OVERVIEW OF INDONESIAN ISLAMIC EDUCATION
A Social, Historical and Political Perspective

A thesis
Submitted in partial fulfillment of
The requirement for the degree
of
Master of Philosophy
at
The School of Education
The University of Waikato
by
Rusydy Zakaria

The University of Waikato
2007

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to examine how the historical genealogy of Islamic educational tradition, particularly the tradition of teaching and learning, has contributed to the development of Islamic education in Indonesia. By drawing together in an analytic way a historically based description of the social and political circumstances surrounding Indonesian Islamic education, the study discusses some significant issues concerning the religious base, knowledge base, structural form, and the pedagogical approach of Indonesian Islamic education, all of which are important to the development of a modern form of Islamic education.

The argument of the thesis is that the existing values of the Islamic tradition in education, particularly evident in Madrasah schools, provide a valuable basis for further developing and reconstructing an effective Islamic education system in Indonesia. However, there is also a strong need to construct an Islamic education curriculum in Indonesia that can meet the challenge posed by the circumstances generally understood as 'modernity'. The quality of teaching and learning in the Madrasah are very much influenced by the quality of the wider Islamic education programme. Any change in the curriculum of Islamic education will thus have significant effects on the quality of the Madrasah schools in Indonesia. This thesis will thus conclude by suggesting some implications for further development of Islamic education that arise from the study.

This is a qualitative study using an historical genealogical approach to discover, understand and analyze the challenges currently facing Islamic education In Indonesia. The techniques for collecting data involved, primarily, a critical reading of historical and contemporary policy documents. Primary and secondary sources were also collected, studied and subjected to a critical reading in the production of this account of Indonesian Islamic education.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study has been achieved as a result of the contribution of some significant persons. First of all, I would like to thank *Professor Stephen May and Dr. Nesta Devine*, my chief and co-supervisor respectively, for the painstaking guidance, encouragement and invaluable criticism they have given to me in the completion of this thesis.

A special word of thanks to *Dr. Wendy Drewery*, former Assistant Dean – Graduate Studies, School of Education, for her invaluable assistance during my study at the University of Waikato. Also, I would like to thank *Dr. Jane Strachan, Dr. Jane Robertson, Associate Professor Beverly Bell and Dr. Miles Baker, and Andrea Haines* for their support and encouragement during the process of my study at the University of Waikato.

I would like to thank several persons who also gave valuable contributions: *Prof. Dr. Husni Rahim,Prof. Dr. Bambang Pranowo, Drs. Mudjahid AK, M.Sc, Drs. Mohammad Irfan, M.Pd., and Drs.H. Tarmizi S*, from the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) for their support in allowing me this opportunity to take this programme. Also, I would like to thank the Asian Development Bank (ADB) of Indonesian Resident Mission and the Development of Madrasah Aliyah Project (DMAP) staff for their assistance during my study in New Zealand.

I must thank my wife, *Rabiah Fattah*, and my children *Rian, Riry and Rina* for their patience, and my brother and sister in law Chris Rowe and Rahwia Fattah for their invaluable support. Finally, I would like to present this study to my mother, *Sari Fatimah,* for her patience and encouragement to continue studying overseas.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Background of the study

Indonesian cultural identity has emerged from a long and complex history. It derived influences from many sources, such as: local and indigenous traditions, Chinese, Hindu, Buddhist, Islamic and Western traditions. These traditions have contributed significantly to the establishment of the current Indonesian cultural identity. The Chinese culture introduced a way of life based on home settlement and a culture of planting rice seeds to the Indonesian people. The Indian culture taught how to obtain writing skills. Concurrently, the Islamic culture brought an understanding of how to have a monotheistic belief and related Islamic knowledge. In addition, Western culture acquainted the Indonesian people with the prospects of a better life by using Western knowledge and technology. Koentjaraningrat (1987) suggested that Hindu and Buddhist, Islam and Western culture became the important traditions, which influenced the current form of Indonesian cultural identity. Therefore, the nature of the Indonesian education is similarly likely to be influenced by these traditions.

Before the coming of Islam, the nature of Indonesian education was influenced strongly by Hindu and Buddhist traditions. It was characterized by an exclusive system in which education belonged to a high class society, particularly those families who were close to the ruling class (King). During the Dutch colonial era, education (government education) was only provided for Dutch, Chinese and Indonesian noble families, especially those who were able to work collaboratively with the Colonial Government. Meanwhile, the ordinary Indonesian people did not have the opportunity to enter the Dutch colonial school and most of them did not attend school. Nonetheless, some of them, notably from Moslem families, sent their children to study at Islamic educational institutions, such as: Pesantren[1]

---

[1] Zamakhsyari Dhofir in his book: Pesantren Tradition, Study of Kiyai's way of life (1982) described that Pesantren are traditional Islamic boarding schools in which santri (students) lived and learned together under the supervision of one or more Kiyai (religious leader). The student dormitory is a special characteristic of the Pesantren tradition and took place in the Pesantren complex and close to the Kiyai. In this area also can be found a mosque for doing salat (prayer), room for learning, teachers' houses and many other religious

(Traditional Islamic Boarding School) or Madrasah[2] (Islamic School), which focus specifically on Islamic teaching (Steenbrink, 1986).

Islamic education and its intellectual tradition

Islamic education in Indonesia has a long history. The arrival of Islam in the 7th century heralded a starting point for the establishment of Islamic education in Indonesia. Nurcholish Madjid in his book *Our Indonesia* (2004) argued that "Moslem traders from Persia, Gujarat (India) and later Arabia played a significant role in spreading Islam and in contributing to the formation of an Islamic Kingdom in the Indonesian archipelago. Aside from being traders, they also played a role as 'religious teachers' for the wider society. Their trade places or homes (inns) had become a place for teaching, called 'Pondok', where people came "to get religious advice" (p.13). From this time onwards, these Pondok developed to become our Islamic educational institutions in their current form 'Pondok Pesantren', with a set of distinctive Indonesian characteristics. Similar institutions in different places have different names, such as: Surau in West Sumatra, Dayah or Meunasah in Aceh and Pesantren in Jawa. Subsequently, from such institutions emerged a new classical form of Islamic educational institution called *Madrasah* (Azra, 1999). For many Moslem traders who spread the ideas of Islam, teaching became a core part of their Islamic duties. As the prophet Muhammad said: 'Teach, even though it is only one word'. Based on this principle, (religious) teaching became an important duty for every Moslem, because this duty becomes part of his or her submission to Allah (God).

The ultimate aim of Islamic education lies in the realization of complete submission to Allah (God). As Khusro (1979) has pointed out, the basic feature of Islamic tradition is the belief in Allah, the faith in the prophet Muhammad, in which the basic attitude of all human activity is based on the teaching of Allah. In this context, every Moslem should remain fully

---

activities. Very commonly, the Pesantren area belonged to the Kiyai and was separated from other society settlements (p.9).

[2] The word madrasah came from the Arabic language, which meant: 'reading and learning' or 'place for learning'. When it is translated into Indonesian language it comes to mean school. However, actually in Indonesia, madrasah does not exactly equate with school, rather it has a specific meaning as a religious school in which students are taught many aspects of Islam and Islamic religious tradition (Ministry of Religious Affairs, 2004).

committed to the Quran and the Sunnah (tradition), which is the fundamental tenet of Islam. The Quran and Sunnah are the perennial foundation for the Islamic system, legislation, social and economic organizations, and the basis of both religious and general education (Al-Saud, 1979). Islamic education is based on the principles and cultural climate of Islamic values and norms. Both the Quran and the Sunnah are fundamental sources in developing Islamic Education. Furthermore, the Quran and the Sunnah played a dual role in creating and developing knowledge. First, every Moslem believed that the Quran and Sunnah (Traditions) contain the entire principles of knowledge. Second, the Quran and the Sunnah created a conducive climate for developing knowledge by highlighting teaching and learning as a main priority in Moslem life. One of the most prominent of Allah's statement in the Quran is, Surah Al-'Alaq, Verses 1 to 5, that is:

(In Arabic Language)

بِسْمِ اللهِ الرَّحْمٰنِ الرَّحِيْمِ

اقْرَأْ بِاسْمِ رَبِّكَ الَّذِيْ خَلَقَ ۚ خَلَقَ الْاِنْسَانَ مِنْ عَلَقٍ ۚ
اِقْرَأْ وَرَبُّكَ الْاَكْرَمُ ۙ الَّذِيْ عَلَّمَ بِالْقَلَمِ ۙ عَلَّمَ الْاِنْسَانَ
مَا لَمْ يَعْلَمْ ۗ

Translation:

In the name of God, Most Gracious, Most Merciful.

1. Read! In the name of the Lord and Cherisher, Who created…
2. Created man, out of a (mere) clot of congealed blood.
3. Read! And the Lord is most Bountiful.
4. He who taught the Pen.
5. Taught man that which he knew not.

From this perspective, all metaphysical and cosmological knowledge that emerged from the Quran and Sunnah provides the basic foundation for enhancing Islamic knowledge. Both the Quran and the Sunnah created a special atmosphere that aims to drive intellectual activity in conformity with the Islamic spirit (Azra, 1999). In Islam, teaching and learning become part

of submission to Allah. Teaching and learning is a form of worship by which all Moslems are brought into closer contact with Allah. Thus, Islamic tradition in education has its own aims and mechanisms. For many Moslems, the teaching and learning tradition thus becomes a prominent obligation for them everywhere they live. This commitment provides the basis for developing a religious and knowledge base, that is the intellectual tradition of Islam.

There are many expositions on the nature of knowledge in Islam. This is due to the preeminent position and paramount role accorded to *al'ilm* (knowledge) by God in the Quran. These expositions range from the works on exegeses and commentaries of the Quran and Sunnah, the works on law and jurisprudence, the works on Islamic theology, particularly by Mu'tazilah, and the works on Tassauf (Mysticism). From an Islamic perspective, knowledge can be divided into two kinds. The first is a revealed knowledge given by God through revelation to the prophet Muhammad, and this refers to the Quran and Sunnah. The second kind is scientific knowledge, which is acquired through experience, observation, and research. Both knowledges have to be acquired through conscious *amal* (action). There is no useful knowledge without action resulting from it; and there is no worthwhile action without knowledge (Al-Attas, 1979).

From this point of view, a Moslem never loses sight of his/her relation to God, and education for him/her is an unfolding of sensibilities which draws him/her nearer to God. In Islam, the aims of education are to train young Moslems to have a strong mental discipline and to acquire knowledge, both revealed and scientific knowledge. It is not merely to develop intellectual curiosity but also to develop as rational, righteous beings who can contribute to the spiritual, moral and physical welfare of family, community and mankind and who are governed by the spiritual and deeply felt ethical values of Islam. The ethical values and tradition of Islam are embodied as an essential and comprehensive concept, which sustains a self-contained, unique and distinctive feature of Islamic education. Therefore the aims of Islamic education are to develop a balance between the ethical and the intellectual through training of the human spirit, intellect, rationality, feelings, and practical knowledge, both at the individual and the collective level to achieve goodness and perfection (Husain and Ashraf, 1979).

Islamic education within the national educational system

The administration of the Indonesian educational system, before 1999, was characterized by a highly centralized approach. The main responsibilities were shared between the Ministry of National Education (MoNE) and the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA). MoNE has responsibility for general education, MoRA for religious education – a complete educational system from primary level to university level that makes up 17 % of the national system (Maksum, 1999). The general pattern of Indonesia's schooling is the 6 – 3 – 3 model. That is: six years primary level, three years Junior Secondary level, and three years Senior Secondary level, (the latter offers a general academic track). At the post secondary level are the University, Academies, and Institutes. Aside from these general structures, there are various experimental initiatives of formal and non-formal education that supplement and support formal education (Asian Development Bank, 1992). Meanwhile, on the MoRA side, there are: Madrasah Ibtidaiyah (MI) at primary level, Madrasah Tsanawiyah (MTs) at level junior secondary level, and Madrasah Aliyah (MA) at level senior secondary level. At the tertiary level, there are: Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam/STAIN (State of Islamic Studies College), Institute Agama Islam Negeri/IAIN (State Institute of Islamic Studies), and Universitas Islam Negeri/UIN (State Islamic University) (PT. Amythas Experts and Associates, 2003).

There are thus two types of schooling system in Indonesia: secular and religious education. The secular system refers to general education. It emerged from western tradition and was introduced as a formal schooling system by the Dutch colonial government. After the Indonesian proclamation of independence on August 17, 1945, the new Indonesian government adopted it as the mainstream of the national educational system. The religious system refers to the Islamic educational system, which emerged from the Islamic tradition in the 13th century. During the colonial era, the Dutch government did not support these institutions and so they became isolated from the mainstream of colonial government policy. This created an educational dichotomy: a secular and a religious education. After the Indonesian proclamation on 17 August 1945, the dichotomy continued, because the new Indonesian government adopted the secular schooling system as the mainstream national

form of schooling system and allowed the Islamic education to operate Pesantren and Madrasah as autonomous institutions (Zakaria, 2002).

During the Old Order or Soekarno regime (1945-1965) and the New Order or Soeharto regime (1968-1998), the administration of the Indonesian educational system was fairly fragmented in term of policy and practice, and responsibilities within a highly centralized approach. This approach had a strong effect on the Islamic educational system, particularly the Madrasah system. Madrasah have been faced with a growing number of educational problems resulting from lack of policies and strategies, inequities in management, from too few human and material resources, and from lack of commitment and willingness to improve the quality of the Islamic educational system (Azra, 2002). However, the implementation of the Act 22 and 25 of 1999 concerning the autonomy of the education system had changed radically the nature of the Indonesian educational policy, from a centralized approach to decentralized approach (Tilaar, 2000).

Rationale of the study

There are two principal reasons for conducting this study:

First, Islamic education has played a significant role in educating Indonesian peoples. The Islamic educational institutions, such as: Surau, Meunasah, Dayah, Pesantren, Madrasah and other Islamic institutions have been recognized by the Indonesian government and society as the first educational system, established long before the Dutch's colonial government and Christian missionaries introduced a schooling system. The growth in recognition of the Islamic education system, particularly Pesantren and Madrasah education, has brought new expectations and challenges for all stakeholders of Islamic institutions to improve the quality of the Islamic school (Pesantren and Madrasah) in order to face the demands of modern Indonesian society (Azra, 1999). In order to meet this demand, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) along with all stakeholders, needs to maintain and develop the religious and knowledge base (intellectual tradition) of Islam - that is, educational spirit, motivation and responsibility - through constructing an appropriate Islamic educational system. By examining the positive values of Islamic tradition in education in this respect, it is hoped that a modern form of Islamic education can be established in Indonesia.

Second, Islamic education is now facing the challenge of modern life (modernity). In order to meet the current demands of modern life there is an urgent need to reform the Madrasah school curriculum. The Madrasah schooling system has an opportunity to become an alternative schooling system in modern Indonesian society, if the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) as the main stakeholder has a strong commitment to develop the Madrasah educational system as a center of teaching and learning, providing young Moslems with a religious and knowledge base. Abdullah (2004) recently argued, "religion (Islam) provides a right measurement tool of knowledge (true and false), how to produce knowledge (right and wrong), and the knowledge aims (benefit and risk). All of this knowledge is derived from Islam and can thus be regarded as objective knowledge by its adherents" (p22). One way of understanding the knowledge base of Islamic education is to examine the social, historical and political background of Islamic tradition by exploring the intellectual tradition within Islamic education. This is what this current study attempts to do.

1.2 The study path

The focus of this study is to overview the history of the Indonesian Islamic education system. The study discusses how the genealogy of Islamic tradition in education has occurred in this context, particularly focusing on the tradition of teaching and learning that has contributed to the development of the Islamic intellectual tradition, Al-Quran, for example, has provided several significant principles for education such as respecting human intellect, providing academic guidance, recognizing human nature, and maintaining social needs and benefits (Langgulung, 1980). From these educational principles, Moslem society has been able to develop an Islamic educational system, which has its own characteristics. Azra (1999) has argued that the first characteristic of Islamic education is its emphasis on the seeking, acquiring and developing of knowledge, this duty being part of submission to Allah. Hence, the seeking, acquiring and developing of knowledge in Islam should place emphasis on moral values. In this context, honesty, modesty, and respect for knowledge sources all become important principles to guide a knowledge seeker. The second characteristic of Islamic education is the recognition of human potential and capability to

create one's own personality and to actualize oneself. The third characteristic of Islamic education is that the implementation of knowledge must be based on a strong commitment to Allah and humanity. This is the ultimate aim of Islamic education. As Mastuhu (1988) has pointed out, "the ultimate goal of Islamic education is looking for an Islamic wisdom, that is helping young learners to have a great understanding about their life and existence, role and responsibility in their collective life (society)" (p.280).

All these educational principles and characteristics are embedded and developed in Islamic educational institutions, especially in the Pesantren and Madrasah educational system. In the Pesantren or Madrasah educational system, a Kiyai (Master teacher) or Kepala Madrasah (Head Master) plays a multiple role as a transmitter of knowledge, teacher, counselor, advisor and father: a person who has a strong sense of responsibility and commitment to teach their students. They play a role as resource both of knowledge and of morality. They are identified as a role model in reference to knowledge and morality whose conduct learners try to adopt and follow. Therefore, they must be a committed person imbued with the right kind of moral outlook, possessed of a warm personality and capable of inspiring enthusiasm among the learners for what is being taught and practiced (Husain and Ashraf, 1979).

From an Islamic viewpoint, the profession of teaching in Islam is a sacred mission and a most central theme of Islam. In the nature of Islamic education, it is not enough for someone to be a 'good teacher' in just academic terms. A good teacher has both "academic" qualifications and "good moral character". The main duty of a teacher is to construct the character and morality of learners according to Islamic values and conducts. As Husein and Ashraf (1979) state, 'in the context of Moslem society the teacher has to be a person deeply committed to Islam, not only outwardly but also inwardly. He or she must be a virtuous person, a person of piety who considers it his responsibility to train his pupil to be a good Moslem' (p. 107).

This study discusses some significant issues in the development of a modern form of Islamic education in Indonesia. These include the religious base, knowledge base, structural

form and pedagogical approach of Islamic education there. This requires, in turn, an examination of the social, historical and political background of Islamic education in Indonesia. The study thus begins by exploring the intellectual tradition within the Islamic education system, particularly in the Pesantren and Madrasah school system. It then identifies some significant Islamic values and norms embedded within the Quran, Sunnah, and intellectual tradition of Islam in order to have an understanding of the religious and knowledge base of Islamic education. Next, the study evaluates how Islamic education fits into the educational system in Indonesia and examines some of the problems created by recent changes in government policy in Education. Finally, the study suggests some practical implications of this background for developing effective Islamic Teacher Education in Indonesia in the future.

1.3 Aim of the Study

There are two significant aims for conducting of this study:

First, analyzing the historical perspective of Islamic education as the intellectual tradition of Islam will portray the conditions and challenges associated with developing the Islamic educational system, particularly the Madrasah educational system, in Indonesia. Also, this study will portray the dichotomy of education in Indonesia – thati is, Islamic education and General education. This portrayal will provide valuable input to bridge the gap between the two forms of educational system.

Second, drawing together a historical description of the social, historical and political background of Islamic education will provide valuable input concerning the religious and knowledge base of Islamic education with a view to the development of a new structural form and pedagogical approach for Islamic education in the future.

1.4 Methodology

This is a qualitative study using an historical perspective to discover, understand and analyze the problems in Islamic education, particularly in the context of Indonesian Islamic education. The focus of study is to examine how the historical genealogy of Islamic tradition, particularly the tradition of teaching and learning, can contribute to the

development of Islamic education in Indonesia. The chosen method for this study is historical research. The values of historical research have been categorized by Hill and Kerber as follow: (1) it enables solutions to contemporary problems to be sought in the past; (2) it throws light on present and future trends; (3) it stresses the relative importance and the effect of the various interactions that are to be found within all cultures; (4) it allows for the revaluation of data in relation to select hypotheses and generalizations presently held about the past (cited in Cohen, Manion and Morrison, 2000).

To achieve this, an intensive study of relevant materials, information, evidence and documents has been conducted through examining the social, historical and political background of Indonesian Islamic education. Materials have been collected, particularly from secondary sources, such as books, thesis, journal articles about topic, and from documents such as: government reports, newspaper, and courses of study. In the course of analysis, the quality of information sources and the meaningfulness of material contents have been considered carefully. The act of reconstruction of materials is here undertaken in a spirit of critical inquiry designed to achieve faithful representation of a previous age (Cohen, Manion and Morrison, 2000).

Theoretical Approach

There are two reasons for employing the historical perspective as the theoretical basis for exploring this study. *First*, since the beginning, the Madrasah and Pesantren have played a significant part in the establishment of the intellectual tradition of Islam in Indonesia. As Langgulung (1988) points out:

> Pesantren and Madrasah grew and developed together with their communities [for] the last three hundred years. Hence, Pesantren and Madrasah are not accepted culturally, but they contributed actively in forming and constructing the nature of social life of the Indonesian Moslem, that is a moderate Moslem (p.110).

Second, The education provided in Pesantren and Madrasah is more focused on Islamic knowledge and norms, a circumstance which leads to particular, local and regional characteristics, and even to the exclusion of certain forms of knowledge. As Abdullah

(2004) argues, "the teaching of religious subjects in Madrasah or Pesantren is characterized by a textual and normative approach. This teaching method had a significant impact on the Moslem thought and attitude. The Islamic teaching stayed away from the development of social sciences, economy, law, humanistic, and religious studies" (p.26).

It would thus seem that an historical perspective would be appropriate as a theoretical approach for exploring Indonesian Islamic education. There are two key issues that need to be considered in particular:

First, The Pesantren and Madrasah educational system faces two significant challenges. First, the challenge of modernity, particularly the challenge of globalization as a result of the development of knowledge and technology. Secondly, the need to improve the quality of the Madrasah and Pesantren education in order to produce a graduate who is ready to face the future demands of Indonesian society and the challenge of modernity. To achieve this, there is the need to develop a strategic educational policy to reconstruct a new structural form and pedagogical approach for Islamic education in the future.

Second, There is a large difference between Islamic education and General education in Indonesia. Most of teaching and learning in Islamic education refers to the Middle East tradition but the General education has emerged from the Western tradition. The main focus of the General school is on transferring knowledge and skills, whereas Islamic education is more focused on embedding moral outlook. However, it is argued that a new model of Indonesia education can be developed to encompass both these traditions. At present, the notion of integrating the most valuable aspects of Islamic education with the most valuable aspects of the General education, particularly with its intellectual and methodological approach, is being discussed.

Strategy for collecting and analyzing information
The research techniques used in the study are critical reading and document analysis.
Critical reading involves reading and thinking critically, discovering the underlying assumption of an arguments, understanding and assessing arguments, and using them for

analyzing a problem (Bartl, 1998). In the context of the study, the critical reading technique has been used to two main areas:

First, there is a review of the historical, political and social background of the Islamic community, including the nature of Indonesian society, of the nation state, of government policy on the Islamic education, and the current change climate in Indonesian educational policy on Islamic education. This also deals with the development of the intellectual tradition of Islam in an Indonesian context; the influence of the Minangkabau reformers and the Dutch colonial government; and recent developments in Indonesian Islamic education.

Second, there is an overview of Islamic education, including the nature and aims of Islamic education, with a particular focus on Islamic teacher education within the wider Indonesian educational reform movement. This also deals with discourses about Islam and modernity, including academic debates on Islam and modernity, Muslim academic responses to Islam and modernity, and debates about Islamic education.

The documents used for looking at and confirming a policy direction on the Islamic education were official reports, official letters and records, and policy guidelines. The analysis is concerned with seeking a new model of Islamic education that is congruent with modernity in an Indonesian context.

1.5 Outline of the thesis

The study consists of five chapters, each divided into several sections:

Chapter 1 describes the context of the study, including the background of the study, the study path, aims of the study, and the outline of chapters. Some aspects of methodology also are discussed here.

Chapter 2 outlines the historical, social and political background of Indonesia, including the nature of Indonesian society and state, the influence of Islamic tradition, the influence of the Minangkabau movement, and the Dutch colonial contribution.

Chapter 3 discusses the issue of Islam and modernity as a theoretical framework underpinning the development of the study. Four main issues are examined, including academic debates on Islam and modernity focusing on Weber, Marx, and Liberal and Neo-Liberalism, the contemporary pressure on Islam, and the Muslim responses on modernity from Islam modernism, traditionalism, and fundamentalism. Also, the issues of secularism and educational democracy are discussed.

Chapter 4 presents a brief review of the Islamic educational system, the history of the Indonesian Islamic education, the Indonesian Islamic education reform movement, the nature of government policy on Islamic education system, the current development of Islamic education.

Finally, *Chapter 5* presents concluding remarks through exploring some significant issues and policy implications for developing an Indonesian Islamic education in and for the future.

CHAPTER 2

THE SOCIAL, HISTORICAL AND POLITICAL BACK GROUND OF INDONESIAN ISLAMIC EDUCATION

This chapter deals with the social, historical and political context of the development of Islamic education within Indonesia. Four main issues will be discussed. First, the nature of Indonesian society and state, focusing on the central role of Islam in shaping the development of Indonesian political, cultural and social life. From this, the development of Indonesian Islamic education as part of the nation's and state's life will also be explored. Second is the influence of Islamic tradition. This section will discuss the particular historical and political connection between Indonesia and the Middle East. Third is the influence of Minangkabau reformers in driving the Islamic movement from the end of the nineteenth century and the early twentieth century. The fourth is the Dutch colonial contribution in introducing the schooling system and its implications for the traditional Islamic educational system.

2.1 The Nature of Indonesian Society and State

Indonesian Society

It is believed that Indonesia may have existed during the Pleistocene period (4 million year BC) when it was linked with the present Asian mainland. At this time, the South East Asia land, particularly the Sunda plateau (Indonesia) was probably still part of the Asian continent[1]. During this period (3000-500 BC), Indonesia was inhabited by migrants from Asia who later inter-married with the indigenous people. Later (1000BC) inter-marriage occurred with Indo-Arian migrants from the South Asian sub-continental of India. The first Indian migrants came primarily from Gujarat in South East India during the first Christian era. All these migrants came to the two prominent islands, Sumatra and Java islands, and later spread out to other islands

---

[1] Koentjaraningrat in his book "Human Being and Culture in Indonesia (1987) argues that the Sunda plateau (Indonesia) during the Pleistocene period was still connected with the Asian continent (p.4).

(Departemen of Information (DOI)/National Communication Iinformation Bureau (NCIB), 2000).

At the beginning of Indonesia, the South Asian culture successfully came to dominate the Indonesian culture. This is shown by the introduction of the Sanskrit language and Pallawa script by Indian Prince Aji Saka (78 AD). This language and script, called the Kawi language, were adopted by Javanese people (DOI/NCIB, 2000). The prominent Hindu/Buddhist Indonesian kingdom of Sriwidjaya and Majapahit also had a strong relationship with the Nalanda kingdom in South Asia. The role of the Sriwidjaya and Majapahit Kingdom, as a key political power at that time, played a significant part in developing cross-cultural fertilization between India and Indonesia. There are consequently many similarities between Indonesian and Indian culture which can still be recognized from cosmopolitan elements of both cultures (Madjid, 2004). Many forms of cross cultural fertilization also occurred as the result of the impact of extensive regional trade interaction.

During the 7th – 13th centuries, Islam entered Indonesia through the Arabian Muslim traders. Muslim traders had apparently been present in some parts of Indonesia for several centuries before Islam became established within the local communities. Some of them settled permanently in several areas of Indonesia, intermarried and adopted the local lifestyle. Most of them were successfully assimilated with the indigenous people and there was no significant tension with the indigenous peoples. Why did assimilation and following Islamization occur so smoothly?   It would appear that foreign Muslims from many areas and Indonesian Muslims themselves all played important roles in socializing Islam. One example is Malik Ibrahim, a Muslim trader from Gujarat, North West India who was believed to have helped spread Islam in Indonesia in the fourteen century, particularly in North Sumatra and the Northern coast of Java (Ricklefs, 2001).

Between the sixteen and the seventeenth centuries, the European peoples arrived in the Indonesian archipelago. It began with the Portuguese in 1511, the Dutch (1596), and the Spanish (1606). The first two, the Portuguese and the Dutch, successfully embedded their political and cultural impact, such as in North Sumatra, North Sulawesi, in some Maluku islands, and East Timor (Ricklefs, 2005). In these areas it is easy to distinguish European heritage and heredity. Most of the people in these areas were converted to Christianity as a result of European occupation and the activity of the Christian missions. Other foreign traders included the Chinese who have been present in Indonesia for many centuries. The connection between China and Indonesia began in the Sriwidjaya era (700). In the Dutch colonial era, the number of Chinese increased rapidly, because they had been the important economic counterparts of VOC (The Dutch colonial enterprise). By 1740, the total Chinese population of Batavia city (Jakarta) was no less than 15 000. This was at least 17% of the total population of Batavia at that time (Ricklefs, 2001). Currently, the Indonesian Chinese represent about four percent of the population and are a sizable and economically powerful minority in most cities throughout Indonesia (Liddle, 1996).

Indonesia, from Aceh (North Sumatra) to Merauke (West Papua), has a multiethnic population. There are about 350 ethnic groups, with the most prominent ethnic groups being the Javanese, Sundanese, Maduranese, Minangkabau, Batak, Aceh and Melayu in the west part of Indonesia; and the Bugis, Makasar, Manado, Bali, Dayak, Toraja, Bima, Ambon, and Papua in the East part of Indonesia. The Javanese are the largest ethnic group who live in Central Java, East Java and Madura Island and comprise almost 60% of the total Indonesian population (DOI/NCIB, 2000). It is also the most influential group in the Indonesian political and social arena. Most of the previous national secular leaders came from this ethnic group. Meanwhile, the Minangkabau ethnic group, who live in the west coast of the Sumatra Island and comprise 6 % of the Indonesian population, has also been an important resource for previous national leaders, particularly Islamic scholars. At the beginning of the Indonesian movement, this ethnic group, together with the other groups, has played a significant role in and

contribution towards establishing the new Indonesian state. Therefore, since its beginnings, the Indonesian movement was characterized by a diversity of ethnic groups. As Bachtiar (1974) points out "since the establishment of the republic, the highest executive authority in the state, the cabinet, has always been ethnically heterogeneous, an important factor which has proved to be greatly instrumental in contributing to the formation of an Indonesian nation" (p.40).

Religious pluralism is also an important dimension of diversity. Nearly 90 percent of the population is Muslim, making Indonesia the world's largest Muslim country. Beside Muslims, there are Christians, both Catholic and Protestant, Hindus and Buddhists. During the historical period of modern Indonesia, there was no significant religious conflict because it's new state ideology: Pancasila and the Indonesian cultural pattern: " Bhineka Tunggal Ika" (Unity in Diversity) has been successful in maintaining a permanent balance between the one (dominant) and the many (minorities) (Liddle, 1996). This cultural pattern was introduced into the Indonesian constitution of 1945 within article 29 which stated that every Indonesian citizen has the right to perform his/her religious duties (DOI/NCIB, 2000).

 The Indonesian constitution has provided a great umbrella to create religious harmony and every government has tried to maintain the harmony of religious life between different religious adherents. Sometimes, however, there are still emerging conflicts caused by internal factors or external factors. From internal factors, the issue of proselytization – something the first Islam-Christian dialogue in 1967 failed to agree on - and the issue of Christianization or Islamization, have become latent religious problems in Indonesia. Among external factors, the government policy on religious affairs often created a conflict situation. For example, two controversial regulations were released by the Ministry of Religious Affairs in 1978. The first regulation deals with the ethics of religious proselytization and the second with the requirement for government approval of any foreign support for religious activities.

These regulations were applauded by the Council of Indonesian Ulama (Islam) but for obvious reasons, strongly resented by the Christians (Abdullah, 1981).

Another important aspect of religious pluralism is apparent in the cultural dimension. Clifford Geertz[2] divided Muslim Indonesia, particularly Javanese Muslim, into three categories: Priayi for the aristocratic class based on Hindu traditions and kingdoms; Santri for devout Muslims, and Abangan for the syncretism of these and other influences. In this context, two-thirds of the ethnic Javanese's devout Islam beliefs and practices are mixed with pre-Islamic Hinduism and indigenous animism as to constitute virtually a separate religion (Liddle, 1996). This Geertz categorization has been criticized by some Indonesian scholars because he had created unbalanced categories. Priayi cannot constitute a parallel stand with the categories of the Santri and Abangan. The appropriate parallel for Priayi is "Wong cilik" or a common People[3]. Other critics, such as Suparlan (1976), Koentjaraninggrat (1963) and Nakamura (1984), point out the inadequacy of the abangan-santri-priyayi theoretical framework and its use as a clear cut device to categorize Javanese society (Mudzhar, et.al, 2004). However, from an anthropological perspective, this categorization still can be used as an analytical tool to understand the plural dimension of Indonesian Muslim peoples, particularly the Javanese Muslim as a dominant culture of the Indonesian society.

From the above discussion, it is apparent that the Indonesian society emerged from multiple ethnic groups and languages; different historical, social, political, and religious backgrounds. In this context, Islam had had a significant contribution to make in forming an Indonesian society. It is evident in that almost 90% of the current Indonesian population is Moslem with moderate views. This strand emerged from a long struggle and commitment of Moslem leaders in developing Islamic tradition within Indonesian society.

---

[2] Geerzt, C. (1981) Abangan, Santri dan Priyayi (Translation of Religion of Java), Jakarta, Pustaka Jaya.
[3] See Azra (1999) and Mudzhar, et.al. (2004).

Indonesian State

The Republic of Indonesia was founded on 17 August 1945 when its independence was proclaimed after a long occupation by the Dutch colonial government for three hundred years and by the Japanese for three and half years. Pancasila (the Five Principles) became the state ideology and philosophical basis of the new republic and on August 18, 1945 the constitution was adopted as the basic law of the country (DOI/NCIB), 2000). The Five Principles, or Silas of Pancasila, are general statements, which take on meaning as they are elaborated and expanded in the daily lives of the Indonesian people. They are:

1. Belief in one God or one supreme being
2. Just and civilized humanity or a commitment to internationalism
3. Unity of Indonesia (Nationalism)
4. Democracy guided by the inner wisdom in the unanimity arising out of deliberations amongst representatives or wisdom in deliberation/ representation
5. Social Justice for the whole of the people of Indonesia

These principles are virtually both a national ideology and civil religion. In its simplest interpretation, Sila (Principle) One means that all religions are contained and rightfully justified in Indonesia --- Islam, Christianity (Protestant and Catholic), Buddhism, Hinduism[4]. Although Indonesia is 87% Muslim, Islam is not the official state religion of Indonesia. Sila Two expresses a desire for an outward forum for discussion and an interest in fairness and justice. Discussants are initially freed from negative judgments. Sila Three is an energetic enterprise of uniting, bringing people together from 350 distinct ethnic groups spread across 3,500 miles in an archipelago between the Indian and Pacific oceans at the Equator. Indonesia is the largest archipelago in the world with a total number of 17,508 islands and a population of

---

[4] Since 2001, the Indonesian Government, --- under President Abdurrahman Wahid -- has also recognized Kong Hu Chu as a religion.

225 million in 2005, with 583 languages and dialects spoken, which the government tries to reach through social order, literacy programmes, health education, and instruction in Bahasa Indonesia (The Indonesian language) as a national language. Sila Four is immediately visible in the structure of the Indonesian government. The president and vice president are elected by a majority vote of the People's Consultative Assembly (MPR) and the president is responsible only to that Assembly. The Assembly consists of the 364 members of the House of Representatives plus another 96 delegates appointed by the government who represent regions, functional groups, and political parties in proportion to the result of the general election. But since the election of 2004, the system has been changed to the direct election of the members of the House of Representatives and President/Vice president, one person for one vote. Sila Five is an equity principle that ideally manifests itself in fair judicial treatment. This equity concept protects cultural diversity as long as the diversity is synchronized with the nationalism in Sila Three, although in reality it is not always easy to achieve. Established as a free and sovereign state, Indonesia's symbolic shield contains the coat of arms, is supported by the Garuda, the golden eagle of Indonesia mythology, and bears the motto "Bhineka Tunggal Ika", unity in diversity (Anshari, 1985).

Pancasila is the philosophical basis of the Indonesian state. It was originally proposed by Soekarno (Indonesia's first post-independence president) in his speech on June 1, 1945 in front of the meeting of the Preparatory Committee for Indonesian Independence (PUPKI) as a way of determining a basic ideology of the Indonesian state. Soekarno laid out his doctrine of Pancasila, the 'Five Principles', which were to become the official philosophy of an independent Indonesia. These principles were sufficiently acceptable and relatively ambiguous as to be generally accepted (Ma'arif, 1985). However, Islamic leaders were unhappy because Islam seemed to play no significant role, although even they accepted a compromise, called the Piagam Jakarta (Jakarta Charter), which said that the state was to be based upon 'belief in God, with the obligation for adherence of Islam to carry out Islamic law'. What this implied for

the relationship between Islam and the state was to be a source of disagreement in coming years. This PUPKI finished its work by drafting Indonesia's first constitution, called the Undang-Undang 1945 (the constitution of 1945) which, appealed for a unitary republic with an extremely powerful Presidency (Ricklefs, 2001).

According to the constitution of 1945, the structure of the unitary Republic of Indonesia comprised a central government, provincial, district, and sub-district government, which were connected by a strong hierarchical relationship. At the national government or the central level, there are six organs of the state: (1) The People's Consultative Assembly, (2) The Presidency, (3) The House of Representatives, (4) The Supreme Advisory Council, (5) The State Audit Board, and (6) The Supreme Court. The Assembly holds the supreme power in the state; the people voice their political and social aspirations through this body. Meanwhile, the President is both head of state and chief executive, and also the Mandatory of the People's Consultative Assembly. He/she must execute his/her duties in compliance with the Guideline of State Policy as decreed by the Assembly. As the chief executive, he/she works with cabinet ministers and the House of Representatives where the government enacts laws and determines the national budget. Similarly, at the provincial level, the Governor is the chief executive and works with a staff of regional officials. Alongside this is the provincial legislature with whom the regional government concurs on regional legislation and decisions on the provincial budget. On the district (Kabupaten) and municipal (Kotamadya) levels, the chief executives are respectively, the district head (Bupati) and the municipal head (Mayor). They concur with the local legislative on matters relating to local government regulation and the budget. Below the district or municipal level are the sub-district administration (Kecamatan) and the village administration (Kelurahan or Desa) (DOI/NCIB), 2000).

During the Old order or Soekarno era (1945-1966), as well as the New Order (under Soeharto, 1968-1998), the nature of government was extremely centralized. All

national political decisions were made from Jakarta (central government). Provincial government just followed and implemented what Jakarta provided and instructed them. This political culture dominated the nature of the relationship between the central and local government. In the Old Order or Soekarno era, there are several instances of resistance to Jakarta (the central government) such as in Java Island: the Indonesian Communist Party rebellion (1948) in Madiun East Java; The Kartosuwiryo or Darul Islam rebellion in West Java (1948), followed by Aceh and South Sulawesi; and the RMS rebellion (1949) in Ambon, Maluku. In Sumatra, the PRRI rebellion (1958-1961) was followed by the Permesta rebellion (1958) in Sulawesi[5]. Almost all these rebellions were caused by ethnic and regional sentiments. The Sundanese of West Java protested against the Javanese who by weight of numbers dominated many aspects of national life. Some outer islanders were dissatisfied with the number of Javanese appointed to strategic government posts[6]. However, by promising to maintain the unity of the Republic of Indonesia, Soekarno gained support from the central army and therefore was always successful in defeating all opposition to the central government. At the end of 1950, there was a major development in the consolidation of the ascendancy of Java over the outer islands and the army over other political forces. Any regional rebellion was made difficult by the stationing of Siliwangi (West Java Army), Diponegoro (Central Java Army) and Brawijaya (the East Java Army) division officers and units in the outer islands even though regional commanders were only tenuously controlled by the central command (Ricklefs, 2001).

In the New Order of the Soeharto government (1968-1998) there were no significant rebellions and resistance, although some small opposition occurred due to ethnic and regional sentiments. As Liddle (1996) describes, Soeharto was successful in eliminating the provincial and ethnic resistance by creating a society characterized by a benevolent ruler and obedient populace which encouraged statism in the attitudes of

---

[5] See Maarif (1985), Kahin (1999), and Ricklefs (2001)
[6] See Ricklefs (2001, 2005)

bureaucrats towards the society. Soeharto was also successful in maintaining social stability through creating a policy of rice price stability for 25 years. Another political concept was the Village Law of 1979. This was a greater administrative homogeneity adopted from Javanese terminology for villages and hamlets. Thus, a structure of centralized hierarchical government control was progressively imposed on villages across the nation. However, the law was virtually unintelligible and unenforceable in most of the outer islands. But the most significant political concept of the New Order was Pancasila (the state philosophy). Soeharto used it as an ideological weapon to delimit the boundaries of acceptable political contestation (Ricklefs, 2001). This political pattern reflected extreme governmental centralization and uniformity. All important policy decisions were made in the center (by central government) rather than by the regions (local governments). Implementation instructions rarely took account of regional differences and demands (Liddle, 1996).

The relationship between Islam and the state manifested itself in the form of governments. At the beginning of independence, there was a significant debate about the issue of the form of state. In the Old Order government or Soekarno era (1945-1966) the relationship between Islam and state was apparent within a political struggle about the most appropriate form of state. At the beginning some Islamic leaders preferred to propose Islam as the form of state but were opposed by some nationalist leaders and Christian groups, particularly from East Indonesia. Fortunately, there was a general consensus that democracy was desirable and all leaders committed to create a democratic state (Maarif, 1985). For this, all leaders agreed to impose the 'Pancasila' with emphasis on principle one: belief in one God as the main principle, which underpinned the other principles and all aspects of nation and state life. To achieve this, since 1950 the Old Order adopted the parliamentary system which set the president in his constitutional position as figurehead of the state.

For Soekarno, as the first post-independence President, appeals to nationalism and to unity in the cause of nationalism meant that other doctrines must be subordinated to a

set of ideas which denied the need for Islamic dominance, and focused on the social struggle within Indonesian society to reject colonial rule. This political philosophy was almost wholly devoid of any framework for unification post independence once independence had been won. In 1926, Soekarno had published a series of articles which argued that Islam, Marxism and Nationalism should be united in the cause of independence. This political attitude influenced strongly his political style when he took office as the first Indonesian president (Ricklefs, 2001).

In the years of anti colonialism, war and revolution (1945-1950), Indonesian leaders had not had the time or the opportunity to confront the fundamental issue of nation building. But after the political revolution was complete, it was clear that the implication of independence would be to address the many social, religious, communal, ethnic, cultural and economic questions that remained. The fundamental issue was how to create an Indonesian democratic state that could provide a balanced political service for all group representatives, such as Islamic and non-Islamic groups, Javanese groups and outer island groups. This issue became a prominent concern for leaders of Muslim, Christian, Buddhist, and Hindu groups. For Islamic leaders, the fundamental issue was how the Indonesian state could accommodate the political, cultural and social aspirations of Indonesian Muslim society based on its representation as the dominant population of the Indonesian state (Ricklefs, 2001).

The issues of power sharing dominated political discourse during the Soekarno era. Several political experiments were implemented, such as shifting the form of the government system from Republican Unitarian to the Republic of the United States of Indonesia or federal systems. This did not survive and was terminated on August 17, 1950 because of the lack of support from provincial leaders who were still committed to the Republican Unitarian system. In 1950, the Jakarta politician (central government) introduced the parliamentary system. Many parliamentary cabinets were formed from that time. Unfortunately, this system failed to establish a strong

government, because each cabinet failed to build a base of support either in or outside the parliament.

By 1957 this democratic experiment collapsed in political crisis (Ricklefs, 2001). On 5 July 1959, as a response to the political crisis, Soekarno in alliance with the Army, dissolved the Constituent Assembly and restored the old constitution (the Constitution of 1945). One month later on Independence Day, 19 August of 1957, he presented his ideology of guided democracy, later named 'Manipol' (Political Manifesto; (see Safi'i Maarif, 1985)) which consisted of: standing for the 1945 constitution, Indonesian socialism, guided democracy, guided economy, and Indonesian identity. The Indonesian Nationalist Party (PNI) and the Indonesian Communist Party (PKI) accepted the idea of guided democracy but the Masyumi Party maintained its inflexible opposition to this concept. As a result, in 1960 Soekarno banned Masyumi and the PSI who had also opposed 'guided democracy' and their involvement in PRRI rebellion (Ricklefs, 2001). This marked not only the failure of a democratic experiment but it also represented a political failure to solve the fundamental issues of the Indonesian state. From that time, Soekarno became more authoritarian. By denying the legitimacy of representation of religious and ethnic cleavages, he turned the political balance between Islam and Nationalist and between the Java islands and outer islands (Liddle, 1996).

In this context, Soekarno became the first Indonesian president who gained the benefit of using Pancasila and Undang-Undang 1945 as a 'state power' for maintaining his power. By using this power, he was able to defeat his opponents. In August 1960, based on Undang-Undang 1945, which provided the President's institution with strong power to run a state under the provision of Presidential right, Soekarno banned the Masyumi and PSI party in order to eliminate their opposition to the government policies (Ricklefs, 2001).

Despite the unstable political conditions during the Soekarno period, education was given high priority and the number of educational institutions expanded dramatically. Between 1953 and 1960 the number of entrants to primary schools rose from 1,7 million to 2,5 million. State and private high school and university level institutions sprang up everywhere, especially in the Java islands. Many of these achieved high standards, such as the University of Indonesia in Jakarta, the University of Gajah Mada in Yogyakarta and the Institute of Technology Bandung in West Java. Also, in order to accommodate the aspirations of Muslim people, in 1947, the new Indonesian government established the Islamic High School (STI) in Jogyakarta which later developed into the University of Islamic Indonesia (UII). From the faculty of Religion in this University, the government in 1960 established the State Institute of Islamic Studies in Yogyakarta. This policy initiative showed that the government wanted to accommodate Muslim aspirations (Azra, 1999).

The nature of the centralized approach is also strongly apparent in administering the educational sector. The Ministry of Education and Culture (MoEC) has the main responsibility for administering Indonesia's schooling system from primary to university levels, but based on historical and political factors, the Ministry of Education and Culture delegates its responsibility for administering the Islamic educational system to the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), which also runs a complete educational system parallel to the MoEC system. To run this system, both Ministries (MoEC and MoRA) established their representative central body at the provincial and district levels. Most of the government policies in education had a top down approach with planning, managing, and controlling of schooling being centralized. As a result, there was several anomalies, such as reducing the meaning of education to "schooling", while the education sector was also understood as a 'state business' (non-profitable). Meanwhile the state had limited financial resources, and

the national educational system had focused more on 'supply' rather than ' demand'[7] (Tilaar, 2000).

Within this context, educational praxis was dominated by paternalism and uniformity. Most of the main policies came from Jakarta (the Ministry of Education and Culture or the Ministry of Religious Affairs). Local governments or schools followed these strict policies to the extent that they always asked for 'official guidelines' in implementing those policies. Thus, the nature of educational praxis was characterized strongly by a highly bureaucratic approach and quantity targeting. Local governments and schools competed with each other in order to achieve a quantity target. As a result ,educational aims were not oriented to achieving quality education for the young generation but were mostly employed as a political tool of the regime to maintain its power (Tilaar, 2000).

In contrast to the Soekarno era, in the early of Soeharto era (1968-1970), the relationship between Islam and the state seemed to have been reestablished, particularly since, when he became the President, Soeharto had wide support from Islamic modern groups, such as the former Masyumi party leaders, the Islamic Student Association (HMI)[8]. However, this relationship was weakened in the next few years when the New Order government tried to eliminate the political opportunities of Islamic modern groups who wanted to reestablish the Islamic party in the New Order era. For example, in 1970, Soeharto refused to allow a rehabilitation of the Masyumi Party but he allowed the creation of a new party, later called Parmusi (Indonesian Muslim Party). The Parmusi's party congress in 1969 selected Mohammad Room and Luqman Harun, activists of Masyumi, as new leaders. Unfortunately, Soeharto refused to recognize them. In 1970, he imposed a politically reliable chairman upon Parmusi in the person of H. Moh. Syafaat

---

[7] By these are meant that the government policies on education are based on the need to implement the state programme rather than to the real need of society on the form of education.
[8] See Tanya (1982), Sitompul (2002).

Mintaredja. This political intervention led to discontent among the Islamic modern groups (Ramage, 1995).

But the most crucial event was when Soeharto imposed Pancasila as a dominant ideology. In April 1980, Soeharto mentioned that it had been decided that Pancasila would be the state and national ideology. All social and political forces should be based on this ideology (Suryadinata, 1981). Why did Soeharto impose these principles? According to Munawir Syadzali, his former Minister of Religious Affairs (1983-1993), the ultimate purpose of imposing Pancasila as the base ideology for all political and social organization was to be sure there would be no Islamic state and that neither a theocratic nor secular state would emerge in the future. After the successful enactment of Pancasila as the Asas Tunggal (One base ideology), the psychological and political problem of a potential Islamic state no longer existed (Ramage, 1995)

However, the relationship between the state and Islam changed again from the early 1990s. In December 1990, the New Order government sponsored the establishment of the Indonesian Muslim Intellectuals' Association (ICMI), a most consequential political event, because it had a profound impact on political and ideological discourse. ICMI is the only major Islamic organization established during the New Order. It changed the political and religious context in Indonesia. It represented a reconciliation between the state and the Modernist Muslim which would have been unthinkable a decade before (Ricklefs, 2001). Douglas E. Ramage in his work, *Politics in Indonesia* (1995), argued that three concurrent developments must be considered. The first development includes both the cultural revival of Islam and related political decisions by Muslim figures not to dispute Pancasila. Since all Muslim groups accepted 'Pancasila' as the only base of national ideology, the government had no reason to be suspicious of Islamic groups, particularly Islamic modern groups, such as Muhammadiyah. The second, a general set of conditions and developments revolves around the perceptions of President Soeharto and his

challenging political needs vis-à-vis Islam and the armed forces. In order to gain a new political support and a political balance, Soeharto since the 1990s played the Muslim card by approving the establishment of ICMI (a modern Muslim intellectual organization). This shifting policy encouraged civilian political forces opposed to the Army's role in power (Vatikiotis, 1998). The third development is the split in the Islamic movement by the late 1980s, particularly in terms of Abdurrahman Wahid's (the leader of traditional groups/NU) opposition to government policy to accommodate Islamic interests imposed by the Modernist Islamic groups. Wahid, however, refused to support the government and consistently condemned ICMI as an elitist and sectarian organization which posed a threat to a pluralistic Indonesia (Ricklefs, 2001).

As well as in the political sector, the New Order of the Soeharto government made rapid progress in education, as shown by the increase in net primary enrolment ratios for both male and female students. In 1980, these ratios were already quite high as a result of large government investment in the expansion of primary education, particularly in rural areas. These were made possible by the oil boom income gains of the 1970s. Also during the New Order, Islamic educational institutions developed rapidly. In contrast to the secular schooling system (administered by the Ministry of Education and Culture; MOEC), which is fully funded by the government, the parallel Madrasah system (Islamic schools; administered by the Ministry of Religious Affairs; MORA) in the main (85%) are established and developed by the Muslim community (see Chapter 4 for further discussion). Also, during this era, the nature of the educational system was centralized. Most government policies in education had a top down approach and were administered within tight uniformity (Azra, 2002).

From the above discussion, we can note that, despite its lack of formal state recognition, Islam in Indonesia had nonetheless played a significant role in shaping the social and cultural dimensions of the Indonesian nation. It is evident that the Indonesian state recognized the contribution of Islamic institutions in educating

Indonesian peoples with moderate views, even though the development of Islamic education still much depends on the nature of relationship between Islam and state.

2.2 The Influence of Islamic Tradition

The historical and political connection

The emergence of Islam in the Indonesia archipelago is one of the most significant processes of Indonesian Islamic history. From the eighth century, Moslem traders from Arabia began to have contact with China. This contact was maintained primarily via the sea routes through Indonesian waters. Moslem traders, such as Arabs, Indians and Chinese played a significant role in spreading Islam throughout the Indonesian archipelago and for the formation of an Islamic kingdom in the Indonesian territory. The first evidence of Indonesian Moslems was apparent in the northern part of Sumatra. The graveyard of Lamreh contains the gravestones of Sultan Sulaiman bin Al-Basir, who died in 1211. Further gravestones of the first Moslem ruler of the Samudra kingdom, Sultan Malik as-Shalih, were found in 1297 (Ricklefs, 2005). This is the first evidence of the existence of an Islamic kingdom in Indonesian territory.

The emergence of an Islamic Kingdom

The political history of the Indonesian Islamic state in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries is also not well known. One major state clearly dominated these periods, that is the Malacca kingdom. But the earliest state is Samudra Pasai, with the first Muslim ruler of this state being Sultan Malik as-Shalih (1297) (Abdullah, 1987). In the late fifteen century this state was occupied by Sultan Ali Mughayat Syah of the Acehnese Dynasty. The Acehnese Kingdom became one of the most powerful Indonesian Islamic states of the Malay – Indonesia area beside Malacca, particularly under the rule of Iskandar Muda in the seventeenth century. At the same time, a Malacca kingdom was developed in the Malay Peninsula. It was founded by Parameswara, the prince of the Palembang kingdom who fled to Malacca after the Majapahit attacked in 1377. There he found a good fort and proceeded to establish

Malacca as a major international port by establishing reliable facilities for warehousing and trade to passing ships. At the beginning, Parameswara was a Hindu-Buddhist king but later he converted to Islam and adopted the name Iskandar Syah. He was followed by his two successors, Megat Iskandar Syah and Muhammad Syah (Ricklefs, 2005). After the defeat of Majapahit, the Hindu-Buddhist Empire, Demak, became the first Islamic state in Java. All these prominent Indonesian Islamic states have played a significant role in spreading Islam throughout the Indonesian archipelago[9]. From that time, the position of Acehnese in north Sumatra, Malacca in Malay Peninsula, and Demak in the north coast of Java as Indonesian Islamic states was unchallenged until the arrival of the Portuguese and the Dutch in the sixteenth century.

Religio-Intellectual connection

The connection between Indonesia and the Middle East occurred from the time Islam came to the Indonesian archipelago. The spread of Islam throughout the Indonesian archipelago and the forming of Indonesian Islamic states during the fifteenth and sixteen centuries were an essential foundation for developing a valuable connection with Middle East tradition. But as Azra (2002) points out, the most intense religious-intellectual contact and connection between Malay-Indonesian students and 'ulama' (scholars) occurred from the seventeenth century onwards. This contact had a vivid reforming impact on the course of Islam in Indonesia. Returning students and scholars implanted a more *shariah*-oriented Islam in the Malay-Indonesia archipelago.

Mecca and Medina: the first center of global connection

The center of the global connection between Islam Indonesia and the Middle East were the two protected cities, Mecca and Medina. Both these cities played a significant role in the development of a religious – intellectual contact, because both

---

[9] M.C. Ricklefs (2005) argued that Islam established itself in the Indonesia archipelago from the end of the 13th century until early in the 16th century. Its growing adherence began from north of Sumatra, West part of Indonesia and then spread out to Mollucous, the East part of Indonesia.

Mecca and Medina were not only the holy cities for taking haj pilgrims but they also were a primary source of Islamic knowledge, particularly the rise of 'scriptural orthodoxy' in Indonesia. During the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries many Indonesian students and scholars went to Mecca and Medina and spent several years studying Islam there. The most important scholars were Nur al-Din al-Raniri. 'Abd. Rauf al-Sinkili, Muhammad Yusuf al-Maqasari, Abd. Samad al-Palembangi, Muhammad Arshad al-Banjari, and Dawud bin Abd al-Patani (Azra, 2002). All these scholars played a significant role in spreading Islam in the Indonesia archipelago, particularly in spreading the Islam orthodoxy strand. These scholars became famous religious leaders who had a large following throughout the Indonesian archipelago. Most of the next wave of Indonesian students who went to Saudi Arabia had strong links with these leaders (Steenbrink, 1986).

One of the previous prominent groups from this orthodox strand was 'Kaum Paderi' (a religious group) in Minangkabau in West Sumatra. This group became the first major Islamic revival movement of Indonesia. Different from other revival movements in other regions, which had a royal family background, the Kaum Paderi emerged from religious teachers. The main Paderi leaders were accorded the Minangkabau title of respect for a religious teacher, 'Tuanku". Historically, the movement emerged from a group of three hajjis (pilgrims) who, returning to Minangkabau in 1803, were inspired by the puritanical Wahabi reformers in Saudi Arabia (Kahin, 2005). The Padri movement opposed gambling, cock fighting, the use of opium, strong drink and some aspects of the local matriarchal customary law, especially concerning inheritance. The most prominent leader among them was Tuanku Imam Bonjol. This movement led to conflict in terms of Islam versus 'adat' (customary law) or of 'Tuanku' (religious leader) versus 'penghulu' (clan head or secular leaders) (Azra, 1999).

In the course of the civil war in 1819, the Dutch colonial administration became involved by standing for the 'adat' side. This alliance created the Padri war between

the Dutch and Kaum Paderi from 1821-1837. At the same time, opposition from other regions also emerged, such as the Diponegoro war (1825-1830) in Java, Batak war (1872-1895) and Aceh war (1873-1904) (Ricklefs, 2001, 2005). Most of these wars were unsuccessful in displacing the Dutch. Therefore, learning from these failures, since the early twentieth century, the form of Islamic revival movements in Indonesia has moved from a territorial to a predominantly cultural focus, particularly in the educational area. Meanwhile, Dutch colonial policy changed radically from the policy of exploitation to the welfare of Indonesia, called the 'Ethical Policy' (Ricklefs, 2001). This situation created a favorable environment for an emerging Islamic educational movement in the early twentieth century. This issue will be explored in the next section.

Egypt: the second center of global connection

Egypt was the other center of the Islamic global connection since the middle of the nineteenth century. This new wave has been termed by many observers as "Islamic modernism' (Eliraz, 2002). The movement was heavily indebted to the strong influence of modernist Muslim thinkers like Jamal al-Din al-Afghani and Muhammad Abduh. Both of them had significant influence in the emergence of the Indonesian religious movement in the early decades of the twentieth century. Muhammad Abduh in particular had a significant influence in encouraging the modernist movement which crystallized in the establishment of such modernist organizations as the Muhammadiyah (1912), al-Irsyad (1913) and Persis in the early 1920s (Azra, 2002). These organizations focused on educational reform and were successful in introducing modern methods of teaching. There were two reasons for focusing on an educational movement. First, the Islamic world realized that its culture was lagging behind Western culture. This had been evident since the early eighteenth century when there was contact between Islam and the Western world, particularly between the Ottoman Empire and the French, followed by the Napoleon Bonaparte expedition to Egypt. This situation created a strong consciousness among Muslim leaders from many Islamic countries to improve the quality of Muslim society. Second, by the

early twentieth century almost all Islamic countries were under the influence of Western colonization. These occupations had a significant impact on the development of Muslim society. The political exploitation of Muslim countries as a result of Western colonialism had been successful in weakening Islamic countries and societies. Since the failure of the Indonesian Islamic kingdoms to oppose the Dutch colonial power, the Muslim leaders changed their approach in opposition to the Dutch colonial regime from a political struggle to a cultural struggle (Azra, 1999).

Both of these centers, Haramayin and Egypt were global connections between Malay-Indonesia and the Middle East, particularly during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. At this time, the relationship between Malay-Indonesia and the Middle East became strong through a religio-intellectual connection (MoRA, 1999, Azra, 2002). During this time, many Indonesian Muslims went to these centers for a haj pilgrim or to study Islam. After living in these centers for several years they returned to their home country and tried to introduce the ideas of Islamic reform, particularly the influence of Mohammad Abduh's thinking. The first wave was apparent when many of the Islamic reformers who had returned from Haramayin and Egypt founded a modern Islamic school. One early modernist school was the Adabiyah School in Padang West Sumatra, founded in 1906 by Abdullah Ahmad. This was followed by other Islamic reformers, such as Zainuddin Labay El-Yunusi, who founded the Madrasah Diniyah in Padang Panjang in 1916 (Noer, 1973). The same wave emerged in Java island from the early twentieth century, when many Islamic reform organizations, such as Jamiatul Khair (1905) Muhammadiyah (1912), Al-Irsyad (1913), and Persis (1920) were involved in developing the modern Islamic education institutions (Azra, 1999).

The influence of Islamic reformers
Jamal al Din Al-Afghani (1839-1897). Jamal al-Din Al-Afghani was born in Iran but spent his life overseas, particularly in Egypt, India and Turkey. He was a pioneer of Islamic modernism and especially of anti-imperialist activism. His notion of Pan-

Islam had a strong influence in motivating Muslim people to liberate themselves from colonial (western) control. For this, he was the first of a line of Islamic ideological modernizers. His notion of Pan-Islam had a significant impact on an Islamic movement (Azra, 2002, Eliraz, 2002). In the early twentieth century a significant Islamic movement for liberating the Muslim countries from colonial annexation emerged. After World War Two, many Muslim countries were liberated from western colonialism. But Afghani's main influence may be traced to his theological perspectives. He always claimed to be a Sunni but recent scholarly biographies demonstrate that Afghani was born in Iran and had a Shi'i education. He received his classical religious studies at Najaf and Karbala, the hub of shi'i thought and education (Rahnema, 1994).

Mohammad Abduh (1849-1905.) Mohammad Abduh was born in Nile, the region of Egypt in 1849. He came from a family which had a strong commitment to learning and religion (Hadad, 1994) In contrast with Afghani, who was concerned with political issues, particularly an issue of anti imperialism, Mohammad Abduh focused his attention on the problem of the decline of the Muslim 'ummah' or the quality of Muslim peoples. According to Abduh, the decline of Muslim ummah was due primarily to the Islamic disputes that had led to a lack of initiative in Muslim people to build a civilization and compete in a changing world. Muslims had lost their ability to determine their own destiny, directly caused by occupation or the hegemony of foreign influence. To solve this situation, he proposed that the world of Islam needed to have a plan for political and social reform through reinterpreting the Qur'an for the modern world. The Muslim ummah needed to return to the original Qur'anic text. For Abduh, the underlying principle for the resurrection of the nation was the basic belief that the Quranic message is universal and is addressed to all humanity. Thus the basic foundation of the reform is the Qur'an. Another significant issue that he focused on throughout his life and career was the reform of education. Part of his concern was to find an alternative to the kind of stagnation of Islamic education, best typified by his education at Al-Azhar University and elsewhere (Hadad, 1994). In this respect,

Abduh was aware of the weaknesses in traditional Islamic education because it was still concentrating on memorization of texts without much comprehension of their meaning (Watt, 1988).

For Indonesia, the influence of both thinkers, particularly Mohammad Abduh, is very significant. Since the end of the nineteenth century many Indonesian Muslim students studied in Saudi Arabia and Egypt and brought the ideas of Afghani and Abduh to Indonesia. According to Taufik Abdullah (1981) the most prominent among these were Abdullah Ahmad in Padang Panjang, M. Djamil Djambek in Bukittinggi, Haji Rasul in Maninjau and Padang Panjang, and Syech Thaib Umar in Batusangkar. These reformers argued that belief (iman) if based on taqlid (uncritical acceptance of the textual authority) was wrong, because the real sources of religious law were the Quran and the Hadits. Their purification movement was greatly influenced by the Egyptian reformer, Syech Mohammad Abduh (Khan, 1993). More detail of this movement will be presented in the next section, particularly with respect to education.

At the organizational level, the influence of Afghani and Abduh was apparent through the Indonesian Islamic modern organizations. The first examples were Djamiátul Khair and Al-Irsyad, but the most well known was Muhamadiyah, founded by K.H. Ahmad Dahlan at Yogyakarta, Central Java in 1912 (Ricklefs, 2005). This organization became a prominent vehicle of reform, by adopting and actualizing fully Abduh's ideas of Islamic reform. This movement was followed subsequently by Jamiatul Wasliyah in North Sumatra and Nahdlatul Ulama in East Java in 1927 (Azra, 1999).

2.3 The Influence of the Minangkabau Movement

As mentioned previously, the West Sumatra or Minangkabau reformers played an important role in the beginning of the Islamic movement in Indonesia, particularly

from the end of the nineteenth century and the early twentieth century[10]. An interesting question is how the Minangkabau people who live on the west coast developed this strong modernist tradition? This section will discuss several key issues, which contributed to the influence of the Minangkabau reformers in the Indonesian Muslim movement, and particularly their influence on the Islamic educational reform movement in Indonesia.

The Minangkabau Culture

The prominent characteristics of the Minangkabau people are individualism, rationalism and egalitarianism[11]. These characteristics emerge from their traditional system of social organization. Central to its social group are 'Suku" (Tribal) and Matriarchal forms which constituted principles of kinship and clanship. Every family belongs to its particular 'suku' led by 'Penghulu' (Tribal Heads) who run and maintain the rule of the matriarchal system. The other central image of Minangkabau culture places greater emphasis on basic territorial units encapsulated within autonomous rules called 'Nagari' (village republics); governed by 'balai adat' (councils) containing representatives of different groups (suku) within nagari (villages). Therefore, the image of the Minangkabau culture can be characterized through both a kinship-based and village-based society (Khan, 1993).

These images of the Minangkabau tradition contain and appear within its traditional economic activities. The most prominent of these is the cultivation of rice and 'sawah' (rain-fed terraces). Rice land is controlled by the 'Suku', and passes from mother to daughter (matriarchal system); meanwhile the forestland is traditionally the province of the *'Nagari'*. In these models, therefore, production, distribution and

---

[10] M.C. Recklefs (2005), in his book "A history of modern Indonesia", argued that the first Islamic modern schools had been established in West Sumatera, such as: Adabiah School in Padang in 1909; Thawalib Schoo (1914), Diniyah School (1915). All these schools introduced a secular subject (history, geography, and foreign language) alongside religious subjects. In 1911, Syech Abdullah Ahmad established "Al-Manar in Padang, as the first modern Islamic Newspaper. Through these activities, the notion of Islamic reform spread out to other islands, particularly to Java Island.
[11] See Audrey Kahin, Rebellion to integration, West Sumatra and the Indonesian polity 1926-1927, p.2-3.

consumption are all embedded within a traditional sociopolitical unit, whether these be households, clans or villages. As a result, Minangkabau came to be viewed as the location of truly traditional tradition, a society of peasant communities and village republics with matriarchal status hierarchies (Abdullah, 1987, Kahin, 2005). This culture provided a greater place for the emergence of Islamic reform movements, which were not fundamentalist in their hostility to non Muslim and *adat* (localized beliefs and practices), but derived their inspiration from Egypt and Turkey, and were wholehearted in their support for the modern ideals of education, progress and rationalism. The individualistic image of the Minangkabau people draws on the propensity of Minangkabau villagers to migrate far and 'merantau' (wide) in search of experience and opportunity, to be open to change, to have an extremely high level of demand for education, and to be very active in the public life of the Indonesian nation (Khan, 1993). In addition, the *merantau* tradition both enriched the local culture with outside influences and brought to West Sumatra a wider understanding of the disparate societies making up the Indonesian nation (Kahin,1999). This influence will be described in the next section.

The political and social movement

Since the era of Dutch colonialism until the proclamation of the Republic of Indonesia, West Sumatra was a principal locus of the most important revolt against the Dutch colonial rule[12]. The first wave of anti colonial struggle was led by Kaum Paderi, the orthodox Muslim groups who refused to accept the Dutch colonial occupation on the West Sumatra. The Kaum Paderi resisted the Dutch extension of their authority over much of the highlands, which would have lead to the destruction of the Islamic religious life in West Sumatra. This resistance created the Paderi War between the Dutch colonial government and the Paderi for almost fifteen years from 1821-1837. It was followed by rural protest in 1908 when the rural religious leader of

---

[12] According to both Kahin (2005) and Ricklefs (2005) the West Sumatra region demonstrated long-standing resistance to the Dutch Colonial Government, particularly from its religious leaders. This began with Paderi war, - for almost 14 years (1823-1837) against Dutch Colonial expansion and continued until the Communist rebellion in 1926-1927.

the Syatariah mystical Islamic brotherhood spearheaded protest against Dutch imposing direct taxes on the local people (Kahin, 1999).

The second wave of important anti colonialism movements occurred in the early twentieth century in the rebellion of 1927. This rebellion was sponsored by the Communist Party who had been successful in inculcating its ideology among the Minangkabau traders and the students and teachers of the Sumatra Thawalib school. According to Kahin (1999) there were three main centers of the communist radical politics and opposition to colonial rule in West Sumatera: Padang Panjang, Silungkang and Padang. This political activity and the characteristics of the movements in each of the towns differed significantly. In Padang Panjang, Muslim scholars and students constituted the communist backbone and their acknowledged leader and inspiration was Tan Malaka. Religious schools, such as Sumatera Thawalib schools, became places where religion and radical politics overlapped and reinforced each other in the face of opposition to unpopular Dutch policy. Two of Sumatera Thawalib's brightest and most radical teachers, H. Datuk Batuah (the founder of the Pemandangan Islam newspaper in Padang Panjang) and Djamaluddin Tamin (the founder of the Islamic League of West Sumatera) became prominent leaders who opposed Dutch policy. In Silungkang, the roots of the communist movement were sponsored by local traders, such as Sulaiman Labai and Datuk Sati. In 1918, Labai spearheaded protest against Dutch regulations which restricted transfers of rice while the people of Silungkang were suffering from hunger. Together with a few dozen followers, he forced the stationmaster to surrender two freight cars of rice from a train bound for Sawahlunto which halted in Silungkang. He distributed the food to the hungry people of the town. His bold act raised spirits in the town and attracted many new members to the Islamic League (Kahin, 1999).

After the Dutch defeat in 1930, the Communist Party emerged as a political movement representing a merging of two cultural currents, one Islamic and the other nationalist. The organization base for this movement was Permi (Muslim Union of

Indonesia), a locally rooted political party whose leaders embraced Islam and nationalism as equally important elements in the anti Dutch struggle, contending that the religion could not be separated from the political movement (Khan, 1993). Permi was founded in Padang Panjang in 1930. It emerged from the Sumatra Thawalib Union and was established in an earlier form in November 1928 by political activists of the Thawalib school. Its aims were not only to improve the school system but also to organize activities in the political, economic, and social fields. At its third conference in May of 1930, this union transformed itself into the Indonesian Muslim Party or Permi. This new party soon became the strongest and most influential political party in West Sumatra, and in many ways most representative of the political character of the region during the late colonial period. Unlike all other nationalist parties of the time, it was founded on two specific principles, - Islam and Nationalism (Kahin, 1999). In this context, the anti colonial nationalism of West Sumatra derived from Minangkabau ideals of equality expressed in the social principles of 'sitting equally low, standing equally high', and egalitarian and community concepts based in Islam (Khan, 1993). The principle of Islam and the Muslim groups thus played a significant role in anti colonial struggle. It is evident that in the early twentieth century a simultaneity of the religious, political, social, and educational movements emerged in West Sumatera (Kahin, 2005).

The Islamic modern movement
At the same time, the religious and educational Islamic movement emerged. This movement was first initiated and sponsored by students of Syech Ahmad Chatib who had returned to propagate his ideas on orthodox reform in their home town in West Sumatra. Prominent among these were Syech M. Djamil Djambek in Bukit Tinggi, Haji Abdullah Ahmad in Padang and Padang Panjang, Haji Rasul in Maninjau and Padang Panjang, and Syech Tahib Umar in Batu Sangkar (Steenbrink, 1986). By the turn of the nineteenth century, they had attacked the heterodoxy of the tarekat (Muslim brotherhood) and opposed unauthorized innovation in religious practices. As discussed earlier, their purification movement was greatly influenced by the

nineteenth century Egyptian reformer, Syech Muhammad Abduh. These young reformers (Kaum Muda) had begun to denounce the conservative religious scholars (Kaum Tua). In this context, Islamic modernism in West Sumatera appears to have been at the outset a discourse concerned with progress and the triumph of reason over uncritical acceptance of doctrine. This movement generated conflict not just with the aristocratic group (Adat) who were closely associated with the Dutch, but also with traditional Muslim groups who hindered the development of modern knowledge. This led to open conflict over religious practices between supporters of the Kaum Muda and the Kaum Tua or conservative religious scholars (Khan, 1993).

In the educational movement, West Sumatra also played a significant role in shaping the Islamic and nationalist movement. Central to this movement, as well as in the religious and political field, was Padang Panjang, a small windy town lying in the foothills of Mounts Merapi and Singgalang. Since the early twentieth century, Padang Panjang was a major crossroad for traders transporting their goods between the highlands and the coast, and also for political, religious and educational movements. From the first decade of the twentieth century, Muslim modernists in West Sumatera paid particular attention to the issue of education. The Muslim modernists were very active in setting up a new kind of religious school in which religion was still an important element in the system, particularly in the curriculum (Steenbrink, 1986).

Two of the early educational reformers were Abdullah Ahmad and Zainuddin Labai El Yunusi[13]. Both of them became prominent educational reformers in West Sumatra. Abdullah Ahmad became the first innovator for founding a modern school in Indonesia. In 1906, Abdullah Ahmad founded the Adabiah School, one early modernist school in Padang, West Sumatera. The ideas for this school came from his studies of the Dutch colonial school system and the influence of the ideas of educational reform of Tahir Djalaluddin, his close friend and classmate who had

---

[13] See Azyumardi Azra (1999), *Islamic education tradition and modernization towards new millennium*, p.37-38.

dreamed of creating a modern school for Indonesian Muslim society (Steenbrink, 1986). In contrast with the traditional school (Surau System), which focused solely on religious matters, the Adabiah School adopted a new system by using a classical system and introducing secular subjects, such as reading, writing, and counting, in addition to maintaining a focus on religious teaching. The main aim for establishing this school was to build a strong pillar for the modernist movement. In 1915, this school was recognized by the Dutch government and later accepted government funding (Maksum, 1999). However the initiative to establish this school was not given enough support from Muslim scholars and Muslim society. The initiative was isolated and undeveloped for a long time. But the school was successful in bringing the sense of a new perspective on Islamic schooling system, because it did inspire the next wave of Islamic educational reformers (Steenbrink, 1986).

The second innovator was Zainuddin Labai El Yunusi who was born in 1890 into a family of religious scholars. In 1916, while he was a student and assistant teacher in Surau Jembatan Besi (Traditional religious school), he founded a Diniyah School (Religious School) in Padang Panjang. This school differed from a Surau system (traditional religious school), which used an individual approach. Diniyah School adopted a classical system with balanced teaching focused between religious and secular orientations. This school taught both religious subjects and general subjects. This School grew rapidly throughout West Sumatra and for the next decade the system was adopted by many educational reformers in Sumatra Island, Malay Peninsula, Java and South Borneo, because it maintained a balance between religious and general subjects. As Kahin (1999) described, these schools did adopt Western teaching methods as a curriculum embracing non-religious subjects, desks, and graded classes. While continuing to teach religion, they introduced such secular subjects as history, geography, languages, and mathematics. But these borrowings were generally adapted to the needs of West Sumatran society. Since this time, Islamic education has tried to find a suitable synthesis between the Islamic traditional school and the newly emerging Islamic modern school.

All these reformers brought an educational enlightenment to the educational field and provided a strong inspiration for the next waves of the educational reform movement. A strong consciousness emerged to modernize an Islamic education in order to meet modern demands (Kahin, 1999).

From this perspective, we can see that the reformers from West Sumatra or Minangkabau played a significant part in encouraging the Indonesian education reform, particularly the Islamic education movement. Most of the next Islamic reform movements and Islamic modern schools were inspired by this reform movement. An example is the Pesantren Modern Gontor Ponorogo in East Java, one of the most famous Modern Islamic schools in Indonesia, founded by Kiyai Zarkasyi, a former student of Abdullah Ahmad, an Islamic reformer from West Sumatera (Steenbrink, 1986). Therefore, the reformation process in Minangkabau had a significant influence in spreading ideas of Islamic educational reform to other regions throughout Indonesia (Azra, 1999).

2.4 The Dutch Colonial Contribution

The introduction of the schooling system

Since the early seventeenth century, the Dutch colonial government, through Christian mission, had tried to introduce a schooling system. The first schooling system was founded in 1607 in Ambon, Maluku island, East Indonesia and Batavia (Jakarta) in 1617. This spread to other cities in which European settlement had already been established, such as Banten, Cirebon and Semarang (Maksum, 1999). In 1888, the Dutch government created the 'Sekolah Desa' (Village school) as a formal initiative after it failed to assimilate and integrate Islamic education into the mainstream of government educational system. Since that time, Islamic education has been separated from the government system (Steenbrink, 1986). The establishment of 'Sekolah Desa' became the first Dutch colonial government effort in providing an

education for the Indonesian people, although the early purpose was to provide the government with employees. A significant policy change, known as the 'Ethical Policy' occurred in the early twentieth century. After founding the Holland Inlandche School/HIS (Primary level), the Dutch government then established Meer Unigebried Lager Onderwijs/MULO (Junior Secondary level) in 1914. This was followed by the Algemeene Middlebare Scholen/AMS (Senior Secondary level) in 1919 in Jogyakarta (Maksum, 1999).

The 'Sekolah Desa' constituted a basic education with 3 years study. Teaching was given in the Indonesian language (Malay language). The main aim was to give basic knowledge and skills to Indonesian people who lived in rural areas. Meanwhile, the Hollandche-Inlandche School' (HIS) was a government school for families from middle class background. Schooling was for seven years. Teaching was given in the Dutch language. Student graduating from this school could shift to the Algemeene Middelbare Scholen (AMS) or to the High school in Europe (Holland). Some Indonesian people, particularly those from aristocratic groups, had the privileged opportunity to enter this school. This system later became a basic source for establishing the educational schooling system after Indonesian independence (Steenbrink, 1986).

Ethical Policy
The 'Ethical Policy' changed the Dutch colonial policy on education from a conservative approach to a liberal approach[14]. This change was strongly influenced by the emergence of the Aufklarung age, or enlightenment age, in Western countries in the early eighteenth century, which also affected the Dutch Hindia (Indonesia)[15]. This movement pushed the colonial government to provide a wider range of access

---

[14] From the early 20th century onwards, the objective of Dutch colonial policy shifted from an exploitation approach to a welfare approach. The Dutch colonial government set up three prominent programs, namely: education, emigration/transmigration, and irrigation (Ricklefs, 2005, p. 227-228).
[15] In 1884, The Dutch colonial government, --- based on the green-light of the Queen, Wilhelmina, provided an educational funding (around f.2.500/years) for establishing "Sekolah Desa" (indigenous schools) in Java and throughout Indonesia (Gunawan, 1986).

and opportunity for indigenous people to gain an education. The establishment of government schools, particularly 'Sekolah Desa', in many places throughout Indonesia provided several educational alternatives rather than focusing on the traditional education institution, such as Pesantren. The emergence of the government school with modern methods had a significant effect on traditional Islamic education, such as Pesantren, Masdjid or Langgar education (Maksum, 1999; see Chapter 3 for further discussion of these traditional Islamic educational models).

However, the Dutch colonial education did contribute significantly to the development of the later Indonesian education system. The Dutch policy in establishing the schooling system (secular system) as the mainstream of government educational system on the one hand, and isolating Islamic education as an autonomous system on the other hand, had created a dichotomous system for Indonesian education. This was evident when the new Indonesian government continued to maintain this policy through adopting the secular system as the mainstream of national educational system and allowed the Islamic education system to operate Pesantren and Madrasah as autonomous private institutions (see chapter 4 for further discussion). This policy had the significant impact of leaving the Islamic educations lagging behind the secular system (Zakaria, 2002). This policy also caused Islamic education to be closed off from the general educational system (Steenbrink, 1986).

From the above discussion about the social, historical and political background of Indonesian Islamic education, we can argue that there is a unique role for Islam in Indonesia. Islam is not only a religion with its belief system but it also is a distinct social system. As such, Soebardi and Woodcroft-Lee asserted that "any attempt to understand the nature of modern Indonesian society and the cultural heritage of the peoples of Indonesia must take into consideration the role of Islam in this society, both as a religious and as a socio political force" (Cited in Mudzhar, et.al, 2004,

p.25). In short, the social, historical and political dimensions of Islamic education have thus become an integral part of the development of Indonesian state and society.

CHAPTER 3
ISLAM AND MODERNITY

This chapter deals with the issue of Islam and modernity. Interrogation of the relationship between Islam and modernity forms the theoretical framework underpinning the development of the study. Three main issues will be examined. The first is the academic debate on modernity and Islam, focusing on the major theories associated with: Weber, Marx, Liberalism and Neo Liberalism. The second issue is the contemporary pressures facing Islam in light of modernity. The third issue is the Moslem responses to modernity. This section will describe how Islam as an ideological system plays its role and responds to a modern world. This section will explore the range of responses to modernity, from a conservative to a radical response. Finally, attention will be turned to the debate on these contemporary issues in relation to Islamic education. This section will focus on how Islamic education has faced these trends and responded to secularization and democracy as a direct impact of modernity.

3.1 Academic debates on Islam and modernity

Before continuing this discussion of the relationship between modernity and Islam, it would be helpful to define briefly the concept of modernity. According to Hopwood, modernity (modernism) is a general term for the political and cultural processes set in notion by integrating new ideas, an economic system and education system into society; or in other words, it is a way of thought, of living in the contemporary world and of accepting change. It introduces us into society and the artifacts of contemporary life, such as railways, communications, industry, technology, and equipment (Hopwood, 2000). In a similar sense, Kumar (1997) has pointed out that modernity refers to the economic, technological, political and in many respects intellectual creations of Western societies in the period since the eighteenth century. Meanwhile, Giroux (1997), in defining modernity, argues that the concept of

47

modernity points to the progressive differentiation and rationalization of the social world through the process of economic growth and administrative rationalization. In this context, modernization may be thought to be the process by which modernity was brought into being, and hence made imitable by others or non-Western societies. However, modernity has two sides, as Boyne and Rattansi (1990) describe: as a maelstrom that promises adventure, joy and growth, transformation of ourselves and the world, but one that also threatens to destroy cherished traditions and securities. By great discoveries in the physical science; changing our images of the universe and our place; the industrialization of production, all of which transforms scientific knowledge into technology, creates new human environments and destroys old ones.

From this definition, we can understand that modernity is the changing process which results from the development of technology and science (Huntington, 2005). It begins when a society assumes an attitude of inquiry into how people make choices, be they moral, personal, economic or political. One of the main beliefs of modernism is the capacity of humans to control and change social and natural phenomena.

Islam is a universal ideology. As a universal ideology it is based upon the fundamental tenet that Islam is *'ad deen'* (religion), that is, a complete way of life – both for the individual and for the society. At a high level of generalization, there is certainly a 'map of social reality' to which all Muslims would subscribe. Politically, there is the ideal of the worldwide *'ummah'* (community). Economically, there is the dedication to the establishment of an economic system founded upon social justice and redistribution of wealth – idealized in the system of *zakat* (Muslim tithing system) and *wakaf* (donation for religious purposes). Legally, there is at least some acceptance of the *syariah* (Muslim legal code) as a template of social life (Siddique, 1985). Educationally, there are the aims to train young Muslims to have strong mental discipline and to acquire knowledge; not merely to develop intellectual curiosity but also to develop as rational, righteous beings who can contribute to the spiritual, moral and physical welfare of family, community and mankind (Husain and

Ashraf, 1979). In this context, the Quran and the Sunnah are the immutable sources of the fundamental tenets of Islam, of its principles, ethic and culture. Both the Quran and the Sunnah are the fundamental sources for developing Islamic education and Muslim society. They provide a positive sense to the human mind, some scientific principles, an account of human nature, and principles for social organization (Azra, 1999).

During the classic era (from 8th – 12th century), particularly in the era of Abbasid dynasty, the Islamic world had attained a high level of scientific, wealth and a refined civilization. During this era, the Islamic world became a prominent center of cultural and economic development and innovation (Turner, 1974). Many Islamic scholars and scientists emerged during this time, including Imam Malik, Imam Abu Hanifah, Imam Syafii, and Imam Ibn Hanbali in the fields of law and theology; Al-Kindi, Al-Farabi, Ibn Sina, Ibn Maskaweh, and Ibn Rusd in the fields of theology and philosophy; Zunnun Al-Misri, Abu Yazid al-Bustami, al-Hallaj, and Imam al-Ghazali in the field of Sufism (mysticism); Ibn Haysam, Ibn Hayyan, Al-Khawarizmi, Al-Ma'udi and Al-Razi in the field of sciences[1]. Their work made a significant contribution to the development of world knowledge and civilization at that time. Also, their work has been recognized as having significant impact on the development of world knowledge in the 12 to 14th centuries. In this context, the contribution of one prominent group stands out: Mu'tazilah[2] who imposed a rational doctrine on Islam. Hence, this era represents a key time of Islamic historical tradition and intellectual and societal development. Many of the later Islamic reformers refer to this era when looking for the basic foundation of their views. They believe that this

---

[1] Nasution, H. (1975) Pembaharuan dalam Islam, Sejarah Pemikiran dan Gerakan (Reform in Islam, Historical Thought and Movement), Bulan Bintang, Jakarta.

[2] One of the prominent rationalist religious sects in Islam, which emerged in the eighth century sponsored by Wasil ibn Atta. As rationalist groups, they were in opposition to the traditionalist or orthodox group, such as As'ariyah or Murjiah (Rahman, 1987). Western historians have characterized the Mu'tazila as rationalist and as heteredox theologians. Some Muslims historians and theologians have judged the Mu'tazili mutakalimun more harshly. Their view that the Qur'an was created (khalq al-Quran) and that humans have free will and power to act on it (qadar) became the two most controversial Mu'tazili doctrines (Martin, et al., 1997,p.10)

classic era made a significant contribution to the development of knowledge in the next century and beyond. However, this progress was resisted strongly by Al-Ghazali[3] (1059-1111), a prominent leader who introduced a Sufism (mysticism) approach with a greater focus on the religious spiritual life. Since this time rationality in the Islamic world has decreased slowly (Azra, 1999).

From the fifteenth or sixteenth century the center of the world economy and civilization moved towards Europe. Progress and innovation since the seventeenth century led to the modernization of European peoples. It began with industrialization and commercialization through economic processes whereby society had to accept new methods of production and distribution and abandon traditional modes of economic relations. Accumulation of capital became the overriding principle and people now began to make individual economic choices and decisions. People became more mobile and capable of conceiving and absorbing change. One of the main beliefs of modernism, in both Marxist and liberal formulations, has been its confidence in the capacity of people to control and change social and natural phenomena. Since the nineteenth century there was widespread belief (in the West) in the inevitability of progress and the power of reason (Hopwood, 2000). Meanwhile, since the seventeenth century, the Muslim countries fell under European occupation and colonialization which left the Islamic world in a weakened condition. The consolidation of the four rival legal schools in Sunni Islam, the abandoning of the principle of 'ijtihad' (innovation) and the death of philosophical enquiry synchronized with the emergence of the Asy'ari and Al-Ghazali's reconciliation of theology and Sufism. These elements, in combination, led to a final defeat of free speculative thought, based on principles of rationality, in Muslim community life (Hanif, 1997).

---

[3] One of the prominent Islamic scholars from the orthodox strand, who emerged in the eleventh century and had a strong influence in developing a religious orthodoxy in Islam communities.

There were many academic responses to the debate surrounding the relationship between Islam and modernity. Western scholars often viewed modernism as a threat to Islam, although this appeared to be based on a lack of understanding of Islam and its earlier intellectual pluralism. As Arkoun (1994) has argued 'can one speak of [a] scientific understanding of Islam in the West or must one rather talk about the West[ern] way of imaging Islam? We can, in fact, wonder whether the Western understanding of Islam is valid and objective' (p.6). In this view, Islam was also constructed as a theological, political and intellectual problem for the West. Theologically, the reintroduction of the monotheistic concept after the crucifixion and resurrection of God's own son (Jesus Christ) required Christian Europe to denounce Islam, its prophet and its followers. Politically, when Islam arrived at Europe's borders, Europe launched a series of crusades against Islam. Later, attacks were made intellectually when the scholarly achievements of Muslim civilization created a series of disciplines to contain Muslim thought and history (Sardar and Malik, 2001).

In order to capture the relationship between Modernity and Islam, the next section will give an account of the major theories of Marxism, Weberian and Neo-Liberalism in terms of Islam.

There are several reasons why the discussion needs to consider the ideology of Marxism, Weberian sociology, Liberalism and Neo Liberalism. In general, these ideologies played a significant role in constructing the modern society since 18th centuries to the present day. Specifically, Karl Marx encapsulates the repudiation of capitalism, the repudiation of classes; the repudiation of exploitation, the state, the ethics of self seeking – above all; the repudiation of human captivity - that, in his view, deforms man's essential nature in the system of production and social order. He constructed his humanism from elements derived directly or indirectly from religion, mysticism, moral philosophy, and particularly from seventeenth-century humanism and early nineteenth century German moral socialism (Shariati, 1981).

Weberian sociology has been successful in explaining the relationship between religion and capitalism. For Weber, the ethic values of Christian protestantism, particularly Calvinism, created modern capitalism in the West. He argues that there is a strong connection between a certain kind of religious spirit and a certain kind of economic behavior .Islam however, did not develop a similar form of capitalism, because almost all of Islamic institutions are dominated by a long patrimonial bureaucratic system or "Feudal ethics" which is determined by the "Sultan" as dominating class (Abdullah, 1987).

Liberalism is commonly understood as a political doctrine concerned with the maximization of individual liberty, particularly with the defense of that liberty against state interference. The liberal mode of government fosters the form of life appropriate to a community of such autonomous individuals. Hunt (1996) claimed that 'liberalism constructs itself in the form of limits on the domain of politics and the recognition of a civil society outside the state' (p. 167). Meanwhile Neo-Liberalism is a term used to identify a particular discourse of governance, political philosophy, and political prescription centered on the objective of the self-limiting state. This perspective places more emphasis on free enterprise and unfettered markets and desires to reduce state power in the economic, social and politic spheres on behalf of rational choice (Fitzsimons (2000). This last perspective has influenced strongly the nature of many governments in the world, particularly those which adopted the free market system.

Marxism and Islam

In order to capture the relationship between Marxism and Islam, we need first to discuss Marx's theory of religion. His theory was transformed by his confrontation with Hegel and Feuerbach. For Hegel, religion, like philosophy, had an historical dimension. He tried to show that history was the development of human self-consciousness; in becoming aware of the nature of historical modes of thought, humans are able to criticize their own conceptions and thereby transcend their limitations. In this context, the relationship between freedom and reason is central to

Hegel's view of history. Meanwhile, for Feuerbach both Hegelianism and religion were merely aspects of humans' alienation from themselves. To transcend both, humans have to achieve an awareness that all the qualities we attribute to God are in fact human. In his confrontation with Hegel and Feuerbach, Marx came to formulate a general theory of religion, with a limited criticism of institutional Christianity. For Marx, as described by Turner (1974), 'religion is as a reflection of a corrupt world in which men are estranged. It is the sigh of the oppressed creature, the heart of a heartless world, just as it is the spirit of spiritless situation. It is the opium the people' (p.179).

With respect to Islam, therefore, the very notion of Marxism is a very sensitive issue. Some Muslim leaders, particularly from traditional groups (conservative strand) refuse to recognize this ideology, because it has a potential danger to affect Islamic culture and even the religious life of the Muslim world. The danger of Marxism for Islam was the appearance of a form of Marxism with an Islamic veneer, creating a most tempting trap for certain simple souls. This insidious use of religion, often with direct political aims in mind, is in fact more dangerous than the obviously anti-religious position and corresponds to the thoughts and attitudes of that class of people whom the Qurán calls the *munafiqun* (hypocrites)[4]. Therefore, to preserve Islam and Islamic civilization, a conscious and intellectual defense must be made through intellectual criticism of the modern world. Muslims cannot hope to follow the same path as the West and the truth must be asserted along with an intellectual defense of Islam wherever it is challenged (Nasr, 2002). Islam, very simply, is a philosophy of human liberation. Its first summons, "say 'There is no god but God and prosper", propounds *tauhid* as the necessary means to that end. (Shari'ati, 1981)

---

[4] The Quran in many places criticizes hypocritical behaviour, because it brings a negative sense of human relationship.

Weber and Islam

In order to understand Weber's` interpretation of Islam in relation to the rise of the modern world, we need first to know what Weber's argument was about the relationship between religious belief and the emergence and persistence of capitalist institutions. For Weber, beliefs are independent and influential. He saw that a 'capitalist spirit' has arisen from some religious impulse. In this context, the Protestant Ethic is a strong thesis in the argument that Calvinist beliefs caused modern capitalism, but Weber's treatment and interpretation of Islam is, in fact, very weakly connected with the specific thesis about Calvinism. In practice, Weber's discussion of Islam is in terms of patrimonial domination. For Weber, Islamic social structure contained elements of patrimonialism and feudalism, such as the absence of a cohesive landowning aristocracy, an independent legal system and autonomous cities which provided Weber with a term for absolute power where patrimonial authority lays primary stress on the sphere of arbitrary will, free of traditional limitations, called 'Sultanism' (Princesim) (Turner, 1974).

Although Weber's analysis of Islam was never completed, Islam is intrinsically important to Weber's total endeavor. Weber's study on Islam can be divided into two dimensions: a commentary on the ethic of Islam and an analysis of the patrimonial structure of Islam. In accounting for the content of the Islamic ethic, Weber underlined two key aspects. First, as a monotheistic religion, Islam did not develop into an ascetic religion because its main social carrier was a *warrior group*. The content of the religious message was transformed into a set of values compatible with the mundane needs of a warrior stratum. The salvation element of Islam was transformed into a secular quest for land; the result was that Islam became a religion of accommodation rather than a religion of transformation. The pristine message of Meccan monotheism was adulterated by Sufism, which catered for the emotional and orgiastic needs of the masses. The consequence was, while the warrior stratum pulled Islam in the direction of a militaristic ethic, the Sufi tradition drew Islam towards a religion of mystical flight. The point is that Islam did not contain an ethic which was

congruent with the rise of rational capitalism. As a religion of harmony, Islam produced an ethic which was incompatible with the spirit of capitalism. According to Turner (1986), this thesis is not true, because Islam, in fact, emerged and developed from a trading city. Mecca, since its beginning, has been a commercial city, dominated by merchant groups. The Quraisy tribe, the prophet of Muhammad's tribe, had achieved their political position based on their domination in the trade sector. Also, the Quran is replete with trade terminologies. In Islam, we can find a discussion about a devout city and a devout nomad influenced by economic interests. However, Islam has been successful in integrating a trader people and nomadic people in one community, called "Moslem".

The second dimension of Weber's account of Islam centers on the political and economic structure of later Islamic dynasties in which this structure fell under patrimonial bureaucracies. For Weber, the financial and political structure of dynastic Islam depended on the successful conquest of new lands, which were then exploited to maintain the central bureaucracy. This political structure hinged on a complex balance of social forces represented by the Sultan, the military, the *ulama* (Scholars) and the masses (Turner, 1974). Furthermore, Weber argued that the Sultan, as a representative of God, should maintain the power balance between all those stakeholders, because the failure to achieve the power balance (justice) will lead to the society being weakened (Abdullah, 1987).

Many have criticized these accounts. Turner (1974) argued that Weber failed to make allowance for the persistent conflict between the pious and their rulers; the resentment between the legal scholars and law officials. He failed to recognize the social solidarities of Islamic cities, which focused on the law schools. Furthermore, Weber did not provide an accurate periodization of Islamic history. Also, his outline of the Islamic warrior ethic was tangential to his main concern with the patrimonial character of mediaeval Islam, and in his discussion of oriental patrimonialism, he duplicated an analysis of oriental society, which had already been examined by Marx

and Engels. But these criticisms did not diminish the main outline of Weber's argument that Islam did not develop along capitalist lines because of its patrimonial system of domination. This system of social arrangement collapsed because it could not solve its own political contradiction and it was incapable of dealing with European capitalism and colonialism (Turner, 1974).

Liberalism, Neo-Liberalism and Islam

Liberalism is a relatively modern phenomenon that has had great influence in the Western world, particularly in England, the United States and Western Europe. Liberalism is one of the most important Western ideologies which underpins the modern West. The basic credo of classical liberalism was freedom and the right of the individual. As Kerlinger (1984) points out, the individual became the focus of attention and values; society and the government were seen as subordinate to the individual's right, aspiration, and efforts. Popkewitz and Brennan (1997) described that, as a social theory, 'liberalism' refers to a legacy of nineteenth century social thought which underlies contemporary social and educational theory. In liberal thought, progress in scientific knowledge is made through managing social change and placing greater emphasis on individuals and the phenomenology of the subject. One of the central figures of liberal discourse, the 'individual', has a distinctly ambiguous ontological status.

The next paragraph examines the implication of this ambiguity for the understanding first of liberalism as a doctrine and secondly of its relationship to other contemporary doctrines, including democracy, socialism and neo-liberalism. As a doctrine of government, liberalism is concerned with a community of autonomous persons where some regard the government primarily as implementing the will of the community and the other see government essentially as collective self-control. In this context, representative government and the rule of law might be described as containing the influence of the people within strict limits. This view of natural autonomy as political ideal corresponds to an understanding of government as able to operate legitimately

only on the basis of the agreement of those autonomous persons who are subject to its power. In terms of democracy, representative government could be thought to allow government by the people to be extended to large, geographically dispersed and relatively differentiated populations. Meanwhile, in terms of socialism, social democracy has attempted to manage economic activity while retaining both the commitment to representative democracy and the constitutional restraints of liberal democracy. It is interesting to note that liberalism and social democracy have been able to present themselves as competing rationalities of government. In this respect, the account of liberalism as acknowledging the natural autonomy of the individual and insisting upon a limited role for government gives rise to a misleadingly sharp set of demarcations between liberalism on the one hand and democracy and social democracy on the other. Therefore, the differences between liberalism on the one hand and democracy and socialism on the other appear to take a particularly clear form. Liberalism acknowledges the natural liberty of the person and aims to defend it against external obstacles, whereas democracy and socialism threaten this liberty in the name of what they describe as collective interest and priorities (Avnon and Shalit, 1999).

Despite its methodological flaws, neo-liberalism is the theoretical underpinning of the most recent wave of globalization. It is a term used to identify a particular discourse of governance, political philosophy, and political prescription centering around the objectives o*f the self-limiting state.* Therefore, as Fitzsimons (2000) argues, neo-liberalism is a substantive discourse of government that is potent precisely because of its capacity to combine economics, the social, and politics on behalf of rational choice as a legitimating principle. There are two competing models for thinking about the world that have become influential over recent years, the neo-classical economic theory and the world system theory. Neo-classical theory[5], from which neo-liberalism

---

[5]Nesta Devine argues that Neo-classical economics derive from the ideas of the classical economists, as interpreted by a tradition of politically conservative economists, and dating back to Carl Menger, who derived it from a particular reading of Adam Smith. The view so derived is that if the government takes any part in the economy, it will distort the semi-mystical process of the invisible hand and produce inefficiencies, and thus impair the economy (1999, p. 172).

derives, has tended to become the dominant ideology of global capitalism because of its emphasis on a free enterprise and an unfettered market. Meanwhile, the world system theory argues that national development is an irrelevant concept in a globalised economy.

With respect to Islam, the notions of liberalism and neo-liberalism are highly debatable. The classical Islamic orthodox groups, whose obedience is to God's rules, do not have an interest in the implications of liberalism and neo-liberalism for their lives, because they are more focused on their spiritual life than on the social life. Their life is influenced by orthodox doctrines. One extreme doctrine, related to a political issue is the full obedience to the 'Caliph' or the Islamic political leaders, even if they are unfair. Many Muslim orthodox groups still maintain the doctrine of full obedience to the Caliph or Sultan. This orthodox doctrine established fourteen centuries ago is still kept to the present day, even though the original conditions have changed.

In the name of Islam these classical groups challenge other political and cultural systems including democracy, capitalism, liberalism or neo-liberalism, imported from the West. According to these groups, the whole of life stands ordered solely on Islamic principles, since there is no other valid path except submission to Allah (God). All democracies, including the Western representative kinds, are evil. Democracy means the rule of the demos, the people or mob. Islam requires the rule of the *umma*, the community of the faithful, through a government whose duty is to implement the *shari'a* (Islamic law) (Aldridge, 2000). For these classical groups, the inhabited world is theologically and juridically divided between the home of Islam (*dar al-islam*) where the Divine law applies; and the land of war (*dar al-harb)* where the 'infidel' always threatens to substitute secular law for the true law set down in the time of the prophet (Arkoun, 1994). But moderate traditional groups, for instance: Sayyed Hossein Nasr, believed that traditional Islam is rich enough to generate an alternative culture through which Muslims can confront the intellectual and material

supremacy of the West. Nasr believed that Allah (God) who has infinite mercy will eventually revitalize a tradition in order to save humanity from the spiritual and intellectual impoverishment caused by modernity (Aslan, 1998).

Modernist groups who have more tolerance with Western trends are attempting to harmonize Islam and Western civilization. Some contemporary 'isms' such as democracy, Marxism, socialism, capitalism, liberalism or neo-liberalism became a significant challenge for modernizing Islamic society. Liberal modernists, such as Rifa'a al-Tahtawi and Mustafa Kemal (Kemal Attarturk) believed they could lead Muslim societies along the same path through imitating the Western cultural model. For example, by launching the Western concept of secularization, Kemal Atarturk wanted to recreate Turkey as a modern state. He believed that it was enough to take the 'prescription' for the success of Western civilization and apply it to Muslim countries (Huntington, 2005). For conservative groups, this view represents the typical naïve state of consciousness found among Muslim intellectuals between 1880–1940. On this view, there can be no deep harmony and accord between the Islamic world and the secularist West because there are no common transcendent principles between them. There can only be peace based upon mutual respect on the human level. It is necessary to assert that the question of Islam and the West (including Western-ism) must be cast in a new mold. Both sides must understand that there cannot be an integration of two diametrically opposed worldviews: Islam and modernity, but at best mutual tolerance on the human level (Nasr, 2002).

From the discussion above we have seen that since the early nineteenth century, Islam was faced with the issue of its relation to modernity. It could be argued, in principle, that no one Islamic doctrine is opposed to modernity, because Islam is a religion with a comprehensive way of life. Therefore, modernity becomes a "challenger" for shaping and embedding Islamic values and norms in the life of Moslem society. With respect to modernity as a human civilization, Islam can only gain valuable experience in facing 'modern' life problems, because Islam will have the opportunity to

introduce its own world view. In fact, Islam cannot escape from the impact of modernity. Even, Islam in many aspects has gained valuable benefits from modern life and 'modernity'.

3.2 Contemporary Pressure on Islam

Most Muslims believe that the initial impact of modernity on the Islamic world began with the transoceanic expansion of Europe in the fifteenth century. In 1498 Vasco da Gama opened the route to India by the Cape of Good Hope, because he could not use the conventional route (through the Red Sea), which was occupied by the Ottoman Empire. From that time, various European nations reached India and the Far East and engaged in trade with local rulers in East Africa, the Indian continent, and the East Indies. In the seventeenth century, trade led on to political involvement and finally full colonialism (Watt, 1988).

Colonial Pressure

This political advance, as the outcome of a new superiority in military technology, led to the process of colonization of Muslim countries by Western countries. First, there were treaties with local rulers, then European troops were sent to protect the trading stations. Their role then extended to political and social affairs and govern-ship of the countries under colonial rule; and finally, in some areas, full-blown colonialism. On taking control, the colonial powers not only had the function of providing raw material for Europe but there was a pressure on Muslim society to adopt the practices of particular colonial powers. One of the significant acts of all the colonial rulers was to ban all Muslim institutional power, ranging from political to intellectual and educational activities in Muslim society. For example, when the Dutch colonialists took over Indonesia in the early seventeenth century, they did not only destroy the Islamic kingdom as the Islamic political power, but they also banned the Islamic educational system and replaced it with a secular system as the mainstream system. Meanwhile, Islamic education had been pushed to the margins of society and had

been ignored as the poor educational institution for native Indonesia Muslim society (Sardar and Malik, 2001).

This colonial policy on education created a new variant of Muslim leaders who experienced a Western type of education. As a Western-educated class, they wanted to modernize their countries and achieved this by adopting many aspect of Western culture. Many wanted Western education for their children and supported the development of the new system of education by introducing Western methods of teaching and Western curriculum. From that time emerged several leaders and educational reformists, including Sultan Mahmud II and Kemal Ataturk in Turkey; as well as Mohammad Ali Pasha, al-Tahtawi and Mohammad Abduh who wanted to reform the University of Al-Azhar. In India, Sayyid Ahmad Khan founded the Mohammedan Anglo-Oriental College. In Indonesia, reformists included Syech Ahmad Chotib who founded the Normal School in Padang West Sumatera; Dr. Wahidin Sudiro Husodo who founded STOVIA,- a medical school in Jakarta; and in the moderate form, K.H. Ahmad Dahlan who founded the Muhammadiyah Schooling system. Meanwhile, some ulamas (religious scholars) still maintained the traditional Islamic form of education which did not meet the contemporary demands, because its teaching method still focused more on the memorization of subject matters without deep analysis (Azra, 1999). These two types of institutions were based on completely different philosophies of education. As a result in most Islamic countries, two types of educated classes appeared who had the same ethnic background, religion, and language, but who were not able to understand each other because they interpreted the world through different prisms (Nasr, 2002).

Neo-Colonial Pressure
During the post colonial period (1920 – 1960), most Muslims saw the pervasive Western cultural domination of the world as a serious threat to their religion and identity; because they viewed the West as trying to homogenize the world with a

secular Western flavour[6]. The introduction of economic capitalism, communism, and Western liberalism had been successful in moderating even secularizing some Muslim countries. The case of Turkey and Kemal Ataturk (1881-1938) is an extreme example. He transformed Turkey from Ottoman conservatism to modernity by adopting and imitating European methods, social institutions, and political models. He went even further by abolishing the sultanate system - the sacred rank of the caliphate[7]. He attacked the semiological universe of Muslims by replacing the Arabic alphabet with the Latin alphabet, the turban and the fez with the hat; and the most surprising move, replaced the shari'a (Islamic law) with the Swiss legal code (Arkoun, 1994). Many similar cases, but in a more moderate form, occurred during the period of colonialism and continued after these countries gained independence. Many of them adopted the Western political model for their schooling system. These influences brought the Muslim countries to modernity, but they also created a latent tension between the modern and the traditional groups within the Muslim society (Watt, 1988)[8].

Since the early twentieth century many Muslim countries gained their independence. Some gained independence by launching wars and revolts, such as the Sudanese revolt against the British colonialists or the Indonesian revolt against Dutch colonialists from 1945-1949. Some others gained independence after long negotiations and several concessions from the colonial rulers. Although most Muslim countries gained independence after World War II, they were not fully freed from Western influence. Their poor economic condition and lack of education and technology meant they were heavily dependent upon leading Western countries, particularly the USA, United Kingdom, French and Germany. For example, most of

---

[6] Salmi, R.H., et.al (1998) Islam and conflict resolution, Lanham – New York – Oxford, University Press of America.

[7] Caliphate is a traditional form of Islamic political rule.

[8] W. Montgomery Watt (1988) argued that among these groups many different political and social attitudes are to be found. However, the ulama (religious scholars) are the primary bearers and transmitters of the traditional world-view and are thus mostly reactionary, in the sense that they tend to oppose reforms (p.2-3).

the rich Muslim oil countries in the Middle East, such as Saudi Arabia, Iraq, and Kuwait, asked for Western technology in order to exploit their oil resources. Meanwhile, the Western countries who lacked natural resources tried to maintain their domination by using their advanced technology, and political and economic power in order to secure their domestic demands. As Salmi et al. (1998) argued, the colonialism which was imposed by force in the eighteenth century was characterized by the exploitation of raw materials in the Muslim lands, later reinforced by economic exploitation. Today, the Western domination continues in the form of political, economic and cultural domination (neo-colonialism or neo-imperialism). In the political arena, the Western countries represented by the super powers of the USA and European Union dominate the world's political discourses and decisions. Few of the world's political directions and conflicts can be solved without their involvement even though the United Nations is the International body representative. For example, the Balkan and Middle East conflicts, such as the Bosnian war, the Arab – Israel conflict, the Iraq – Iran war, the Afghanistan war, or the Iraq annexation of Kuwait ended only after their involvement.

In the view of some Muslim leaders of the conservative groups, by setting up the International agencies for economic development, such as: World Bank, International Monetary Fund (IMF) and Asian Development Bank (ADB), the Western countries have played 'games' in order to maintain the domination of West upon East or the developed countries upon the developing countries, of which large numbers are Muslim countries. These agencies, with full political support from the super powers, have played an active role as 'World Charity Organizations' by offering packaged loans as funding aid for conducting economic development. At the beginning and for a short time, such financial programs seem to be useful and effective ways of achieving a new material standard of living; but in the long term, they become an 'economic trap' that can destroy the Muslim countries' economic foundation. This was evident when a financial crisis occurred in 1997 in Asian countries, including the Muslim countries Malaysia and Indonesia, causing the level of social welfare to

decrease dramatically. However, the leaders of the 'modern' Islamic group, particularly those who were educated in Western educational institutions, presented a different view. For them, the Islamic world could not be isolated from the influence of Western economics, because the waves of globalization have created a mutual dependence between north and south, West and East, poor and rich countries. Thus, the Muslim countries cannot escape from the influence of the economic globalization (Hoveyda, 1998).

In the cultural field, Western culture, particularly, represented by the American life style, came to dominate not only the Muslim countries but also the entire world. With their advanced technological information and communication systems such as CNN, BBC, and many others; the Western countries have tried to create a strong view that the Western culture, particularly the American life style should be the leading world culture. The introduction of the Western life style including, individualism, feminism, materialism, homosexuality, and even atheism have brought a strong challenge for modern Muslim society. For some Muslims of conservative groups, these notions were seen as a 'death poison' which had the potential to destroy their true faith and social religious attitudes. As Azra (1999) argues, Western hegemony in the field of information technology has not only led to the emergence of cultural globalization but also to the penetration of Western values into the life of Muslim society. For example, the American soap opera 'Dynasty' is not only pure 'entertainment' but seems to legitimate permissive relationships between men and women, which is a 'taboo' in Muslim society. On the most significant level, there is the ever-increasing bombardment of Islamic society, and especially its youth, with the products of Western culture, particularly American pop culture and the hedonistic aspects of Western life (Nasr, 2002).

But for some Muslims of the modernist group, not all of Western cultural penetration had a negative impact on the Muslim society or way of life. The Western attitudes of working hard, efficiency, rationality, and democratic politics became highly valued

qualities in contributing to the modernization of Islamic countries. And also, in fact, Muslim countries cannot protect their society from this cultural infiltration, because they lack the ability and skills to create a balanced counter attack. They also cannot blame Western countries for creating cultural penetration, because as the holder of cultural hegemony they cannot prevent themselves from 'selling' their culture, since they cannot see outside it and therefore it permeates the cultural products which they sell to the rest of the world – like television programmes, movies etc. This cultural penetration has become a significant challenge for Muslim societies. As Nasr (2002) points out, modern technology continues to penetrate in an ever greater degree into the Islamic world, as elsewhere. This penetration has religious and spiritual implications on a vast scale. These have become of critical concern for many Muslim leaders and thinkers. The modernist group created a modern Islamic education by adopting modern methods whilst still maintaining the spirit of Islam, but the traditional groups have created a religious doctrine as a way of protecting their members from the negative impact of cultural penetration.

The Terrorist label Pressure

After the events of 11 September 2001, Islam and terrorism have become synonymous. The American war propaganda on terrorism was followed by military action on Afghanistan and Iraq, creating a new tension between Islam and the West. This propaganda, which was led by US President George Bush and supported by former British Prime Minister Tony Blair, has created new pressures on Islam and Muslim countries. It also created a new stigma on the Moslem people, particularly the Moslem people from Middle East countries and South East Asia, with the potential now to be described as "a Terrorist". Now, Islam and the West seem to stand in opposition, both having negative perceptions and strong prejudice towards the other. Many violent acts that have occurred in parts of the world are blamed on 'Muslim" terrorist actions. The Bali bombing, Manila Bombing, the Jakarta bombing, the Bangkok bombing among others are all understood as 'Muslim' terrorist actions.

People from Muslim countries, particularly from the Middle East are under suspicion when entering Western countries. This phenomenon seems to be leading to a 'clash of civilizations' between the West and Islam. Samuel P. Huntington predicted that the twenty-first century would be a clash of civilizations, as will be discussed more fully below.

Terrorism is not a new phenomenon. Walter Laqueur (1987) notes in his book, The *Terrorist Reader,* that the *Sicarii*, a highly organized terrorist group, was quite active in the first century A.D. struggles in Palestine. This form of terrorism inspired other violent movements, such as Al-Fattah, the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, the Front de Liberation du Quebec in Canada, the Provisional Irish Republican Army (IRA) in the Northern Ireland and many elsewhere. Many of these movements can be viewed as promoting political terrorism with the aim of creating new states. From these violent movements grew up several new militant groups as an international phenomenon with international goals and audiences. Examples are the Red Brigades in Italy, the Baader-Meinhof Group in Germany, and the Weathermen in the USA which were popular during the 1970s and 1980s (Buckley and Olson, 1980).

Today's terrorism can be characterized as political and cultural terrorism, as it involves mixed political and religious motivations. Two particular groups are Al-Qaeda with its prominent leader, Usama bin Laden who is regarded as the prime suspect for attacking Western, particularly American interests since early 1990. The other is its descendent global network Jama'at- al-Islamiyah which is blamed, by President Bush and Tony Blair, as the prime suspect for the bomb attack on the World Trade Center on 11 September 2001 and other bombings. Although, those Western leaders say that the blame is not intended to label (discredit) Islam as a 'terrorist religion', the implication is there and this has upset Muslim countries. As Sardar and Malik (2001) have pointed out, Islam cannot be blamed for the actions of

the terrorists. By painting all Muslims with the same brush, we undermine their humanity and defame over a billion people, their societies, and their histories.

However, the terrorist label given to these Islamic radical groups has created a new pressure on the Islamic world. This pressure brings a political dilemma for Muslim leaders (and their countries). They have to tread a fine line between engaging with the American propaganda of the war on the terrorism, while avoiding American allegations that they support terrorists, and at the same time, ensure that they do not damage relationships with other Muslim countries. This pressure has created a new atmosphere between Islam and the West which is characterized by tension and prejudice. From the Western side, a terrorist action is understood as a threat to Western civilization. Meanwhile from the Islamic side, the Western allegation of terrorism is understood as a threat to Islam. Professor Samuel Huntington of Harvard University predicted in 1993 that world politics was entering a new phase in which the major source of conflict would be neither ideological nor economic but cultural. According to Huntington, "the fault lines between civilizations will be the battle lines of the future. It will be 'the West against the rest' and especially against 'resurgent' Islamic fundamentalism. For him, the 'cultural division' between Christianity and Islam has reemerged. Conflict along the fault line between Western and Islamic civilization has been coming back" (cited in Hoveyda, 1998,p. 159).

However, many intellectual scholars disagree with this notion of clash of civilization. Fereydoun Hoveyda (1998) argued that the world debate on the clash of civilizations is literally metaphorical. In referring to Islamic civilization, he argues that what we call Islamic civilization is something of the past although we can see and appreciate its products. But it has produced nothing 'new' since the eighteenth century. What we call Islamic civilization now is not 'alive'. Therefore, it is unrealistic to compare Islamic civilization and Western civilization. The situation is not like the period of the cold war, when the West and the Communist worlds were threatening each other's very existence, for the Islamic world cannot and does not threaten the West

militarily, politically, or even economically in any conceivable way. On the contrary, the West controls the most vital economic resources of Muslim nations, benefits from all conflicts in that world through the sale of vast quantities of arms, and practically dictates its wishes in many part of the Islamic world (Nasr, 2002).

3.3 Muslim responses to modernity

Muslims responded to the cultural and political supremacy of the modern West through generating three different movements. As Sayyid Husen Nasr has outlined, these are: *Islamic modernism*, *Islamic traditionalism*, and *Islamic revivalism or 'fundamentalism'*. First, Islamic modernism is a movement that wants to modify or reformulate Islam in a manner that would accommodate the norms of modernity. It aims to highlight and put more stress on those aspects of Islam which are in accord with the norms of modernity, while attempting to moderate those Islamic issues that are in direct conflict with modernity (Aslan, 1998). Second, Islamic traditionalism is a movement which is inward looking. This movement sees change (modernity) as the corruption of original cultural values. They lead the fight against the modern world. For them, it is essential to maintain integrity in the face of rapid change (modernity). The outside world may change, but traditional 'man's' authentic, original, eternal soul (Islam) must be saved (Hopwood, 2000). Meanwhile, the third type, Islamic revivalism or fundamentalism[9], is a religio-political movement which differs from traditionalism in its approach. This movement does not seek wisdom but 'truth'. The fundamentalists ground their views in presuppositions about the poverty and shallowness of reason. Only through the divine can humans attain solid, exemplary moral worth. From within, *fitra* (inner power) is enlightened by divine revelation, it becomes a force for humankind's happiness, while from without, the fundamentalist assumes the impossibility of knowledge through reason because human knowledge cannot comprehend, interact with or act according to ultimate truths (Mousalli, 1999).

---

[9] Although it is in accord with Islamic traditionalism in its acceptance of the authority of the Quran and Sunnah, it is a religio-political movement which differs from traditionalism in its approach.

In order to give a more explicit account of Muslim intellectual responses to modernity, the next section will discuss in more detail these three movements.

3.3.1 Modernist Movement (Moderate and liberal responses)

The Islamic modernism movement began in Egypt in the mid nineteenth century. From Egypt, this movement spread to North Africa, Central Asia, continental India, and South East Asia, particularly Indonesia.

In Egypt, this movement was heavily indebted to modernist Muslim thinkers, such as Jamal al-Din al-Afghani, Muhammad Abduh, and Rashid Ridla. Afghani represented a political dimension of the Islamic modernism movement. He proposed the notion of pan-Islamism by cutting across ethnic and tribal affiliation and combining with the efforts of the regional movements in order to create an international Islamic force. He criticized those who believed in aping the Western model without modification and accused them of posing a threat to the sovereignty of the *úmmah'*(Muslim society). For him, the causes of decline in the Muslim world were the absence of *ádil* (justice) and shura (council). One of his main demands was that the people be allowed to assume their political and social role by participating in government through *shura* and elections. His discourse attempted to stir up a sense of solidarity and an activist stance among all Muslims, to be based on renewed efforts at performing *ijtihad*[10]. For Afghani, Islam was foremost a belief in the transcendence of God and in reason. Therefore, *ijtihad* is necessity, and the duty of man was to apply the principles of the Quran to the problems of the time (Salvatore, 1997). In this context, he advocated a return to Islamic sources and the adoption of modern scientific values (Hoveyda, 1998).

In contrast, Mohammad Abduh and Rashid Ridla represented a cultural, social, and educational dimension of the Islamic modernism movement. Abduh and Ridla

---

[10] Ijtihad is the technical term used to refer to the intellectual effort made on questions of jurisprudence (McDonough, S., 1984, p. 39).

believed that Islam's relationship with the modern age was the most crucial issue confronting Islamic communities. In order to reconcile Islamic ideas with Western ones, Abduh suggested that *maslaha* (interest) in Islamic thought corresponded to *manfaah* (utility) in Western thought. He strongly believed that ijtihad should be revived because emerging priorities and problems need to be addressed (Esposito and Tamini, 2000). Islam should be the moral basis of a modern and progressive society and Muslims should live according to God's commands and by reasoning (Hopwood, 2000). Jamal al-Din al-Afghani, Mohammad Abduh and Rashid Ridla all played a significant role in shaping the Islamic modernism movement in many Muslim countries in the next decades. As Sardar and Malik (2001) point out, the movement that emerged from their efforts gave a modern identity to Islam, and provided Muslim societies with a clear purpose and direction. Since the turn of the nineteenth century, Egypt became the center of the Islamic modern movement.

In India, the Islamic modernism movement was led by Sayyid Ahmad Khan (1817-1898). His ideas about social change did not come from an ivory tower of academic contemplation but rather from immediate contact with the real disorders and conflicts of his environment (McDonough, 1984). For him, the spread of Western education among Indian society, particularly for an Indian Muslim, through the introduction of modern science brought the greatest challenge. From his visit to England, he realized that the only way to improve the Muslim condition was to provide them with a modern education. Toward this end, he established the Mohammedan Anglo-Oriental College in Aligarth, which, to this day, has been the center of Islamic modernism. Then, he adopted the education of the West to his institution. He believed that Islam was not opposed to the study of science and had nothing to fear from its impact. In this context, like Abduh in Egypt, Sayyid Ahmad Khan believed that Islamic teaching was compatible with modern science (Martin et al, 1997). From this perspective, Sayyid Ahmad Khan can be seen as a liberal, a modernist, or an acculturationist; even some observers have characterized him as a rationalist because he was concerned to

convince his fellow Muslims that they must use reason in new ways as a basis for the individual and collective aspects of Muslim lives (McDonough, 1984).

Another prominent Indian Islamic modernist was Mohammad Iqbal. He argues that dogmatism had debased Islam, territorial and racial nationalism had split Muslims into aggressive groups and materialistic philosophy was taking everyone towards nihilism. He criticized Western materialism and individualism which, overwhelmed by the achievement of physical science, has lost faith in the reality of spirit . Therefore, he attempted to assimilate the modern thought of the West and the best of Islamic and oriental thought. For Iqbal, in a human life, religion is more central and vital than science (knowledge). This was because religion is a system of general truths which have the effect of transforming character when they are sincerely held and vividly apprehended (Sardar and Malik, 2001). In this context, he conceived Islam as a universal religion which envisaged all humanity as a unity but at the same time, he criticized it for becoming narrow, rigid and static. His ideal 'man' was a 'man' of intuition as well as intellect, a combination of reason and revelation. He argued that Islam needed to re-examine and reconstruct its religious outlook and the West needed an ethical and spiritual basis to the modern political and economic framework to prevent the latter becoming a destructive force (Hanif, 1997).

In Indonesia, the Islamic modernism movement took place in the lineage of *tajdid* (renewal). It was heavily indebted to the fourteenth century reformer Ibn Taimiyah and the twentieth-century Middle Eastern modernists, including Jamal al-Din al-Afghani, Muhammad Abduh and Rashid Ridla. These thinkers made an important contribution to the rise of the Indonesian Islamic modernism movement in the early decades of the twentieth century. From this time a new Muslim discourse developed in Indonesia. The earlier movements concentrated their efforts on purifying Islamic thought from what were considered to be historical and cultural influences, which were described as *bidá* (unlawful) and *khurafa*t (superstition). They also advocated

the elimination of mazhab (the Sunni legal school) and the exclusive use of prominent sources of Islamic law, namely Quran and Sunnah (Martin et al, 1997).

In contrast to Egypt and India, the Indonesian Islamic modernism movement began with the educational and social movements rather than a political movement. This early movement occurred in the early decades of the twentieth century, during the Dutch colonial era. At the beginning, it was characterized informally by individual Muslim reformers. It began with Syech Ahmad Chotib (1855-1916) who spread his ideas from Mecca and was later followed by his descendents, including Syech Muhammad Tahir Djalalluddin, Syech Mohammad Djamil Djambek, Haji Abdul Karim Amrullah, and Haji Abdullah Ahmad. They were particularly against the Tariqat Naqsyabandiah practices as well as the adat regulation of inheritance (Noer, 1973). Also they were involved directly in reforming the educational sector by adopting the modern method of teaching in their schools and introducing non-religious subjects, such as math, geography, English, and sciences (Steenbrink, 1986). All of these reformists played a significant role in shaping the Islamic modern movement in many places throughout Indonesia in the next decades.

But the most important and successful Islamic modernism movement is Muhammadiyah[11]. Concentrating primarily on educational, social and welfare activities, it drew hundreds of thousands of Indonesians, particularly from the main urban areas, into the fold of a young and vigorous Islamic movement (Benda, 1972). Muhammadiyah adopted and developed a form of rationalist thought from both sources: Islam and Western culture. From Islam, Muhammadiyah adopted a theological conservatism[12]. From the West, Muhammadiyah adopted several Western

---

[11] Muhammadiyah is one the most important Muslim social organization in Indonesia since pre War World I until the present time. It was established in Jogyakarta, Central Java, on 18 November 1912 by K.H. Ahmad Dahlan in response to the need for establishing an institution that was concerned with building the permanent character through religious education (Steenbrink, 1986,p.90)
[12] The Muhammadiyah retains a traditional Asyáriyah understanding of God's attributes, the Qurán, and predetermination. One of the central principles of the Muhammadiyah's theology is that God and Islam can be known only from Qurán and Hadits (Martin et al, 1997, p. 142)

notions, such as: democracy, justice and rational thought. Muhammadiyah became a leading modernist organization in the field of education and social activities. In the field of education, it ran a schooling system from kindergarten level to university level by adopting the western method of teaching[13]. In the field of social activity, they established many hospitals or medical clinics, and home care for orphans through imitating Christian missionary activities. Muhammadiyah's interest in economic activities earned them credit as a reformist Muslim social organization. These activities later brought about a significant contribution in creating a Muslim middle class, which later played a significant role in contemporary life in modern Indonesia (Noer, 1973).

In the field of politics, the Islamic modern movement was represented by two prominent organizations, namely: Sarikat Islam[14] and Masyumi. At that time, Sarikat Islam became a progressive social political organization in responding to the demands of modernization. Its political character was apparent in its Declaration of Principles and an Action Plan. This party declaration shows that Sarikat Islam had adopted a progressive notion of Western thought. In this context, the Sarikat Islam was heavily indebted to its prominent leader: H.O.S. Tjokro Aminoto, who introduced the concept *socialism Islam* in order to eliminate the notions of individualism and materialism that had been introduced by the Dutch colonial government in Indonesia (Noer, 1973).

After the rapid decline of Sarikat Islam, Masyumi became the most prominent Islamic modern political movement in the first two decades of the new Indonesian state. Most of its adherents were middle class people, such as merchants, traders, landowners,

---

[13] Besides setting up the schooling system that referred to the Dutch colonial schooling system, Muhammadiyah also established religious schools, such as Madrasah and Pondok Pesantren (Stenbrink, 1986, p. 55).
[14] According to Deliar Noer (1973), Sarekat Islam was the origin of the political movement in Indonesia, even though at the same time there was the Persatuan Mulimin Indonesia (Indonesian Muslim Party) which was established in 1921. However, its influence was limited to the Sumatera Island.

small manufacturers, clerks, and schoolteachers, who have been described as an urbanized Islam with cosmopolitan and commercial characters. Many of its leaders[15] were modern intellectuals with a realistic and modern view of politics but they took Islam seriously as a religious-political and social entity. As Kahin argued, Masyumi was the Islamic party considered best able to deal with secular or modern problems, because they believed that Islamic doctrine provided the basis for all human action. This principle indicates in its statute that the party was based on Islam and its goal was the realization of the doctrine and law of Islam in the life of the individual, in society, and in the life of the Republic of Indonesia state (Boland, 1985). Hence, Masyumi tried to advocate an Islamic concept as the basis of state for the new modern Indonesia. This failed because it did not capture the hearts and minds of the majority of Indonesians and did not have enough support from the members of the Constituent Assembly in its plenary meeting on December 1957.

The failure of the Islamic political approach in advocating Islam as the ideological basis of the Indonesian state, led to the emergence of the Islamic cultural approach[16]. The early 1970s saw the emergence of the Indonesian Islamic cultural movement. In this group, there are several modernists, such as A. Mukti Ali, Harun Nasution, Munawir Syadzali, Dawam Rahardjo, and Amin Rais, but the most prominent modernist in this contemporary era was Nurcholish Madjid. In contrast to earlier modernists[17], such as Tjokro Aminoto, Agus Salim and Natsir; he provides a more realistic appraisal of how Muslims should approach modernity. For Madjid, modernization meant thinking and working in accordance with the true and inherent

---

[15] Most of the Masyumi leaders, such as Mohammad Natsir, Prawoto Mangun Mangunsasmito, Mohammad Roum, Yusuf Wibisono, Syafruddin Prawira Negara, graduated from the Dutch colonial educational system. The Masyumi leaders were among the few Indonesians who were given the privilege of the highest level of education during the colonial era (Madjid, 1985, p.385).

[16] In the face of continuing government restrictions on political parties, many young Muslim modernists in the late 1960s found a need to distance themselves from mass politics in favor of a new strategy of Islamic revitalization. They believed that only a long term 'cultural approach' would revitalize Islam in modern Indonesia (Hefner, R. W., and Horvatich, P., 1997).

[17] Because the early modernism combined scientific and technological rationalism with Islamic scriptualism, this meant that the modernist conception of an Islamic society was limited to the literal understanding of the social teaching of the Qurán and Hadits (Martin, et al., 1997, p.148).

laws of nature. Therefore, to be modern or to be scientific meant to be dynamic and progressive for the process of man's discovery of objective universal truth. He recognized that modernity itself possesses a relative nature because it is circumscribed by the factors of time and space. In this context, only that whose essence is truly absolute is permanently modern and only God possesses that attribute. Thus, modernity lies in the process of discovering relative truths in the forward movement toward the absolute Truth (God) in which is being the ultimate goal of man's life (Hasan, 1985).

In portraying the Indonesian Muslim condition, Madjid accused most Islamic politicians and his fellows of having *sacralized* profane institutions, such as Islamic parties and the Islamic state. He argued that there is no Quranic injunction mandating an Islamic state, and in fact that this idea is a human fabrication. Therefore, Muslims should secularize their commitment to the profane institutions, while strengthening their commitment to *tauhid (monotheism)* as the most central of Muslim doctrines. Madjid argued that Islam itself began with a process of secularization. Indeed the principle of *tauhid* represents the starting point for a much larger secularization. The commitment to *tauhid* requires a vigilant and never ending effort to distinguish what is divine from what is merely human in Islamic tradition. By extension, Madjid agued*, tauhid* also implies a commitment to reason, knowledge and science which can all be understood as acts of devotion to a Creator whose majesty is immanent in the natural laws of the world. Thus, modernity resides in a process of discovery of which truths are relative, leading to the discovery of that truth which is absolute, that is Allah (Hefner and Horvatich, 1997).

This theological perspective, particularly his comments on *tauhid,* lay well within the tradition of Islamic modernism and neo-modernism, with their emphasis on the unity of God and the compatibility of science and progress with Islamic revelation. Although this new thinking: *secularization* was denounced by formidable modernist leaders, such as H.M. Rasyidi, Hamka, and Muhammad Natsir; Madjid gained

support from leaders of youth organizations, such as Utomo Danadjaya and Usep Fathuddin from the Pelajar Islam Indonesia (PII, Indonesian Islamic Student) and from leaders and members of HMI (Islamic Student Association). Critics argued that his ideas came from a Westernized interpretation of Islam. By understanding *tauhid* as the desacralization of all but God's oneness, Madjid was seen to ignore the Islamic *Sunnah,* the tradition of the community as sacralized by the example of the prophet. The *Sunnah* provides a clear normative precedent for how society should be organized (Hefner and Horvatich, 1997).

From the discussion above, it can be seen that the modern perspective had developed significantly since the early part of the 20th century, which was essential in creating the Indonesian Moslem middle class. Many Western notions, such as equality, equity, humanity, democracy etc have been adopted by Islamic organizations. One of the impacts of modernity appears in the Indonesian education system. The mainstream of Indonesian education has adopted the Western educational system, for instance in the schooling system. For many years, "school" has been an indicator of modern life and achievements. More recently, there is not a big distinction between school and madrasah. Therefore, there is not a significant conflict between Islamic principle and the life of modernity, because Islam will accept modern values as long as they are not in conflict with the main principles of Islam, that is the principle of "tauhid" (monotheism) and "akhlak" (morality). It is evident that many Islamic doctrines are compatible with modernity, particularly in the educational, social and cultural aspects of modernity (Hasan, 1985).

3.3.2 Islamic Traditionalist movement (conservative responses)

Montgomery Watt (1988) in his book, *Islamic Fundamentalism and Modernity*, argued that the great masses of ordinary Muslims are still dominated by the standard traditional Islamic worldview and the corresponding self-image of Islam. This standard world-view could be said to have had its main lines established by about year AD 950. These were expressed by the traditionalist reformer Abu Hasan al-

Asy'ariyah[18], who argued that the comprehension of unique nature and characteristics of God were beyond human capability, while humans had free will but no power to create anything (Sardar and Malik, 2001). These were strengthened in the thirteenth century by Ibn Taymiya[19] (1263-1328), a theologian who raised his voice against innovation, the worship of science, vows, and pilgrimages to shrines (Hoveyda, 1998). Both of these traditional theologians played a significant role in inspiring the next traditionalism movement.

The responses of the earlier Islamic traditional movement, that is, the conservative responses to modernity, were represented by the Wahabiah movement in Saudi Arabia, and later by Syech Waliullah in India. This movement was founded in Saudi Arabia by Muhammad Ibn Abd al-Wahab (1703-1787). He became convinced of the necessity to apply Hanbal's interpretation of the *sharia* strictly to the letter of the revelation, as taught by Ibn Taimiya. His doctrine emphasized a simple return to faith and obedience to the fundamental teaching of Islam, that is Qurán and Hadits; and later it became the official theology of Saudi Arabia as the first Wahabiah state. His theological project was to rid the Muslims, particularly of the Najd cultic practices that he identified as non-Islamic accretions in Muslims religious practices. Therefore, the term 'Puritanism' is often applied to the Wahabiah movement (Martin et al, 1997). The Wahabiah movement had significant influence in some Muslim areas, such as India, Egypt, Pakistan and Indonesia.

In India, the Wahabiah movement was led by Shah Waliullah. He was born in 1703 in Delhi. His pivotal concern, as well as that of Muhammad Ibn Wahab, was to call the Muslim back to the teaching of Islam. He consequently put all his energies toward purifying Islamic ideals of unhealthy influences and providing them a fresh intellectual ground to meet the challenge of the time. According to him, the decline of

---

[18] A conservative theologian who set up an orthodox sect in the tenth century which was in opposition to Mu'tazilah theologian or rationalist views (Sardar, 2001)

[19] A traditionalist reformer who proposed his reform on the basis of a literal interpretation of the Qur'an and the Hadits (Hoveyda, 1998).

Muslims was the direct result of their apathy toward Islam. He made clear that Islam is not religion in the usual sense of the term but a complete code of life which aims not only at individual righteousness but provides a framework for all individual and social activities (Hanif, 1997). The Wahabiah movement was one of the earlier movements that attempted to reverse the decline of Islam through returning to the fundamental teaching of Islam, combined with discipline, piety and sense of sacrifice (Sardar and Malik, 2001).

In Indonesia, the Wahabiah movement, adopted by Kaum Paderi in Minangkabau, West Sumatra, was later better known as the Paderi movement (see chapter 2). It was brought by a group of three hajjis (pilgrims) who returned to Minangkabau in 1803. The Paderi movement was deeply influenced by the initial success of the Wahabiah movement in Saudi Arabia at that time. Like the Wahabists, the Padri also directed their attacks first of all against what they saw as deterioration, such as gambling, cock fighting, the use of opium, and the matrilineal inheritance law. In Minangkabau, this movement became the inspiration for a dynamic force in transforming its environment, aiming at the destruction of what it considered a *djahiliyah (syncretic and unenlightened)* society and at the creation of an Islamic one. As Abdullah (1985) argued, the most significant impact of the Paderi movement was the greater assimilation of religious doctrine within the Minangkabau *adat (custom)* as the ideal pattern of behavior. *Adat* was recodified, and the position of religion as the system of belief was strengthened. In the new codification, religious doctrine became more clearly identified as the only basic standard of behavior. Unfortunately, the progress of this movement declined when the Paderi became involved in the civil war with the Dutch colonial government.

However, this movement had a significant impact for the beginning of Islamic enlightenment in Indonesia, particularly in the Minangkabau area. Later, it also spread to other areas throughout Indonesia. Nahdlatul Ulama Indonesia in East Java, Nahdlatul Watan in NTB, Al Washliyah in North Sumatra, and PUI (Islamic Scholars

Association) in West Java became prominent agents of the traditionalist movement in Indonesia. They made a significant contribution in establishing an Islamic traditionalist perspective. One of their doctrines is that every Moslem must give full obedience and fight for the fundamental teaching of Islam, that is, Quran and Hadits. Islamic education should be based on the Quran and Hadits. For them, the most important aim of education is to educate a young person to be a righteous being who can contribute to the spiritual and physical welfare of their family, community and mankind. Therefore, the ultimate aim of Islamic education lies in the realization of complete submission to Allah (God) (Husain and Ashraf, 1979).

4.3.3 Fundamentalism movement (Radical responses)

The last decades have seen a rise in fanaticism, and a related intolerance among certain types of traditional Muslims. This highly visible and vocal group can be described as 'fundamentalist' in that they insist on a single interpretation of Islam. In this framework, the integrated, holistic and God-centered world-view of Islam is transformed into a totalitarian, theocratic world order and a persuasive moral God is replaced by a coercive, political one (Sardar and Malik, 2001).

A fundamentalist looks at *tauhid* as essentially an instrument of political government and empowerment. It becomes a justification for being or not being dominated by others. Thus, when the fundamentalists call for implementing *sharia* (Islamic jurisprudence), they are not calling for re-instituting traditional *sharia* but are instead empowering people to redirect the course of political life. Fundamentalists perceive that modernity has favored not Islam but their enemies. The use of the language and forms of Quránic text in the process of building modern fundamentalist discourse serves to provide legitimacy to their economic, social, and political claims against the state and the world (Mousalli, 1999).

The general modern Islamic fundamentalist discourse in the older tradition includes Hasan al Bana and Sayyid Qutb who founded Ikhwanul Muslimun in Egypt, Abu al-

A'la al-Maududi who founded Jamiat al-Islamiyah in India and Pakistan, and Ayatullah Khomeini with his Islamic revolution in Iran. From these prominent traditions, the fundamentalism movement spread into other Muslim countries and inspired contemporary radical Islamic groups. The next section will discuss in more detail these groups.

Ikhwanul Muslimun: Hasan al Bana and Sayyid Qutb

Ikhwanul Muslimun (Muslim Brotherhood) was the earliest of the Islamic fundamentalism movements in the contemporary era. It was founded by Hasan Al Bana, a 22-year-old schoolteacher in March 1928 in Egypt with the purpose of promoting true Islam and launching a struggle against foreign domination. It became the twentieth-century's most influential movement for reorienting Muslim societies to a pure Islamic order (Commins, 1994). At the beginning, this movement focused on Islamic education as the main aim, but later became involved in politics (Watt, 1988). The two prominent leaders of Ikhwanul Muslim were Hasan al Bana and Sayyid Qutb. Most of the form of the movement of Ikhwanul Muslimun was strongly influenced by these two leaders (*Hasan Al Bana ,1906-1949 and Sayyid Qutb, 1906-1966).*

For Bana no other system of life provides nations with the necessary tools needed for renaissance as Islam does. Islam must act as a regulator of behavior of both communities and all human societies. Its general goals are designed to fit all societies through reinterpretation of texts to suit different times and ages. Thus, Islamic aims are to establish a moral nation with a message of unity and sacrifice (Mousalli, 1999). In responding to the influence of Western culture, Bana argued that European civilization consisted of atheism, immorality, individual and class selfishness, and usury. He characterized European culture as a materialistic one that offered Muslims loans in order to gain control of Muslim economies. The harmful effects of European culture had resulted in Muslims misunderstanding their own religion. They thought it consisted only of the rituals of worship and the moral and spiritual aspect of life.

Bana was acutely aware of the challenges to Islam from Western ideologies, such as capitalism, and communism. He argued that Muslims did not need to borrow from foreign ideologies because they already had a perfect system in Islam. For Bana, a conception of true Islam required the purification of existing religious belief and practices. Muslims had to ground their worship in scripture and abandon superstitious beliefs in the efficacy of amulets, charms, soothsaying, and fortune telling (Commins, 1994).

Compared to Hasan al Bana, Sayyid Qutb can be seen as a radical fundamentalist. For Qutb, tauhid (the oneness of God) is the essential component and the main characteristic of the universal Islamic concept. For him, Islam means submission to tauhid which requires following God's path in every aspect of life, such as spiritual, political, economic, and law and order. In these contexts, he treats every system of life as a religion.[20] Qutb's interpretation of religion focuses on praxis rather than theory for the deepening and the developing of belief - action being the sign of true belief. He used religion for encouraging activism in social and political matters and rejecting non-Islamically derived systems, like philosophy or other ways of life. For Qutb, Islam is the only valid source for knowledge and action. He further denies the methodological and substantive legitimacy and the validity of modern as well as medieval and classical philosophers. For him, there is no special discourse dedicated to any elite, be it religious or philosophical; the Qur'anic discourse is directed at and should be adhered to by all the common people and the elite (Moussalli, 1999).

With respect to modernity, Qutb argued that the Qur'an as the basic text can be read, interpreted, and understood differently. The Qur'an cannot be contradicted by past or present human discourse but only by another text. To do this, we need to reread the Qur'an text in the light of modernity and developing a modern Qur'anic discourse suitable to modernity. Human thought is imperfect, lacks permanence, is incapable of transcendence, and fall victim to paganism. Thus, Western thought is not universal

---

[20] This view is based on the idea that a religion or a system is the method that organized life.

but the by-product of specific political, economical conditions. Qutb believes that he could easily dismiss ancient and modern Western culture and philosophy and exclude it from the development of modern Islamic discourse. To Qutb, the Western concept of development has done away with any stability for humankind and has replaced the innermost, constant human feelings with the interest of material progress (cf. the discussion of neo-liberalism above). In this context, the relationship between God and humans has been reduced to fluctuating issues, like human's position in the universe, their fate, their relation with this universe and their relationship with their creator, whereas in Islam these basic issues provide Muslims with positive constancy that allows them to enjoy a peaceful and stable life. This kind of constancy provides harmony between the Qur'anic discourse and human life (Mousalli, 1999).

Qutb appears to have abandoned the idea of rational exchange or argument as the chief means of spreading the truth of Islam. He seems to advocate an end to reason or philosophical argument. His apprehension of the truth of Islamic faith is more likely to come about subjectively through a direct appreciation of the inevitability of Islamic way than through intellectual conviction (Tripp, 1994). However, we can see that the main character of this movement is that its members should lead upright lives according to Islamic principles (Watt, 1988).

Jama'at-i Islami: Sayyid Abul A'la al-Maududi

From the Indian subcontinent, the prominent fundamentalist leader was Sayyid Abul A'la al-Maududi, who founded Jama'at-i Islami in 1941. Like the Ikhwanul Muslimin in Egypt, the Jama'at-I Islami was involved in politics but its political influence was less, because later it focused more on da'wah (Islamic teaching). Maududi's early general views are presented in his work *Towards Understanding Islam*[21]. The basic proposition in Maududi's theory is God's exclusive possession of sovereignty. According to Maududi, this exclusive possession covers all aspects of political and legal sovereignty. In this context, no monarchy, no royal family, no elite class, no

---

[21]This book emphasizes the finality, superiority and self-sufficiency of Islam (Watt, 1988).

leader of any religious groups, no democracy vested in the sovereignty of the people can participate in God's sovereignty. For him, Islam, which means 'total submission to God', represents a whole civilization, a complete culture, and a comprehensive world order. Muhammad and his companions developed and established a complete model of Islam on this Earth for mankind to follow (Hoveyda, 1998).

Abul A'la al-Maududi has become a central figure in the revival Islamic movement in recent decades. His notion of Islamic ideology, one of the most prolific and systematic articulations of its kind, has been highly influential in giving shape to Islamic revivalism. For him, the Islamic state must be ruled by a single man whose tenure of power and of office is limited only by his faithfulness to Islam. He is elected because of his wisdom, ability, and conduct. He is assisted by a legislative council composed of men who have knowledge of the Qur'an and the Hadits. There will be no political party, for Islam constitutes the only ideology of the state. This conception rejects any Western model. In Muaudi's view, everything about Western civilization is wrong and harmful because it is not God-given but elaborated by political leaders on the basis of false beliefs[22]. Therefore, everything Western is constructed as unacceptable (Hoveyda, 1998).

However, in defining the shape of the Islamic state, Maududi ironically borrowed widely and indiscriminately from the West. The Islamic state would be run by a modern machinery of government: an elected president, parliament, an omnipotent judiciary. The success of the Islamic state would hinge on its legitimacy in the eyes of society. Therefore, the Islamic state would not be able or would have the difficult task of reconciling the rigid demands of Islamic laws and the ideal of democracy. For him, the Islamic state should not be the enforcer of the *sharia* but the implementation of the will of the people. With the agenda for the Islamic state in mind, Maududi advocated a view of Islam which mobilized the faith according to the needs of political actions. He rationalized Islam into a stringent belief system, predicated upon

---

[22] In his view, the Western people had long ago denied the sovereignty of God.

absolute obedience to the will of God, amounting to a command structure which aimed to transform society and politics. By reinterpreting such key concepts as *ilah* (divinity), *rabb* (God, Lord), *ibadah* (worship) and *din* (religion), he recast the meaning of the Muslim faith such that social action became the logical end of religious piety and religion became the vehicle of social action (Nasr, 1994).

Much like Bana and Qutb in Egypt, Maududi is extremely critical of the West, which he regards as entirely atheistic and materialistic. He does not blame the West for all the trouble of the Islamic world, but thinks that Muslims themselves are partly to blame. Maududi argued that Muslims are devoid of Islamic character and morals, ideas and ideology, and have lost the Islamic spirit. The true spirit of Islam is neither in their mosques, schools, private lives nor in the public affairs. Their practical life has lost all its association with Islam. The law of Islam does not now govern their private or social conduct. For Maududi, Western civilization is of course no match for Islam. Instead, the individual of the West has only conquered the useful practical scientific knowledge and technology that had enabled him or her to achieve remarkable progress. Hence, Muslims should have sought to fit these instruments of progress, in keeping with the principles of Islam, into their educational system and social life (Watt, 1988).

The Iranian revolution: Ayatullah Khomeini

The basic belief of Shi'ism is that the rightful successor of Muhammad as 'Imam' (leader) of all the Muslims was his cousin and son in-law Ali. Imamite Shi'ism has an image of itself which differs from that of Sunnism in important ways. It regards itself alone as the true Islam since the Sunnites fell into error when they accepted Abu Bakar and rejected Ali as the rightful successor to Muhammad. For several centuries, the Imamite Shi'ites were scattered throughout the Islamic lands in small groups, including Arabs as well as Iranians. A great opportunity came in 1501 when Shah Ismail conquered much of Iran and made Imamism the official religion. The dynasty, known as Savavids, was founded by Shah Ismail and Shi'ites ulama became an

essential feature of the state. The coming to power of Reza Khan (1921) and Reza Shah (1924) led to a serious deterioration in the power of the religious institutions (Watt, 1988).

The Iranian revolution of 1978-1979 became the most dramatic event in the Islamic world in the twentieth century. It was a major source of inspiration for Muslims everywhere. It was considered the first serious victory of the traditionalists over the Westernized elites who were the main beneficiaries of the departing colonial powers (Sardar, 2001). There have been many analyses of the conditions which led to the success of the revolution and the downfall of the Shah. It can be accounted for by the Shah's modernizing policies after the great increase in oil revenues, the increasing numbers of poor people or unemployed in the shantytowns round the cities. But there was also wide agreement that the root of troubles was modernization or Westernization. People began to speak of a disease which they called 'Westoxication', as the danger felt by Muslims everywhere of losing their Islamic identity (Watt, 1988).

This Islamic revolution was led by Ayatullah Khomeini, an Islamic puritanist and theologian who put forward his idea of an Islamic state in the modern world. Before the Iranian revolution, Khomeini depicted the Shah's government, the USA, and the West in general as enemies. He believed the divine Islamic law to be progressive and comprehensive in all periods of history. For Khomeini, government can only be legitimate when it accepts the rule of God, which means the implementation of the sharia (Islamic law). All laws that are contrary to the sharia must be abandoned because only the law of God will stay valid and immutable in the face of changing times. With these beliefs, Khomeini campaigned against the Western influence in Iran. In exile, he gained popular support and successfully led the revolutionary movement of 1977-1979 that toppled the late Shah of Pahlavi (Moaddel and Talattof, 2000).

For many, especially for Western people, he is the dark side of Islam, the arch-Caliph of religious orthodoxy, but for others he is the defender of the faith, the man who restored power and puritanism to Islam in the face of decadence, corruption and Western hegemony. For the militants who seek to challenge the established order in the name of Islam, Khomeini's success with his Iranian revolution remains an inspiration (Moin, 1994).

The Iranian Revolution constitutes the most powerful and significant movement within the Islamic world for more than a century. It certainly marks a turning point in the history of twentieth century Islamic fundamentalism. It seems to be a new kind of aggressive militant Islamic fundamentalism which revives the idea of jihad against the infidel. One of the prominent consequences of the Iranian revolution was the emergence of a new breed of terrorist. Until the late 1970s, hijackings, abductions, assassinations, and bombings were carried out by groups of extensively trained mercenaries or well-disciplined professionals, but since Khomeini, terrorists are more likely to be bands of believers who devote their entire lives to the advancement of a cause. Such terrorists do not fear death, because they have been taught that the sacrifice of their earthly existence will open for them the 'gates of paradise'. The Iranian revolution also became a model for all the power seekers of Muslim countries or other fundamentalist groups (Hoveyda, 1998).

From the discussion above, it is apparent that Islam has many possible responses in facing modernity. The form of response: moderate, conservative, liberal or radical, will depend on the type of Islamic strands (Islamic groups), which have influenced their world-view. The form of response cannot be generalized into one perspective. Every strand has its own basic perspective thinking. Therefore, it is unfair to make a generalization in looking at Islam's response to modernity, because the Qur'an, as the immutable source of the fundamental tenets of Islam, provides its followers with many choices of perspective in comprehending and using its principles, ethics and culture for understanding the world.

3.4 Debate on two main contemporary issues: Secularism and democracy

According to Hussein and Ashraf, traditionally Islamic education was not an activity separated from other aspects of Muslim society[23]. Islamic education has a long history. It developed along with the emergence of Islam in the seventh century. In this stage, it was administered informally and focused on socializing Islamic teaching, particularly on the process of embedding the *tauhid* (Islamic faith) and *ibadah* (Islamic ritual). In the beginning, Islam did not differentiate between the religious sciences and the general sciences. During the first two hundred years of Islam, or the classic era, particularly within the era of the Abbasid dynasty, the Islamic world attained a high level of scientific knowledge and a refined civilization. Many Islamic scientists emerged during this time, including Al-Kindi, Al-Farabi, Ibnu Sina, Ibn Maskaweh, Ibn Rusd, and Al-Razi. Most of them were also religious scholars who were expert in several branches of Islamic knowledge such as ilm kalam (theology), fiqh (Islamic jurisprudence), hadith (traditions), tafsir (Qur'an exegesis). For example: Al-Kindi (d.866), known as the philosopher of Arabs, wrote books on mathematics, physics, music, medicine and geography. The work of these men made a significant contribution to the development of world knowledge and civilization (Sardar and Malik, 2001).

But, with the destruction of Greek philosophy or rational movement and the shift to irrational movement or orthodoxy, particularly under the influence of Al-Ghazaly (d.1111) who stood against the Mu'tazilite philosophy or liberal thinking, the *ulama* (religious scholars) were in a position of dominance. They began to conceive of the written word as an independent realm of representation and truth apart from life. To some extent, they reduced the concept of *ilm* (knowledge) from meaning 'all knowledge' to mean only 'religious knowledge'. As a result, formal teaching focused on religious subjects; and the general subjects, such as physics, sciences, mathematics

---

[23] Hussein, S.S., and Ashraf, S.A. (1979) Crisis in Muslim education, Jeddah, Hodder and Stoughton – King Abdul Azis.

were regarded as marginal subjects. After the fall of the Mu'tazilah regime (the Islamic rationalism group), a majority of the orthodox Islamic scholars argued that the general sciences could corrupt and disturb the level of Muslim faithfulness. Therefore, the general subjects had to be eliminated from the Islamic curriculum. This view is caused by the religious belief that religion is a direct connection to God. The supremacy of religious subjects has had a substantial impact not only on the development of Islamic knowledge but also on Islamic civilization (Azra, 1999).

In contrast, for the West, religious education has never been the central feature of school or university education. The branches of knowledge have no central integrating force. In Western education, knowledge is divided into three branches: Humanities, Natural Sciences, and Social sciences. This modern education emphasizes reason and rationality and ignores the value of the spirit (spiritual dimension). Western education is based on a core curriculum designed to build an all-round 'democratic personality' in each student. Western people believe that 'democracy' provides a complete solution for society (Husein and Ashraf, 1979). This ideology has entered Muslim society because many Muslim students have been educated in the West and returned to their countries with ideas in conflict with traditional assumptions, particularly regarding faith. Hence, a cultural duality has appeared everywhere in the Muslim world because of the dual education system. The traditional Islamic education created traditional Islamic groups; and the modern secular education created the secularists. In some Muslim countries, the secular education system has gradually replaced all other forms of education. In other Muslim countries both systems still exist but the secular system has become the more dominant (Husain and Ashraf, 1979).

The question of integrating Western modes of learning into the Islamic perspective and creating a single educational system which would be "Islamic" and yet able to include modern disciplines, began to occupy the mind of many Muslim intellectuals from the 1950s and 1960s onward. This effort led to the establishment of several

universities, the preparation of integrated curricula and the emergence of the 'Islamization of knowledge' movement. Although these efforts have not been successful to date, they remain a major Islamic agenda. How to make educational institutions imported from the West more Islamic or how to expand existing traditional Islamic education (madrasahs) to embrace modern disciplines is debated among the Islamic world. Nasr (2002) illustrated this situation by arguing that a jet plane can land in any airport around the world; but an education system cannot be simply imported; the education crisis in the Islamic world is of a different nature to that in the West. The next section will discuss two main contemporary issues relating to Islamic education.

The Issue of Secularism

The notion of secularism becomes a sensitive issue for those Muslim societies which are dominated by traditional Islamic groups. Ulama (religious scholars), the primary bearers and transmitters of a traditional worldview, are mostly reactionary in the sense that they tend to oppose reforms in the form of secularization. The reforms they are interested in are nearly all social and political, and leave the traditional world-view unchanged and unchangeable. For them unchangeability is an ideal both for human individuals and societies. This unchangeability of human nature justifies Muslim scholars in asserting the finality of the rules and law for human conduct which are expressed in the Qur'an and the *Sunna* of the prophet. As long as human nature does not change, essentially there can be no new problems, and therefore no need for any fundamental revision of the *Sharia'* (Islamic law). Thus, the idea of social reform, including secularization, is virtually unthinkable for traditional minded Muslims (Watt, 1988).

However, scientific inventions and technological advances of the West, especially during the last two centuries, have altered the nature of human society. The invention of television and other modern technologies makes it possible for a few people to control the thinking of vast populations, and makes it difficult to insulate any part of

the world, including the Muslim world, from what is happening elsewhere. Consequently, even if it is admitted that human nature has not changed essentially, there have been changes in human society which require changes in law. Thus, the traditional assumption by Muslims of the unchangeable nature of the human being blinds them to the new problems created for human society by technological and cultural advances (Watt, 1988). In facing these challenges, some Muslim reformists such as the Egyptians Tahtawi and Taha Husein, the Algerian Kateb Yassin, the Tunisian Bourguiba and so many others who have traveled to the West believed that it was enough to take the 'prescriptions' for the success of Western civilization and apply these to Muslim countries. In this context, secularism was perceived as an effective prescription to be applied to societies where religion controlled all the happenings and gestures of daily life (Arkoun, 1994).

Although the notion of secularization was strongly resisted by almost all the traditional Muslim groups, it was adopted in the medium term by some modernists, particularly in the field of education. After coming to power in 1805, Muhammad Ali in Egypt set about creating an army school based on the European model. To achieve this, he realized that he would require officers with particular training in various European subjects, rather than the traditional Islamic curriculum. He invited European teachers to Egypt to instruct the potential officers and followed this by sending these young Egyptian officers to study in Europe. This initiative was replicated by Sultan Mahmud II of Turkey who revived the engineering schools and sent students to Europe. He also set up a medical school by imitating a Western model. These initiatives are among the first effective reform schemes in Muslim countries and inspired the next phase of educational reform in the other Muslim countries (Watt, 1988).

In India, Sayyid Akhmad Khan (1817-1898) founded the Muhammadan Anglo-Oriental College in 1877, which later became the University of Aligarh. To improve the quality of Muslim India, he believed that Muslim India must engage with modern

science and the more productive aspects of Western thought. For him, the spread of Western education through the introduction of modern sciences brought the greatest challenge, and he also believed that Islamic teaching was compatible with modern sciences (Martin, 1997). At the beginning, his liberal view on education was opposed by the ulama (religious scholars), who had their centers in the Dar al-Ulum at Deoband and the Nadwat al-Ulama at Lucknow. But later he had more support from an increasing number of Muslims who had had a Western-type education, such as Amir Ali and Mohammad Iqbal. He also gained support from a modern traditional leader, Sayyid Abu 'Ala al-Maududi who critized the ulama for still living in the eighteenth century and giving youth no effective guidance for participation in the contemporary world (Watt, 1988).

As one of the leading Muslim thinkers in the Indian continent, Maududi was aware of how the two parallel systems of education had produced two opposing groups among the more intellectual members of community. In examining this situation, Maududi (1980) argued:

> This explains why everywhere in the Muslim world we find two groups and schools of thought diametrically opposed to, and often at loggerheads with each other. One of these is the standard-bearer of Islamic learning and culture, but is un-capable of leading and guiding the Muslims in all spheres of life. The other group is controlling the intellectual, literary and political affairs of the Muslims, but is ignorant of the principles and essential features of Islam, alien to the spirit of Islamic culture and unware of the character of the communal organization of Islam and its social laws.

Secularism also became a debatable issue in Indonesia, particularly when Nurcholis Madjid, a prominent Muslim reformist, launched the notion of secularization in early 1970. In contrast to earlier modernist movements, such as Muhammadiyah and Sarikat Islam which emphasized rationality in their denunciations of traditional religious practice, the Nurcholis Madjid movement is a combination of the empirical and historical approaches they employ in formulating a vision of an Islamic society. Madjid's most important contribution to the development of Indonesian Islamic

discourse is his attempt to decouple modernism from scripturalism. As Fachry Ali and Bactiar Effendi point out, Madjid provides a more realistic appraisal of how Muslims should approach modernity[24]. In his controversial speech delivered on 2 January 1970, Madjid argued that Indonesian Muslims are again experiencing inertia in thought and in the development of Islamic teachings. He explained that the need for the renewal of thought was more pressing that the need for maintaining the intellectual consensus of the community. He accused his fellow Muslims of having 'sacralized' profane institutions, such as the Islamic political party and an Islamic state. Noting that there is no Qur'anic injunction mandating an Islamic state, Madjid criticized Indonesian Muslims for sanctifying an idea that was in fact a human fabrication[25]. For him, Muslims should have 'secularized' this commitment while preserving Islam's lasting values (Hefner and Horvatich, 1997).

In the aftermath of the controversy, Madjid himself expressed some misgiving at his choice of terms, commenting publicly that his reference to 'secularization' had invited misinterpretation. In fact, much of the detail of Madjid's argument was lost in the sound and the fury of the subsequent debate. However, this effort at 'new thinking' (secularization) was one of several related developments in the Indonesian Muslim community at this time. Their significant influence lies in their sanctioning of a shift of Indonesian Muslim energies out of formal politics and into social and educational activities. Since this time, the modern movement has developed gradually and in the form of a cultural approach, particularly in the social and education fields. On this point, Indonesia was unusual among Muslim societies during the tumultuous decade stretching from 1978 to 1988. There was a general decline in the influence of

---

[24] Ali, F., and Effendi, B. (1986) Merambah Jalan Baru Islam: Rekonstruksi Pemikiran Islam Masa Orde Baru, Bandung, Mizan.

[25] Nurcholis Madjid and other modern Indonesian Islamic thinkers argue that the social and ritual system is the products of human culture. In this context, Madjid states that except for the fundamental value of *taqwa* (fear of God), which grows out of faith in God and Worship of Him, there is no fixed value. Most values are cultural values which have, of necessity, to develop continuously in accordance with the laws of change and development. Therefore the values of Islam are those which conform to humanity's true nature or to universal truth and are supported by taqwa towards God. Those values are Islamic if they do not contradict iman or taqwa, and are good according to humanity and its development (Richard C. Martin, et al., 1997, p.150).

Muslim parties but a great leap forward in the social and intellectual vitality of the community (Hefner and Horvatich, 1997).

It is important to note that Muslim civil associations were not the only agencies involved in the renewal. The New Order government also supported a number of cultural-Islamic programmes under the Ministry of Religious Affairs. One important feature of this effort was the enormous expansion in the State Institute of Islamic Studies (IAIN) which began in the 1960s and which, during the 1970s and 1980s produced a large number of graduates trained in Islamic theology, law, arts, and education. These efforts in higher education were accompanied by an impressive program of infrastructural development, focusing on the construction of mosques, prayer halls, and madrasahs (Islamic schools). These efforts continued in the 1990s when international agencies, such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank became involved in providing financial and programmes assistance in order to improve the quality of madrasahs or the Islamic schooling system (Rahim, 2000).

Many initiatives had been implemented for reforming the madrasah educational system, from an introduction of general subjects, such as math, science, social sciences, and English to setting up a structural change in the madrasah system by making it identical with a 'sekolah' (general schooling system), although maintaining its character as an Islamic educational institution. However, these initiatives have not been enough to make the madrasah system equal with the general schooling system in Indonesia. The Madrasah system has faced critics from many groups of Muslim society who argue that the madrasah educational system is not ready to face social change. Their graduates are not prepared to compete with the graduates from the general schooling system in term of training and skills for middle rank jobs. Even their dominance in religious subjects has decreased significantly (MORA, 1999).

The issue of educational democracy

This has become an interesting debate in the last two decades, particularly when Khomeini launched the Iran Revolution in the end of 1978. For many Muslim countries, this revolution became a model for all power seekers. It demonstrated how the use of 'Islam' can become a highly effective approach for mobilizing the masses; meanwhile this revolution changed the way in which Western countries, particularly the United States, was perceived by the Third World, especially Muslim countries (Hoveyda, 1998).

This revolution also created a negative image of Islam, as it triggered a new kind of aggressive militant Islamic fundamentalism and revived the idea of 'jihad' against infidels. The Islamic terrorist movement and suicide bombers as a new strategy and tactic in encountering opponents increased rapidly after this revolution. It also created a strong negative sense about Islam in which Islam has become peculiarly traumatic term for Western societies (Said, 1981). This negative picture of Islam has created a big question in many aspects of contemporary life. For example: Is Islam compatible with democracy? Is democracy not an important need for Muslim countries? What kind of education system can meet the needs of welfare, knowledge and democracy in the modern Islamic world?

Finding answers to these questions is not easy. There are two main perspectives when democracy is discussed. They divide into an Islamic and Western perspectives. From the Islamic perspective, education is still dominated by the traditional Islamic groups to whom the nature of teaching is still firmly focused on the memorization of texts without much comprehension of their meaning (Watt, 1988). The form of teaching is characterized by one-way direction in which the teacher is a dominant party, and students are a passive party or 'good listeners'. In the learning process, the teacher is the only owner of knowledge and a student is an 'empty box' to be filled. The learning process occurs in the narrative way in which a teacher gives a teaching instruction that needs to be accepted and memorized by students in order to pass their

examinations. This 'Banking Concept of Education' has significant consequences, not the least being a strong barrier against developing critical skills and creativity in students. As a result, most graduates from Islamic schools have lacked self-confidence and are unable to create a valuable response in term of resolving their daily problems (Azra, 1999).

This Islamic educational system, of course does not provide a situation conducive to creating a democratic climate. Orthodox theology (Asy'ari thought), which emphasized the scriptural and textual and dominated the life of Muslim societies, created the legalistic, formalistic approach and even feudalistic attitude in almost all Muslim countries. Much of the Islamic educational system until now has been dominated by the orthodox climate in which the students are required to show respect and obedience towards teachers without reserve.

But from Islamic principles, with reference to the Qur'an and Sunna, the modernist Islam doctrines encourage every Muslim to be a democratic people. Within the Islamic framework, there is a principle of *shura* as an ethical principle which should prevail in all conditions, taking different forms in different historical contexts. At this point, Mohammad Abduh argued that the readiness of the people to follow the method of *shura* is not contingent on their training, research, reflection or principles of disputation. It is sufficient that they seek truth and the establishment of a system where public interest is maintained[26]. From this view, many scholars and modernists made a direct association between *shura* and democracy. However, not all Muslim scholars agreed with this view. Mohamed Talbi[27], for example, rejects this direct association between *shura* and democracy. He argues that *shura* is from a time and place which had no conception of democracy as we know it. Indeed, neither Islam nor Western civilization had this democratic conception before the modern period. For

---

[26] Haddad, I. (1994) Muhammad Abduh: Pioneer of Islamic Reform. In Ali Rahnema (Ed.) Pioneers of Islamic Revival, London and New Jersey, Zed Books Ltd.
[27] Mohamed Talbi is a leading contemporary modernist Muslim from Tunisia, North Africa (Marquand, D., and Nettler, R.L., (2000).

Talbi, democracy means the voice of the many determining who rules, and how they rule with the associated notions of universal human rights, freedom of expression, religious pluralism and equality before the law. True democracy is the proper political form for our age, as it embodies those values which constitute part of the original true Islam. Therefore, *shura* is not really similar to the notion of democracy. As Islamic identity, *shura* remain true but in a somewhat reduced and less unique manner than democracy (Marquand and Nettler, 2000).

From the Western perspective, the notion of democracy has become the essential and most valuable principle constructing Western civilization and modern society. This notion was imported to Muslim countries through introducing the Western political system or embedding it in the form of education introduced by colonial governments or by some Muslim modernist leaders. The notion had a significant impact upon these Muslim societies. There were two forms of response to democracy. For Muslim modernists, it was seen as a useful 'tool' for conducting social reform in order to modernize a Muslim society. Muslim modernist leaders such as Muhammad Ali Pasha, Sultan Mahmud II and Kemal Ataturk, Jamal Abdul Nasser adopted the notion without reserve, but some other leaders including Jamal al-Din al- Afghani, Muhammad Abduh, Rashid Ridla, Mohammed Iqbal accepted this notion conditionally. As far as it did not appear to abandon Islamic principles, particularly the concepts of *tauhid* (monotheism) and 'adil (justice), it could be accepted. But for Muslim traditional or conservative groups, such as Sayyid Qutub, Hasan Al-Bana, Sayyid Abu 'ala al-Maudidi, Khomeini, the notion was not compatible with Islamic doctrine. For Qutb and al-Bana Islam, the West (including democracy) were incompatible. There could only be a struggle between believers and non-believers, between secularism, capitalism and Islam. They believed that the West, with its emphasis on science and technology, was obliterating the validity of religion. For them, Islam is a complete social system catering for all people's needs and differing fundamentally from all other systems (Hopwood, 2000). Later this view gained support from Ali Shariati ---a modern fundamentalist who argued that democracy and

Western liberalism are in practice nothing but the free opportunity to display liberalism and to create more speedily an arena for the profit-hungry forces that have been assigned to transform humans into an economic, consuming animal (Shariati, 2000).

Both these views still exist in almost all Muslim countries and influence the nature of Islamic society. In the educational sector, this situation is embedded in the dichotomy in Islamic educational institution between religious and secular orientation. On the one hand, there is a need to keep the Islamic perspective, but on the other hand, there is a need to adopt the advances of Western methodology. This situation creates a new dilemma: It is preferable to integrate Western modes of learning into the Islamic perspective which would then result in the creation of a single educational system which would be both Islamic and secular. This problem is debated in the Islamic world (Nasr, 2002). However, since the traditional group leaders have lost their power in conjunction with the decline of traditional Islamic educational institutions, almost all Islamic countries have a Western-type educational system up to university level. One outcome has been the creation of a new class of Western-educated people who are more tolerant to Western notions, such as democracy (Watt, 1988).

As global issues, the notions of secularization and democracy will continue to affect Islamic education. Islamic education cannot escape from those demands. Therefore, governing bodies in Islamic education should have an appropriate way to develop their educational system in accordance with the needs of a developing democratic society, as is the case in the West. Meanwhile, the West needs to have a strong sensibility when they want to talk about Islam. Hence, there is the need to develop a close working and mutual understanding between Islamic culture and Western culture, which is aimed at reducing the current suspicion and misunderstanding between Islam and the West. As Nasr (2002) points out, Islam and the West must recognise that they need to have mutual understanding and that they share many fundamental principles in their respective worldviews on the human level. To achieve

this end, the negative atmosphere must be cleared through earnest effort on all sides. To do this, terms such as fundamentalism, extremism, and radicalism must be restudied and defined not in the light of political interest but in light of the need to create a mutual relationship in terms of maintaining peace in the world.

Islam and modernity should not be put on opposite sides to each other, because each of them has their own historical, philosophical, methodological and educational world-views. Islam, as well as modernity, is a universal ideology, which is based upon revealed religion. It is a complete way of life for the individual and for society. In this context, the Quran and Sunnah are fundamental sources for developing Moslem society; meanwhile modernity is primarily a Western way of thought, which is based on the political, economical, social and cultural approach for understanding contemporary life. The first originates from Allah, as Moslem societies believe, meanwhile the latter is created from human thought (intellectual creation of Western traditions), even though at the beginning inspired by religion. We do not need to put Islam and modernity in an equal and parallel line, because they have a different perspective in looking at the world's problems. In the Indonesian context, Islam played a significant role in embedding a moderate view of Islam in relation to modernity. The form of the Indonesian state as a "national state" and Pancasila as "State Philosophy" is clear evidence of how Indonesian Moslems have outworked their moderate views.

From the discussion above, it is apparent that Islam in Indonesia seems to be of a moderate strand, which has its own particular approach in facing the effects of modernity. The mainstream of Indonesian Moslems are generally the followers of "Ahlisunnah Waljamaah"[28], that is, they share a theological conservatism, which is oriented towards focusing on the balance between the profane and transcendent life. This theological perspective has been successful in creating a Moslem with moderate

---

[28] This is the name for the Moslem group which has adopted the conservative theology, called Asy'ariyah Theologian.

world views. Political, economical, social and cultural activities of most Indonesian Moslems are underpinned by this theological perspective. In a similar sense, Western modernism, through its universal principles such as the democratic system, principles of justice, equality, equity and human rights, has played a significant part in modern life, including the life of Moslem countries. Many Moslem countries have adopted those Western world views as basic principles for the formulation of their state activities, with some adjustment. As Rahman has stated, 'syura'' (democracy) is not originally from Islam, because it is an eternal obligation of all people as a human social actor (Quoted in Maarif, 1985).

CHAPTER 4

THE INDONESIAN ISLAMIC EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

This chapter is divided into five sections. The first section presents the historical background of the Indonesian Islamic Education system. This section describes the history of Indonesian Islamic education, including the types of its educational institutions, and the emergence and development of the Madrasah system. The second section examines the Indonesian Islamic education reform movement from the end of the 19th century until the New Order era. The third section describes the nature of government policy with respect to Islamic education, from the Dutch colonial era until the New Order of the Soeharto regime. The fourth section presents current developments in Islamic education, particularly since the mid-1970s onwards. The fifth section outlines some reasons for repositioning the Islamic educational system, including the need for an appropriate educational paradigm, for a suitable policy and strategy approach in governing the Madrasah system, and the need to reposition the Madrasah educational system in ways commensurate with modernity.

4.1 The Historical Background of Indonesian Islamic Education.

There is still debate about when first Islam entered Indonesia (see chapter 2 for further discussion). One prominent theory believed that Islam entered in the 13th century. It was brought by Arabian and Gujarat traders who came to the Indonesian archipelago seeking goods. They spread Islam to Indonesian local people during the conduct of their business. However, it is difficult to ascertain when the first Islamic education institution was established in Indonesia. Most Islamic educational historians believed that Islamic education institutions emerged and developed simultaneously with the spread of Islam in the Indonesian archipelago (MoRA, 1999).

Basically, there are two types of Islamic educational institution in Indonesia: Pesantren (Traditional Islamic Boarding School) and Madrasah (Islamic School).

Pesantren

Pesantren is the indigenous and the oldest system. It comprises five prominent elements: Pondok (dormitories), Masjid (Mosque), Santri (Students), Pengajaran buku-buku Islam klasik (teaching of Classic Islamic books), and Kiyai (Islamic religious leader). Thus, Pesantren can be defined as traditional Islamic boarding schools in which students live and study together under the supervision of a religious leader (Dhofier, 1982). This institution is influenced by the Hindu tradition. Before the spread of Islam, it was a very common institution for teaching Hinduism, but later was adopted by Islam. The Pesantren school still keeps the typical pattern and positive elements of Hindu tradition, such as its teaching method, called "Sorogan", although there have been changes in the content of teaching, the language used, and the background of pupils (Maksum, 1999).

At the first stage, the students learn Al-Quran and Arabic language; and the next stage they study religious subjects, such as: Fiqh (Islamic Jurisprudence), Tauhid (Islamic Theology), and Tafsir (Al-Quran Exegesis). In this system, there is no restrictive time for studying. The period of learning depends on the ability and progress of individual students. Students can study as long as they need to. They can start and stop studying according to their needs and there is no limitation of time spent at the school. The period of learning runs on a yearly basis and is only interrupted by fasting in the month of Ramadan. The daily life of Pesantren is penetrated by religious values, which every person can learn, and model, particularly from Kiyai (religious leader) or teachers (Dhofier, 1982). As Steenbrink (1986) has argued, the Pesantren was not only a place for academic learning, but also a place for educating young Moslems with Islamic values and attitudes. The Pesantren has three prominent functions: First, transmission of Islamic knowledge. Second, maintaining of Islamic tradition, and third, the reproduction of Ulama (Religious leader) (Rahim, 2000). As a result,

Pesantren had been successful in playing a central role as the Islamic cultural forces (Azra, 1999).

Madrasah

The second type of Islamic schooling system is the Madrasah system. This differs from the Pesantren in many ways. It follows the same classical system as the general schooling system and has an administration standard and a set curriculum although at the beginning this is focused on Islamic studies (Mudzhar, 1981). The term 'Madrasah' is not originally Indonesian but is an Arabic term. There are also several theories about when the Madrasah entered Indonesia. One version suggests that this system was imported from the Islamic tradition (Middle East tradition). It was brought by some Indonesian students who had finished their study in Saudi Arabia and Egypt at the end of 19th century (Zakaria, 1998). Another idea is developed from Hindu tradition (Maksum, 1999). One prominent Islamic scholar argued that educational institutions which were similar to Pesantren or Madrasah were already found in the Hindu or Buddhist Kingdom era of Indonesia. Therefore, Islam simply appropriated the system, but changed the content of the teaching[1].

However, most Islamic educational historians believe that the development of the Madrasah system cannot be separated from the Islamic reform movement that occurred in the early 20th century. As Azra (1999) point outs, the modernization of Islamic education cannot be separated from the rise of the Islamic modernization programmes. The development of Islamic education runs parallel with the modernization of Islamic thought and related cultural movements. With respect to this Islamic modernization movement, Steenbrink (1986) identified four important factors which motivated its development in the early of 20th century: (1) the passion for back to basic principles: Quran and Hadits (tradition); (2) nationalism and the motivation to oppose the colonial government; (3) the need to strengthen the basis of

---

[1] Nurcholish Madjid (1997) *Pesantren's Room: A snapshot of journey*, Paramadina, Jakarta.

related social, economic, cultural and politic movements; and (4) the need to reform Islamic education in Indonesia (p.37).

From these perspectives, at least, there were two significant motivations that underpinned the emergence and development of the Madrasah system. The first was the need to create a religious enlightenment based on the principles of Islam: the Quran and Hadits, because the religious practical life of the Indonesian Moslem society had become confused with local beliefs and traditions, many of which were contrary to Islamic truths. In this context, the main purpose of the religious enlightenment is purification of Islamic beliefs through bringing back the religious practice to original sources, Quran and Hadits. The second is the need to provide an appropriate educational institution for Indonesian Moslem society in which the system will provide a balance between a religion and science (general) orientation. Some Moslem reformers consider that the old system, particularly "Pesantren" is too focused on the religious studies and not enough on social, economic, and cultural problems (Azra, 1999).

During the colonial era, the Dutch government introduced a schooling system, which focused on secular subjects. It was recognized as the only system, meanwhile the Islamic educational system was isolated and allowed to operate autonomous private institutions[2]. From this time, we can see that the educational dichotomy – a secular and religious education – has been created (Zakaria, 2002). After the Indonesian proclamation, particularly during the Old order and New Order, the dichotomy policy still continued and the Indonesian government expressed a preference for adopting the secular schooling system rather than the Islamic schooling system.

As a result, the relationship between the Government and Islam in the first two decades of the New Order was poor and there was high tension between them.

---

[2] This policy background led to the status of Pesantren or Madrasah as predominantly private institutions.

Through the President Decision No.34 of 1972 and President Instruction No.15 of 1974[3], the Government tried to reduce the role of the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA). This regulation became a sensitive policy that brought strong reaction from Moslem society, because of the concern that the Government wanted to abolish the Madrasah educational system which MoRA administered. In 1975, in order to cool down the protest, the Government released the Decision Letter of Three Ministries, which stated that that the Ministry of National Education (MoNE), the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) and the Ministry of Home Affairs (MoHA) would all take responsibility for the development of the Madrasah educational system. This regulation became a fundamental policy for strengthening the Madrasah educational system, because it was the first time the Government recognized the existence of the Islamic educational system. Also, it became the next step in integrating the Madrasah educational system into the National Educational System (MoRA, 2004). This became evident in 1989 when the Government created the Educational Act No.2 of 1989. Since this time, the Madrasah educational system has been part of the national educational system. Now, there is an equal status between the Madrasah and Sekolah (Secular Schooling system) in which both use the same curriculum. In this context, the Madrasah educational system is understood as schooling with an Islamic character (Tilaar, 2000).

In 2003, the Government of Indonesia (GoI) and House of Representatives launched the Educational Act No.20 of 2003 about the Indonesian National Educational System. The objective of this act is, on one hand, to revise the Educational Act No. 2 of 1989 in order to accord with the current Government policy in education, particularly with respect to the issue of educational decentralization (for further discussion of decentralization, see 4.4 below). On the other hand, it brings a strong reconfirmation that the Madrasah educational status is and remains and important sub

---

[3] These regulations stated that the Ministry of National Education (MoNE) had full responsibility to administer all types of schooling system. Implicit in this regulation was that the Ministry of Religious Affair (MoRA) had to hand over the management and administration of the Madrasah educational system to the Ministry of National Education (MoNE).

component of the national education system. Also, this Educational Act gives a clear confirmation that the other form of Islamic educational system, such as Pesantren (Traditional Islamic Boarding School), Madrasah Diniyah and Majlis Taklim (Non formal religious education) also become part of the national educational system. This Act also provides MoRA with the challenge of setting up a comparable vocational education component in MoRA's vocational school, namely: Madrasah Aliyah Ketrampilan (Islamic Vocational Senior Secondary School), in parallel with vocational education in MoNE's school (MoRA, 2004).

The implementation of the Education Act No. 20 of 2003 provides a clear opportunity to develop the Madrasah system as a viable "educational alternative" for the future of Indonesian society. With its comparative advantage, that is: Islamic characteristic (moral education), Madrasah education also becomes a strong and attractive alternative to the state (secular) system for parents[4]. However, Madrasah now face a new significant challenge, which is how to improve the quality of graduated Madrasah students that is equal with those students who have graduated from general school (Umar, 2005).

4.2 The Indonesian Islamic Education Reform Movement

The development of the Madrasah system has been strongly related to the Islamic movement. The early movement began at the individual level, brought by Moslem students who had finished their study in Arabia and Egypt. They brought a form of Madrasah to Indonesia at the end of 19th century (as described on chapter 2). The first initiative was established by reformers from Minangkabau (West Sumatra), such as Abdullah Ahmad, who established Madrasah Adabiyah in Padang Panjang West Sumatra in 1907, which later changed to be the Adabiyah School. In many aspects

---

[4] On average, the increasing number of students registered in Madrasah is about 3.4% every year. Those increasing numbers of Madrasah's students are higher than the growth of the school population. It also indicates a growing willingness in the wider community to send children to study in Madrasah rather than general school (Rahim, 2005).

this Madrasah adopted the Dutch school curriculum but included Islamic education for two hours a week. This initiative was followed by Abdul Karim Amrullah who established Surau[5] Jembatan Besi, later known as Madrasah Sumatra Thawalib. At the same time, Zainuddin Labai El Yunusi established Madrasah Diniyah in 1916 in the same city. In these Madrasahs, the form of learning was based on a classical system and the curriculum did not merely focus on Islamic studies but also taught general subjects, such as, history and geography (Steenbrink, 1986).

The next reform movement was at the organizational level. The first initiators were the Islamic modern organizations, such as: Jami'atul Khair, Al-Irsyad, and the most well known, Muhammadiyah[6] (furthermore discussion see chapter 2). This was founded by K.H.Ahmad Dahlan at Jogyakarta, Central Java in 1912. As with Abdullah Ahmad in West Sumatra, Muhammadiyah also adopted the Schooling system (the Dutch colonial system) plus religious education as an additional subject. But it also established a Madrasah model, called: Madrasah Mu'alimin (for boys) and Madrasah Mu'allimat (for girls). These initiatives were followed by Jamiatul Washliyah in North Sumatra, Nahdlatul Ulama in East Java and Madura Island; and later developed throughout Indonesia (Azra, 1999).

This movement had a strong commitment to reform Islamic education through adopting the Dutch schooling system, alongside Islamic tradition. The aim of reform was not merely to modernize Islamic education institutions, but also to develop Islamic education to be more functional for educating the young Indonesian generation to be good Moslems (Insan Saleh), able to face the future challenges of modern Indonesia. By "Insan Saleh" meant that is to be a Moslem who has a strong commitment to worship Allah (God) (Langgulung 1988). In facing this challenge,

---

[5] According to Azra (1999), the term "Surau" refers to a small Mosque, which is not used for Friday Prayer, although it is used to hold many religious activities, such as: teaching Quran, religious ceremonies, and so on (p.117).

[6] Muhammadiyah is the second biggest Indonesian Moslem group after Nahdlatul Ulama. It was founded by K.H.Ahmad Dahlan in Yogyakarta, Central Java in 1912.

there have been two basic trends in modernizing the Islamic education. The first is based on the Dutch schooling system, introduced by the Dutch colonial government in the early 20th century. The second is based on the Islamic tradition but adopting some positive aspects of the Dutch schooling system, such as: curriculum contents, teaching and administration methods (Azra, 1999).

Referring to its character as a religious education, the function of Islamic education is to create a high quality of human resources in terms of knowledge and technology, and moral behavior. To achieve this, Islamic education should emphasize a balance between science and technology on the one side, and religious and moral education on the other side. As Tilaar (2000) points out, there is the need to have a good administration in order to create a balance between the Islamic character and the quality of education. Based on this perspective, most Islamic reformers want to develop the Islamic education system at both the individual and the organizational level, to be an effective and functional system for educating Indonesian young people. By adopting the schooling system and maintaining Islamic tradition, the Indonesian Islamic education system has been successful in educating the Indonesian Moslem people in a form of moderate, modernist (rather than fundamentalist) Islam. It is evident that the exposure to general subjects such as Algebra, geography, English, and reading while still keeping the Islamic tradition of teaching religious subjects, has led Moslems to be involved in modern society. Many of the Indonesian national Moslem leaders have emerged from this type institution (Azra, 1999).

In terms of the process of modernization of Islamic education, there were two trends. The first modernization was forced by the Dutch Colonial Government in introducing the schooling system. Since this time, the Madrasah system has adopted some positive aspects of the modern educational system or the schooling system. The Pesantren also followed the same pattern, albeit somewhat more slowly. For example, in 1906 the Pesantren Mambaul Ulum in Surakarta Central Java adopted several general subjects, such as reading, algebra, and accounting into its curriculum. The

same initiative was followed by the Pesantren Tebu Ireng (1916), Pesantren Modern Gontor (1926) in East Java, and the Pesantren Santi Asrama (1932) in West Java. Since 1950, some Pesantrens have introduced vocational training and a classical system in the form of the Madrasah into their system. Others Pesantrens have introduced the Dutch schooling system into their system (Azra, 1999).

The nature of Islamic education has thus shifted from an exclusive system to an inclusive system or from an orthodox education to a more open and liberal system. This shift has lead to diversity in the Islamic education system. Now, as well as the Pesantren and the Madrasah, there is a third form of Islamic education, that is: the general Islamic school, first established in the 1960s. These schools in many aspects are based on the model of the general schooling system and they are administered by the Ministry of Education, but also include religious education. To accommodate this, the school day is longer and the learning takes place until late in the afternoon (Azra, 1999). This development has led to the new perspective that Islamic education does not need to be limited to Pesantren and the Madrasah form, but it could be in another form, such as: the general Islamic schooling form. Since, 1990, some of the Islamic schools have become an "Excellent School", which in some respects offer higher quality education than that provided in a General school (Public school) or Madrasah school. Therefore, the Islamic school form has become a popular school for many Indonesian Moslem communities, particularly those who live in city or urban areas (Azra, 1999).

Each type of Islamic educational system makes a significant contribution towards educating the Indonesian people. It is also clear that the Islamic education system has played an important role in creating the Indonesian Moslem people as moderate Moslems, because the Indonesian Islamic educational system has been developed on the basis of a balance between the commitment to create good conduct on the one side and good knowledge and skill on the other side. As Husain and Ashraf (1979) point out, education should aim at the balanced growth of the total personality,

intellect, the rational itself, feelings, and bodily sense. Therefore, it should cater for the growth of humans in all aspects.

Meanwhile, the growth in recognition of the Islamic education system by Indonesian society has brought new challenges for the Indonesian Islamic institutions and all stakeholders of Islamic institutions, particularly the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) to improve the quality of the Islamic educational system in order to face the current demands of modern Indonesian society (Azra, 1999). This challenge has become significant, particularly in responding to the re-Islamization of Indonesian Moslem society in the last two decades. This trend is apparent in that an increasing number of Muslim families are sending their children to the Islamic schools or enrolling them in the Madrasah system rather than in the general school system, because they believe the latter system does not provide a "good learning environment" for their children, and also is an 'unsafe' place for developing a good character. In contrast, some excellent general Islamic schools and Madrasahs, besides offering a good quality of education also provide a good religious education by practicing basic rituals and moral education, such as, collective prayer and reading the Quran (Azra, 1999).

4.3 The Nature of Government Policy with respect to the Islamic Education System

During the colonial era, the Colonial Government did not support Islamic educational institutions. Pesantren and Madrasah were isolated from the mainstream of colonial government policy. Several policies were enacted that made it difficult for Islamic schools to operate. One example is the 'School and Teacher Ordinance of 1905[7], which required registered schools and religious teachers to get a "Teaching

---

[7] According to Rahim (1999) this policy had been understood by Moslem society as the principal Government policy to reduce the development of Islamic teaching (p.23).

License"[8]. According to Steenbrink (1986) the main reason that the colonial government ignored the Islamic education system was because it was seen to lack an appropriate didactic-method. However, Maksum (1999) argues that the character of Islamic education put more emphasis on Islamic doctrine, which provided a strong stimulus and motivation to challenge and critique unacceptable government practices. In the early 19th century, the colonial government introduced a formal schooling system, which was recognized as the only system. However, by the end of the 19th century some Indonesian Moslem students who had finished their studies in Saudi Arabia and Egypt brought a form of Madrasah to Indonesia (Zakaria, 1998). Since this time, the Pesantren and the Madrasah system have become an alternative choice for Muslim society.

As discussed earlier, we can see that the educational dichotomy --- a secular and religious education – has been in co-existence since the colonial era (Steenbrink, 1986). After the Indonesian proclamation on August 17, 1945, the dichotomy still continued and the new Indonesia government adopted the secular schooling system as the mainstream national form of schooling system and allowed the Islamic education to operate the Madrasah and Pesantren as autonomous private institutions belonging to Moslem society. This policy was not well received by many Indonesian Moslem leaders who wanted the Indonesian government to adopt the Islamic education system as part of the national educational system. As a compromise, the government established the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), which took responsibility for administering the Madrasah educational system. The main reason for establishing this ministry was to recognize the contribution of Islamic education to educating Indonesian society (Steenbrink, 1986; see also Chapter 2).

During the Old Order (1945-1968) or Soekarno regime, the educational system was centralized in order to maintain the national integrity as a new nation. The first two

---

[8] Maksum (1999) in his book, Madrasah: History and Development, observes that the Colonial Government set up a strict policy which required every religious teacher to have a teacher license. With this policy not every religious teacher can teach at any educational institutions.

decades of the Old Order (1945-1965), the attention of the new government on the Islamic educational system was poor. There was no strategic policy for the Islamic educational system, particularly at the basic education level. But there were several fragmented educational policies. In 1945, the new government founded the Sekolah Tinggi Islam (the Islamic Higher School) in Jakarta in order to accommodate Muslim aspirations and to balance policy. One year later, in 1946 this school was changed to become the Indonesian Islamic University (UII) in 1946 with four faculties: Religion, education, law, and economics. In 1950, based on the Government Regulation No. 34 of 1950, the faculty of religion of the UII became the State Islamic Religious School (PTAIN) in Yogyakarta. It was proposed to create a central higher education for the development of Islamic sciences (Rahim, 2000).

Meanwhile, in 1957 based on the decision of the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), the government also founded the Academy of Religious Education (ADIA) in Jakarta. The proposal was to train public servants who had an academic background in religious education. In 1960 both these institutions, PTAIN and ADIA, based on the President Regulation No. 11, integrated to became the State Institute of Islamic Studies (IAIN) which took place in Yogyakarta and Jakarta (Azra, 1999). In 2003, the IAIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta became a university, called: State Islamic University (UIN) and it was followed by IAIN Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta in 2004. An increasing number of these institutions were created from 1960 to 1975. The IAIN and UIN showed a broad orientation in their curriculum and educational approach, they were inspired by the Middle Eastern tradition of Islamic higher education and the Western academic tradition (Meuleman, 2002).

At the secondary education level, the only prominent educational policy was the establishment of the Madrasah Compulsory Learning (MWB) by the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA). The establishment of the Madrasah Compulsory Learning by Ministry of Religious Affairs was in order to elaborate the Educational Act no.4 of

1950 section 10 point 2[9]. This model of schooling was implemented in the school year 1958-1959. The aim of this policy was to build the national character for the progress of the economic, industrial and transmigration sectors. In this school, students not only studied religious subjects but also general subjects and vocational subjects. Unfortunately, this type of school was terminated in 1970 through lack of government funding (Rahim, 2000).

There was thus no strategic policy for the Islamic education system until the end of the 1960s. This failure was not only caused by the weaknesses of government policy but was also caused by the real condition of Islamic education. Most of the Islamic schools are private institutions with a strong autonomous system, which has a strong commitment to Islamic traditions. Further, the situation was also influenced by the discriminatory policies of government - established during the Dutch colonial government and continued during the Indonesian government. The Soekarno government (Old Order), later followed by the Soeharto government (New Order), seemed to be emulating the old Dutch policy of emasculating political Islam while outwardly promoting its spiritual health (Vatikiotis,1998). Hence, the Islamic educational system, particularly the Madrasah system, was isolated from the mainstream of the national educational system (Tilaar, 2000).

The centralized approach of administering the educational system continued during the New Order (1968-1998) or Soeharto regime. Most government policies in education had a top down approach. Planning, managing and controlling were centralized. On behalf of the stabilization of the development of the national economy, politics and security, the New Order government used education as a political tool for maintaining its power. During this era, there was no chance to create a different pattern of administering an educational system (Tilaar, 2000). In this context, the development of Islamic education depended on the nature of the

---

[9] This regulation mentioned that learning at the Madrasah educational system, which is recognized by the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), had been successful in meeting the needs of the national compulsory learning.

relationship between the Government and Islam which, as discussed earlier in relation to the President Decision No.34 of 1972 and President Instruction No.15 of 1974, was often fraught.

However, since the early 1990s there has been a radical shift of the New Order Government politics, from secular strands to religious strands. This shift began after the new order government gave the 'green light' and concrete 'support' to founders of the ICMI (The Indonesian Muslim Intellectuals' Association) in December 1990. Politically, it was a concrete manifestation of change in President Soeharto's attitude and approach to Islam in Indonesia. In this context, he appeared more prepared to accommodate Muslim interests in order to get political support from the Muslim community. Meanwhile culturally, the establishment of ICMI provided a 'voice' to Islam in national discourse (Ramage, 1995). The establishment of ICMI became a second wave in the political and cultural revival of Islam, because this institution gave a greater opportunity to Indonesian Muslim groups to articulate their views through being involved directly with the power of state. At the micro level, this development also has had a positive impact on the development of the Islamic educational system. During that time, several important policies on Islam had been released. The Law no 7 of 1989 concerned 'religious court law' and lead to a clarification and reaffirmation of the independence and equality of religious Islamic court of law and the law no 2 of 1989 on the national educational system affirmed the continuance of religious education in public schools and recognized religious education as a formal subsystem of the national educational system (Ramage, 1995; see also earlier discussion).

Since this time, the Madrasah system has received strong support from all Government levels. In 1991, the Government offered private madrasahs the opportunity to become public madrasahs. The number of these institutions grew significantly from 1,095 to 3.310, during 1991 to 1997. This policy has been continued to the present day. Also, more assistance and funding were provided for

developing the Madrasah system. Since the mid-1980s, the Madrasah system also received assistance from International agencies, such as: Unesco, the Asian Development Bank (ADB), World Bank and Islamic Development Bank (IDB) (Zakaria, 1998).

The involvement of International agencies in the development of the Islamic educational system became a strategic policy for improving the quality of the Islamic educational system. This policy also provided an opportunity for the Islamic educational system to come in contact again with modernity, particularly western knowledge and technology. During the last two decades, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) has successfully developed an educational relationship and educational assistance programmes with international agencies. It began in the early 1980s when the Directorate of Religious Tertiary Education was successful in developing an educational relationship with the University of Leiden, in the Netherlands, and with McGill University, Canada in the mid 1980s. In the early 1990s, it was followed by the Directorate of Islamic School Development within the Directorate General of Islamic Institution, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) through the Junior Secondary Education Project (JSEP) in 1994, Basic Education Project (BEP)`in 1996 and Development of Madrasah Aliyah Project (DMAP) in 1997 which successfully created a major training programme. Many hundreds of Madrasah teachers and staff have followed in domestic and overseas training. Some of them have been involved in short courses and others have followed degree programmes both in Indonesia and overseas. As Meuleman (2002) argues, for at least two decades it has been the policy of the MoRA to send specialists in Islamic sciences for graduate studies to both Middle Eastern and Western countries, such as Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, Iraq, Turkey, in the Middle East, and the USA, Canada, Australia, Malaysia and New Zealand.

However, these policies have not as yet had a significant impact on the quality aspect of the Madrasah system, such as curriculum and management quality. As Tilaar

(2000) argues, the centralized approaches of implementing these policies have created several anomalous policies in the educational sector. Centralizing administration, curriculum, funding and recruiting of staff has created distortions and dislocation in many aspects of education. In summary, the concept of education has been reduced to 'schooling', that is the education process is limited to the teaching and learning within the classroom activities.

4.4 Current Developments in Islamic Education

In 1998, the New Order government collapsed as a result of a successful political movement. Since that time, a new government, called the 'reformation government' has committed itself to developing real democracy through changing government policy from a centralized approach to a decentralized approach. To achieve this, the new government, together with the House of Representatives, created the Acts No. 22 and 25 of 1999. Under these acts, the central government has to share its power and responsibility with the provincial and the district governments through giving more autonomy to local governments (Tilaar, 2000).

The total reformation of many aspects of Indonesian government and society has led to fundamental changes in politics, economics, law and education. Before the reformation era, the centralized approach in administering the education system was apparent in policy development, such as the centralized curriculum, the bureaucratic administration and a top down mechanism approach. Therefore, the Acts No. 22 and 25 of 1999 have brought about significant impacts on the nature of the future Indonesian education system, including the Islamic educational system. They have decentralized education so that local government and individual schools are free to administer and manage schools based on their own local and society needs. This decentralized education requires a new educational paradigm that will affect the nature of curriculum, administration and management systems, school income and spending, and other aspects of the educational system. Therefore, the decentralized educational system will require all social institutions, from the central level to the

provincial and district level, to have significant input in order to implement these policies effectively (Tilaar, 2000).

In this context, the Ministry of National Education (MoNE) has also transferred some of their educational responsibilities to the local Government, particularly to district government. Now, the local Governments have responsibilities to administer and manage schools from Kindergarten to Senior High School. For this need, almost of Local Government, both provincial and district levels, already have set up a new educational body – that is, "Dinas Pendidikan" (Educational Office), which has responsibility to administer education at the local level. This development based on the Act No. 22 of 1999 about Local Autonomy and the Act No. 25 of 1999 about Financial Balanced between Central Government and Local Government have also had implications for the Madrasah system. The provision of the Act No. 22 of 1999, article 11 (2), for example, stated that: "The Local Government must have a strong concern with the development of madrasah as a sub-system of national educational system. Therefore, the Madrasah should be decentralized". (Tilaar, 2000).

However, the implications of decentralization for the Madrasah system still remain hotly debated. Some official and Muslim scholars have agreed to hand over the administration of Madrasah to MoNE or Local Government; but some others want to refuse this provision, keeping Madrasah under the centralized control of MoRA, as currently. For this latter group, a policy of decentralization will have a negative impact on the Madrasah system. One important reason here is the view that the Madrasah will lose its special character as an Islamic institution if administered and supervised by 'unsuitable' agencies. Meanwhile for the former group, the principal reason for their advocacy of decentralization is the view that the quality of education provided by the Madrasah will improve significantly as a result. However, the latest educational policy from MoRA seems to suggest that they still want to keep the centralized approach, because the Madrasah system is not yet ready in their view to

face the impact of a decentralized policy, particularly the need for maintaining the Islamic characteristic of Madrasah into the future (Basyuni, 2005).

In the light of this issue, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), which has responsibility for improving the quality of the Islamic educational system, needs to develop a new paradigm for constructing the future of the Islamic educational system that can face up to the current needs of Indonesian Muslim society, and within a growing framework of decentralization. To achieve this, MoRA, together with its apparatus from central to district levels, needs to reformulate a new vision and mission for administering the Islamic educational system to take account of current developments. As Malik Fadjar reminded us in 1997:

> every policy on the development of the Islamic educational system needs to accommodate three problems. These are: (1) how those policies provide a natural growing space for the aspiration of Islamic society, (2) how those policies clarify and solidify the Islamic schooling system parallel to the secular schooling system, as a means of developing educated, knowledgeable, and productive people with morality and integrity, (3) and how those policies can establish an Islamic educational system prepared to respond to the future of Indonesian Muslim society (Fadjar in Rahardjo, 1997, p.153-154).

For MoRA, this issue, on the one hand, may not be too problematic because the Islamic schooling system since its genesis has been autonomous and less bureaucratic compared with its counterpart, the secular schooling system, which has been strongly dependent on central government policy and funding (the Ministry of National Education). But on the other hand, it provides a serious challenge for the Islamic educational system – how to create new strategic educational policies that will lead to improving the quality of the Islamic schooling system to become a strong system within the broader decentralized national educational system. This is further highlighted by actual recent experience which reveals that there is a different attitude of local government towards Madrasah compared to general schools. The local government attention is more accommodating to general schools rather than to Madrasah because the administration of schooling is already now part of local government; while the administration of Madrasah remains, via MoRA, still part of central government, at least for now. For example: most local governments have put

forward educational budgets for supporting the schooling system which ignore madrasah. If this is not solved, the Islamic educational system will face a serious problem – that is, a lack of sufficient financial support from local government. To address this, MoRA should demonstrate a strong commitment and willingness to fight for enough funding at the central level in order to ensure the effective, ongoing administration of Madrasah at the local level. As Malik Fadjar, the former head of Ministry of Religious Affairs argues, Madrasah cannot be replaced with other institutions, since it has its own special vision, mission and characters which are embedded within a social life of the Indonesian society, particularly in Indonesian Muslim society (Fadjar, 1997).

4.5 Some reasons for repositioning the Islamic educational system

The need for a new educational paradigm

The radical change in the nature of Indonesian government, from an 'autocratic regime' (under Soekarno and Soeharto) to a 'democratic regime' (under the Reformation government) has lead to a shift in the nature of the Indonesian education system from a centralized to a decentralized one. During the New Order, education was separated from society and belonged to the bureaucracy. Education became a bureaucratic business and was reduced only to the level of schooling. This is the old paradigm of the past Indonesian government in administering the educational system. Changing the nature of the educational system from a centralized approach to a decentralized approach means that government is bringing back education to society. This change will require a new paradigm to administer the educational system, one that will also need to empower the traditional social institutions. The social institutions of the old system need to be replaced with the social institutions which fit the present day Indonesian society (Tilaar, 2000).

With respect to Islamic education, especially the Madrasah educational system, there is no problem in principle with school as a part of society, because most of the

Madrasah belong to Moslem society or are private schools[10]. This means that there is already a strong relationship between the Madrasah institutions and the Moslem communities. This relationship is a manifestation of their faith in Islam in one sense and maintaining Islamic culture in another sense (Zakaria, 2002). However, in practice, the Madrasah educational system now faces a number of educational problems resulting from lack of policies and strategies in the past, from ongoing inequities of management and funding, and from a lack of material and human resources. There is a need for empowering and enlightening the Madrasah educational system. The Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) as a formal stakeholder needs to develop a new educational paradigm for the development of the Madrasah educational system. The Madrasah education system should be a center of excellence for creating Indonesian young people who have a strong belief and commitment to God, who are educated and nationalistic but who have global views. Also, this paradigm will create an educated people who have a strong concern and awareness of democracy, peace, competitiveness, and the need for social welfare. Malik Fadjar (1999), as Director General of Islamic Education, proposed his view that there is now a need to conduct a comprehensive reform of the Madrasah educational system, such as: reformulating its educational philosophy (vision and mission), school culture (the nature of relationships), administration and management system, and funding system.

The need for a suitable policy and strategy approach

The shift of the Indonesian educational system to a decentralized approach has discarded the old centralized approach. In the old system, the educational system was characterized by a top down approach in which every initiative came from the top (central government) while the local governments and schools followed the instructions rigidly. To implement its policy, the central government had support from its central body at the level of provinces and districts. Before 1999, 'Kanwil',

---

[10] A number of private Madrasah at primary level is 95,2 %, at Junior Secondary level is 75,7 %, and at Senior Secondary level is 70 % (Rahim, 2000).

the central body that represented and acted on the central policies and strategies became a strong agency of the central body at the provincial level. Under the Acts No.22 and 25 of 1999, however, a new pattern and structure of educational policy and strategy for administering the educational system was required. Now, every province and district has its own agency, called Kantor Dinas Pendidikan (Office of Educational Board). However, there is not always yet a clear distinction between the functions of provincial and district boards.

To solve this current problem, Tilaar (2000) proposed that the Provincial Board of Education coordinate several aspects not handled by the District Board of Education, such as: providing teacher staff, educational management, teacher training (pre-service and in-service training) or upgrading teachers that will meet the needs of the local community. Meanwhile, the District Board of Education will run day-to-day activities, such as: school administration and management support (from planning, organizing, coordinating and evaluating), school and teacher supervision, and equipment and financial support. This proposal has been adopted by Government which is apparent in Educational Act No. 20 of 2003 in Chapter 14, part one, on article (4) and (5)[11].

However, these are not simple tasks for either board and require professional knowledge and skills. It appears that there is still a need to set up several new educational institutions and educational boards which fit into the new decentralized educational approach. To achieve this, the Provincial Board needs to work with a local tertiary education and educators to develop educational management institutions which fit within the new decentralized paradigm. For this need, empowering of

---

[11] The Educational Act No. 20 of 2003 chapter 14, part one, article (4) and (5) states: (4) Provincial government has responsibility in making coordination over educational administration, the development of educational human resources, providing educational facilities for district area; (5) District government has responsibility for administering a basic and secondary education level, and another educational form with local advantages (BP. Panca Usaha, 2003, p. 26).

district government, local school and community has become an emergent policy in administering the current Indonesian educational system.

With respect to the nature of Act No.22 of 1999, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) also needs to set up a new pattern of educational policy for administering the Madrasah educational system. To achieve this, Muhaimin (1999) proposed two recommendations. These are:

> First, the administering of the Madrasah should be conducted by society. Local Government should have responsibility for several aspects, such as budgeting, administration and management support, and institutional development and MoRA should be responsible for preparing and developing several essential policies (providing curriculum guidelines, assessment guidelines) and subject matters (such as: English and Arabic subjects, Science and Math subjects).
>
> Second, in giving the local government autonomy to administer the Madrasah educational system based on local aspiration, the provincial and district government needs to establish a Religious Education Board within their structure to ensure the local government still maintain the Islamic character of the Madrasah educational system.

Adopting these recommendations, the Madrasah system will be open and democratic. The community as main stakeholder will have direct access to every step of the Madrasah's activities and progress. Also, MoRA as a formal stakeholder will have the opportunity to monitor the ongoing development of the Madrasah. With this pattern many elements of Indonesian society will have the access and opportunity to be involved in developing the Madrasah educational system.

The Madrasah educational system should also respond to the new nature and demands of the Indonesian society. The Madrasah educational system should be

developed with a balance between a religious and national orientation, and individualistic and socialistic orientation, and also be ready to accommodate the essential needs of contemporary and future Indonesian society. Therefore, MoRA, as the formal stakeholder of the Madrasah educational system, needs to create a suitable policy approach in order to develop the Madrasah educational system to become an alternative schooling system to this end. The Madrasah system should develop its own positive values, such as egalitarianism flexibility, moral education, and community-based education, and reformulate them to strengthen the quality of community. Also, there is the need to empower the Madrasah institutions and local government through giving them full autonomy to administer their own Madrasah, based on the needs of the local community and the future challenge of Indonesian society, especially the challenge of addressing modernity within an ongoing moderate Islamic tradition and knowledge system (Tilaar, 2000).

CHAPTER 5
CONCLUSION

5.1. Religious base of Islamic education.

Islamic education is based on the principles and cultural climate of Islamic values and norms. Both the Quran and Hadits as fundamental sources create a conducive climate for developing knowledge by placing teaching and learning as the priority in Moslem life. Teaching and learning is the central theme of Islam and a sacred mission. Every Moslem is required to teach Islam, even if it is only one word, particularly to their children. This edict obliges an adult Moslem (teacher) to construct the character and morality of the learners according to Islamic values and conducts. Hence, Islamic education institutions are established by Moslem religious leaders when they come and settle in one place.

As religious obligations, teaching and learning, particularly the teaching and learning of Islamic values and norms, have become an essential part of Moslem social life. In the beginning, the teaching and learning process focused on religious education to provide children with a moral education. The form of teaching and learning were characterized by a simple non formal education through teaching Quran at the house of a religious teacher or at the Mosque, but later developed an educational system such as we find it now. The Islamic teaching institutions, Kuttab (non-formal religious teaching), Masdjid Khan (Mosque non-formal religious teaching), Halaqah (circle study), Madrasah (Islamic school), and Pesantren (Islamic Traditional Boarding School) have made a significant contribution to maintaining the intellectual and moral tradition of Islam through a tradition of teaching as a religious obligation.

In the Indonesian context, the Madrasah and Pesantren have become an educational tradition for Indonesian Moslem society. Many Indonesian Moslem families send their children to study at Madrasah or Pesantren even though they also attend the mainstream school. Children of primary age (between 7-12 years) send their children to the Madrasah or Pesantren in the afternoons after studying at a school in the morning. This became an

educational tradition for many Moslem families; because it provides a religious base for developing a family or community with a good moral and conduct.

This Islamic teaching (education) provides a religious intellectual perspective from which to look at human problems when Islam developed into a discourse of world knowledge. This intellectual tradition emerged during the classic era of the development of Islam from the 7th to the 10th century, which saw the emergence of many Islamic scholars in many major disciplines as Al-Kindi (philosophy, math); Al-Farabi (philosophy, Art); Ibnu Rusd (Philosophy, Medicine), Al-Ghazali(Theology and Mysticism) until Ibn Chaldun (History and Sociology) in the 14th century. All these scholars played a significant role in setting in place a religious base for Islamic education. Most of classic studies of Islam refer to their disciplines, and also their innovations in the field of knowledge, as an essential foundation for developing a modern(ist) Islamic knowledge.

Islam provides another perspective for looking at a human problem. As a complete way of life, Islam can contribute to the spiritual, moral and physical welfare of family, community and mankind. Also, as a world ideology Islam has provided some principles, such as mankind's need for teaching and learning, for understanding God's creation, and using natural resources appropriately. Islam also provides the principles for social organization, such as justice, honesty, fairness, and responsibility. The natural and social principles of the Qur'an provide a knowledge base to develop a new knowledge form, based on religious values and norms. Both the Qur'an and the Sunnah are the fundamental sources for developing Islamic education as an alternative institution for the future.

Accordingly, it is recommended that every initiative for developing a new model of Islamic education should take into account the religious base of Islamic education in order to ensure that the process of teaching and learning reflects the values and ethical aspects of Islamic knowledge. However, there is a need to formulate an appropriate religious base for developing effective Islamic education. This would involve negotiation between STAIN, IAIN and UIN and the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA).

2. Knowledge base of Islamic education

The social setting of the emerging of Islam is Arabic culture and civilization, but the values, norms and teaching methods of Islam came from the Qur'an through the prophet Muhammad S.A.W. Within two hundreds years, Islam has spread from Andalusia (Spain) in Europe in the West; Dutch Hindia (Indonesia) in the South East Asia; and Kazakhstan in Central Asia. Islam is accepted by many nations, because its teaching values and norms are compatible, adaptable and relevant to the local people's needs. In this sense, we can see that Islam can accept local values and norms as long as they do not destroy the main faith of Islam, that is belief in one God and the prophet Muhammad. Within Islam, there are many perspectives. In Islamic jurisprudence (Islamic Law), there are four dominant Madzhabs (sects), the Madzhab of Maliki and Hambali (Conservative and Orthodox strand), the Madzhab of Hanafi (rationalist), and the Madzhab of Syafii (moderate strand). Theology strands comprise the Asyariah/Ahlussunnah Waljamaah (Conservative and orthodox strand), Syiah (rational and puritan strand), Mu'tazilah (Rational and liberal strand), and Khawarij (radical strand). The Islamic law (Fiqh) and Islamic theology strand determine the type of Islamic political perspective, of which there are three types; the moderate strand (Egypt, Turkey, Pakistan and Indonesia); the conservative strand (Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, Jordanian, and Qatar); and the radical strand (Palestine and Iran). This political culture is an outcome of the perspective adopted. For instance: Indonesia has been much influenced by the Islamic jurisprudence of the Madzhab of Syaffi and Islamic theology of Asy'ariah/Ahlissunnah Waljamaah. As a result the perspective of Indonesian Moslem society is a moderate one. In comparison, the perspective in Iran is rational and puritan because they have been much influenced by the Islamic jurisprudence of Madzhab Hambali (orthodox strand) and the Islamic theology of Syiah (puritan strand).

However, the entire strands are still within the framework of Islamic faith (belief), which is based on the Qur'an and the Hadits, however interpreted. This means that substantially Islam is one, as Allah (God) stated in the Quran; but in reality, the face of Islam can be seen in many forms (pluralism). There is Islamic Arabia, Islamic Palestine, Islamic Pakistan, Islamic Africa, and Islamic Indonesia. So, Islam in reality has different faces as a result of

adopting different philosophical and methodological approaches. From the description above, we can see that every strand in Islam has it own approach and method of understanding Islam and world life. This approach and understanding depend on their theology, jurisprudence and political strands. All these strands, particularly the theology strand, strongly influence the nature of Islamic education.

In Indonesia, the strand of the Madzhab Syafii (a moderate Islamic jurisprudence) combined with the Theology of Asyariyah/Ahlisunnah Waljamaah (a conservative Islamic theology) has created a moderate form of Indonesian Islamic education. There are, at least two strands of Islamic education. The first is the modernist strand that wants to integrate both the Islamic and the Western educational tradition in their educational system. The General schools are prominent examples of this strand. The second is the traditionalist strand which adopted the Islamic educational tradition in their educational system. The Madrasah and Pesantren are examples of this strand. The introduction of the Western educational system into the General school during the Dutch colonial era has created a dichotomy in Indonesian education between the Islamic education and the General education. Historically, there have been some tensions between the systems (Islamic education and the General education). Islamic education has largely been isolated from and neglected by the mainstream educational system during the Dutch colonial period and the early modern Indonesian government.

At the beginning, there was a large difference between the Indonesian Islamic education and the Indonesian general education. The curriculums of the Islamic school were more focused on Islamic subjects, such as: Fiqh (Islamic Jurisprudence), Hadits (Prophet Tradition), Holy Quran, Akhlak (Moral), Arabic, and Islamic History. Most of the teaching and learning orientation referred to the Middle East tradition because almost all of the teachers had graduated from the Islamic Tertiary education or Islamic College, such as Al-Azhar University in Egypt or Mekkah and Madinah University or College in Saudi Arabia. The general education system emerged from the Western education tradition, introduced by the Dutch colonial government and Indonesian students who graduated from Western Universities. Most of the teaching and learning orientation referred to the Western tradition.

From both these traditions emerged a dichotomy of education in Indonesia, Islamic Education in the form of Madrasah (Islamic school) and the secular education in the form of General School (the Schooling system). This became established when the modern Indonesian government adopted the secular schooling system as the mainstream of Indonesian national education and let Islamic education develop along lines based on their own way (tradition).

There are two significant distinctions between General Education and Islamic Education. The first is teaching orientation. The main purpose of General education is transferring intellectual knowledge and skills, whereas Islamic education is more focused on embedding a moral outlook in learners. To bridge this gap, the Indonesian government has developed several educational policies that require the teaching of religious subjects in the general school and introducing general subjects, such as maths, science, and English into the Madrasah. It has not been easy to integrate the two traditions because each has its own historical, philosophical, epistemological and methodological dimensions. However, it is clear that it is necessary in order to enable learners to cope with change and developments in knowledge and technology. A new model of Islamic education is being discussed at present which integrates the most valuable aspect of Islamic education (moral and religious attitudes) with the most valuable of General education (intellectual and methodological approach). This is a Model Sekolah Berbasis Pesantren [Model School on the basis of Pesantren (the Islamic Boarding Tradition)].

 In looking at this trend, it is suggested that the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) should create conducive conditions through giving strong commitment and support for developing a knowledge base for Islamic education. One significant issue for MoRA is the question as to where Islamic education will fit in the future.

3. The Structural form of Islamic Education

Before 1999, the administration of the Indonesian educational system was highly centralized. The main responsibilities were shared between the Ministry of National

Education (MoNE) who administered the Schooling system and the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) who administered the Madrasah system, which made up 17% of the National Education. The new Educational Act of No. 20 of 2003 as the requirement of the Act of No. 22 of 1999 about Autonomy of Local Government shifted educational administration from a centralized to a decentralized approach in which the central government (Ministry of National Education) shares its power and responsibilities with local governments, particularly at the district level. This obligation would apply also to Islamic education. However, the Madrasahs (Islamic schools) cannot yet implement this because MoRA is still a central body of government, with responsibility for administering Islamic education.

This "confusing" situation has had a significant impact on the Islamic education system, particularly the public schools, such as State Madrasah, STAIN, IAIN and UIN. Most of the local governments are "afraid" to fund Islamic education, even though the Educational Act encourages them to do so. Hence, the budget allocation for Islamic education comes from the religious sector rather than the educational sector. This limited funding has made it difficult to improve the quality of Islamic education. This situation is apparent, particularly in Madrasah and Pesantren, whose lack of educational facilities, educational resources, and funding support clearly affect the quality of education they offer.

There have been two responses to this situation. The rational and modern groups want to encourage the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) to place Islamic education under one system administered by the Ministry of National Education. In the decentralized educational system, the Islamic school would gain the educational advantages associated with access to resources to improve the quality of Islamic education. However, the conservative groups are concerned that, in such an integration, the character of the education offered in Islamic education will be compromised. This group considers that the Madrasah and the Pesantren have their own characteristics, which could not be maintained in an appropriate way unless the education is based on the Islamic teaching and learning traditions.

In looking at the future, the decentralized approach would seem to be the best policy for administering and improving the quality of Islamic education. This approach means that Islamic education would be administered by the local Moslem community and local government. The benefit for the Islamic schools would be moral, educational, and funding support from the community. This means that Madrasah and Pesantren education would report back to their community as a key stakeholder. This change in educational policy will require several educational programmes. The highest priority is to develop an effective Islamic Teacher Education institution in order to produce appropriately trained religious teachers for Islamic and General schools. Therefore, changing government policy on Teacher Education institutions to give such institutions more autonomy would provide a significant opportunity for developing a new model of Islamic teacher education based on local educational needs.

A new model of Islamic Teacher education should aim at producing teacher graduates who have holistic and comprehensive competencies. These are academic competencies to transfer knowledge and science according to ethical principles; professional competencies to be an effective teacher and learner; personal competencies to be a positive role model for their students; and social competencies, to enable him/her to understand the social dynamics and the social contexts at play. To achieve this, the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA) as the main stakeholder needs to decide what kind of Islamic teacher education is needed for the future of the Islamic education, particularly for the Madrasah and Pesantren system. There is a need to encourage a clear vision about what kind of Islamic teacher education is needed for the future demands of the Moslem society in Indonesia, as well as the associated need to develop a strategic programme for implementing effective Islamic teaching and learning.

To create a new model of Islamic teacher education with a focus on such holistic and comprehensive competencies requires that the institutions develop an appropriate policy framework. This can be achieved by developing several programmes, as formulated below: First, set a clear vision about the role of Islamic teacher education institutions and the kind of outputs expected from the institutions; Second, strengthen the Islamic Teacher Education

institutions through giving them autonomy and flexibility to administer their own institutions and to develop their own programmes based on local needs; Third, restructure the current teacher education curriculum to meet current society's demands. This would require some further research work. Fourth, update teaching methods in order to improve the quality of teaching and learning in Islamic Teacher Education institutions. Fifth, set up appropriate criteria for selecting new student teacher trainees.

4. Pedagogical Approach in Islamic education

There are two dominant educational approaches within Indonesian Moslem society. First, the traditional form of education, which focuses on studying the classical knowledge of Islam using traditional teacher centered methods. At present, in both the General school and the Islamic education institutions this teaching approach is the most common form. The teacher is a person who has all the knowledge and students have to accept what the teacher tells them. The second educational approach, secular education, focuses on the modern knowledge of the West using learner centered methods. This presupposes scientific, rational methods. The dichotomy in the educational system between a religious educational system and a secular educational system has also created a knowledge dichotomy, namely a religious knowledge and a secular knowledge. This knowledge dichotomy has had a significant impact on the outputs of education. The Islamic educational system creates a graduate who has a strong religious knowledge base and moral attitudes but lacks a methodological approach. General education creates graduates who are strong in methodological approaches but lack a religious knowledge base. There is a need to integrate these two traditions, the Islamic and Western tradition, in order to develop an effective pedagogical approach in the process of teaching and learning in both strands of Indonesian education.

Three teaching approaches have been developed to date. The first is to put both religious and general education under one roof (faculty). The general subjects are institutionalized within the general faculty and the religious subjects within a religious faculty. Some Islamic Teacher Education institutions have adopted this model, such as: The University of Al-

Azhar, Egypt; The University of Muhammadiyah, and Indonesian Islamic University. The second model is the Islamization of knowledge, which integrates a religious subject and a general subject in one domain of knowledge through introducing a new form of knowledge institutionalism via faculties and departments, such as a Faculty of Revealed Knowledge and Faculty of Non Revealed Knowledge. This model has been adopted by International Islamic University in Islamabad Pakistan and Kuala Lumpur Malaysia. In the third model, the religious subjects are the main core and the general subjects are integrated supplementally into the curriculum. In this context, the general subject plays a role as a knowledge assistant to understanding a normative framework of Islam. This model has been adopted by the State Institute of Islamic Studies (IAIN) in Indonesia.

Each of these approaches has its own epistemological background and methods to contribute to a new form teaching and learning, which will meet society's demands and the challenge of modernity. It is suggested that the Ministry of Religious Affairs (MoRA), together with the Islamic Teacher Education institutions, should develop an effective approach of teaching and learning for further developing Islamic Teacher Education programmes. Strengthening the Institutional capacity and teaching approach of Indonesian Islamic teacher education would be a strategic move in producing an effective Islamic teacher education for the Madrasah and Pesantren in contemporary Indonesia.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, A. (2004). Keilmuan Umum dan Agama dalam Sistem Sekolah dan Madrasah (General and Religious Sciences in School and Madrasah System). In INCIS, *The Future of Madrasah*, (pp.21- 24). Jakarta

Abbas, H. (2000). Mengakhiri sebuah diskriminasi (To ends a discrimination). In *Journal of Islamic Tertiary Education, 2* (3), 46-51.

Abdullah, T. (1981). The socio-cultural scene in Indonesia. In L. Suryadinata (Ed.), *Trends in Indonesia* (Vol. II). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISAS).

Abdullah, T. (1985). Adat and Islam: An examination of conflict in Minangkabau. In A. Ibrahim, S. Siddique., and Y. Hussain (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia* (pp. 94-102). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Abdullah, T (1987). *Sejarah dan masyarakat, Lintasan historis Islam di Indonesia (History and society, the orbit of Islamic history in Indonesia).* Jakarta: Pustaka Firdaus.

Abdullah, T. (1987). Adat dan Islam: Sebuah tinjauan tentang konflik di Minangkabau (Tradition and Islam : An overview of conflict in Minangkabau). In Taufik Abdullah, *Sejarah dan masyarakat, lintasan historis Islam di Indonesia (History and society, the orbit of Islamic history in Indonesia)* (pp.104-127). Jakarta: Pustaka Firdaus.

Alcorn, N (1999). Initial Teacher Education since 1999: Funding and Supply as Determinants of Policy and Practice. In Thrupp, M. *A Decade of reform in New*

Zealand education: Where to know? (pp. 110-120). Hamilton: School of Education, the University of Waikato.

Aldridge, A. (2000). *Religion in the contemporary world a sociological introduction.* Cambridge: Polity Press.

Ahmad, K. (1976) *Islam its meaning and message*, London: Islamic Council of Europe.

Ali, F., & Effendi, B. (Eds.). (1986). *Merambah jalan baru Islam: Rekonstruksi pemikiran Islam masa Orde Baru (Toward a new Islamic way: Reconstruction of Islamic New Order thought).* Bandung: Mizan.

Al-Attas, S.N. (1979). *Aims and objectives of Islamic education, Islamic education series,* Jeddah: Hodder and Stoughton – King Abdul Azis University.

Al-Saud, M.F. (1979). The glorious Qur'an is the foundation of Islamic education. In *S.N. Al-Attas, Aims and objectives of Islamic education, Islamic education series* (pp.126-133). Jeddah: Hodder and Stoughton – King Abdul Azis University.

Ali, A.Y. (1983). *The Holy Qur'an*, Maryland: Amana Corp.

Anshari, S. (1985). Islam or the Pancasilas as the basic of state. In A. Ibrahim, Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia* (pp.221-228). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Arkoun, M. (1994). *Rethinking Islam common questions, uncommon answers.* Boulder - San Francisco - Oxford: West View Press.

Asian Development Bank (1992). *Appraisal of the Junior Secondary Education project in Indonesia.* Jakarta: ADB Residence.

Asian Development Bank (1992). *Draft Project Preparation Report on the Junior Secondary Educational Project for the Government of Indonesia*. Jakarta: Author.

Aslan, A. (1998). *Religious pluralism in Christian and Islamic philosophy*. Surrey: Curzon Press.

Avnon, D., & Shalit, A. (1999). *Liberalism and its practice*. London and New York: Routledge.

Azra, A. (1999). *Pendidikan Islam tradisi dan modernisasi menuju millennium baru (Islamic education tradition and modernization toward new millennium)*, Jakarta: Logos.

Azra, A (1999). *Esei-esei intelektual Muslim dan Pendidikan Islam (Essays of Moslem Intellectual and Islamic Education).* Jakarta: Logos.

Azra, A (2002). *Paradigma Baru Pendidikan Nasional Rekonstruksi dan Demokratisasi (New Paradigm of National Education, Reconstruction and Democratization.* Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas

Azra, A (2002). Globalization of Indonesian Moslem discourse, contemporary religious – intellectual connections between Indonesia and Middle East. In J. Meuleman (Ed). *Islam in the era of globalization* (pp. 31-50). London: Routledge Curzon.

Azra, A. (2004) Madrasah dan Tantangan Globalisasi: Perspektif Historis dan Sosiologis Pendidikan Islam (Madrasah and Globalization Challenge: Historical and Sociological Perspective of Islamic Education. In INCIS, *The Future of Madrasah* (pp.45-64). Jakarta.

Bachtiar, H. (1974). *The Indonesian nation some problems of integration and disintegration*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISAS).

Basyuni, M. (2005, November) Mulai 2006 Menteri Agama Prioritaskan Sektor Pendidikan (Starting 2006 Ministry of Religious Affairs put priority on educational sector), *Tabloid of Madrasah,* 04, 5-6.

Benda, H. J. (1972). *Continuity and change in Southeast Asia*. New Haven: Yale University Southeast Asian Studies.

Bellamy, R. (1992). *Liberalism and modern society*. Pennsylvania: The Pennsylvania State University Press.

Boland, B. J. (1985). The struggle of Islam in modern Indonesia (1950-1955). In A. Ibrahim, Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia* (pp. 137-142). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Bowe, R., & Ball, S.J. (1992). *Reforming education and changing school*. London and New York: Routledge.

BP. Panca Usaha. (2003) *Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 Tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional (Educational Act No. 20 of 2003)*. Jakarta: Author.

Boyne, R., & Rattansi. A. (Eds.). (1990). *Postmodernism*. Hampshire - London: Macmillan Education Ltd.

Buchori, M. (1994). *Ilmu Pendidikan dan Praktek Pendidikan Dalam Renungan (Educational Science and Educational Practice within Meditation).* Jakarta: IKIP Muhamdiyah Press.

Buchori, M. (2007). Evolusi Pendidikan di Indonesia Dari Kweekschool Sampai ke IKIP 1852 – 1998, (Educational Evaluation in Indonesia, From Kweekschool to IKIP Jakarta). Jakarta: INSIST Press.

Buckley, A. D., & Olson, D. D. (1980). *International terrorism current research and future directions*. New Jersey: Avery Publishing Group Inc.

Burrel, R. M. (Ed.). (1989). *Islamic fundamentalism*. London: The Royal Asiatic Society.

Carr, W., & Hartnett, A. (1996). *Education and the struggle for democracy*. Buckingham - Philadelphia: Open University Press.

Choudhary, G. W. (1993). *Islam and the modern Muslim world*. London: Scorpion Publishing Ltd.

Choueri, Y. M. (1990). *Islamic fundamentalism*. Boston: Twayne Publishers.

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2001). *Research methods in education* (Fifth Ed.). London and New York: Rouetledge-Falmer- Taylor and Francis Group.

Commins, D. (1994). Hasan al-Bana (1906-1949l. In A. Rahnema (Ed.), *Pioneers of Islamic revival* (pp. 125-149). London - New Jersey: Zed Books Ltd.

Cooper, B. S., Fusarelli, L. D., & Randall, E. V. (2004). *Better Policies, Better Schools*. Boston-New York-San Francisco: Pearson - Allyn and Bacon.

Cooper, J., Nettler, R. L., & Mahmoud, M. (Eds.). (2000). *Islam and modernity Muslim intellectuals respond*. London - New York: I.B. Tauris Publishers.

Costner, H. (Ed.). (1989). *New Perspectives on liberal education*. Seattle - London: University of Washington Press.

Department of Information/National Communication and Information Board (DOI/NCIB) (2000). *An Official handbook*. Jakarta: Author.

Department of Islamic Teacher Education, Faculty of Islamic Education, IAIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta (2002), *PAI Curriculum*. Jakarta: Author.

Devine, N. (1999). Neo-liberalism and constructions of democracy: The impact on teachers' work. *Waikato Journal of Education, 5*, 171-179. .

Dewey, J. (1966) *Democracy and education*. New York-London-Toronto-Sydney-Tokyo-Singapore: The Free Press.

Dhofier, Z. (1982) *Tradisi Pesantren Study tentang Pandanganh Hidup Kiyai (Pesantren Traditions: Study of Kiyai's way of life*. Jakarta: LP3ES.

Eliraz, G. (2002).The Islamic Reformist movement in the Malay- Indonesian world in first four decades of the 20th century: Insight gained from a comparative look at Egypt. *Studia Islamika, Indonesia Journal for Islamic Studies, 9*(2), 47-77..

Esposito, J., L., & Tamimi, A. (2000).*Islam and Secularism in the Middle East*, London: Hurst & Company.

Esposito, J., L. & Watson, M. (2000). *Religion and global order*. Cardiff: University of Wales Press.

Fadjar, M. (1997) Membangun Madrasah sebagai Wahana Peradaban Modern (The Development of Madrasah as a Place for Modern Cultural). In Rahardjo, M.D. (Eds) *Keluar dari Kemelut Pendidikan Nasional (Moving from National Educational Problems* (pp. 145-155). Jakarta: Intermasa.

Ministry of Religious Affairs (1999) *Platform Reformasi Pendidikan dan Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia (Platform of Educational Reformation and Development of Human Resources).* Jakarta:Author.

Fisherman, S. M., & McCarthy, L. (1998). *John Dewey and the challenge of classroom practice*. New York-London-Urbana-Illinois: Teacher College Press - NCTE.

Fitzsimons, P. (2000). Changing conceptions of globalization: Changing conceptions of education. *Educational Theory, 50*(4), 505-520.

Freeman, R. E. (1993). Collaboration, Global Perspective, and Teacher Education. *Theory into Practice, 32*(1), 33-39.

Freire, P. (1998). *Pedagogy of freedom ethics, democracy, and civic courage*. Lanham - Boulder - New York - Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, INC.

Freire, P. (1993). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. New York: The Continuum Publishing Company.

Gadamer, H. G. (2001). *Reason in the age of science* (F. G. Lawrence, Trans.). Cambridge-Massachusetts-London: The MIT Press.

Gamble, A., Marsh, D., & Tant, T. (Eds.). (1999). *Marxism and Social Science*. Hampshire - London: Macmillan.

Geertz, C. (1968). *Islam Observed Religious Development in Morocco and Indonesia*. New Haven - London: Yale University Press.

Geerzt, C. (1981). *Abangan Santri Priyayi dalam masyarakat Jawa (Translation of Religion of Java).* Jakarta: Pustaka Jaya.

Giddens, A. (2000). *The Third way and its critics*. Malden: Polity Press.

Giroux, H. (Ed.). (1997). Crossing the boundaries of educational discourse: Modernism, Postmodernism, and Feminism. In A.H.Halsey et.al. (Ed). *Education Culture Economy Society* (pp. 113-130). Oxford - New York: Oxford University Press.

Gless, D. J., & Smith, B. H. (Eds.). (1992). *The politics of liberal education*. Durham and London: Duke University Press.

Gunawan, A.H. (1986). *Kebijakan pendidikan di Indonesia (Educational Policies in Indonesia).* Jakarta : Bina Aksara

Gutman, A. (1987). *Democratic education*. Princeton - New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Hadad, I. (1994) Muhammad Abduh: Pioneers of Islamic Reform. In A. Rahnema (Ed*)* *Pioneers of Islamic revival* (pp. 30-63). London – New Jersey, Zed Books Ltd.

Hafez, K. (Ed.). (2000). *Islam and the West in the Mass Media Fragmented Images in a Global Media*. New Jersey: Hampton Press, INC.

Halsey, A. H. e. a. (Ed.). (1997). *Education Culture, Economy, and Society*. Oxford - New York: Oxford University Press.

Hanif, N. (1997). *Islam and Modernity*. New Delhi: Sarup & Sons.

Hasan, M. K. (1985). Muslim ideological response to the issue of modernization in Indonesia.. In A. Ibrahim, Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia* (pp. 362-378). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Hefner, R., W., and Horvatich, P., (1997). *Islam in era of nation state politics and religious renewal in Muslim Southeast Asia*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii

Hopwood, D. (Ed.). (2000). Introduction: the culture of modernity in Islam and the Middle East. In Cooper, J. et al (Ed) *Islam and modernity Muslim intellectuals respond* (pp. 1-9). London - New York: I.B.Tauris Publishers.

Hoveyda, F. (1998). *The Broken Crescent The "threat"of Militant Islamic Fundamentalism*. Westport, Connecticut - London: Praeger Publishers.

Hunt, A. (1996). Governing the city: liberalism and early modern modes of governance. In Andrew Barry (Ed.). Political reason liberalism, neo-liberalism and rationalities of government (pp.167-178). Chicago, USA: The University of Chicago Press.

Huntington, S.P. (2005) Benturan antar Peradaban dan Masa Depan Politik Dunia (The Clash of Civilization and the Remaking of World Order)(Indonesian Translation, the 9 Edition), Yogyakarta: Penerbit Qalam

Husain, S.S., and Ashraf, S.A (1979) *Crisis in Muslim Education*, Jeddah: Hodder And Stoughton – King Abdul Azis University.

Husen, T. (1999). Research paradigm in education. In J. P. Keeves & G. Lakomsky (Eds.), *Issues in educational research* (pp. 31-39). Amsterdam: Pergamon

Ibrahim, A., Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y (Ed.). (1985). *Reading on Islam in Southeast Asia*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Ministry of National Education (2005*) Undang-Undang RI Nomor 14 Tahun 2005 tentang Guru dan Dosen (Teacher and Lecturer Act No. 14 of 2005)*, Jakarta: Author.

IAIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta (2000). *Basic Course Out Line of MKK PAI Department,* Jakarta: Author

IAIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta (1998). *Basic Course Out Line of MKU, MKDK Kurnas and MKDK Kurlok (1995 Modified IAIN Curriculum*, Jakarta: Author.

Jamhari (2002), IAIN Jakarta, Historical contexts, Now and Future Prospect. In Yatim, B. and Nasuhi, H, *Developing Center Excellent for Islamic Studies* (pp. 3-34). Jakarta: IAIN Jakarta Press.

Jones, B. A. (1994). The "Multiple Constituency" Concept of Collaboration: Influences of Race, Class, Gender, and Ethnicity. *Theory Into Practice, 33*(4), 227-234..

Joni, R. (2006). *Legal Frame of Teacher Certification*, Malang, State Malang University

Kahin, A. (1999) *Rebellion to integration, West Sumatra and Indonesian Polity 1926-1998*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

Kahin, A. (2005) *Dari pemberontakan ke integrasi Sumatera Barat dan Politik Indonesia (Rebellion to integration, West Sumatra and Indonesian Polity 1926-1998).* Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Karim, H. K. (2000). *Islamic peril media and global violence*. Montreal-New York-London: Black Rose Books.

Kedourie, E. (1997). *Afghani and Abduh, an essay on religious unbelief and political activism in modern Islam*. London - Portland: Frank Cass.

Keeves, J. P., & Lakomski, G. (Eds.). (1999). *Issue in educational research*. Amsterdam: Pergamon.

Keeves, J. P., & McKenzie, P. A. (1999). Research in education: Nature, needs, and priorities. In J. P. Keeves & G. Lakomsky (Eds.), *Issues id educational research* (pp. 201-214). Amsterdam-Lausanne-New York-Oxford-Shannon-Singapore-Tokyo: Pergamon.

Kerlinger, F. N. (1984). *Liberalism and conservatism the nature and structure of social attitudes*. New Jersey - London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.

Khan, J. S. (1993). *Constituting the Minangkabau peasant, culture, and modernity in colonial Indonesia*. Providence - USA: Berg Publishers Inc.

Khusro, A.M. (1979) Education in the Islamic society. In S.S. Husain and Ashraf, S.A, *Crisis in Muslim education* (pp. 45-48). Jeddah: Hodder Stoughton – King Abdul Azis University

Kincheloe, J.L. and Steinberg, S.R. (1997). Changing Multiculturalism, Buckingham-Philadelphia: Open University Press.

Koentjaraningrat (1987). *Manusia dan kebudayaan di Indonesia (Human and Civilization in Indonesia).* Jakarta: Penerbit Jambatan.

Kohli, W. (Ed.). (1995). *Critical conversations in philosophy of education*. New York - London: Rouetledge.

Kumar, K. (1997). The Post Modern Condition. In Halsey, et al. *Educational Culture Economy Society* (pp. 96-112), Oxford – New York: Oxford University Press.

Langgulung, H. (1988) *Pendidikan Islam Menghadapi Abad ke 21 (Islamic Education Facing 21st Centuries)*, Jakarta: Pustaka Al-Husna.

Laqueuer, W. (1987). *The age of terrorism*. London: Weidenfeed and Nicolson.

Lesley. T.J. , Matczynki, T.J., and William, J.A.(1992). Collaborative and non-collaborative partnership structures in teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education, 43*(4), 257-261.

Lehmann, H., & Roth, G. (Eds.). (1995). *Weber's Protestant Ethic Origins, Evidence, Contexts*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Levin, B. (2001) *Reforming Education From origin to out come*, London,: RoutledgeFalmers.

Liddle, W. (1996). *Leadership and culture in Indonesia politics*. Sydney: Asian Studies Association of Australia - Allen and Unwin.

Longo, B. (1998) An approach for applying cultural study, Theory to technical writing Research. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 7 (1), p.53-57.

Maarif, A.S. (1985). Islam dan Masalah Kenegaraan Studi tentang Percaturan dalam Konstituante (Islam and State problems, a study about debates in constituent). Jakarta: LP3ES.

MacEwan, A. (1999). *Neo Liberalism or Democracy, Economic strategy, markets, and alternative for the 21st Century*. London: Zed Books.

Madjid, N. (1985). The issue of modernization among Muslim in Indonesia from a participant's point of view. In A. Ibrahim, Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y. (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia* (pp. 379-387). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Madjid, N. (1997) .*Pesantren's Room: A snapshot of journey*, Jakarta: Paramadina

Madjid, N. (2004*). Indonesia Kita (Our Indonesia),* Jakarta: Paramadina University.

Maksum, A. (1999). Madrasah: Sejarah dan perkembangannya (Madrasah: History and its development). Jakarta: Logos.

Marquand, D., & Nettler, R. L. (2000). *Religion and democracy*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Martin, R., C., Woodward, M., R., & Atmaja, D., S. (1997). Defenders of reason in Islam Mu'tazilism from medieval school to modern symbol. Oxford: Oneworld.

Mastuhu (1988) Prinsip Pendidikan Pesantren (Principles of Pesantren Education). In Manfred Open and Wolfgang. Karcher, *Dinamika Pesantren Dampak Pesantren Dalam Pendidikan dan Pengembangan Masyarakat (Pesantren Dynamic, Pesantren* Impact on education and society development) (pp. 276-288), Jakarta: P3M.

Mastuhu. (1997). Menuju Paradigma Pendidikan Indonesia (Toward of Indonesian educational paradigm). In D. Rahardjo (Ed.), *Keluar dari kemelut pendidikan nasional (Moving out from national educational problems)* (pp. 75-89). Jakarta: Intermasa.

Maududi, A. A. (1980). *Towards understanding Islam*. Delhi: Markazi Maktaba Islami.

McDonough, S. (1984). *Muslim Ethics and Modernity A Comparative study of the ethical thought of Sayyid Ahmad Khan and Maulana Mawdudi* (Vol. 1). Ontario Canada: Wilfred Laurier University Press.

Meulemen, J. (2002). *Islam in the era globalization*, London: Routledge Curzon Tylor and Francis Group.

Miller, R., W. (1984). *Analyzing Marx Morality, Power and History*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

Moaddel, M., & Talattof, K. (Eds.). (2000). *Contemporary debate in Islam and anthology of modernist and fundamentalist thought*. Hampshire - London: Macmillan Press Ltd.

Modood, T., & Werbner, P. (Eds.). (1997). *The Politics of Multiculturalism in the New Europe Racism, Identity and Community*. London - New York: Zed Books Ltd.

Moin, B. (1994). Khomeini's search for perfection: Theory and reality. In A. Rahnema (Ed.), *Pioneers of Islamic revival* (pp. 64-97). London - New Jersey: Zed Books Ltd.

MoRA (1996) *MOU Between the Directorate General of Islamic Institutions Development of the Republic Indonesia and A Loan Appraisal Mission of the Asian Development Bank on the Proposed Basic Education Project*. Jakarta: Author.

MoRA (1999) *Sejarah perkembangan madrasah (The History of Madrasah Development)*. Jakarta: Author.

MoRA (2004) Statistic of Religious Education School Year 2003-2004. Jakarta: Author.

MoRA (2004) Sejarah madrasah, pertumbuhan dinamika, dan perkembangannya di Indonesia (The History of Madrasah, growth dynamic and its development in Indonesia). Jakarta: Author.

Moussalli, A., S. (1999). *Moderate and radical Islamic fundametalism the quest for modernity, legitimacy, and the Islamic state*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.

Mudzhar, M.A. (1981) *Religious Education and Politics in Indonesia, a preliminary study of Islamic education and politics, 1966-1979.* Unpublished Master Dissertation, University of Queens land, Australia.

Mudzhar, M. A. (2003) *Islam and Islamic Law in Indonesia a socio historical approach*. Jakarta: Office of Religious and Development, and Training Ministry of Religious Affairs.

Mudzhar, A. et.al. (2004) *Identity Religion Ethnicity Democracy, and Citizenship in Indonesia.* Jakarta: Office of Religious Research and development and Training.

Muhaimin, A.G. (1999) Reposisi Madrasah Dalam Memasuki Era Indonesia Baru (Reposition Madrasah in New Indonesian Era). In evaluation seminar: *Seminar of 1994 Madrasah Curriculum*, 6 September 1999. Jakarta: Office of Religious Research and Development.

Nasr, S., H. (2002). *Islam and the Plight of Modern Man*. Cambridge, UK: The Islamic Text Society.

Nasr, S. V. R. (1994). Maududi and the Jamaát-i Islam: The origin, theory and practice of Islamic. In A. Rahnema (Ed.), *Pioneers of Islamic revival* (pp. 98-124). London - New Jersey: Zed Books Ltd.

Nasution, H. (1975*) Pembaharuan Dalam Islam Sejarah Pemikiran dan Gerakan (Reform in Islam Historical Thought and Movement),* Jakarta: Bulan Bintang.

Noer, D. (1973). *The Modernist Muslim Movement in Indonesia 1900 - 1942*. Singapore - Kuala Lumpur: Oxford University Press.

Palmer, R. E. (1969). *Hermeneutics interpretation in Schleiermacher, Dilthey, Heidegger, and Gadamer*. Evanston: Northwestern University Press.

Peters, M., Hope, W., & Webster, S. (Eds.). (1996). *Critical theory, post structuralism & the social context*. Palmerston North: The Dunmore Press.

Popkewitz. T.S. and Brennan, M. (1997). Restructuring of social and political theory in education: Foucalt and a social epistemology of school practices. *Educational Theory, 47*(3) , 287-314.

PPIM, CeQDA, and LAPIS (2005). *Baseline Studi PGMI di UNI, IAIN dan STAIN (Baseline Study PGMI at UIN, IAIN and STAIN)*. Jakarta: Aothor.

PT. Amythas Experts and Associates (2003) *Studies on Madrasah Education Sub-Sector Assessment on development Madrasah Aliyah Project ADB Loan No.1519-INO*. Jakarta: Author.

Qutb, M (1976). Islam and the crisis of the modern world. In Kurshid Ahmad, *Islam its meaning and message,* (pp. 243-258).*,* London: Islamic Council of Europe.

Rachman, F. (1987*) Islam* (Indonesian version), Jakarta: Bina Aksara.

Rahardjo, D. (1997). Keluar dari Kemelut Pendidikan Nasional (Moving Out from National educational problems). Jakarta: Intermasa.

Rahim, H. (2000) *Arah Baru Pendidikan Islam di Indonesia (The New Trend of Islamic Education in Indonesia)*. Jakarta: Logos.

Rahim, H. (2005). Madrasah Dalam Politik Pendidikan di Indonesia(Madrasah Within Educational Politic in Indonesia). Jakarta: Logos.

Rahnema, A. (1994) *Pioneers of Islamic revival*. London New Jersey: Zed Books Ltd.

Ramage, D. E. (1995). *Politics in Indonesia, democracy, Islam and the ideology of tolerance*. Sydney: Allen and Unwin.

Ricklefs, M. C. (2001). *A history of modern Indonesia since c. 1200* (3 edition.). Hampshire - Britain: Palgrave.

Ricklefs, M.C. (2005). *Sejarah Indonesia Modern (A history of modern Indonesia)*. Yogyakarta: Gajahmada University Press.

Rippin, A. (2001). *Muslims their religious beliefs and practices (second edition)*. London-New York: Rouetledge.

Roberts, P. (Ed.). (1999). *Paulo Freire, Politics and Pedagogy Reflection from Aotearoa - New Zealand*. Palmers ton North: Dunmore Press.

Said, E. W. (1981). *Covering Islam how the media and the experts determine how we see the rest of the world*. New York: Pantheon Books.

Salmi, R. H., Majul, C. A., & Tanham, G. K. (1998). *Islam and conflict resolution*. Lanham - New York - Oxford: University Press of America.

Salvatore, A. (1997). *Islam and the political discourse of modernity*. Ithaca: Reading.

Samovar, L. A., & Porter, R. E. (Eds.). (1997). *International Communication: A Reader*. Belmont, Albany, Bonn, Cincinnati: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Sardar, Z. (1998). *Postmodernism and the other the new imperialism of Western culture*. London - Virginia: Pluto Press.

Sardar, Z., & Malik, Z., A. (2001). *Introducing Islam*. Cambridge, UK: Icon Books.

Shaleh, A.R. (1999) *Aktualisasi politik pendidikan dilingkungan Departemen Agama (Actualization of the MoRA's educational politic).,* Jakarta: Head of Research and Development of MoRA.

Shaleh, A. R. (2000) *Pendidikan agama dan keagamaan visi misi dan aksi (Religious education, vision mission and action)*. Jakarta: PT. Gemawindu Pancaperkasa.

Salvatore, A. (1997). *Islam and the political discourse of modernity*. Itacha: Reading.

Shamsavary, et al. (1993) Islam: State, Religion and Education. In Witold Tulasiewicz
and Cho-Yee To, *World Religions and Educational Practice, (pp.144-160)*,
London – New York, Cassell.

Shari'ati, A. (1981) *Marxism and other Western fallacies an Islamic critique* (Translated
by R. Campbell). Berkeley: Mizan Press.

Shariati, A. (2000). Critical attitude towards the West and the idea of Western decadence.

In M. T. Moaddel, K (Ed.), *Contemporary debate in Islam and antholology of*

modernist thought (pp. 315-324). Hampshire - London: Macmillan.

Siddique, S. (1985). Conceptualizing contemporary Islam: Religion or ideology? In A.

Ibrahim, Siddique, S., and Hussain, Y (Ed.), *Reading on Islam in South East Asia*

(pp. 337-345). Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Sitompul, A. (2002) *Menyatu dengan umat- menyatu dengan bangsa ; Pemikiran ke-*

Islaman – Ke-Indonesiaan HMI (1947-1997) (Unity with Moslem and unity with

nation; An Islamic and Indonesian mind's of HMI, 1947-1997). Jakarta: Logos.

Soder, R. (Ed.). (1996). *Democracy, education, and the schools*. San Francisco: Jossey-
Bass Publishers.

Soebardi, S. (1976). The place of Islam. In E. McKay (Ed.), *Studies in Indonesian
History*. Victoria-Australia: Pitman Publishing Pty.Ltd.

Sperber, D. (1975). *Rethinking Symbolism* (A. L. Morton, Trans.). Cambridge - Paris:
Cambridge University Press.

Steenbrink, K. A. (1986). *Pesantren Madrasah Sekolah Pendidikan Islam dalam kurun moderen (Islamic Boarding School Islamic School, and School Islamic education within modern era)*. Jakarta: LP3ES.

Suryadinata, L. (1981). *Indonesia under the New Order: Problems of growth, equity and stability*, *trends in Indonesia*. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies.

Suseno, F.M. (1991) *Etika Jawa sebuah analisa falsafi tentang kebijaksanaan hidup Jawa (Java Ethic, A philosophy analysis about the wisdom of Java life).* Jakarta: PT. Gramedia.

Schimmel, A. (1986) *Dimensi Mistik dalam Islam (Mystical Dimension in Islam).* Jakarta: Pustaka Firdaus.

Stormquest, N. and Samoff, J. (2000) *Compare*, 30 (3),329.

Tanya, V. (1982) Himpunan Mahasiswa Islam Sejarah dan Kedudukannya di Tengah Gerakan-Gerakan Muslim Pembaharu di Indonesia (Islamic Student Association, History and its Position within Moslem Reform Movement in Indonesia). Jakarta: Penerbit Sinar Harapan.

Tilaaar, H.A.R., (1995). 50 Tahun Pembangunan Pendidikan Nasional 1945-1995 (50 Years National Educational Development 1945-1995 a Policy Analysis. Jakarta: Grasindo.

Tilaar, H. A. R. (2000). Paradigma Baru Pendidikan Nasional (New Paradigm of Natiuonal Education). Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.

Tilaar, H. A. R. (2005) *Manifesto pendidikan nasional, tinjauan dari perspective postmodernisme dan studi kultural (Manifesto of national education, analysis from postmodernism and cultural study perspective)*. Jakarta: Penerbit Kompas

Tripp, C. (1994). Sayyid Qutb: The political vision. I. In A. Rahnema (Ed.), *Pioneers of Islamic revival* (pp. 154-183). London - New Jersey: Zed Books Ltd.

Turner, B. S. (1974). *Weber and Islam A Critical Study*. London and Boston: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Tyler, C. (2003). T.H. Green, advanced liberalism and the reform question 1865-1876. *History of European Ideas, 29*(4), 437-458.

UIN Syarif Hidayatullah Jakarta (2004) Organisasi dan tatakerja, statuta dan uraian tugas UIN Sysrif Hidayatullah Jakarta (Organization and work system, and job description of UIN Sysrif Hidayatullah Jakarta). Jakarta: Author.

Umar, J. (2005) Kebijakan Untuk Peningkatan Mutu Pendidikan (Policy for the achievement of educational Quality). In *Journal MP3A, 1* (1), 76-91. .

Umar, J. (2005) Perlu Kerja Keras Tingkatkan Kualitas Madrasah (Need Work Hard for the achievement of Madrasah Quality). In *Madrasah Tabloid,* 2, 5-6.

Usher, R., & Solomon, N. (1999). Experiential Learning and the Shaping of Subjectivity in the Workplace. *Studies in the Education of Adults, 31*(2), 155-163.

Ussher, B., & Jefferies, S. (1997). Collaborative Partnerships for Professional Development. Paper presented at *the New Zealand Conference on Health and Physical Education*, *7-9 July 1997*. Auckland New Zealand: Ackland College of Education.

Vatikiotis, M. R. (1998). I*ndonesian politics under Soeharto, the rise and fall of the New Order* (3 Ed.). London - New York: Rouetledge.

Watt, W.M. (1988) *Islamic Fundamentalism and Modernity*. London New York: Rouetledge.

Weber, M. (1963). *The Sociology of Religion*. London: Methuen & Co Ltd.

Zakaria, R. (1998). *Overview of the Islamic educational system with particular focus on the MORA educational planning.* Unpublished Master Dissertation, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand.

Zakaria, R (2002).*Collaborative model as a policy approach for implementing Islamic Educational Reform,* [D.Ed's paper]. Hamilton, New Zealand: University of Waikato