

Qualitative Inquiry

<http://qix.sagepub.com/>

Reliquaries and a Poetic Sensibility

Robert E. Rinehart

Qualitative Inquiry published online 3 February 2014

DOI: 10.1177/1077800413513742

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://qix.sagepub.com/content/early/2014/01/31/1077800413513742>

A more recent version of this article was published on - Apr 18, 2014

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

Additional services and information for *Qualitative Inquiry* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://qix.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://qix.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>


Version of Record - Apr 18, 2014

>> [OnlineFirst Version of Record](#) - Feb 3, 2014

[What is This?](#)

Reliquaries and a Poetic Sensibility

Robert E. Rinehart¹

Qualitative Inquiry
1–6
© The Author(s) 2014
Reprints and permissions:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
DOI: 10.1177/1077800413513742
qix.sagepub.com


Abstract

Reliquaries are forms for remembrance, but they are also enactments, processes of just that remembering. In this piece, through five exemplar poems, I examine “reliquary” as noun and “reliquary” as verb.

Keywords

new methods and methodologies, methodologies, investigative poetry, methods of inquiry, writing as method of inquiry

Brenda's Reliquary¹
in Galesberg, Illinois:
site of Carl Sandburg's birthplace

Give me a simple stack-framed house,
the white clapboard sparse & direct.
Don't assume my complexity,
take me back to green trees, picket
fences, green gables, rockers on the porch,
neighbors, & barbeques that matter.

Your relics recollect the good times.
Momentary lapses vanish, sanded
away, the raised nap forgotten.
Whitewash plain as the nose
spread across your Swedish face: honest
for none of these complex situations: & yet.

Collective memory is false. Where, for instance,
lives the heated silence, the damping
to reconfigure your stubborn will. Where is
the knotty affair, now long forgotten?
Sanded away, gnarly roughness, like knuckles
gone bad, once functional. Where joy replaced
pain, like weeds choked
out by the colored tulips gaily
parading outside the museum-house:
that statuary glares in the steamy afternoon.

Oh: but where oh
where rests the memory
of hands held, your great love unspoken

¹University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

Corresponding Author:

Robert E. Rinehart, Sport and Leisure Studies, University of Waikato,
Private Bag 3240, Hamilton 3240, NZ/Aotearoa.
Email: rinehart@waikato.ac.nz

& secret, a glance, a touch: where
lives your past, your real inner past?

The Cenotaph²

It's not knocking about through the flying tracers
heart beating in rhythm to the echoing hits on trees
time slowing like a hashish movie, stretching out—
the bullets inconsequential.

It's not the flames scorching
your eyebrows to wretched hyphens, the timbers
falling 'round you, your oxygen the only umbilicus
to life, to the pint that sits on Haley's counter-top,
like a wet circle of foam pulling you back.

These Iwo Jima moments, these jarhead
nostalgias don't capture time & its reach:
for anyone will tell you it's more about stepping
forward, day after day, lonely & uncomplaining,

pulling from the guts to test against unrelenting
boredom, gathering the vague storms of loneliness
to your breast, coaching yourself to hold onto the dream,
to make the play, to trust that the future will occur.

Anyone will tell you. But you have to listen.

On hearing Diane Wakoski read
at California State University, San Bernardino³

I

What a relief to see normal
thongs hearing you read;
perhaps not: the wild-haired
woman, silvery hair, behind
me reading audibly from Norton's
a frustrated PhD candidate
thrusting his spectacles up
one more notch, loudly
noticing, "Some of them
have undoubtedly been coerced here."

Knowledge is bribery, but I've come
of my own free will, twice published,
separate from the others, pin-striped,
I wear no coat, though outside it's still
quite cold.

II

Your fingers dipped into peanut butter jars, or trembling while chasing Kunitz's scavengings round a Safeway ("how mundane," you seem to say, and pull it off), praying secularly for a pumpkin to set, capturing shiny smooth, exotic loquats, devouring them, juice, skin, and flesh at once.

III

And you share them. Leaving,
I settle, shivering, into my Toyota pickup, drive slowly past the bleached car park, the quiet hawking electricity of 7-Elevens, the shiny smooth, exotic Strategic Air Command units of Norton:

I pull next to a ripening orange grove,
see some primal tension in it all,
throw back my fool head, and laugh
till I cry.

(previously published in *Negative Capability X(1)*, Rinehart, 1990)

Diving practice: Fresno, 1937

For Sammy Lee

They stopped the plunge
up tight as three hundred
bronzed under the sun;
(while football doubles piled on,
even before Manzanar)
split vision, not even
mirages of drink,
hard shade almost
scuttled from itself.

The waters, reptilian, ran cool
as wet sand—or melting cubes
the iced drinks wasted.

Sign, wondrous and white,
delicately banal, a denial
to the Korean baby
who contaminated the sweetness
with his yellowed legs, stalks
of fat straws, ecrued waters,
now emptied, sucking, drained
because of his innocence.

On Reading Ray Carver's Poems⁴

Such a feeling, to inhabit your life . . .
like intruding.

But surely you were giving
more than a recipe for death;
it seemed the only portion you lacked
(or maybe took for granted,
as natural as smoking)
was that one sure self-knowledge:
immortality.

It's a reason to go on.
It compels me to send the alimony,
to go through the workday alone.

In one sense, a *reliquary* is a type of shrine, a container that holds sacred objects. Though related to the *relic*—which is a “souvenir of the dead which is the mere material remains of what had possessed human significance” (Stewart, 1993, p. 140)—a reliquary is a repository for dear objects of desire. A reliquary holds a place of memory, not simply a form of materiality, for its possessor. What is dear to one person may not be dear to another: One example is the baseball reliquary, where sacred memorabilia take on totemic qualities (cf., Malcolm, 2011). But the “reliquary” is not simply this noun, this holder of celebrated objects of desire: “Reliquary” can be a process, a feeling, an active presence, an act itself. As reliquary slides from sacred object to embodiment, it takes on an agency that mere objects cannot.

As an example, Leslie Arnovick (2006) has written about medieval English oral speech as a performance, as “nothing less than the power of the poet. As it demonstrates expressive creativity, speech echoes the poetic act” (Arnovick, 2006, p. 10). Thus, the speaker (whose agency is then transferred to the writer: the oral speech/dialogue transposed to the written word) himself or herself becomes a performative act, an embodied and willful act in which the speaker “exercises agency”:

The utterance of a performative embodies its speaker's instrumentality. For example, *promises* represent their speaker's intention, as do curses and directives. Performative acts such as these do more than express intention, however; through them a speaker exercises agency. (Arnovick, 2006, p. 10, italics in original)

Thus, the reliquary that houses these performative acts, these acts of agency, serves as a synecdoche for the performance itself. It is in the very act of crying out, of writing to

the past, that the writer both demonstrates and enacts a sacred trust in the objects she or he has crafted. The simple act of “reliquarying” enables this sacred object to come to embody the oral or written language as performative. Essentially, these forms of a noun/verb amalgamation create a space for the interstitial gerund form that dances between object and process.

The ethnographic project(s) have both worshiped and criticized their own material and processual reliquaries. Somewhat different than a sacred canon, a reliquary of ethnographic objects—like any individual's sacred box—is simultaneously individualistic and emblematic of a larger group worship of sacred objects. Thus, object reliquaries might include pedagogical items/texts that insist on a breadth of knowledge of the classics in one's field or simply an acquaintance with the “important” sacred individuals in one's area. (Of course, this creation of hierarchies reflects historical, memorial, and nostalgic effects as well as a variety of politicized stratifications that justify one's epistemological stance.)

Reliquaries might contain an eclectic mix, the patterns emerging only after hard arduous study. In a Māori worldview, the interesting blend of individual and societal saliences—in effect, of the universal singular—works to form both recognizable and idiosyncratic types of reliquaries (cf. Coleridge, 1817/1973; Denzin, 1989; Mills, 1959):

The reliquary can serve for the wholeness of “spirit, action and metaphor”:

The fusion of creative practice, ritual action and ceremonial presence in weaving Maori cloaks, *kakahu*, typifies a cultural attitude in which the sacred and the secular are inseparable. (MacAulay & Te Waru-Rewiri, 1997, p. 195)

The first cloak—the beginning effort at weaving—is traditionally given to an elder family member, or buried in the ground (given back to “Papatuanuku, the earth [who reclaims her ‘own’]”) much like the placenta is sometimes buried (MacAulay & Te Waru-Rewiri, 1997, p. 196). This infuses the ground with a deeper connection to the *whanau*, or family. Further,

The spirit of the piece, the history of the weaving’s source, its resultant lineage, and the apparent tracings of descent and ownership, contribute to the idea of the woven cloaks as reliquaries—not primarily objects of devotion but containers of the relics of time, cultural survivals, aesthetic decisions, past use and former contexts. This is the notion behind the cloaks as treasures, *taonga*, as sacred and collective history. (MacAulay & Te Waru-Rewiri, 1997, p. 196)

The woven *kakahu* serve as living objects, imbued with a spirit and life force.

In the following poetic speech acts, I call out to the reader to engage with the reliquary in terms of its “objectness” as a noun, but also in terms of its active movement that serves to sanctify these objects, to recognize their calls for reflection, for slowness in the way Kundera (1996) celebrates it, for noticing. These speech acts offer exemplars of what I have begun to call “the poetic sensibility” (Rinehart, 2010). In an age where instrumentality is privileged,⁵ the contemporary subject must re-acquaint herself or himself with the meander, the connection with imbricated pasts, the collective—and allow our human cultural reliquaries voice: We must learn from our pasts.

And we do it by means of this bricolage, this joining together of different nouns (e.g., “. . . as a maker of quilts, or, as in filmmaking, a person who assembles images into montages . . .”; Denzin & Lincoln, 2005, p. 4) but also the merging of sensibilities: The bricolage, like the reliquary, serves as site for action, a site for the verbing of the objects within. The sense of movement, of the crafting of the object—not simply the object itself—is alive both in the Māori *kakahu* and in, as Denzin and Lincoln (2005) put it, other forms. They write,

Montage and pentimento, like jazz, which is improvisation, create the sense that images, sounds, and understandings are blending together, overlapping, forming a composite, a new creation. (p. 4)

These forms—montage from film, pentimento in painting, improvisation from jazz, and so on—all correspond with the reliquary, as they are processual, developing, changing right before our eyes.

Hal Foster (1985) makes an interesting point when he discusses the early surrealists’ use of a bricolage that “politicized rather than aestheticized the primitivist-imperialist connection” (p. 200) in terms of its disruptive potential:

they prized in the tribal object not its *raisonnable* form but its *bricolé* heterogeneity, not its mediatory possibilities but its transgressive value. In short, the primitive appeared less as a solution to western aesthetic problems than as a disruption of western solutions. Rather than seek to master the primitive—or, alternatively, to fetishize its difference into opposition or identity—these primitivists welcomed “the unclassified, unsought Other.” (p. 200, quote from Clifford, 1981, p. 564)

Of course, Foster (1985) eschews the dualistic nature of this simplistic western binary as it is posed. He points out that “the other might appropriate the forms of the modern capitalist west and fragment them with indigenous ones in a reflexive, critical montage of synthetic contradictions” (p. 201). Further reducing the romance of the imagined reliquary as object—and perhaps unconsciously carving out space for a new indigenous bricolage of reliquary as an embodied action filled with “poetic sensibility”—Foster continues,

Bricolage remains a strategic practice, for just as the concept of myth demystifies “natural” modes of expression and “neutral” uses of other-cultural forms, so too the device of *bricolage* deconstructs such notions as a modern-tribal “affinity” or modernist “universality” and such constructs as a fixed primitive “essence” or a stable western “identity.” (p. 202)

As we embrace the concepts of what a reliquary *is*, what a reliquary *does*, it moves us as scholars, as readers, as writers, to celebrate the other. In honoring past humans who have lived on our planet, we honor present relationships with nature, with each other, with the processes that make life a dynamic process, not simply a noun-thing.

The poems are meant to celebrate people as verbs, people’s lived lives. They are about poets with a sensitivity to form, writers who are attuned to the music and harmony of life, athletes whose command of their body shapes their own worldview. These poems note the disjunctures of lived lives (Wakoski’s loquats, Carver’s worldview, Sandburg’s knotty affair, Lee’s chagrin at American racism)—they are meant as poems that notice key bits, but not totalities, of these lives. Just as Renfrew (2006) notes the apprehension of artistic Cycladic sculpture as art forms—situating them and others within revered reliquaries of art—so too have the creations of these notables become a part of my own personal canon. But note well that the “poetic sensibility” brought to bear on these public figures is just as artificial (i.e., cut through with artifice) as the cenotaphs in one poem: empty of substance while standing in for a myriad of emotions, remembrances, memories.

Our writing is a placeholder of partialities for the wholeness and intricate fabric of life itself. We can no more “know” Sandburg’s true relationship to Brenda Ueland than we can know our own motives for some of the decisions we make in our own lives. Furthermore, to ask the primary mover within a lived life may sometimes approximate closer

knowledge, but the indefinable “poetic sensibility”—an openness to form, to possibilities, to process, and to others—only can *approach* a more intimate understanding of others.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Notes

1. Carl Sandburg was an American poet, born in 1878, died in 1967. Brenda Ueland was an American journalist and writer, born in 1891, died in 1985.
2. A cenotaph is an empty (usually mass) grave, often signifying unknown (and often unimaginable) deaths.
3. Diane Wakoski is an American poet, born in 1937.
4. Raymond Carver was an American short story writer and poet, born in 1938, died in 1988.
5. See, for example, the wide range of discourse regarding instrumental forms of pedagogy (e.g., Giroux & Giroux, 2006; Tinning, 1992), the military (e.g., Bamford, 2005; Chomsky, 1999; Harvey, 2007), business (e.g., Gough, 2002), conflict resolution (e.g., Lipschutz, 1998; Roy, 2004), where a capitalist rhetoric of progress and improvement (cf. Sutton-Smith, 2001) serves as an invisible default discourse.

References

- Arnovick, L. K. (2006). *Written reliquaries: The resonance of orality in Medieval English Texts*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Bamford, J. (2005). *A pretext for war: 9/11, Iraq, and the abuse of America's intelligence agencies*. New York, NY: Anchor Books.
- Chomsky, N. (1999). *Profit over people: Neoliberalism and global order*. New York, NY: Seven Stories Press.
- Clifford, J. (1981). On ethnographic surrealism. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 23, 539-564.
- Coleridge, S. T. (1973). *Biographica Literaria*. In W. Heath (Ed.), *Major British poets of the romantic period*. New York, NY: Macmillan. (Original work published 1817)
- Denzin, N. K. (1989). *Interpretive interactionism* (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: SAGE.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative inquiry* (3rd ed., pp. 1-32). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Foster, H. (1985). *Recodings: Art, spectacle, cultural politics*. Seattle, WA: Bay Press.
- Giroux, H. A., & Giroux, S. S. (2006). Challenging neoliberalism's new world order: The promise of critical pedagogy. *Cultural Studies ↔ Critical Methodologies*, 6, 21-32.
- Gough, J. (2002). Neoliberalism and socialisation in the contemporary city: Opposites, complements and instabilities. *Antipode*, 34, 405-426.
- Harvey, D. (2007). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Kundera, M. (1996). *Slowness* (L. Asher, Trans.). New York, NY: HarperCollins.
- Lipschutz, R. D. (1998). Beyond the neoliberal peace: From conflict resolution to social reconciliation. *Social Justice*, 25(4), 5-19.
- MacAulay, S., & Te Waru-Rewiri, K. (1997). *Maori weaving: The intertwining of spirit, action and metaphor*. Sacred and Ceremonial Textiles: Proceedings of the Fifth Biennial Symposium of the Textile Society of America, Chicago, IL. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/tsaconf/858>
- Malcom, D. (2011). *Post-Cooperstown post modernism: The baseball reliquary and the future of Nostalgia*. Retrieved from <http://sabr.org/research/post-cooperstown-post-modernism-baseball-reliquary-and-future-nostalgia>
- Mills, C. W. (1959). *The sociological imagination*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Renfrew, C. (2006). *Figuring it out: Who are we? Where do we come from?* London, England: Thames & Hudson.
- Rinehart, R. (1990). On hearing Diane Wakoski read at California State University, San Bernardino. *Negative Capability*, 10(1), 79-80.
- Rinehart, R. E. (2010). Poetic sensibilities, humanities, and wonder: Toward an e/affective sociology of sport. *Quest*, 62, 184-201.
- Roy, A. (2004). *An ordinary person's guide to empire*. Cambridge, MA: South End Press.
- Stewart, S. (1993). *On longing: Narratives of the miniature, the gigantic, the souvenir, the collection*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Sutton-Smith, B. (2001). *The ambiguity of play*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Tinning, R. (1992). On speeches, dreams and realities: Physical education in the Year 2001. *ACHPER National Journal*, 138, 24-24.

Author Biography

Robert E. Rinehart is an associate professor in the Department of Sport and Leisure Studies at the University of Waikato. He has coedited *Ethnographic Worldviews: Transformations and Social Justice* (with Karen Barbour and Clive Pope), and *To the Extreme: Alternative Sports, Inside and Out* (with Synthia Sydnor), and authored *Players All: Performances in Contemporary Sport*. He is the convenor for the Contemporary Ethnography Across the Disciplines conference (cead.org.nz).