Tracking ancient beach-lines inland: 2600-year-old dentate-stamped ceramics at Hopo, Vailala River region, Papua New Guinea
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The Lapita expansion took Austronesian seafaring peoples with distinctive pottery eastward from the Bismarck Archipelago to western Polynesia during the late second millennium BC, marking the first stage in the settlement of Oceania. Here it is shown that a parallel process also carried Lapita pottery and people many hundreds of kilometres westward along the southern shore of Papua New Guinea. The key site is Hopo, now 4.5km inland owing to the progradation of coastal sand dunes, but originally on the sea edge. Pottery and radiocarbon dates indicate Lapita settlement in this location c. 600 BC, and suggest that the long-distance maritime networks linking the entire southern coast of Papua New Guinea in historical times may trace their origin to this period.
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Introduction

Recent archaeological discoveries in the Philippines and the Mariana Islands in Micronesia, north of the island of New Guinea, have shed critical new light and heralded radical new thinking on early Lapita and ‘the pottery trail from Southeast Asia to Remote Oceania’ (Carson et al. 2013). Summerhayes et al. (2010) and Harlow et al. (2012) have demonstrated

¹ Monash Indigenous Centre, Monash University, Clayton, VIC 3800, Australia (Email: robert.skelly@monash.edu; bruno.david@monash.edu)
² Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory, University of Waikato, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand (Email: fpetchey@waikato.ac.nz)
³ School of Arts and Social Sciences, James Cook University, Cairns, QLD 4870, Australia (Email: matthew.leavesley@jcu.edu.au)
a degree of interaction linking an early Lapita site on Emirau (in the Bismarck Archipelago) with the Lake Sentani region on the northern coast of New Guinea. Similarly, recent discoveries at Caution Bay have revealed a previously unknown western arm for the spread of mid to late Lapita along the southern coast of New Guinea (David et al. 2011; McNiven et al. 2011; see also Irwin 2012; Specht 2012; Spriggs 2012). These findings, relating to the early and late phases of Lapita, on each side of New Guinea respectively, spatially and temporally bookend this large continental island that has long remained enigmatic on questions of Lapita origins and expansions. These new Lapita discoveries of the past seven years breach what were then the known spatial boundaries of Lapita influence and expand or even bring into question what we thought we knew of the origins and subsequent western movements of Lapita seafaring. Intriguingly, these findings at the margins of the Lapita world open new windows by which to view and explore the nature and dynamics of Lapita, from its origins to its demise or transformation out of what is identifiably Lapita.

The Caution Bay finds confirm the presence of Lapita peoples along the southern coast of mainland Papua New Guinea (PNG) in the later stages of Lapita (here dated to 2900–2600 cal BP) (McNiven et al. 2012). Ceramic finds from Torres Strait to the west (McNiven et al. 2006), consisting of five body sherds lacking decoration, cannot in themselves be conclusively attributed to Lapita given an absence of diagnostic features other than red slipping (which is also present in post-Lapita times elsewhere along the southern coast of PNG), but the Torres Strait sherds—made with local tempers—date to c. 2600 cal BP (McNiven et al. 2006) and are thus contemporaneous with the late Lapita finds from Caution Bay. No other kinds of ceramics of this age other than Lapita are known from this part of the Pacific. The question nevertheless remains as to whether Caution Bay Lapita villages represent an aberrant western settlement of Austronesian-speaking seafaring colonisers who otherwise only ventured eastward from the Bismarck Archipelago, or whether they are part of a more systematic and more widespread colonisation of westward places, including some that were already inhabited by indigenous populations (see Sheppard 2011 for recent discussion relating to the leap-frogging of previously inhabited islands into Remote Oceania). Here we report the discovery of dentate-stamped pottery sherds, akin to those elsewhere attributed to Lapita pottery, at the Hopo site east of the Vailala River in the Gulf of Papua (PNG National Museum and Art Gallery site code OJS), 230km north-west of Caution Bay as the crow flies (260km following the coastline) and just short of midway to Torres Strait (Figure 1). These findings confirm that the Lapita settlement of Caution Bay was not just an aberrant or opportunistic accident of colonisation by presumably Austronesian seafarers, but rather, we argue, part of a process of systematic expansion and colonisation westward during terminal Lapita times.

Ancient coastlines

In 2007, pottery sherds dating to 450–500 cal BP were found on buried beach sands at Keveoki swamp, c. 6km east of the Vailala River (David et al. 2009). Today Keveoki lies 1.5km inland, signalling a shoreline that has prograded at an average rate of 3m per year for the past 500 years at least (David et al. 2009: 13). Given the paucity of known older archaeological sites along this coastline (for a review see McNiven et al. 2006), extrapolation
Tracking ancient beach-lines inland

Figure 1. Map of the Kouri lowlands showing the position of Hopo (OJS) and other places mentioned in the text (after Ruxton et al. 1969: map 2).

of progradation rates of 3m per year further back in time (a realistic scenario given local surface geomorphology, see Ruxton 1969) signals that sites once fronting the beach that were occupied before 2000 years ago would now lie many kilometres inland.

Indeed, researchers have for some time been aware that in the Gulf of Papua older archaeological sites would now be found inland. In 1976 Jim Rhoads followed Orokolo oral histories—and by implication ancient coastlines—inland, looking for archaeological sites west of the Vailala River (Rhoads 1982: 144, 1994: 66–67; Williams 1969). In 1979, some 55km east of the Vailala River, David Frankel and Nigel Oram recorded local oral histories and identified an undated ‘chronological series’ of archaeological sites in the Kerema lowlands (Frankel & Vanderwal 1985; Frankel et al. 1994), arguing for the sequential age of coastal deposits and archaeological sites the further one progressed inland.

In 2007 and again in August–October 2010, we undertook archaeological surveys tracking local histories and ancient beach-lines progressively inland from the current coastal villages of Epemeavo and Kea Kea, identifying and excavating 10 new archaeological sites c. 6km east of the Vailala River (Skelly et al. 2010; Skelly 2014). These sites were found to represent a well-dated chronological series revealing increasing antiquity with increasing distance from the coast. Here we present the earliest evidence of ceramics—from one of the most inland sites—yet obtained from anywhere on the island of New Guinea other than Caution Bay.

Hopo (OJS)

Hopo is a Miaro clan (Keuru language group, popularly known by the Motuan word ‘Elema’) ancestral village site known from local oral traditions. The site is positioned on 1ha of deflated sand dunes <1km south of the Kira Hari Hills and 4.5km inland of the present-day coastline (Figure 1). The archaeological site visible from surface evidence at Hopo was defined by a surface scatter of shell and pottery sherds spread over an area 6m long × 4m wide (maximum 10 artefacts per m²) toward the south-eastern edge of the ancestral village site of oral tradition. The upper level occupation dates to the past 200–225 years by: (a) genealogical reckoning from Miaro clan oral traditions which trace site use to
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six to seven generations before the present adult generation; (b) radiocarbon results; and (c) the fact that this most recent cultural horizon is stratigraphically discontinuous with the deeper and earlier archaeological horizon presented in this paper. Three 1m × 1m squares were excavated in firm sediments on elevated ground (Grid Reference: 0331613 9125394 [AGD66]), largely surrounded by freshwater swampland 35m to the south, 45m to the east and 60m to the north.

**The excavations**

The OJS excavation team included Francis Kouri, Auks Hoahe and Willie Tom from Epemeavo village (Hori Miaro clan), Johnson Opa from Belepa village (Leva Huku clan), Basil Mali and Alois Kuaso representing the PNG National Museum and Art Gallery, and Robert Skelly as excavation director. Excavations commenced on 8 September 2010 and concluded on 17 September 2010 (Figures 2 & 3).

The three excavation squares share a spatially contiguous stratigraphic sequence. The squares progressively slope down slightly to the east and, accordingly, the depths of transition between individual stratigraphic units (SUs) differ slightly from square to square (Figures 4 & 5; Table 1). To maintain systematic and fine-grained chronostratigraphic control, each square was excavated and analysed separately. Excavations proceeded in mean
21mm (Square A), 20mm (Square B) and 22mm (Square C) artificial excavation units (XUs) following the stratigraphy where visible during excavation. The OJS stratigraphic sequence contains five major SUs containing four periods of site use, as determined by stratigraphically discrete cultural horizons of significantly different ages (see Table 2 for radiocarbon determinations). Here we report on ceramics from the basal and thus oldest cultural deposit in SU4 (see Skelly 2014 for presentation of the full OJS sequence). SU4 contains moderately consolidated, moderately compacted dark brown sand and a small quantity of pumice. Below SU4, culturally sterile SU5 contains very dark greyish brown, moderately consolidated sand with a minor water-worn coarse sand fraction that increases in overall sediment contribution with increasing depth.

**Radiocarbon dating SU4: Squares A, B and C**

Seven AMS radiocarbon determinations on single pieces of wood-charcoal were obtained from the lower levels of SU4. These seven samples were collected over a thickness of 13.4cm near the base of SU4 (depth below surface = 62.9–76.3cm) (Figure 4). Unmodelled dates calibrate within the period 2466–2745 cal BP at 68.3% probability, with median ages hovering around 2600 cal BP between 2565 and 2713 cal BP (Table 2).
Figure 4. North section, with artificial excavation units (XUs) superimposed, OJS Squares A, B and C. Radiocarbon determinations on samples collected in situ are shown as spot-locations. Radiocarbon determinations on samples collected from the sieves, and thus broadly attributable to a given XU, are italicised (see Table 2 for calibrations). The seven radiocarbon dates selected for statistical analysis are in red.
In an attempt to better define the duration of site use covered by the seven deepest and oldest dates we have used OxCal v.4.2 (Bronk Ramsey 2009, 2013) to determine the age of onset and end, and the duration of the SU4 midden deposit. Using a ‘phase command’ (i.e. assuming that the seven dates are not from an instantaneous event but a random scatter of events in no particular order) with a uniform boundary (Bronk Ramsey 2009), we get a modelled median age of 2668±69 cal BP for the base of SU4 and 2615±80 cal BP for the top (Figure 6). This has a span of 0–47 years, indicating a short-lived period of occupation measured at most in a very few decades, possibly very brief. This is consistent with a rapidly prograding shoreline as evident from independent data (see above).

If we apply an outlier correction to the charcoal for inbuilt age (Exp(1,-10,0), U(0,3),“r”) following Bronk Ramsey (2009), we get a very similar result again, although now slightly younger (by less than 40 years). Because we are limited in this example to samples of wood charcoal of unspecified inbuilt age, and this conclusion relies on a model-averaging approach, we have not explored this further.

**The ceramic assemblage**

In total, 3889 low-fired pottery sherds $\geq$2mm wide (representing the sieve mesh size) were excavated from SU4. Of these, 88 sherds either measure $\geq$30mm long or are smaller decorated or rim sherds. These 88 sherds constitute the diagnostic assemblage.

**Dentate-stamped decoration**

Five decorated sherds from SU4 are dentate-stamped (decoration created with combs or comb-like implements); all are from Square A (XU28–XU37). These five sherds came from at least two vessels, as evident from differences in fabrics and sherd profiles. The largest of the comb-impressed sherds has parallel lines of dentate-stamped impressions emanating from either side of two horizontal dentate-stamped lines (Figures 7a & 8a). Four of the indented lines consist of discrete rectilinear impressions made by relatively coarse-tined tools (typical of late Lapita across Island Melanesia and Caution Bay) and are clearly comb-impressed. The other three lines also appear to have been comb-impressed, but here the dentate impressions have weathered and cracked so that the individual dentitions have
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Figure 6. OxCal plots showing: A) end probability distribution for deposition of SU4; B–H) probability distributions for the seven radiocarbon determinations; I) modelled deposition span of SU4; J) start probability distribution for deposition of SU4.

become connected during or post firing (and probably post-depositionally). Another sherd has two parallel comb-impressed lines and more ambiguous evidence of a third parallel line (Figures 7d & 8d). Two additional lines on that same sherd are angled relative to the parallel lines, suggesting that the remnant lines are probably part of an originally larger geometric pattern. The other three sherds came from one or more vessels with a thinner wall (Figures 7b, c & e and 8b, c & e). All three sherds have sets of comb dentate-stamped lines hinting at geometric patterning.
Table 1. Sediment descriptions, OJS Squares A, B and C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dry Munsell</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Maximum depth at base of SU (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>Black, charcoal-stained organic topsoil, loosely compacted, consolidated by numerous rootlets, homogeneous across the square</td>
<td>10YR 2/1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Very dark brown, well-consolidated organic-rich sediment with minor sand fraction</td>
<td>10YR 2/2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very dark greyish brown, well-consolidated sandy silt; the interface with SU4 is diffuse</td>
<td>10YR 3/2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dark brown, moderately consolidated and moderately compacted sandy sediments, homogeneous across each square. Contains small quantities of pumice. The interface with SU5 is diffuse</td>
<td>10YR 3/3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Culturally sterile very dark greyish brown, moderately consolidated soft sand. Contains pumice and a minor water-worn coarse sand fraction that increases in overall sediment contribution with increasing depth. Base of SU was not reached</td>
<td>2.5YR 3/2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One comb-impressed sherd has both body and lip decoration (Figures 7e & 8e). This sherd, apparently from a small bowl, has a comb-impressed horizontal line intersected by diagonal lines on the rim. The lip decoration consists of two longitudinal, parallel dentate-stamped lines along the narrow, flat lip.

The decorative conventions found on the five comb-impressed sherds at OJS are entirely unknown from post-Lapita times anywhere along the southern coast of PNG (e.g. Vanderwal 1978; Summerhayes & Allen 2007; Allen 2010). They are only known from pre-2600 cal BP Lapita deposits at Caution Bay (David et al. 2011; McNiven et al. 2012)—the only
Table 2. Radiocarbon determinations for SU4, OJS Squares A, B and C. Samples marked * were retrieved from the sieves (all other samples were collected in situ). All ^14^C ages are AMS on wood charcoal except Wk-31230, Wk-32237 and Wk-32238 which are on sedge. Calibrations undertaken using OxCal v.4.2 (IntCal09 curve selection) (Bronk Ramsey 2009; Reimer et al. 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Wk lab. code</th>
<th>δ^13^C %₀</th>
<th>F^14^C%</th>
<th>^14^C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Median age cal BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unmodelled calibrated age</td>
<td>Unmodelled calibrated age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BP (68.3% probability)</td>
<td>BP (95.4% probability)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Square A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Wk lab. code</th>
<th>δ^13^C %₀</th>
<th>F^14^C%</th>
<th>^14^C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Median age cal BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>30793</td>
<td>-27.8±0.2</td>
<td>107.5±0.4</td>
<td>1303–1339</td>
<td>1292–1364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27A</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>29539</td>
<td>-26.6±0.2</td>
<td>83.7±0.2</td>
<td>1425±25</td>
<td>1323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>30795</td>
<td>-25.0±0.2</td>
<td>72.9±0.2</td>
<td>2539±25</td>
<td>2629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Square B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Wk lab. code</th>
<th>δ^13^C %₀</th>
<th>F^14^C%</th>
<th>^14^C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Median age cal BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>31824</td>
<td>-23.0±0.2</td>
<td>84.8±0.3</td>
<td>1327±25</td>
<td>1273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>31227</td>
<td>-24.8±0.2</td>
<td>82.3±0.3</td>
<td>1561±25</td>
<td>1465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>63–65*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>32235</td>
<td>-24.6±0.2</td>
<td>73.6±0.2</td>
<td>2466±25</td>
<td>2565</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Wk lab. code</th>
<th>δ^13^C %₀</th>
<th>F^14^C%</th>
<th>^14^C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Median age cal BP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>67–70*</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>32236</td>
<td>-26.1±0.2</td>
<td>72.9±0.2</td>
<td>2541±25</td>
<td>2631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>31228</td>
<td>-25.3±0.2</td>
<td>73.1±0.2</td>
<td>2513±25</td>
<td>2586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XU</td>
<td>Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>Wk lab. code</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C‰</td>
<td>F$^{14}$C%</td>
<td>$^{14}$C age (years BP)</td>
<td>Unmodelled calibrated age BP (68.3% probability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Square C</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>29538</td>
<td>−26.5±0.2</td>
<td>83.7±0.2</td>
<td>1433±25</td>
<td>1305–1343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>31230</td>
<td>−9.0±0.2</td>
<td>79.7±0.2</td>
<td>1823±25</td>
<td>1720–1743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>52–54*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>32237</td>
<td>−9.2±0.2</td>
<td>79.9±0.2</td>
<td>1805±25</td>
<td>1706–1742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>54–56*</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>32238</td>
<td>−10.3±0.2</td>
<td>79.3±0.2</td>
<td>1863±25</td>
<td>1738–1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>31232</td>
<td>−24.4±0.2</td>
<td>72.8±0.2</td>
<td>2552±25</td>
<td>2618–2634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>29540</td>
<td>−25.2±0.2</td>
<td>73.1±0.2</td>
<td>2522±25</td>
<td>2519–2526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>31233</td>
<td>−25.9±0.2</td>
<td>73.1±0.2</td>
<td>2516±25</td>
<td>2515–2527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stratified Lapita sites yet found on the island of New Guinea—as well as from Lapita sites in Island Melanesia. Examples of similar dentate-stamped Lapita sherds with flat lips from the Solomon Islands are illustrated in Figure 9a–c.

**Fingernail/other-tool-impressed decoration**

Two conjoining body sherds from the base of SU4 have fingernail or other tool impressions along a thickened vessel wall, probably a thickened collar (Figures 10a & 11a). A similarly decorated sherd with fingernail or other tool impressions along a probable thickened collar and along a carination lay horizontally at the base of SU4 immediately above culturally sterile SU5 (at a stratigraphic transition representing an ancient surface); it was removed from the west wall of Square A (see Figures 10b, 11b & 12). Such fingernail/other tool impressions along thickened collars and carinations are consistent with decorative conventions and positioning along pronounced vessel contours on contemporaneous and near-contemporaneous late Lapita pottery elsewhere in the Pacific, including Caution Bay at 2600 cal BP (where finger and/or other tool, rather than fingernail, impressions along thickened collars and carinations occur) (e.g. Wahome 1997: 118–19; White & Harris 1997: fig. 3; Anson 1999; Bedford 1999: 128).
Discussion: hypothesising late Lapita southern coast expansions

The tracking of ancient beach-lines progressively inland along the southern coast of PNG has led to the recovery of buried dentate-stamped ceramics dating to shortly before 2600 cal BP some 4.5km inland from the present coastline. At that time, site OJS was a near-beach or beach-fronting location. These results indicate a mean local coastal progradation rate of 1.6–1.8m per year for the past c. 2600 years.

Five dentate-stamped and three fingernail/other-tool-impressed sherds from this period share decorative conventions only known along the southern coast of PNG from contemporaneous late Lapita sites. This was a time when Lapita pottery was current in the villages of Caution Bay near present-day Port Moresby 230km to the east of Hopo (David et al. 2011; McNiven et al. 2011). Thus Lapita influence appears to have extended along the Papuan coastline for at least 645km as the crow flies, or 740km following the coastline north-west from the eastern tip of New Guinea.

© Antiquity Publications Ltd.
We do not yet know with any certainty which of a number of colonisation scenarios best explains the Hopo ceramic horizon dated to c. 2600 cal BP, as the recovered archaeological materials are insufficient to tell and radiocarbon dates are ambiguous on this question. The Hopo evidence could signal: 1) the presence of a short-lived Lapita village nearby (perhaps an offshore stilt village); 2) a fleeting and archaeologically instantaneous visit by passing Austronesian-speaking ceramicists; or 3) down-the-line exchange of pots and/or ideas from Austronesian-speaking Lapita people to ancestral non-Austronesian Keuru speakers who are the predecessors of those who currently occupy the region. Nor do we yet know where these
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Figure 11. Fingernail/other-tool-impressed sherds: a) Square CXU35; b) sherd removed from the west wall of Square A (see Figure 12). Photographs by Steve Morton.

Figure 12. Fingernail/other-tool-impressed sherd lying near the base of SU4 just above culturally sterile SU5 (see Figures 10b & 11b).
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ceramics were manufactured (temper and clay analyses ‘without sources’ (Summerhayes & Allen 2007) are in planning). Equally, we also recognise that in addition to dentate-stamped pottery, the Lapita Cultural Complex also includes a range of other items including distinctive axes/adzes made of shell and stone and a range of other portable artefacts (Kirch 1997). Yet the identification of pottery displaying Lapita decorative conventions at Hopo c. 2600 cal BP, coupled with evidence derived from a number of sites around the Gulf of Papua and environs, allow us an opportunity to hypothesise that people living along the Papuan southern coast shared cultural connections with Lapita pottery makers further to the east. Given that the only two other confirmed locations of ceramics of that age along the southern coast are Lapita villages at Caution Bay, and the local manufacture of limited quantities of ceramics of unknown cultural attribution in Torres Strait, we suggest that the Hopo finds represent a local Lapita outpost rather than in-traded ceramics at a non-Lapita settlement. Either way, it would seem unlikely that we have fortuitously located the only Lapita-period Austronesian contact (trade) location or outpost far removed and isolated from communities to the east at Caution Bay, and we expect further investigations to uncover additional evidence of contemporaneous ceramic-using communities in other places along the southern coast of PNG.

Recent discoveries of long-lived Lapita settlements dating from 2900 to 2600 cal BP at Caution Bay to the east; more ephemeral locally manufactured red-slipped ceramics dating to 2600 cal BP in Torres Strait to the west; and the onset of the maritime Torres Strait Cultural Complex involving seafaring made possible by the theorised presence of Melanesian outrigger canoes commencing c. 2600 cal BP across Torres Strait (Barham 2000) suggest that Lapita seafarers systematically explored and established settlements along the southern coast of PNG during terminal Lapita times at a time when Lapita was breaking down (as evidenced by the end of dentate-stamped ceramics at Caution Bay c. 2600 cal BP). We hypothesise that this period of expansion witnessed heightened levels of seafaring by Austronesian-speaking terminal Lapita peoples expanding westward as emerging generations opened up their world towards new territorial and social opportunities in the face of increasing population levels. The Proto-Oceanic (ancestral to most Oceanic languages) terms *qa-lapa(s) (‘chief, senior person of a descent group’), *qa-diki (‘first-born son of a chief’) and related terms flag the practice of hereditary chieftainship among Austronesian-speaking Lapita peoples and the privileged position of first-born sons in continuing male lineages, signalling also the need for subsequent sons to establish their own lineages in new places (Pawley 1982; Bellwood 1996: 20–21). The expansions seen along the southern coast of PNG during late Lapita times may be symptomatic of increasing populations and the departure of non-first-born sons from home villages to establish offshoot male lineages in connected but novel locations. In doing so, founder populations created networks of connected but semi-independent outposts. In time, these led to the situation known ethnographically, where long-distance maritime trade linked the entire southern coast of PNG in an inter-connected chain of communication and interaction. We suggest that further exploration of Lapita presence this far west should
target now-inland coastlines along the northern and southern coasts of New Guinea to the west of the ‘Lapita homeland’ in the Bismarck Archipelago.
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