

Science Leaders: Dr Nick Cradock-Henry Dr Julia Becker





Presenter(s):
Professor Iain White (UoW)
Dr Sarah Beaven (UoC)

Resilience in Practice Model



Resilience in Practice Model

Departure Point 1...







Least affordable intl housing markets by house price to income ratio

- 1 Hong Kong
- 2 Vancouver
- 3 Sydney
- 4 Melbourne
- 5 Santa Cruz, CA
- 6 San Jose, CA
- 7 Los Angeles
- 8 Tauranga/Bay of Plenty, NZ
- 9 Auckland
- 10 San Francisco

Demographia Intl Housing Affordability Survey 2019

O 437 9:35 AM - Feb 6, 2019

VOLUNTARY RETREAT



Resilience in Practice Model

Departure Point 2...



Resilience in Practice Model

Destination and Positioning...

Identifying the most critical/interesting questions for Resilience in Practice from different perspectives and experiences (climate change and disaster management, proactive and reactive)

- 1) Risk to people and places is mediated via long-standing practices of Political, Institutional, and Professional Risk Management
- 2) While characteristics like **adaptability, flexibility, and transformation are key for resilience**, politics, institutions, professional cultures, etc, can be **conservative** (liability, defensibility, etc) & path dependent. Recognise institution/politics can be 'resilient' and resist change.
- 3) Innovation holds inherent **Political, Institutional, and Professional Risk**. Recognise the power of the status quo and 'non-decisions'. The need to manage professional and political risk may **transfer risk to people and places**.
- 4) Importance of understanding forces that resist change eg institutions are designed to efficiently deliver the same outcomes in the same ways, or 'career-limiting' decisions.
- 5) Importance of issues such as **trust** (in government and science), **values**, shared understandings, and the political/institutional difficulty in **delivering more contested aspects of resilience**.





The Research Focus

What is our research focus? - Many 'pathways' to resilience in practice — some deficit related (e.g. science, data, policy, or examples), others require systemic change and innovation — this is what we are interested in. There is also a plurality of resilience 'problems' - some do not fit politics, institutions and professional cultures as well as others — these difficult decisions are what we are interested in. Complement other RPM work.

What is our intellectual Focus? – Science & Technology Studies (STS). A relatively newish field, it is focused on analysing the ways that social contexts (e.g. legal, governmental, political, commercial, civil, cultural) produce, mediate, and co-constitute scientific knowledge. Shift away from 'barriers' or 'translation' approaches.

How will we do this? — Working with institutions and practitioners we will select and develop a series of case studies focusing on critical resilience problems that **persist and resist.** These are up for debate, e.g. extend Managed Retreat work, Long-term change on Hauraki Plains, Insurance, Canterbury SLR, etc. This will enable us to engage with the messy reality of lived politics/institutional experiences/market forces/professional concerns. Work with actors and agencies throughout to specifically co-create **safe spaces for innovation**.

What are we looking to do? –

- We will interrogate the nature of the RIP science-policy-practice interface, researching how resistance to innovation and change is systemic, contextual & uneven between types of resilience practices/institutions
- By focusing on factors concerned with resistance to change this research will assist the potential of other science, tools, and policies from multiple areas to have real-world impact.



In Short....we want to 'de-risk' innovation & transformation

