcite with a non to dull CL. Porewards of the fringe spar cement, porosity is commonly occluded by polygenetic micrite (Fig. 8A). Some is internal sediment (microbioclastic micrite), derived from the mechanical abrasion and boring of skeletons, and pumped into cavities from the seafloor under relatively high energy environmental conditions. In contrast, much of the homogeneous and peloidal micrite is probably a true chemical precipitate (Nelson & James 1995) formed wherever a very high flux of well-saturated sea water was maintained through the sediment pores. Either way, the micrite formation is associated with predominantly high energy conditions, not low as is commonly held for micrite (e.g., Reid et al. 1990). High energy conditions are also supported by the typically well-abraded and sorted nature of many of the Class I limestones.

Once partially to well lithified at the seafloor, any marine-cemented sediment was slowly buried. Mechanical compaction was largely mitigated by the presence of the marine cements, as evidenced by the retention of relatively high interparticle porosities of 25–45%. However, in the sporadically cemented or less well-lithified deposits, increasing burial was accompanied by the onset of mild pressure-dissolution providing a second generation of calcite spar as syntaxial rims about echinoderm grains. An alternative minor source was the neomorphism of rare aragonitic skeletons preserved during initial burial. A third source of pore-occluding spar cement was from the associated sediments (either underlying or laterally equivalent) which did not experience early cementation, but otherwise underwent significant amounts of pressure-dissolution during burial.

Another special feature of these limestones is the retention of evidence of the former existence of aragonite skeletons, despite the originally calcite dominant nature of the primary skeletal sediment. Marine cementation at the seafloor occurred sufficiently early to initially preserve any aragonite grains, which were subsequently dissolved at or near the seafloor to form biomolds outlined by micrite envelopes, and subsequently infilled with equant calcite spar (Fig. 8A). Biomolds of originally aragonitic skeletons are rare or absent in most other Oligocene–Miocene limestones.
Shallow burial (Class II)
The diagenetic history of those limestones assigned to Class II, predominantly of Oligocene–Miocene age but including some Pliocene occurrences, was controlled largely by the original skeletal mineralogy and individual basin tectonics (Fig. 9). Skeletal material of mixed mineral types, where calcite (LMC) >> aragonite, was deposited and accumulated during conditions of relatively low sedimentation during an overall submergent (transgressive) event. Early diagenesis was dominantly destructive, the skeletons being subjected to fragmentation, reworking, abrasion, and bioerosion. The longevity of these seafloor processes, where grains possibly remained part of the periodically active bedload zone for up to thousands of years, appears to have been sufficient to have fostered the dissolution of any of the small amounts of aragonitic skeletal material present in the deposits (e.g., Beu et al. 1972). Consequently, the skeletal carbonate passing into the subsurface typically had a very low diagenetic potential, akin to LMC-dominated deep-sea calcitic oozes (Schlanger & Douglas 1974). Any rare aragonite skeletons which survived into the burial realm were destined to dissolution or neomorphic transformation, and metastable Mg-calcite skeletons experienced incongruent dissolution (Nelson 1978).

Early burial cements were sporadic and substrate specific, forming as bladed to dog-toothed, uneven spar fringes upon prismatic bivalves, or as syntaxial rim spar about echinoderm fragments. With increasing burial, grain realignment and rare skeletal fracturing occurred. Burial attained only shallow depths, from several tens of metres to a maximum of a few hundred metres (Nathan et al. 1986; Hayton 1995), sufficient to initiate mild pressure-dissolution between some calcitic skeletons and provide a meagre cement source for the more general precipitation of uneven and characteristically poorly developed spar fringes about open fabric skeletal grains (Fig. 8B).

Following uplift and exposure of the limestones to meteoric pore fluids, no further cementation has occurred, owing to the absence of any reactive metastable skeletons as a potential cement source. Consequently, Class II limestones are typically relatively soft, open, and porous, and make an ideal building stone, such as the “Oamaru stone” (Howard 1987). They are analogous to many of the Oligocene–Miocene limestone occurrences in southern Australia described by James & Bone (1991).

Combined shallow burial – meteoric (Class III)
Class III limestones characterise the Pleistocene and many Pliocene occurrences in North Island. Their diagenetic history was controlled by the interplay of tectonic processes, glacio-eustatic sea-level fluctuations, and the variable preservation of reactive, and locally dominant, infunal aragonitic bivalves (Fig. 9). The diagenetic features of Class III limestones evolved during a dominantly emergent (regressive) event, and are intimately related to incursions of meteoric fluids and variable amounts of subaerial exposure (Haywick 1990). Many diagenetic features are diagnostic of the replacement of depositional marine fluids by reactive meteoric fluids, which have acted to dissolve metastable aragonite and precipitate stable LMC.

Following the initial dominantly destructive diagenetic phase of mechanical and biological degradation at the seabed, relatively rapid burial occurred (Kamp & Nelson 1987), which deterred the early dissolution of much of the skeletal aragonite. During the shallowest depths of burial, sporadic and limited amounts of nonferroan, dog-tooth fringe cements of non to bright luminescence were precipitated (Fig. 8C, D). These cements rarely included nonferroan, nonluminescent, acicular (originally aragonitic) fabrics, formed solely about aragonitic bivalves, whereas nonferroan to ferroan, bright to nonluminescent, scalenohedral to fibrous (probably MMC or HMC) fabrics formed about other skeletal grain types. The mobilisation of Ca$^{2+}$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ during early localised aragonite dissolution, in the presence of CaCO$_3$-undersaturated marine porewaters, is thought to be ultimately responsible for much of the early sporadic cementation within the polymineraiic limestones (Haywick 1990) which acted to preserve near-original intergranular pore volumes (25–45%).

The introduction of varying amounts of microbioclastic micrite followed in some instances, infilling some of the already early cement-lined intraparticle and interparticle pore spaces, and must have taken place on the seafloor. Following these early diagenetic events of limited cementation, slow, steady subsidence continued, and compaction acted to reduce interpelate pore volumes. Increased overburden pressure due to increased burial, resulted in the initiation of microbial compaction, dissolving skeletal grains under pressure in the presence of essentially marine fluids. The minor cement provided by mild pressure-dissolution was sometimes reprecipitated preferentially about echinoderm grains as nonferroan, often complexly concentrically zoned syntaxial rim spar. Interparticle pore volume reduction by compaction was most significant in those limestones that had not experienced some degree of lithification by early fringe cements.

Differential uplift and tilting of the limestones along basin margins (Kamp & Nelson 1988; Kamp et al. 1988) enabled highly variable contact of the strata with recharging meteoric fluids while remaining predominantly in the shallow-burial environment (Haywick 1990). The degree of meteoric input was influenced also by Pliocene–Pleistocene glacio-eustatic sea-level fluctuations and the permeability of individual strata. Syntaxial rim cement and equant spar, which may have begun development in the subsurface sourced from aragonite dissolution and possibly pressure-dissolution, continued to form once in the meteoric realm, given a cement donor. This cement was provided by two major sources: (1) from the wholesale dissolution of aragonitic bivalve grains, leaving empty biomoulds; and (2) by the neomorphic transformation of metastable aragonite to stable LMC via thin-film transformation (Hood 1993).

Many biomoulds formed from wholesale dissolution are outlined by micritic envelopes formed by peripheral micritisation of skeletal grains by endolithic borers before grain dissolution. The evolution of secondary mouldic porosity has been most prevalent, and is most pronounced, in the Pleistocene limestones, perhaps as a consequence of their generally more prolonged exposure to meteoric fluid with minimal overburden protection. The Pleistocene limestones are commonly riddled with dissolution moulds, corresponding to moderate cementation.
Once porewaters became supersaturated with respect to CaCO₃, neomorphic transformation of aragonite would have been the norm (Haywick 1990). Aragonite dissolution and LMC precipitation provided the cement source for the variably pore-filling micro-equant and equant spar cements. This also provided a source for the infilling of some dissolved aragonite moulds preserving micritic envelopes, which often remain as the only evidence of the former presence of aragonite grains. Despite the range of potential cement sources, the total occlusion of all intergranular pore space has been rarely achieved, pointing to an insufficient source (or time).

Deep burial (Class IV)

In this class, the Oligocene–Miocene limestones accumulated on shallow (<200 m) open shelves in agitated waters under regionally transgressive (submergent) conditions, much like the sediments of Classes I and II (Fig. 9) (Nelson 1978). Sediment accumulation rates were low, averaging <5 cm/ka (Nelson et al. 1988), although the figure is complicated by the occurrence of many diastems and unconformities in the limestones. Mechanical skeletal abrasion and bioerosion aided skeletal degradation. Micrite, dominantly formed from skeletal abrasion and boring, was washed or filtered into skeletal chambers and some interparticle pores at or near below the seafloor. Authigenic pyrite was precipitated, particularly in micritic sediments, as was glauconite in skeletal chambers. Early carbonate diagenesis appears to have been predominantly destructive and resulted in (1) the dissolution of any aragonitic skeletons before lithification and consequently their total loss from the rock record (Beu et al. 1972; Nelson 1978), and (2) the stabilisation to LMC of metastable Mg-calcite skeletons by incongruent dissolution (Nelson 1978).

The precipitation of nonferroan syntaxial rim cements with dull CL about echinoderm grains probably began close to the seabed, and may have been sourced from aragonite dissolution. Mechanical and mild chemical compaction during the first few 100 m of burial provided a cement source for the continued preferential formation of nonferroan zoned luminescent syntaxial rim cement about the echinoderm material. Nelson et al. (1988) defined this shallow phase of burial as continuing to average sub-bottom depths of 500–600 m, during which time a porosity loss of 25% was achieved by physical compaction alone. With further burial, the development of echinodermal syntaxial cement continued, which became usually ferroan with increasingly reducing conditions and rising temperatures. These syntaxial rim cements typically are variably luminescent, but overall exhibit a non-bright–dull–bright–dull CL zonation with increasingly reducing conditions, consistent with that predicted for a subsidence-controlled cement sequence (Nelson et al. 1988).

Nelson et al. (1988) argued for the onset of particularly widespread and intensive pressure-dissolution phenomena in the deposits beyond a critical chemical compaction threshold burial depth of c. 500 m. The dissolved carbonate precipitated in stress-free pore spaces, typically as coarse drusy ferroan equant burial spar, which constitutes on average 90% of the total cement in Class IV limestones (Nelson et al. 1988). Non-sutured and microstylolitic dissolution seams became ubiquitous over the burial interval from c. 500 to 1100 m. By this stage, original pore space had been reduced by 70% and was occluded by burial spar (Nelson et al. 1988). Fracturing and vein infilling with coarse, typically dull luminescent ferroan equant spar resulted during burial maxima, whereas nonferroan spar-filled veins are associated with later uplift in the presence of circulating meteoric waters.

Following uplift and subaerial exposure, terrigenous-dominated cement-poor dissolution seams have weathered back to leave the characteristic flags associated with many of the Class IV limestones. Today, in outcrop, the limestones are undergoing wholesale dissolution, with the formation of prominent karst topography (Williams 1992).

THE TEMPERATE LIMESTONE DIAGENETIC MODEL

Most published diagenetic studies have centred on Recent and Pleistocene carbonate sediments in tropical areas (e.g., Bathurst 1975; Flügel 1982; Scholle et al. 1983). Much less is known about the diagenetic features of nontropical carbonates, as exemplified by a range of limestones widely distributed in both age and geographical location throughout New Zealand.

The diagenesis of New Zealand nontropical limestones has been strongly influenced by the composition and degree of preservation of the original metastable skeletons, by the chemistry of the pore fluids, and by the period of residency of these pore fluids. In turn, these factors were controlled by a combination of different temperate-latitude depositional settings, their basinal tectonic histories, and the degree of influence of eustatic sea-level fluctuations (Fig. 9). Together these factors have been responsible for the evolution of four major diagenetic pathways for the limestones, related here to diagenetic classes (Fig. 11) and pictorially summarised in Fig. 12.

The primary mineralogy of nontropical skeletal carbonates is dominated by calcite (mainly LMC and MMC), although aragonite is locally common and can dominate (Fig. 4). Compared to the apparently more usual situation in many New Zealand limestones in which any aragonitic skeletons have tended to be dissolved and lost without evidence from the rock record during early diagenesis (Nelson 1978), the eastern North Island Pliocene–Pleistocene limestones associated with overall regressive situations are compositionally distinctive because they preserve aragonite, or show evidence of its former existence as biomoulds or neomorphically stabilised skeletons. The reasons for the retention of aragonitic components in these limestones must be complex, given that in any one sample it may be possible to find: (1) original aragonitic skeletons; (2) former aragonitic skeletons now neomorphically transformed to LMC with the retention of much of their original shell microarchitecture; and (3) both empty and cement-filled dissolution moulds (Hood 1993). The relatively young age of these carbonates and their relatively rapid burial away from the seafloor in a dynamic tectonic system, coupled with glacio-eustatic sea-level fluctuations, are probably important controlling factors (Haywick 1990). The problem deserves future detailed study. Despite the occurrence of MMC and HMC skeletons in modern New Zealand carbonate sediments, their absence from all limestones in this study indicates early neomorphic stabilisation to LMC via incongruent dissolution.
Early diagenesis in temperate New Zealand limestones was dominantly destructive owing to biological and mechanical erosion, and also commonly involved dissolution of metastable skeletal aragonite. The formation of synsedimentary marine cements in nontropical limestones has been rarely documented in the literature on modern and ancient temperate carbonates, but they have been identified in the New Zealand Oligocene–Miocene limestones (Nelson & James 1995) and in age-equivalent limestones in southern Australia (James & Bone 1992), sometimes associated with hardground faunas. Despite cool water temperatures, reduced carbonate saturation levels, and relatively high CO₂ concentrations, these fibrous to bladed isopachous cements have apparently often formed under specialised environmental conditions associated with hiatuses in sedimentation. Their origin(s) require more specific study.

The common occurrence of variable, but sometimes significant, quantities of micritic carbonate in many New Zealand limestones, probably of both internal sediment and chemical precipitate origin, is largely undocumented in the temperate carbonate literature.

Current shallow-marine temperate-latitude carbonate sedimentation models are still evolving. It will be necessary for them to incorporate much of the diversity and complexity of a broad spectrum of skeletal facies and diagenetic features such as identified in this study. Features such as marine cements and associated hardground development, skeletal aragonite diagenesis, and the nature and origins of micritic carbonate, will necessitate an expansion and greater diversification of the shallow-marine nontropical carbonate model in the future. Further development of the model will require considerably more extensive and detailed studies in order to continue to better understand the complex and as yet poorly understood group of shallow-marine sedimentary rocks collectively referred to as nontropical limestones.
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